



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER



## NOTICE OF MEETING

Thursday, December 16, 2010

9:00 a.m. – Advisory Committee Meeting

*AT THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER OFFICES*

*9641 San Bernardino Road  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730  
(909) 484-3888*





# **CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**

**Thursday, December 16, 2010**

9:00 a.m. – Advisory Committee Meeting

## **AGENDA PACKAGE**



**CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**

**9:00 a.m. – December 16, 2010**

*WITH*

*Mr. Robert DeLoach, Chair, Appropriative Pool  
Mr. Bob Bowcock, Vice-Chair, Non-Agricultural Pool*

**At The Offices Of**

**Chino Basin Watermaster**  
9641 San Bernardino Road  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

**AGENDA**

**CALL TO ORDER**

**AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER**

**I. CONSENT CALENDAR**

**Note: All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and non-controversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.**

**A. MINUTES**

1. Minutes of the Advisory Committee Meeting held November 18, 2010 *(Page 1)*

**B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

1. Cash Disbursements for the month of October 2010 *(Page 7)*
2. Watermaster Visa Check Detail for the month of October 2010 *(Page 21)*
3. Combining Schedule for the Period October 1, 2009 through October 31, 2010 *(Page 25)*
4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 *(Page 29)*
5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through October 2010 *(Page 33)*

**C. APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE**

1. Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company Has Submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to Percolate 300.000 acre-feet of Water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage *(Page 37)*

**II. BUSINESS ITEMS**

**A. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER REAPPOINTMENT OF THE NINE-MEMBER BOARD**

Advisory Committee Recommendation to the Watermaster Board to Approve the Reappointment of the Nine-Member Board *(Page 46)*

**III. REPORTS/UPDATES**

**A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT**

1. Paragraph 31 Appeal
2. Resolution 2010-04
3. January 21, 2011 Hearing
4. Draft Restated Watermaster Judgment - *(Draft Restated Judgment Under Separate Cover on ftp site with the meeting package) – (Page 52)*

**B. ENGINEERING REPORT**

1. Watermaster Review of CDA Proposed Chino Creek Well Field Wells
2. Managed Aquifer Recharge Symposium – January 25-26, 2011 at the Atrium Hotel Orange County

**C. CEO/STAFF REPORT**

1. Legislative Update
2. Recharge Update
3. Riverside County Flood Control District
4. MOU for Ontario Airport Data Gathering Reimbursement Agreement – *handout*

**D. INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY**

1. MWD Update - oral
2. DYY Update - oral
3. CDA Expansion Update - oral
4. Monthly Water Use Report - *handout*
5. State and Federal Legislative Reports (*Page 56*)
6. Community Outreach/Public Relations Report (*Page 68*)
7. IEUA Monthly Water Newsletter (*Page 70*)

**E. OTHER METROPOLITAN MEMBER AGENCY REPORTS**

**IV. INFORMATION**

1. Cash Disbursements for November 2010 (*Page 74*)
2. Newspaper Articles (*Page 84*)

**V. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS**

**VI. OTHER BUSINESS**

**VII. FUTURE MEETINGS**

Thursday, December 16, 2010	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, December 16, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Mtg. @ CBWM – <b>Board Room</b>
<b>**Thursday, December 16, 2010</b>	<b>9:30 a.m.</b>	<b>Confidential Session for the Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM – Auxiliary Room</b>
<b>* Thursday, December 16, 2010</b>	<b>11:00 a.m.</b>	<b>Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM – Board Room</b>
Thursday, January 6, 2011	1:00 p.m.	Annual Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 6, 2011	2:30 p.m.	Annual Non-Agricultural Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 13, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Tuesday, January 18, 2011	9:00 a.m.	GRCC Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Friday, January 21, 2011	10:30 a.m.	CBWM Court Hearing @ Chino Courthouse
Thursday, January 27, 2011	11:00 a.m.	Annual Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM

**\* Note: Date changes due to holiday schedules**

**\*\* Added confidential session for the Watermaster Board Members to hear the ABGL presentation**

**Meeting Adjourn**



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## I. CONSENT CALENDAR

### A. MINUTES

1. Advisory Committee Meeting held on  
November 18, 2010



11/18/10

**Draft Minutes**  
**CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**  
**ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**

November 18, 2010

The Advisory Committee meeting was held at the offices of the Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga CA, on November 18, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT WHO SIGNED IN**

**Appropriative Pool**

Mark Kinsey, Chair	Monte Vista Water District
Marty Zvirbulis	Cucamonga Valley Water District
Dave Crosley	City of Chino
Raul Garibay	City of Pomona
John Mura	City of Chino Hills
Mohamed El-Amamy	City of Ontario
Josh Swift	Fontana Union Water Company
Robert Young	Fontana Water Company
Ron Craig	City of Chino Hills
Tom Harder	Jurupa Community Services District

**Agricultural Pool**

Jeff Pierson	Ag Pool – Crops
--------------	-----------------

**Non-Agricultural Pool**

Ken Jeske	California Steel Industries
-----------	-----------------------------

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT WHO DID NOT SIGN IN**

**Non-Agricultural Pool**

Kevin Sage	Vulcan Materials Company (Calmat Division)
------------	--

**Watermaster Board Member Present**

Michael Camacho	Inland Empire Utilities Agency
-----------------	--------------------------------

**Watermaster Staff Present**

Ken Manning	Chief Executive Officer
Joe Joswiak	Chief Financial Officer
Danielle Maurizio	Senior Engineer
Ben Pak	Senior Project Engineer
Sherri Lynne Molino	Recording Secretary

**Watermaster Consultants Present**

Scott Slater	Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck
Andy Malone	Wildermuth Environmental Inc.

**Others Present Who Signed In**

Steven Lee	Reid & Hellyer
Gil Aldaco	City of Chino
Jesus Plasencia	City of Chino
Jolynne Russo-Pereyra	Cucamonga Valley Water District
Eunice Ulloa	Chino Basin Water Conservation District
Rick Hansen	Three Valleys Municipal Water District
Ryan Shaw	Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Sheri Rojo	Visitor for Fontana Water Company

Chair Kinsey called the Advisory Committee meeting to order at 9:07 a.m.

**AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER**

**I. CONSENT CALENDAR**

**A. MINUTES**

1. Minutes of the Advisory Committee Meeting held October 21, 2010

**B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

1. Cash Disbursements for the month of September 2010
2. Watermaster Visa Check Detail for the month of September 2010
3. Combining Schedule for the Period September 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010
4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010
5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through September 2010

*Motion by El-Amamy, second by Pierson, and by unanimous vote*

***Moved to approve Consent Calendar items A through C, as presented***

**II. BUSINESS ITEMS**

**A. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER ANNUAL AUDIT FY 2009/2010 – JUNE 30, 2010**

Mr. Manning stated this is a yearly item for Watermaster's annual audit which is performed by an outside auditing firm, Mayer Hoffman McCann. This independent audit firm has done Watermaster's audits for several years. Mr. Manning stated staff is seeking a motion to receive and file this item. Mr. Joswiak gave the Watermaster Audit for Fiscal Years 2009/2010 ending June 30, 2010 presentation. Mr. Joswiak noted a representative from the audit firm will be out in the near future to give a presentation/report on their findings.

*Motion by Kinsey, second by Craig, and by unanimous vote*

***Moved to receive and file the Chino Basin Watermaster Annual Audit FY 2009/2010 – June 30, 2010, as presented***

**B. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

Mr. Manning stated when our new CFO was hired approximately six months ago there was interest expressed by some of parties to obtain more information on Watermaster financial items. Mr. Manning stated Watermaster staff has put together the first report which will be presented to the Watermaster parties on a quarterly basis for the period of July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010. Mr. Manning stated this item will normally be placed under the CEO reports on the agenda; however, since this is the first time this report is being presented, staff wanted to spend more time on reviewing the information and format. Staff is seeking a motion to receive and file this report. Chair Feenstra commented on Legal expenses and inquired about looking at a trend. Mr. Joswiak gave the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Financial Review Fiscal Year 2010-2011 presentation and reviewed the spreadsheets in detail. Chair Kinsey inquired regarding the status of negotiations for the Chino Airport plume. Mr. Manning stated Watermaster and CDA staff has met with the county and the county has appointed a new individual to coordinate all of their contamination issues and they want to work with Watermaster to resolve this issue. Other meetings are scheduled and we are slightly behind in where we thought we would be by now; however, now with this new person handling the coordination, things should move quickly. A discussion regarding the plume issue ensued.

*Motion by Pierson, second by Zvirbulis, and by unanimous vote*

***Moved to receive and file the First Quarter Actual vs. Budget Report for the period July 1 2010 through September 30, 2010, as presented***

**C. LAND SUBSIDENCE COMMITTEE**

Mr. Manning stated Andy Malone is the engineering consultant assigned to the MZ1 Technical Committee. Mr. Manning stated the MZ1 Committee has worked for several years within the managed zone to come up with strategies and implement programs to help parties better identify and understand the subsidence anomaly within that area. The MZ1 Committee also provides guidance criteria to prevent further subsidence. The MZ1 Committee has had many successes and has done a tremendous amount of work; however, in the process of developing additional knowledge, it has been revealed the circumstances which led to subsidence within MZ1 are not solely confined to the MZ1 area. Mr. Manning stated there are similar processes and strategies that could be implemented in other management zones throughout the basin. The MZ1 committee members in that management zone are uncomfortable making recommendations for activities outside of their management zone without having those particular parties from outside the zone participating in the meetings and being a part of those discussions. Mr. Manning noted the Pools have approved this unanimously.

*Motion by Zvirbulis, second by Craig, and by unanimous vote*

***Moved to rename the MZ1 Committee to the Land Subsidence Committee and open the meetings to all Watermaster parties, as presented***

**D. LOCATION OF WELL I-17**

Mr. Manning reviewed a map for the desalter expansion for Well I-17 which is almost exactly where it was modeled for hydraulic control. Mr. Manning stated as part of the process for working with the CDA parties and the expansion parties Watermaster is committed to working with them as a partnership as they move through the decision making process about where well sites are going to be located and whether those locations meet the requirement to address hydraulic control. Mr. Manning stated as sites are being proposed, Watermaster is providing a Material Physical Injury analysis for those wells; well locations for 16 and 18 were previously approved through the Watermaster process. Mr. Manning stated well 16 has been dug, 18 will be worked on shortly, and well I-17 has been identified. Watermaster, through Wildermuth Environmental, has done a material physical injury analysis; well I-17 shows no material physical injury as long as it is pumped through the upper zone. Mr. Malone reviewed a map of the well locations and discussed it in detail. Mr. Manning stated staff is asking for the approval of the well location for I-17. Chair Kinsey stated the motion that was made by the Appropriate Pool.

*Motion by El-Amamy, second by Crosley, and by unanimous vote*

***Moved to approve the CDA location of well I-17 subject to screening in accordance with Watermaster criteria, as presented***

**III. REPORTS/UPDATES****A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT****1. Paragraph 31 Appeal**

Counsel Slater stated there are some handouts on the back table regarding this item. Counsel Slater stated there was an inquiry by the Court of Appeal as to whether the matter was appropriate for mediation and settlement. In reviewing the request, Watermaster responded against a mediation effort. Counsel Slater stated a request was received by counsel for CSI regarding an extension of time; however, Watermaster counsel recommended to the Ad-Hoc Committee that Watermaster oppose the request. Counsel Slater offered comment regarding the reasoning behind the opposition.

**2. Resolution 2010-04**

Counsel Slater stated the Watermaster Board adopted the resolution pending further action. Counsel Slater stated the underlying requirements that are required for the CDA to take action are now at a minimal amount; the intergovernmental agreement is in its final iterations. The Metropolitan Water District (MWD) discussions have continued with representatives from Western Municipal Water District and Inland Empire Utilities Agency;



MWD is prepared to only take action after the CDA takes action. A brief discussion regarding this matter and Regional Board issues ensued.

3. Judgment Update

Counsel Slater stated a process has been ongoing for some time now to pull together all the modifications to the Judgment, Rules & Regulations, and the decisions for the relevant orders of the court so that there is a single comprehensive restated Judgment. This will allow any person to go to one master document and learn all they need to know about a given Watermaster decision. Counsel Slater stated this is not creating any new paper; it is a compilation of everything that has been done previously and then putting it into a single place. Counsel Slater stated staff is aware the parties and their attorneys will want to review this document carefully.

Added Comment:

Counsel Slater counsel has drafted a skeletal outline of a pleading regarding the reappointment of the nine-member board. The reappointment process is good until February 10, 2011 and if a filing is going to be made it should be done soon. Counsel Slater offered comment on the draft pleading. This will come back through the Watermaster process in the near future. Mr. Manning stated Watermaster was independently approached by a few Appropriators who were asking this item be put on the Watermaster Board agenda.

**B. CEO/STAFF REPORT**

1. Legislative Update

Mr. Manning stated there is a legislative handout on the back table which covers many of the current legislative issues in greater detail and does a good job of highlighting the issues. Mr. Manning reviewed a portion of the handout and discussed the budget which needs to be further reduced.

2. Recharge Update

Mr. Manning stated the most recent recharge update handout is available on the back table. Mr. Manning reviewed the spreadsheet in detail.

3. Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation Update

Mr. Manning stated there has been a request that Watermaster include the CURO as part of its continued financial reports. Staff is working on that and it has not yet been decided where the best place is to put this information. The current CURO is 8,839.085 acre-feet and that is after it has been reduced by almost 12,064 acre-feet.

4. Re-approval of the Chino Basin Watermaster Nine Member Board

Mr. Manning stated this item has already been discussed.

5. Agricultural Pool Request for Presentation of the ABGL Group on the Ontario Plume

Mr. Manning stated the Agricultural Pool took action in its recent Pool meeting during its closed session that the ABGL give a presentation regarding the Ontario Airport plume issue to the Watermaster Board during a closed session in December. A lengthy discussion regarding this matter ensued.

**C. INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY**

1. MWD Update

Mr. Shaw commented on the Water Supply Allocation Plan.

2. DYY Update

Mr. Shaw commented on the Dry Year Yield Update.

3. Monthly Water Use Report

No comment was made regarding this item.

- 4. State and Federal Legislative Reports  
No comment was made regarding this item.
- 5. Community Outreach/Public Relations Report  
No comment was made regarding this item.
- 6. IEUA Monthly Water Newsletter  
No comment was made regarding this item.

**D. OTHER METROPOLITAN MEMBER AGENCY REPORTS**

Mr. Hansen reported on the Water Supply Allocation Program, which will more than likely be down to a stage zero or eliminated. As a result, there will be a problem matching up the calendar year and fiscal year for Tier 1 and Tier 2 and the Supply Allocation Program. In Three Valley's region conservation is at about 16 to 17%. Three Valleys is sitting with about 10 to 15,000 acre-feet below their Tier 1 allotment. Since the rate is going up January 1 we are going to try to find a way to put 10 to 15,000 acre feet in the ground before the end of this calendar year. Mr. Hansen stated that there are some interesting challenges with MWD and we are living in interesting times with the Metropolitan Water District and the changes in their programs and policies. The likelihood of going back to discounted replenishment water being available is a thing of the past.

**IV. INFORMATION**

- 1. Cash Disbursements for October 2010  
No comment was made regarding this item.
- 2. Newspaper Articles  
No comment was made regarding this item.

**V. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS**

No comment was made regarding this item.

**VI. OTHER BUSINESS**

No comment was made regarding this item.

**VII. FUTURE MEETINGS**

Thursday, November 18, 2010	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, November 18, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
<b>* Thursday, November 18, 2010</b>	<b>11:00 a.m.</b>	<b>Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM</b>
Thursday, December 2, 2010	1:00 p.m.	Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, December 2, 2010	2:30 p.m.	Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call Meeting
Thursday, December 9, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Thursday, December 16, 2010	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, December 16, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
<b>* Thursday, December 16, 2010</b>	<b>11:00 a.m.</b>	<b>Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM</b>
Thursday, January 6, 2011	1:00 p.m.	Annual Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 6, 2011	2:30 p.m.	Annual Non-Agricultural Pool Meeting
Thursday, January 13, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Tuesday, January 18, 2011	9:00 a.m.	GRCC Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Friday, January 21, 2011	10:30 a.m.	CBWM Court Hearing @ Chino Courthouse
Thursday, January 27, 2011	11:00 a.m.	Annual Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM

**\* Note: Date changes due to holiday schedules**

The Advisory Committee meeting was dismissed by Chair Kinsey at 10:03 a.m.

Secretary: \_\_\_\_\_

Minutes Approved: \_\_\_\_\_



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## I. CONSENT CALENDAR

### B. FINANCIAL REPORTS

1. Cash Disbursements for the month of October 2010
2. Watermaster Check Detail for the month of October 2010
3. Combining Schedule for the Period October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010
4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010
5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through October 2010





# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

---

**KENNETH R. MANNING**  
Chief Executive Officer

## STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** Cash Disbursement Report – Financial Report B1

### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of cash disbursements for the month of October 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Cash Disbursements for October 2010 be received and filed as presented.

**Fiscal Impact** – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

### BACKGROUND

A monthly cash disbursement report is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster expenditures.

### DISCUSSION

Total cash disbursements during the month of October 2010 were \$489,020.94. The most significant expenditures during the month were Inland Empire Utilities Agency in the amount of \$173,527.31 (check number 14621 dated October 26, 2010), Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck in the amount of \$61,803.45 (check number 14594 dated October 19, 2010) and Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. in the amount of \$58,425.37 (check number 14626 dated October 26, 2010).

### Actions:

December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 9, 2010 Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 16, 2010 Advisory Committee –  
December 16, 2010 Watermaster Board –

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
General Journal	10/02/2010	10/02/2010	Payroll and Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10	Payroll and Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
				Payroll Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7,749.92
				Direct Debits/Checks for 09/19/10-10/02/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	26,569.31
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>34,319.23</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14553	GRAINGER	9358550722	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/28/2010	9358550722			7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	55.57
Bill	09/30/2010	9357276634			7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	62.90
Bill	09/30/2010	9357276642			7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	7.18
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>125.65</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14554	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	1979	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	1979		September 2010	6052.2 - Applied Computer Technol	2,394.75
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>2,394.75</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14555	COMPUTER NETWORK	79461	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	79461		Port switch with cables	6055 - Computer Hardware	271.88
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>271.88</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14556	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	10134602	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	10134602		invoice minus 2 months insurance premiums	6043.1 - Ricoh Lease Fee	2,814.41
				Documentation Fee (One-Time Charge)	6043.2 - Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee	89.50
				Usage for Black Copies	6043.2 - Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee	417.80
				Usage for Color Copies	6043.2 - Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee	522.51
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>3,844.22</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14557	HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	7003730910002744	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	7003730910002744		miscellaneous office supplies	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	478.57
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>478.57</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14558	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	Oct. 21, 2010 Leadership Breakfast Reg.	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/05/2010			Oct. 21, 2010 Leadership Breakfast registration	6909.1 - OBMP Meetings	20.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>20.00</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14559	JAMES JOHNSTON	209	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	209		September 2010 - Website Consulting	6052.3 - Website Consulting	810.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>810.00</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14560	MATHIS CONSULTING GROUP	153003	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	153003		preparation for workshop	6013 - Human Resources Services	562.50

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Numb	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
TOTAL						562.50
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14561	MAYER HOFFMAN MC CANN P.C.	30040	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/27/2010	30040		first billing in connection with annual audit	6062 - Audit Services	4,075.00
TOTAL						4,075.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14562	MIJAC ALARM	289729	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/01/2010	289729		commercial monitoring 10/01/10-12/31/10	6028 - Security services	141.00
TOTAL						141.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14563	PARK PLACE COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.	443	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	443		September 2010 - IT Services	6052.1 - Park Place Comp Soluti	4,275.00
TOTAL						4,275.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14564	PRINTING RESOURCES	55367	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	08/30/2010	55367		imprinted pens - Strategic Planning Conference	6193.1 - Strategic Planning Conference	497.00
TOTAL						497.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14565	PUMP CHECK	3960	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	3960		3960 - In-Line Meter-Calib & Test	7102.8 - In-line Meter-Calib & Test	950.00
TOTAL						950.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14566	PURCHASE POWER	8000909000168851	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/28/2010	8000909000168851		3 shipments - Non Ag.Pool Meeting tape	6042 - Postage - General	55.56
TOTAL						55.56
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14567	R&D PEST SERVICES	0136553	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	0136553		Continuing treatment for ant and pest control	6024 - Building Repair & Maintenance	85.00
TOTAL						85.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14568	UNION 76	300-732-989	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	300732989		September 2010 - Fuel for trucks	6175 - Vehicle Fuel	169.07
TOTAL						169.07
Bill Pmt -Check	10/06/2010	14569	YUKON DISPOSAL SERVICE	08-K2 213849	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/05/2010	08-K2 213849		Service for Oct 2010	6024 - Building Repair & Maintenance	142.88
TOTAL						142.88
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14570	BOWCOCK, ROBERT	9/23/10 Board Meeting	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/23 Board Meeting		9/23/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						125.00



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
<b>Bill Pmt -Check</b>	<b>10/07/2010</b>	<b>14571</b>	<b>CAMACHO, MICHAEL</b>		<b>1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg</b>	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/14 Peace II Wkshp		9/14/10 Peace II Resolution Workshop	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/16 Admin Meeting		9/16/10 Admin Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/23 Board Meeting		9/23/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<b>500.00</b>
<b>Bill Pmt -Check</b>	<b>10/07/2010</b>	<b>14572</b>	<b>DE BOOM, NATHAN</b>		<b>1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg</b>	
Bill	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<b>375.00</b>
<b>Bill Pmt -Check</b>	<b>10/07/2010</b>	<b>14573</b>	<b>DURRINGTON, GLEN</b>		<b>1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg</b>	
Bill	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<b>375.00</b>
<b>Bill Pmt -Check</b>	<b>10/07/2010</b>	<b>14574</b>	<b>FEENSTRA, BOB</b>		<b>1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg</b>	
Bill	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	7/22 Board Meeting		7/22/10 Board Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/19 Advisory Comm		8/19/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/26 Board Meeting		8/26/10 Board Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/16 Advisory Comm		9/16/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/23 Board Meeting		9/23/10 Board Meeting-alternate-Vanden Huevel	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<b>1,000.00</b>
<b>Bill Pmt -Check</b>	<b>10/07/2010</b>	<b>14575</b>	<b>HAUGHEY, TOM</b>		<b>1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg</b>	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/23 Board Meeting		9/23/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<b>125.00</b>

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14576	HUITSING, JOHN		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
				Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
				Ag Pool Member Compensation	8411 - Compensation	25.00
				Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
TOTAL						375.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14577	KOOPMAN, GENE		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8411 - Compensation	25.00
				AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
TOTAL						125.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14578	KUHN, BOB		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/14 Peace II Wkshp		9/14/10 Peace II Workshop	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						125.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14579	PAYCHEX		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	2010093000		3010093000 September 2010 Payroll Services	6012 - Payroll Services	249.98
TOTAL						249.98
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14580	PIERSON, JEFFREY		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	7/15 Advisory Comm		7/15/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	7/22 Board Meeting		7/22/10 Board Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/19 Advisory Comm		8/19/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/26 Board Meeting		8/26/10 Board Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/16 Advisory Comm		9/16/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Mtg		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
TOTAL						1,000.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14581	REID & HELLYER		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	171923		171923 - Ag Pool Legal Services	8467 - Ag Legal & Technical Services	12,803.00
				171923 - Ag Pool Legal Services	8467.1 - Frank B. & Associates	907.50
TOTAL						13,710.50
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14582	THE STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/06/2010	16051317100006		160-513170-00006 November 2010 - Dental Premium	60182.2 - Dental & Vision Ins	313.12
TOTAL						313.12

P12

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14583	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE	2x81x0	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	2x81x0		WM bible to Tom Bunn, misc. correspondence	6042 - Postage - General	115.66
TOTAL						115.66
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14584	VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY	6311	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/14 Peace II Wkshp		9/14/10 Peace II Resolution Workshop	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/17 CDA Mtg		9/17/10 CDA Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						250.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14585	VANDEN HEUVEL, ROB		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend-Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		Ag Pool Member Compensation	8411 - Compensation	25.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend-Special	100.00
TOTAL						250.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14586	VERIZON	012519116950792103	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	012519116950792103		Monthly Telephone Service	6022 - Telephone	429.80
TOTAL						429.80
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14587	WILLIS, KENNETH		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/14 Peace II Wkshp		9/14/10 Peace II Resolution Workshop	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/23 Board Meeting		9/23/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						250.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14588	YOUNG, ROBERT		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/14 Peace II Wkshp		9/14/10 Peace II Workshop	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/23 Board Meeting		9/23/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						250.00
General Journal	10/16/2010	10/16/2010	Payroll and Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	Payroll and Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	10/16/2010	Payroll Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	Payroll Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7,476.55
Bill	09/30/2010	10/16/2010	Payroll Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	Payroll Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	17.96
Bill	09/30/2010	10/16/2010	Direct Deposit/Checks for 10/03/10-10/16/10	Direct Deposit/Checks for 10/03/10-10/16/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	25,533.46
Bill	09/30/2010	10/16/2010	Direct Deposit/Checks for 10/03/10-10/16/10	Direct Deposit/Checks for 10/03/10-10/16/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	373.01
TOTAL						33,400.98
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14589	VOID		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	0.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14590	A&R BRIDGESTONE FIRESTONE AUTO CARE	033616	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
TOTAL	10/14/2010	033616		field truck maintenance	6177 - Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	828.76
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14591	ACWA SERVICES CORPORATION	00198	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/14/2010	00198		Prepayment - November 2010	1409 - Prepaid Life, BAD&D & LTD	114.29
				October Insurance Premiums	60191 - Life & Disab. Ins Benefits	118.70
TOTAL						232.99
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14592	BANC OF AMERICA LEASING	011657903	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	3,215.74
Bill	10/14/2010	011657903		Minolta lease - billing period 10/01/10-10/31/10	6043.1 - Ricoh Lease Fee	3,215.74
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14593	BOWCOCK, ROBERT	Reissue of Lost Check 14306	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	6/16 Personnel Comm		6/16/2010 Personnel Committee Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	6/03 Dr. Mathis Mtg		6/03/2010 Dr. Mathis Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						250.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14594	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBBER SCHRECK		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	16,354.23
Bill	09/30/2010	436605		436605 - WM Legal Counsel	6907.3 - WM Legal Counsel	16,354.23
				436605 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	6907.35 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	1,094.40
				436605 - Desalter Negotiations	6907.33 - Desalter Negotiations	3,043.80
				436606 - Santa Ana River	6907.34 - Santa Ana River	3,735.84
				436607 - Ontario Airport Plume	6907.31 - Ontario Airport Plume	719.10
				436608 - Chino Airport Plume	6907.32 - Chino Airport Plume	4,340.00
				436609 - Desalter Negotiations	6907.33 - Desalter Negotiations	29,374.65
				436610 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	6907.35 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	3,141.43
TOTAL						61,803.45
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14595	CALPERS	1741	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	4,611.49
Bill	10/18/2010	1741		Employee Medical Premiums	60182.1 - Medical Insurance	4,611.49
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14596	CAMACHO, MICHAEL	9/10/2010 CDA Ad Hoc Conference Call	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/10 CDA Ad Hoc Call		9/10/2010 CDA Ad Hoc Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14597	CITISTREET	Payroll and Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1,774.25
General Journal	10/02/2010	10/02/2010	CITISTREET	Employee 457 Deductions	2000 - Accounts Payable	1,774.25
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14598	COMPUTER NETWORK		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill	10/18/2010	79536		10 flash drives	6035 · Computer Hardware	250.00
Bill	10/18/2010	79572		adobe acrobat software	6054 · Computer Software	672.20
				flash drive	6055 · Computer Hardware	38.06
TOTAL						960.26
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14599	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	Lease Due Nov.1, 2010	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	5,844.00
Bill	10/18/2010			Lease Due November 1, 2010	1422 · Prepaid Rent	5,844.00
TOTAL						11,688.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14600	FIRST AMERICAN REAL ESTATE SOLUTIONS	12962708	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	62.50
Bill	09/30/2010	12962708		12962708 Grdwtr Qual - Computer Service	7103.7 · Grdwtr Qual-Computer Svc	62.50
				12962708 - Prod Monitor - Computer	7101.4 · Prod Monitor-Computer	125.00
TOTAL						250.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14601	GUARANTEED JANITORIAL SERVICE, INC.	28177	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	865.00
Bill	10/14/2010	28177		Service - October 2010	6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	865.00
TOTAL						1,730.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14602	PETTY CASH	2300-2316	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	18.21
Bill	10/18/2010			supplies for 9/30 Assessment Workshop	6141.1 · Meeting Supplies	55.03
				supplies for 2 office birthdays	6141.3 · Admin Meetings	33.00
				mileage reimbursement-Molino-Ag Pool Mtgs	6173 · Mileage Reimbursements	116.00
				AAA reimbursements for service for field trucks	6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	9.83
				supplies for 9/16 Advisory Committee Meeting	6212 · Meeting Expense	13.77
				supplies for Water Auction Subcommittee Mtg	6909.1 · CBMP Meetings	32.64
				supplies for water quality testing	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	50.95
				groundwater level monitoring supplies	7104.6 · Grdwtr Level-Supplies	66.40
				supplies for CDA/Desalter Resolution Meetings	7305 · PE3&5-Supplies	24.69
				supplies for 9/22 DYY/CUP Meeting	7604 · PE8&9-Supplies	6.79
				supplies for 9/27 Recharge Meeting	7204 · Comp Recharge-Supplies	427.11
TOTAL						1,000.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14603	PITNEY BOWES CREDIT CORPORATION		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	551.37
Bill	10/14/2010	6684246		Lease period Oct 30, 2010 - Jan. 30, 2011	6044 · Postage Meter Lease	27.95
Bill	10/14/2010	6684246		equipment tax and filing fees	6044 · Postage Meter Lease	579.22
TOTAL						1,158.54
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14604	PRE-PAID LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	111802	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	77.70
Bill	10/19/2010	111802		October 2010	60194 · Other Employee Insurance	77.70
TOTAL						155.40

P 15

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14605	PREMIERE GLOBAL SERVICES	04148268	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	04148268		monthly moderator charge	6022 - Telephone	14.95
				6/02 Strategic Planning Conference planning call	6189.1 - Strategic Planning Conference	273.00
				CDA calls-8/27,9/1,9/3,9/8,9/10,9/13,9/17,9/22	7305 - PE3&5-Supplies	1,156.73
TOTAL						1,444.68
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14606	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	Payor #3493	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
General Journal	10/02/2010	10/02/2010	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	CalPERS for 09/19/10-10/02/10	2000 - Accounts Payable	6,679.87
TOTAL						6,679.87
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14607	SAFEGUARD DENTAL & VISION	3351237	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/14/2010	3351237		October 2010 Dental Premium	60182.2 - Dental & Vision Ins	7.91
TOTAL						7.91
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14608	STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/14/2010	8016720732		cannot camera - replace broken Ops camera	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	217.45
Bill	10/14/2010	8016647073		markers, binder clips, paper	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	124.40
TOTAL						341.85
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14609	STAULA, MARY L		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/31/2010			Retiree Medical Premium	60182.4 - Retiree Medical	136.61
TOTAL						136.61
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14610	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	1800001768	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/14/2010	1800001768		Filing Fee	6907.30 - Peace II - CEQA	2,842.25
TOTAL						2,842.25
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14611	VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY	6311	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	9/10 CDA Ad Hoc Call		9/10/2010 CDA Ad Hoc Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Closed Mig		9/09/10 Ag Pool Closed Session	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						250.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14612	VERIZON WIRELESS	0911935177	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/14/2010	0911935177		monthly service	6022 - Telephone	563.17
TOTAL						563.17
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14613	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/14/2010			Truck washing service	6177 - Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	100.00
TOTAL						100.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14614	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	90006445	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
TOTAL						

P16

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill	09/30/2010	90006445		90006445	8456 · IEUA Readiness To Serve	400.98
TOTAL						400.98
Bill Pmt -Check	10/19/2010	14615	WESTERN DENTAL SERVICES, INC.	002483	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	28.06
Bill	10/14/2010	002483		November 2010 Dental Premium	60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	28.06
TOTAL						28.06
Bill Pmt -Check	10/21/2010	14616	BANK OF AMERICA		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	343.40
Bill	09/30/2010			air fare-K. Manning-attend Utility Exec. Conf.	6191 · Conferences - General	76.00
	09/30/2010			to purchase gloves for gw quality monitoring	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	418.69
	09/30/2010			lunch for 9/27 WM Board Meeting	6312 · Meeting Expenses	635.00
	10/20/2010			registration-K. Manning-ACWA 2010 Fall Conf.	6191 · Conferences - General	1,473.09
TOTAL						1,473.09
Bill Pmt -Check	10/21/2010	14617	COUNTY OF ORANGE		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	100.00
Bill	10/20/2010			Flood Encroachment Permit Fee	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	100.00
				Permit-access to wells 6875 Pine Ave, Chino		
TOTAL						100.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/21/2010	14618	GRAINGER		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	77.22
Bill	10/20/2010	9367089662		9367089662	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	77.22
				Supplies for field staff		
TOTAL						77.22
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14619	CITISTREET		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1,774.25
General Journal	10/16/2010	10/16/2010		Payroll and Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	2000 · Accountis Payable	1,774.25
				Employee 457 Deductions		
TOTAL						1,774.25
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14620	CUCAMONGA VALLEY IAAP		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	50.00
Bill	10/26/2010			October 27, 2010 Cucamonga Valley IAAP	6192 · Training & Seminars	50.00
				Fee for J. Wilson and S. Molino		
TOTAL						50.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14621	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	179,527.31
Bill	10/26/2010	90006497		90006497	7206 · Comp Recharge-O&M	179,527.31
				GW Recharge O&M Cost Reimbursement		
TOTAL						179,527.31
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14622	JOHN J. SCHATZ		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	8,974.60
Bill	09/30/2010			Approp. Pool Legal Services	8367 · Legal Service	8,974.60
TOTAL						8,974.60
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14623	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6,607.46
General Journal	10/16/2010	10/16/2010		Payor #3493	2000 · Accounts Payable	6,607.46
				CalPERS for Payroll for 10/03/10-10/16/10		
TOTAL						6,607.46

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14624	STANDARD INSURANCE CO.	Policy # 00-640888-0009	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/22/2010	640888-0009		Life and Disability Premiums	60191 - Life & Disab.Ins Benefits	509.05
TOTAL						509.05
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14625	STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND	1615335-10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/22/2010	1615335-10		Workers Comp Premium	60183 - Worker's Comp Insurance	1,044.04
TOTAL						1,044.04
Bill Pmt -Check	10/26/2010	14626	WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/30/2010	2010572		2010572 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	3,284.35
Bill	09/30/2010	2010573		2010573 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	4,463.25
Bill	09/30/2010	2010575		2010575 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	600.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010576		2010576 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	2,877.50
Bill	09/30/2010	2010577		2010577 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	765.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010578		2010578 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	4,230.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010579		2010579 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	1,175.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010582		2010582 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	6906 - OBMP Engineering Services	1,309.73
Bill	09/30/2010	2010583		2010583 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	1,614.45
Bill	09/30/2010	2010585		2010585 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010589		2010589 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering	7104.3 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering	487.50
Bill	09/30/2010	2010591		2010591 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering	7104.3 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering	4,420.80
Bill	09/30/2010	2010597		2010597 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 - Grd Level-Engineering	300.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010601		2010601 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 - Grd Level-Engineering	1,176.58
Bill	09/30/2010	2010604		2010604 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	7107.6 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	600.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010605		2010605 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 - Grd Level-Engineering	8,880.55
Bill	09/30/2010	2010607		2010607 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 - Grd Level-Engineering	75.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010609		2010609 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	637.50
Bill	09/30/2010	2010610		2010610 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7,394.40
Bill	09/30/2010	2010613		2010613 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	312.50
Bill	09/30/2010	2010615		2010615 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	712.50
Bill	09/30/2010	2010616		2010616 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	4,651.46
Bill	09/30/2010	2010618		2010618 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	93.75
Bill	09/30/2010	2010624		2010624 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2,230.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010625		2010625 - Recharge & Well - Engineering	7109.3 - Recharge & Well - Engineering	472.50
Bill	09/30/2010	2010628		2010628 - PE3&5-Engineering	7303 - PE3&5-Engineering	400.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010631		2010631 - PE4-Engineering	7402 - PE4-Engineering	400.00
Bill	09/30/2010	2010634		2010634 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 - PE6&7-Engineering	1,571.25
Bill	09/30/2010	2010635		2010635 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 - PE6&7-Engineering	3,164.80
TOTAL						58,425.37



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
October 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	10/28/2010	14827	EL TORITO	Lunch-10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Mtg	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	397.74
Bill	10/28/2010			Lunch-10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	6312 - Meeting Expenses	397.74
TOTAL						
General Journal	10/30/2010	10/30/10	Payroll and Taxes for 10/17/10-10/30/10	Payroll and Taxes for 10/17/10-10/30/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7,702.03
				Payroll Taxes for 10/17/10-10/30/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	25,115.85
				Direct Deposit/Checks for 10/17/10-10/30/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	32,817.88
TOTAL						
General Journal	10/31/2010	10/31/10	Wage Works Direct Debits	Wage Works Direct Debit - 10/12/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1,039.24
				Flexible Spending 125-employee - 10/12/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1,039.24
				Flexible Spending 125-employee - 10/25/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	86.75
				Administrative Fee - 10/18/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	2,165.23
TOTAL						
<b>Total Disbursements:</b>						<b>489,020.94</b>

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

---

**KENNETH R. MANNING**  
Chief Executive Officer

## STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** VISA Check Detail Report – Financial Report B2

### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of VISA credit card payment disbursed for the month of October 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the VISA Check Detail Report for October 2010 be received and filed as presented.

**Fiscal Impact** – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

### BACKGROUND

A monthly VISA Check Detail report is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster expenditures charged against the CEO and/or CFO's Bank of America VISA card.

### DISCUSSION

Total cash disbursement during the month of October 2010 was \$1,473.09. The monthly charges for October 2010 were for routine and customary expenditures and properly documented with receipts.

### Actions:

December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 9, 2010 Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 16, 2010 Advisory Committee –  
December 16, 2010 Watermaster Board –

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
 VISA Check Detail Report  
 October 2010

Type	Num	Date	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	14616	10/21/2010	BANK OF AMERICA	XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-9341	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-9341	09/30/2010		air fare for K. Manning to attend the Utility Executives Conference Oct. 12-15, 2010 to purchase gloves for gw quality monitoring lunch for 9/27 WM Board Meeting	6191 - Conferences - General 7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies 6312 - Meeting Expenses	343.40 76.00 418.69
Bill	XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-9341	10/20/2010		registration for K. Manning to attend the ACWA 2010 Fall Conference	6191 - Conferences - General	635.00
TOTAL						1,473.09

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING  
Chief Executive Officer

## STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 - Financial Report B3

### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

**Fiscal Impact** – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

### BACKGROUND

A Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the FY 2010/2011 cumulative Watermaster revenues, expenditures and changes in working capital for the period listed.

### DISCUSSION

The Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital has been created from various financial reports and statements created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Combining Schedule provided balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system as presented.

### Actions:

December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 9, 2010 Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 16, 2010 Advisory Committee –  
December 16, 2010 Watermaster Board –

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
 COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL  
 FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010

	WATERMASTER ADMINISTRATION MANAGEMENT	POOL ADMINISTRATION & SPECIAL PROJECTS		GROUNDWATER OPERATIONS		EDUCATION FUNDS	GRAND TOTALS	BUDGET 2010-2011
		OPTIMUM BASIN MANAGEMENT	POOL APPROPRIATIVE	AG POOL	NON-AG POOL			
Administrative Revenues:								
Administrative Assessments			7,606	609	306			\$6,508,070
Interest Revenue	111,000					1	8,522	175,010
Mutual Agency Project Revenue	111,000							148,410
Grant Income								0
Miscellaneous Income								0
Total Revenues		7,606	609	306		1	111,000	6,831,490
Administrative & Project Expenditures:								
Watermaster Administration	160,210						160,210	512,546
Watermaster Board-Advisory Committee	21,974						21,974	73,073
Pool Administration		19,540	62,914	44,884			127,339	474,856
Optimum Basin Mgmt Administration		633,767					633,767	1,350,390
OBMP Project Costs		1,044,556					1,044,556	3,772,619
Debt Service		637,198					637,198	700,964
Education Funds Use								375
Mutual Agency Project Costs								10,000
Total Administrative/OBMP Expenses	182,185	2,315,521	62,914	44,884			2,625,045	6,894,823
Net Administrative/OBMP Expenses	(71,185)	(2,315,521)						
Allocate Net Admin Expenses To Pools	71,185	48,950	19,805	2,430				
Allocate Net OBMP Expenses To Pools		1,154,101	466,939	57,284				
Allocate Debt Service to App Pool		637,198						
Agricultural Expense Transfer*		549,658	(549,658)					
Total Expenses	2,409,447		609	104,597			2,625,045	6,894,823
Net Administrative Income	(2,401,841)			(104,291)		1	(2,505,523)	(63,333)
Other Income/(Expense)								
Replenishment Water Assessments					1,792		1,792	0
Interest Revenue								0
Water Purchases								0
Balance Adjustment								0
Other Water Purchases								0
Groundwater Replenishment							(183,732)	0
Net Other Income							(181,939)	0
Net Transfers To/(From) Reserves	(2,687,462)	(2,401,841)	609	(104,291)		1	(2,687,462)	(63,333)
Working Capital, July 1, 2010		6,219,006	473,483	256,632		1,001	8,478,365	5,790,903
Working Capital, End Of Period		3,817,165	474,092	152,341		1,002	5,790,903	5,790,903
09/10 Assessable Production		78,733,238	31,854,766	3,907,911			114,495,915	
09/10 Production Percentages		68.765%	27.822%	3.413%			100.000%	

\*Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement.

N:\Administration\Meetings - Agencies & Minutes\2010\Staff Letters\2010\202 Combining Schedule BS\_Oct2010.xls\Jul2010-Oct10

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



## CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

---

KENNETH R. MANNING  
Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 - Financial Report B4

#### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of increases or decreases in the cash position, assets and liabilities of Watermaster for the Period of October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

**Fiscal Impact** – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

#### BACKGROUND

A Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total cash in banks (Bank of America and LAIF) and on hand at the Watermaster office (petty cash) at the end of the period stated. The Treasurer's Report details the change (increase or decrease) in the overall cash position of Watermaster, as well as the changes (increase or decrease) to the assets and liabilities section of the balance sheet. The report also provides a detailed listing of all deposits and/or withdrawals in the California State Treasurer's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), the most current effective yield as of the last quarter, and the ending balance in LAIF as of the reporting date.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs has been created from various financial reports and statements created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Treasurer's Report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

### **Actions:**

December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 9, 2010 Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously  
December 16, 2010 Advisory Committee –  
December 16, 2010 Watermaster Board –

**CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD  
OCTOBER 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010**

<b>DEPOSITORIES:</b>			
Cash on Hand - Petty Cash	\$	500	
Bank of America			
Governmental Checking-Demand Deposits	\$	222,692	
Zero Balance Account - Payroll	\$	-	
Local Agency Investment Fund - Sacramento			
<b>TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND</b>			10/31/2010
<b>TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND</b>			9/30/2010
<b>PERIOD INCREASE (DECREASE)</b>			
	\$	<u>6,228,094</u>	
		<u>6,706,999</u>	
	\$	<u>(478,905)</u>	

**CHANGE IN CASH POSITION DUE TO:**

Decrease/(Increase) in Assets: Accounts Receivable	\$	10,314
Assessments Receivable		
Prepaid Expenses, Deposits & Other Current Assets		(14,011)
(Decrease)/Increase in Liabilities		140,072
Accrued Payroll, Payroll Taxes & Other Current Liabilities		(57,945)
Transfer to/(from) Reserves		(557,336)
<b>PERIOD INCREASE (DECREASE)</b>	\$	<u>(478,905)</u>

**SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS:**

Balances as of 9/30/2010						
Deposits	\$	500	\$	161,911	\$	-
Transfers				552,689		-
Withdrawals/Checks				(67,347)	67,347	(550,000)
				(424,561)	(67,347)	-
Balances as of 10/31/2010	\$	500	\$	222,692	\$	-
<b>PERIOD INCREASE OR (DECREASE)</b>	\$	-	\$	60,781	\$	-
				(539,686)	\$	<u>(478,905)</u>

**CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD  
OCTOBER 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010**

**INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS**

Effective Date	Transaction	Depository	Activity	Redeemed	Days to Maturity	Interest Rate(*)	Maturity Yield
10/15/2010	Interest	L.A.I.F.	\$ 10,314				
10/28/2010	Withdrawal	L.A.I.F.	\$ (550,000)				
<b>TOTAL INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS</b>				<b>\$ (539,686)</b>			

\* The earnings rate for L.A.I.F. is a daily variable rate; 0.51% was the effective yield rate at the Quarter ended September 30, 2010.

**INVESTMENT STATUS  
October 31, 2010**

Financial Institution	Principal Amount	Number of Days	Interest Rate	Maturity Date
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$ 6,004,902			
<b>TOTAL INVESTMENTS</b>	<b>\$ 6,004,902</b>			

Funds on hand are sufficient to meet all foreseen and planned Administrative and project expenditures during the next six months.

All investment transactions have been executed in accordance with the criteria stated in Chino Basin Watermaster's Investment Policy.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph S. Joswiak  
Chief Financial Officer  
Chino Basin Watermaster



## CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

---

KENNETH R. MANNING  
Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** Budget vs. Actual Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 - Financial Report - B5

#### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of revenues and expenses of Watermaster for the Period of July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Budget vs. Actual Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

**Fiscal Impact** – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

#### BACKGROUND

A Budget vs. Actual Report for the period July 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total revenues and expenses for the current fiscal year. The expense section is categorized into four distinct sections. Those sections are: General and Administrative Expenses; Optimal Basin Management Program Expenses; Project Expenses; and Other Income/Expenses.

#### DISCUSSION

The Budget vs. Actual report has been created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Budget vs. Actual report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

Year-To-Date (YTD) for the four month period ending October 31, 2010 of the fiscal year, all categories were at or below the projected budget with the exception of category 6900 (Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan). Within the 6900 category is the regional board fine in the amount of \$62,675 which was posted to account 6909 (OBMP Other Expenses). If you recall, this item was not included as part of the fiscal year 2010/2011 budget. Also recorded within this category are the Watermaster's legal expenses. Currently, the legal expenses are above the Y-T-D budget as a direct result of the ongoing Desalter Negotiations of \$125,643, the Santa Ana River Water Rights Application of \$654, the Chino Airport Plume of \$47,529, Santa Ana River Critical Habitat of \$7,097, and the Paragraph 31 Motion activity of \$42,067. Several individual legal projects were below budget for the Y-T-D period. These were the Peace II (\$12,649), Ontario Airport Plume (\$3,858), Water Auction (\$12,149), Regional Water Quality Control Board of (\$1,409), Recharge Master Plan (\$9,608) and General Administrative Legal Costs (Pool, Advisory and Board meetings) of (\$8,802). The budgeted amount for legal expenses for the entire fiscal year of \$450,000 was allocated at \$37,500 per month. For the four month period, the cumulative Y-T-D budget was \$150,000 and actual legal expenses totaled \$324,515 which resulted in an over budget variance of \$174,515. To date, the legal contingency of \$145,000 has not been used.

		Jul - Oct 10	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget
<b>Expense</b>					
6907 · OBMP Legal Fees					
6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel					
	6907.30 · Peace II - CEQA	4,018	16,667	-12,649	24%
	6907.31 · Ontario Airport Plume	1,142	5,000	-3,858	23%
	6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume	52,529	5,000	47,529	1,051%
	6907.33 · Desalter Negotiations	125,643	0	125,643	100%
	6907.34 · Santa Ana River Water Rights	8,987	8,333	654	108%
	6907.35 · Paragraph 31 Motion	42,067	0	42,067	100%
	6907.36 · Santa Ana River Habitat	7,097	0	7,097	100%
	6907.37 · Water Auction	1,184	13,333	-12,149	9%
	6907.38 · Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	3,591	5,000	-1,409	72%
	6907.39 · Recharge Master Plan	3,725	13,333	-9,608	28%
	6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel - Other	74,532	83,334	-8,802	89%
	<b>Total 6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel</b>	<b>324,515</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>174,515</b>	<b>216%</b>
	<b>Total 6907 · OBMP Legal Fees</b>	<b>324,515</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>174,515</b>	<b>216%</b>

**Actions:**

- December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Approved unanimously
- December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously
- December 9, 2010 Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously
- December 16, 2010 Advisory Committee –
- December 16, 2010 Watermaster Board –



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Budget vs. Actual  
Current Month, Year-To-Date and Fiscal Year-End

09-18 AM  
10/27/10  
Accrual Basis

100% of the Total Budget

3/12th (25%) of the Total Budget

1/12th of the Total Budget

Income	For The Month of September 2010			Year-To-Date as of September 30, 2010			Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011					
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget
4010 - Local Agency Subsidies	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	111,000.00	148,410.00	-37,410.00	74.78%	148,410.00	148,410.00	0.00	100.0%
4110 - Admin Asmnts-Approp Pool	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	6,153,087.00	6,153,087.00	0.00	100.0%
4120 - Admin Asmnts-Non-Agrl Pool	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	355,003.00	355,003.00	0.00	100.0%
4700 - Non Operating Revenues	8,521.80	35,002.00	-26,480.20	24.35%	8,521.80	35,002.00	-26,480.20	24.35%	175,010.00	175,010.00	0.00	100.0%
4900 - Miscellaneous Income	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
<b>Total Income</b>	<b>8,521.80</b>	<b>35,002.00</b>	<b>-26,480.20</b>	<b>24.35%</b>	<b>119,521.80</b>	<b>183,412.00</b>	<b>-63,890.20</b>	<b>65.17%</b>	<b>6,831,490.00</b>	<b>6,831,490.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Gross Profit</b>	<b>8,521.80</b>	<b>35,002.00</b>	<b>-26,480.20</b>	<b>24.35%</b>	<b>119,521.80</b>	<b>183,412.00</b>	<b>-63,890.20</b>	<b>65.17%</b>	<b>6,831,490.00</b>	<b>6,831,490.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Expense</b>												
6010 - Salary Costs	42,869.85	38,266.00	4,683.85	112.23%	115,083.76	115,960.00	-776.24	99.33%	464,944.00	464,944.00	0.00	100.0%
6020 - Office Building Expense	8,721.41	8,599.67	121.74	101.42%	23,559.76	25,799.00	-2,239.24	91.32%	103,196.00	103,196.00	0.00	100.0%
6030 - Office Supplies & Equip.	1,485.83	3,125.00	-1,639.17	47.55%	4,417.72	9,375.00	-4,957.28	47.12%	37,500.00	37,500.00	0.00	100.0%
6040 - Postage & Printing Costs	7,824.55	8,166.66	-342.11	95.81%	18,838.78	20,366.66	-1,527.88	92.5%	78,300.00	78,300.00	0.00	100.0%
6050 - Information Services	10,811.98	8,766.66	2,045.22	123.33%	32,577.59	35,550.00	-2,972.41	91.64%	142,200.00	142,200.00	0.00	100.0%
6080 - Contract Services	6,842.50	5,000.00	1,842.50	136.85%	6,842.50	21,000.00	-14,157.50	32.59%	75,000.00	75,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6080 - Insurance	256.00	0.00	256.00	100.0%	15,863.00	17,575.00	-1,712.00	90.26%	17,575.00	17,575.00	0.00	100.0%
6110 - Dues and Subscriptions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	20,131.63	20,250.00	-118.37	99.42%	21,000.00	21,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6140 - WM Admin Expenses	47.05	250.00	-202.95	18.82%	47.05	750.00	-702.95	6.27%	3,000.00	3,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6150 - Field Supplies	-15.66	0.00	-15.66	100.0%	-15.66	200.00	-215.66	-7.83%	1,800.00	1,800.00	0.00	100.0%
6170 - Travel & Transportation	2,714.20	2,730.00	-15.80	99.42%	6,848.39	8,290.00	-1,440.61	82.62%	33,160.00	33,160.00	0.00	100.0%
6190 - Conferences & Seminars	4,717.12	4,000.00	717.12	117.93%	9,088.76	10,250.00	-1,161.24	88.38%	23,000.00	23,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6200 - Advisory Comm - WM Board	935.69	1,872.50	-936.81	49.97%	4,011.11	5,617.50	-1,606.39	71.4%	22,470.00	22,470.00	0.00	100.0%
6300 - Watermaster Board Expenses	4,288.65	4,216.92	71.74	101.7%	12,562.91	12,650.75	-87.84	99.31%	50,603.00	50,603.00	0.00	100.0%
8300 - Appr Pl-WM & Pool Admin	10,628.08	7,982.84	2,645.24	133.14%	17,760.19	18,192.50	-432.31	97.62%	90,043.00	90,043.00	0.00	100.0%
8400 - Agrl Pool-WM & Pool Admin	2,763.93	2,345.59	418.34	118.69%	6,693.27	7,096.75	-343.48	95.12%	28,147.00	28,147.00	0.00	100.0%
8457 - Ag Legal & Technical Services	13,710.50	9,833.33	3,877.17	139.43%	34,529.50	29,500.00	5,029.50	117.05%	118,000.00	118,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	3,075.00	1,000.00	2,075.00	307.5%	3,075.00	3,000.00	75.00	102.5%	12,000.00	12,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8471 - Ag Pool Expense	0.00	16,250.00	-16,250.00	0.0%	0.00	16,250.00	-16,250.00	0.0%	65,000.00	65,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8500 - Non-Ag Pl-WM & Pool Admin	15,456.92	13,472.17	1,984.65	114.73%	34,707.32	40,416.50	-5,709.18	85.87%	161,666.00	161,666.00	0.00	100.0%
6500 - Education Funds Use Expns	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	375.00	-375.00	0.0%	375.00	375.00	0.00	100.0%
9400 - Depreciation Expense	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
9500 - Allocated G&A Expenditures	-38,278.48	-40,677.42	2,398.94	94.1%	-115,707.58	-122,032.25	6,324.67	94.82%	-488,129.00	-488,129.00	0.00	100.0%
6900 - Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	116,599.98	109,690.98	6,908.99	106.3%	478,319.41	282,517.00	195,802.41	169.31%	1,197,734.00	1,197,734.00	0.00	100.0%
6950 - Mutual Agency Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	10,000.00	10,000.00	0.00	100.0%
9501 - G&A Expenses Allocated-OBMP	7,971.19	11,868.00	-3,896.81	67.05%	29,602.47	35,664.00	-6,061.53	83.0%	142,656.00	142,656.00	0.00	100.0%
7101 - Production Monitoring	4,649.18	5,879.31	-1,230.13	79.08%	26,355.72	28,054.75	-1,699.03	93.94%	104,219.00	104,219.00	0.00	100.0%
7102 - In-Line Meter Installation	1,203.47	5,556.59	-4,353.12	21.66%	3,399.71	16,668.75	-13,279.04	20.39%	66,679.00	66,679.00	0.00	100.0%
7103 - Gdwttr Quality Monitoring	21,666.22	16,916.34	4,749.88	128.08%	43,898.99	50,748.00	-6,809.01	86.39%	202,996.00	202,996.00	0.00	100.0%
7104 - Gdwttr Level Monitoring	11,913.53	25,863.08	-13,949.55	46.06%	39,872.39	84,070.50	-44,198.11	47.43%	336,282.00	336,282.00	0.00	100.0%
7105 - Sur Wtr Qual Monitoring	0.00	315.00	-315.00	0.0%	0.00	1,070.00	-1,070.00	0.0%	4,280.00	4,280.00	0.00	100.0%
7107 - Ground Level Monitoring	11,032.13	83,801.67	-72,769.54	13.17%	119,264.74	203,905.00	-84,640.26	58.49%	815,620.00	815,620.00	0.00	100.0%
7108 - Hydraulic Control Monitoring	17,141.25	41,141.57	-24,000.42	41.66%	57,480.37	123,425.00	-65,944.63	46.57%	493,700.00	493,700.00	0.00	100.0%
7109 - Recharge & Well Monitoring Prog	472.50	0.00	472.50	100.0%	5,570.00	8,440.00	-2,770.00	67.18%	8,440.00	8,440.00	0.00	100.0%

	1/12th of the Total Budget			3/12th (25%) of the Total Budget			100% of the Total Budget		
	Actual	Budget	% of Budget	Actual	Budget	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	% of Budget
7200 - PE2- Comp Recharge Pgm	7,144.28	24,335.16	29.36%	205,136.67	254,255.50	80.69%	1,017,022.00	1,017,022.00	100.0%
7300 - PE&S-Water Supply/Desalite	10,820.48	13,992.59	73.81%	51,748.96	53,907.75	96.0%	72,111.00	72,111.00	100.0%
7400 - PE4- Mgmt Plan	777.46	7,572.92	10.27%	2,367.26	22,988.75	10.3%	91,955.00	91,955.00	100.0%
7500 - PE&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	4,736.05	12,848.34	36.86%	20,430.10	58,545.00	53.0%	154,180.00	154,180.00	100.0%
7600 - PE&9-StorageMgmt/Contj Use	1,504.35	5,658.33	26.59%	10,032.07	17,062.50	58.8%	68,250.00	68,250.00	100.0%
7690 - Recharge Improvement Debt Pymt	0.00	0.00	0.0%	637,197.50	700,964.00	90.9%	700,964.00	700,964.00	100.0%
7700 - Inactive Well Protection Prgm	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	353.00	0.0%	1,412.00	1,412.00	100.0%
9502 - G&A Expenses Allocated-Projects	30,307.28	28,789.42	105.27%	86,105.11	86,388.25	99.7%	345,473.00	345,473.00	100.0%
<b>Total Expense</b>	<b>346,414.29</b>	<b>469,469.32</b>	<b>70.79%</b>	<b>2,087,708.47</b>	<b>2,305,282.16</b>	<b>89.99%</b>	<b>6,894,823.00</b>	<b>6,894,823.00</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Net Ordinary Income	-337,882.49	-454,457.32	74.35%	-1,948,186.57	-2,121,870.16	91.82%	-63,333.00	-63,333.00	100.0%
<b>Other Income</b>									
4225 - Interest Income	1,792.25	0.00	100.0%	1,792.25	0.00	100.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
4240 - Approp Pool-Replenishment	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
4220 - Non-Ag Pool-Replenishment	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
4600 - Groundwater Sales	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
<b>Total Other Income</b>	<b>1,792.25</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,792.25</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Other Expense</b>									
3910 - Groundwater Replenishment	183,000.00	0.00	100.0%	183,731.60	0.00	100.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
9910 - Other Water Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
9999 - Tol/(From) Reserves	-519,100.24	-454,457.32	114.22%	-2,130,126.02	-2,121,870.16	100.39%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
<b>Total Other Expense</b>	<b>-336,100.24</b>	<b>-454,457.32</b>	<b>73.96%</b>	<b>-1,948,394.42</b>	<b>-2,121,870.16</b>	<b>91.73%</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Net Other Income	337,882.49	454,457.32	74.35%	1,948,186.67	2,121,870.16	91.82%	0.00	0.00	0.0%
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>-63,333.00</b>	<b>-63,333.00</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## I. CONSENT CALENDAR

### C. APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE

1. Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company Has Submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to Percolate 300.000 acre-feet of Water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage



***CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER***

**NOTICE**  
**OF**  
**APPLICATION(S)**  
**RECEIVED FOR**  
**RECHARGE**

Date of Notice:

October 29, 2010

This notice is to advise interested persons that the attached application(s) will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice.

**NOTICE OF APPLICATION(S) RECEIVED**

Date of Application: **October 28, 2010**      Date of this notice: **October 29, 2010**

Please take notice that the following Application has been received by Watermaster:

- Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company has submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to percolate 300.000 acre-feet of water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage.

This *Application* will first be considered by each of the respective pool committees on the following dates:

Appropriative Pool:                      November 4, 2010

Non-Agricultural Pool:                      November 4, 2010

Agricultural Pool:                              November 4, 2010

This *Application* will be scheduled for consideration by the Advisory Committee *no earlier than thirty days from the date of this notice and a minimum of twenty-one calendar days* after the last pool committee reviews it.

After consideration by the Advisory Committee, the *Application* will be considered by the Board.

Unless the *Application* is amended, parties to the Judgment may file *Contests* to the *Application* with Watermaster *within seven calendar days* of when the last pool committee considers it. Any *Contest* must be in writing and state the basis of the *Contest*.

Watermaster address:

Chino Basin Watermaster  
9641 San Bernardino Road  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Tel: (909) 484-3888  
Fax: (909) 484-3890

# **CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**

## **NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE**

Notification Dated: October 29, 2010

A party to the Judgment has submitted a proposed Application for Recharge for Watermaster approval. Unless contrary evidence is presented to Watermaster that overcomes the rebuttable presumption provided in Section 5.3(b)(iii) of the Peace Agreement, Watermaster must find that there is "no material physical injury" and approve the Application. Watermaster staff is not aware of any evidence to suggest that this recharge would cause material physical injury and hereby provides this notice to advise interested persons that this Application will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice. The attached staff report will be included in the meeting package at the time the Application begins the Watermaster process (comes before Watermaster).

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



## CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: (909) 484.3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890 www.cbwm.org

---

**KENNETH R. MANNING**  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**DATE:** October 29, 2010  
**TO:** Watermaster Interested Parties  
**SUBJECT:** Summary and Analysis of Application for Recharge

### Summary –

There does not appear to be a potential material physical injury to a party or to the basin from the proposed transaction as presented.

### Issue –

- Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company has submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to percolate 300,000 acre-feet of water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage.

### Recommendation –

1. Continue monitoring as planned in the Optimum Basin Management Program.
2. Use all new or revised information when analyzing the hydrologic balance and report to Watermaster if a potential for material physical injury is discovered, and
3. Approve the application as presented.

### Fiscal Impact –

- None
- Reduces assessments under the 85/15 rule
- Reduce desalter replenishment costs

### Background

The Court approved the Peace Agreement, the Implementation Plan and the goals and objectives identified in the OBMP Phase I Report on July 13, 2000, and ordered Watermaster to proceed in a manner consistent with the Peace Agreement. Under the Peace Agreement, Watermaster approval is required for applications to store, recapture, recharge or transfer water, as well as for applications for credits or reimbursements and storage and recovery programs.

Where there is no material physical injury, Watermaster must approve the application. Where the request for Watermaster approval is submitted by a party to the Judgment, there is a rebuttable presumption that most of the transactions do not result in Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin (Storage and Recovery Programs do not have this presumption).



The following Application for Recharge is attached with the notice of application.

- Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company has submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to percolate 300.000 acre-feet of water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage.

Notice of the Application identified above was mailed on October 29, 2010 along with the materials submitted by the requestors.

#### **DISCUSSION**

There is no indication additional analysis regarding this transaction is necessary at this time. As part of the OBMP Implementation Plan, continued measurement of water quality, water levels and the installation of extensometers are planned. Based on no real change in the available data, we cannot conclude that the proposed application will cause material physical injury to a party or to the Basin.

APPLICATION  
FOR  
RECHARGE

APPLICANT

SAN ANTONIO WATER CO.  
Name of Party

10-28-10  
Date Requested

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date Approved

139 N. EUCLID AVE.  
Street Address

300 Acre-feet  
Amount Requested

\_\_\_\_\_  
Amount Approved

UPLAND CA 91786  
City State Zip Code

1,131 GPM  
Projected Rate of Recharge

11-1-10 / 12-31-10  
Projected Duration of Recharge

Telephone: 909.982.4107

Facsimile: 909.920.3047

SOURCE OF SUPPLY

Water from:

- State Water Project
- Colorado River
- Local Supplemental
- Recycled Water
- Other, explain

Source: SIX BASINS

METHOD OF RECHARGE

PERCOLATION

Basin Name UPLAND BASIN

Location ARROW RTE. & MONTE VISTA AVE.

INJECTION

Well Number \_\_\_\_\_

Location (attach map) \_\_\_\_\_

EXCHANGE

Facility Name \_\_\_\_\_

Share of Safe Yield \_\_\_\_\_

Carry Over Right \_\_\_\_\_

Water in Storage \_\_\_\_\_

Pumping Capacity (cfs) \_\_\_\_\_

WATER QUALITY AND WATER LEVELS

What is the existing water quality and what are the existing water levels in the areas that are likely to be affected?

DISCHARGE OF POTABLE WATER. AFFECT ON WATER LEVELS ARE NEGLIGIBLE.

**MATERIAL PHYSICAL INJURY**

Is the Applicant aware of any potential Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin that may be caused by the action covered by the application? Yes [ ] No [X]

If yes, what are the proposed mitigation measures, if any, that might reasonably be imposed to ensure that the action does not result in Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin?

---


---

---

---

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ATTACHED**

Yes [ ] No [X]

  
Applicant

**TO BE COMPLETED BY WATERMASTER:**

DATE OF APPROVAL FROM NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OF APPROVAL FROM AGRICULTURAL POOL: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OF APPROVAL FROM APPROPRIATIVE POOL: \_\_\_\_\_

HEARING DATE, IF ANY: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPROVAL: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OF BOARD APPROVAL: \_\_\_\_\_ Agreement # \_\_\_\_\_

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



# **CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**

## **II. BUSINESS ITEM**

### **A. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER REAPPOINTMENT OF THE NINE- MEMBER BOARD**





# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

---

**KENNETH R. MANNING**  
Chief Executive Officer

## STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** Reappointment of the Nine-Member Board

### SUMMARY

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends that the Advisory Committee recommend that the Board direct Watermaster counsel to file the Motion requesting reappointment of the nine-member Board for a further five-year term.

### Background

On February 19, 1998, the Court appointed a nine-member Board to serve as the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Watermaster"). On September 28, 2000, the Court re-appointed the nine-member Board for a five-year terms ending on September 28, 2005. By Order dated September 22, 2005, this appointment was extended to February 9, 2006. On February 9, 2006, the Court re-appointed the nine-member Board for a further five-year term ending on February 10, 2011.

Attached to this staff report is a proposed draft pleading prepared by Watermaster counsel requesting the Court to reappoint the nine-member Board for a further five-year term.

In its 2006 Order, the Court did not articulate any standards that would be used to determine whether the nine-member Board should or should not be reappointed. The 2006 Order did however discuss various issues of concern to the Court. The proposed Motion addresses these issues and represents to the Court that Watermaster counsel knows of no objection to a further reappointment.

**Actions:**

- December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Approved unanimously
- December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – No Action During the Pending Litigation
- December 9, 2010 Agricultural Pool – Approved unanimously
- December 16, 2010 Advisory Committee –
- December 16, 2010 Watermaster Board –

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP  
21 East Carrillo Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

1 SCOTT S. SLATER (State Bar No. 117317)  
MICHAEL T. FIFE (State Bar No. 203025)  
2 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP  
21 East Carrillo Street  
3 Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
Telephone No: (805) 963-7000  
4 Facsimile No: (805) 965-4333  
5 Attorneys For  
CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
6

 DRAFT

7  
8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

10 CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER  
11 DISTRICT  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 vs.  
14 CITY OF CHINO, ET AL.  
15 Defendant.

Case No. RCV 51010  
[Assigned for All Purposes to the  
Honorable STANFORD E. REICHERT]  
MOTION TO RE-APPOINT NINE-  
MEMBER WATERMASTER BOARD FOR  
A FURTHER 5-YEAR TERM  
Hearing Date: January 21, 2011  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Dept: C-1 (Chino)

16  
17  
18  
19 TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 21, 2010, 10:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the  
21 Court may hear the matter, the Chino Basin Watermaster will ask the Court to reappoint the nine-  
22 member Watermaster Board for a further five year term. This motion will be based on this Notice,  
23 the attached Points and Authorities and any evidence presented at the hearing.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



 DRAFT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I. Introduction**

On February 19, 1998, the Court appointed a nine-member Board to serve as the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Watermaster"). On September 28, 2000, the Court re-appointed the nine-member Board for a five-year terms ending on September 28, 2005. By Order dated September 22, 2005, this appointment was extended to February 9, 2006. On February 9, 2006, the Court re-appointed the nine-member Board for a further five-year term ending on February 10, 2011.

By this Motion, Watermaster requests the Court to re-appoint the nine-member Board for a further five-year terms ending on February 10, 2016. Watermaster knows of no opposition to this Motion.

**II. Standard of Review**

In its Order of September 28, 2000, the Court articulated several criteria by which it would evaluate whether to re-appoint the nine-member Board. In its January 11, 2006 Motion, Watermaster articulated the ways in which all of these criteria had been satisfied. In its February 9, 2006 Order, the Court did not establish any further criteria that would be used to judge whether to re-appoint the nine-member Board, but it did describe general "concerns" for Watermaster's continued progress.

The first "concern" related to progress on the desalter element of the Optimum Basin Management Program ("OBMP"). (February 9, 2006 Order, 3:20-22.) The Court expressed concern that there was no plan in place to fully implement this element of the OBMP and ordered a workshop to address this issue.

Since 2006, Watermaster and the parties have worked diligently to bring the final phase of the desalter project to fruition. In October 2010, the Board approved Watermaster Resolution 2010-04 which set in place the final elements of an agreement to allow this project to move forward to completion. Watermaster intends to submit this Resolution to the Court for approval once certain conditions have been satisfied and will be prepared at the January 21, 2011 hearing to provide a

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP  
21 West Carrillo Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

1 briefing to the Court on the elements of the Resolution and the manner of completion of this element  
2 of the OBMP.

3       The other concerns related to establishing a clear reconciliation between pumping and  
4 recharge to the Basin, and ensuring that projected recharge needs and availability of water were fully  
5 addressed. (February 9, 2006 Order 3:25-27.) These concerns were later articulated more fully  
6 during the Peace II approval process and became the basis for the Court's December 21, 2007 Order  
7 to prepare an updated Recharge Master Plan. Watermaster prepared such a Recharge Master Plan  
8 which addressed the Court's 2006 concerns and requested the Court approve the plan. This request  
9 was unopposed. On October 8, 2010, this Court approved the updated Recharge Master Plan.

10       **III. Request to Re-appoint Board for a Further Five-Year Term**

11       While the Court did not establish criteria for re-appointment in 2006, Watermaster has  
12 successfully all of the concerns raised by the Court in its 2006 Order. Watermaster knows of no  
13 objection to the re-appointment of the nine-member Board for a further five-year term. On this basis,  
14 Watermaster respectfully requests the Court to re-appoint the nine-member Board until February 10,  
15 2016.

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP  
21 East Churchill Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

18 Dated: December \_\_\_\_\_, 2010

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

19  
20  
21 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
22 SCOTT S. SLATER  
23 MICHAEL T. FIFE  
24 Attorneys for  
25 CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
26  
27  
28

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## III. REPORTS/UPDATES

### A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

4. Draft Restated Water Judgment





# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730  
Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

---

**KENNETH R. MANNING**  
Chief Executive Officer

## STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** December 16, 2010  
**TO:** Committee Members  
**SUBJECT:** Restated Watermaster Judgment

### SUMMARY

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends that the Advisory Committee recommend the Board to direct counsel to file the restated Judgment with the Court in advance of the January 21, 2011 hearing, either for approval or for the purpose of providing the Court with a status update as the circumstances warrant at the time of filing.

### Background

At the October 8, 2010 hearing, the Court directed Watermaster to prepare a restated Judgment that includes all Judgment amendments in one document.

Watermaster is in the process of preparing the restated Judgment according to the Court's request and has made the current version available to the parties for their review and comment as part of the December Pool Committee meetings.

Watermaster has completed incorporating the substantive amendments to the Judgment that have occurred since 1978. The changes are shown in blackline format along with any deleted text for ease of reference. Blackline, rather than redline, was chosen as the format for the changes so that they would be easy to view in black and white documents. Footnotes have been provided in order to explain context for each of the changes.

In July and August of this year, staff brought to the parties' attention the fact that the party lists for each of the pools are out of date and there are historical issues that need resolution. Staff continues to work on these issues, and thus the exhibits to the Judgment that pertain to pool committee membership remain uncompleted. In order to fully resolve these issues it will be necessary to implement a number of

interventions and dismissals from the Judgment. It may be appropriate therefore to delay filing the restated Judgment with the Court until these issues have been resolved. While the Court has set a January 21, 2011 hearing date for approval of the restated Judgment, it also noted at the time of setting this hearing that it has no set timeline for completion of this project.

Staff requests the Pools and Pool members review the draft restated Judgment and provide comments as to substance and format.

**NOTE:** Draft Restated Judgment on ftp site @ [www.cbwm.org/ftp](http://www.cbwm.org/ftp) in the *Meeting Packets and Agendas Folder*

**Actions:**

December 2, 2010 Appropriative Pool – Hold for January

December 2, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – Hold for January



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## III. REPORTS / UPDATES

### D. INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY

5. State and Federal Legislative Reports
6. Community Outreach/Public Relations Report
7. IEUA Monthly Water Newsletter



**CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**December 16, 2010**

**AGENDA**

**INTERAGENCY WATER MANAGER'S REPORT**

**Chino Basin Watermaster**

**9641 San Bernardino Road**

**Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730**

---

**Discussion Items:**

- MWD Update - oral
- DYY Update – oral
- CDA Expansion Update - oral

**Written Items:**

- Monthly Water Use Report (handout)
- State and Federal Legislative Reports
- Community Outreach/Public Relations Report
- IEUA Monthly Water Newsletter



THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION



*Inland Empire Utilities Agency*

A MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

---

Date: December 15, 2010

To: The Honorable Board of Directors

From: Thomas A. Love  
General Manager

Submitted by: Martha Davis  
Executive Manager of Policy Development

Subject: November Legislative Report from Innovative Federal Strategies, LLC

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

This is an informational item for the Board of Directors to receive and file.

**BACKGROUND**

Letitia White provides a monthly report on their federal activities on behalf of IEUA.

**PRIOR BOARD ACTION**

None.

**IMPACT ON BUDGET**

None.

TAL:MD

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

# *Innovative Federal Strategies* LLC

Comprehensive Government Relations

## MEMORANDUM

**To:** Tom Love and Martha Davis, IEUA

**From:** Letitia White and Heather Hennessey

**Date:** November 22, 2010

**Re:** November Monthly Legislative Update

November's historic election brought tremendous change to Washington. When the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress is sworn in next January, it will be a very different one from the current Congress, and Republican control of the House will alter the legislative landscape. Letitia and Alex very much enjoyed their visit to IEUA during November to present the post-election landscape in Washington to the Board.

This past week, Congress returned to work and resolved some of the leadership questions that Letitia briefed you on. But they made no progress on the many outstanding taxation and spending issues, which remain at the top of the agenda and could keep lawmakers in Washington until Christmas! Between now and the end of the year, they will decide on committee leadership and how to approach the legislative challenges ahead.

### *WRDA Hearings in the Senate*

Senator Boxer held a hearing this past week in the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee about the 2010 Water Resources Development Act (WRDA). Even though Congress is supposed to enact a new WRDA every two years, they rarely are able to keep that schedule. The last WRDA passed in 2007 and took five years to negotiate. Senator Boxer's hearing was oddly timed because Congress will not be able to consider a WRDA bill during the remaining few weeks of 111<sup>th</sup> Congress. But the testimony gathered will become part of the record for the WRDA that we expect will be compiled early next year. IFS will continue to work with IEUA staff on policy issues and projects that are important to you for inclusion in the bill.

### *Outlook for December*

Congress will return from Thanksgiving recess on Monday the 29<sup>th</sup> and have only four weeks to decide how to handle the remaining tax and government funding problems that they have faced for months. Unfortunately, they seem to have no plan for resolution in either case. The Bush-era tax cuts are scheduled to expire at the beginning of 2011, and the current stop-gap government funding bill runs out on December 3<sup>rd</sup>! Over the past several months House and Senate staffs have worked to negotiate a \$1.1 trillion omnibus appropriations bill for FY2011 and that work is almost complete. If Congressional leaders feel that the necessary votes may not exist to pass such a large bill in the post-election political environment all the staff work may be for naught. After a bruising election which was largely a referendum of excessive spending, finding the votes necessary may be very difficult.

## *Innovative Federal Strategies LLC*

### ***Latest on the Earmark Ban***

Last week House Republicans renewed their moratorium on earmarks which they plan to expand to cover the entire House once they assume control in the 112th Congress. The existing moratorium was voluntary and involved just House Republicans; the new moratorium will include earmarks for both parties in the House. But leaders have not decided how they will formally enforce the ban for the full House.

However, interesting to note, some Republicans, including ultra conservative, Tea Party darling Congresswoman Michelle Bachman (R-MN), are pushing for infrastructure projects to not fall under the definition of an earmark. (Infrastructure earmarks are seen as particularly vital to communities and lawmakers are loath to cede all decisions on transportation projects to the Administration.) Several authorizing bills - - the Farm bill, the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) and the Transportation Authorization bill (TEA) are almost completely comprised of project earmarks. Without earmarks, all three bills would probably not have enough support to pass the Congress.

Other Members are calling for a re- definition of what "an earmark" really is. Should projects that are authorized not be considered earmarks? Should projects for non-profits or municipalities not be considered earmarks? These are all things that the Majority Leaders must figure out in the weeks ahead. We will be following this debate very closely!



---

Date: December 15, 2010  
To: The Honorable Board of Directors  
From: Thomas A. Love  
General Manager  
Submitted by: Martha Davis  
Executive Manager of Policy Development  
Subject: November Legislative Report from Dolphin Group

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

This is an informational item for the Board of Directors to receive and file.

**BACKGROUND**

Michael Boccadoro provides a monthly report on the Dolphin Group's activities on behalf of the Chino Basin/Optimum Basin Management Program Coalition.

**PRIOR BOARD ACTION**

None.

**IMPACT ON BUDGET**

None.

TAL:MD

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

November 26, 2010

**To:** Inland Empire Utilities Agency  
Chino Basin Coalition

**From:** Michael Boccadoro  
President

**RE:** November Status Report



---

Please find attached the status report from The Dolphin Group for the month of November 2010.

The November mid-term elections came, and the results in California were vastly different than those felt in most of the nation. As the Republican Party saw large pickups in Congress and various other states, the make-up of the California government took a decidedly Democratic turn.

In terms of statewide offices, the GOP went from three statewide constitutional officers to likely none (one race is still pending, but leaning strongly Democratic). In the Legislature, Democrats actually picked up one seat.

Certainly, the reelection of Jerry Brown to the Governorship, more than 30 years after his first stint as Chief Executive, will have far-reaching implications for policy direction, legislative action and important appointments. Much as he was in his prior incarnation, most political observers are uncertain as to style of governance Governor-elect Brown will bring when he takes office in January.

And, as always, the budget shortfall is certain to dominate discussion in the next legislative session. Due to Proposition 25 and the Brown victory, the Democrats now have sole possession of the budget process, requiring no Republican votes to approve a state spending plan. However, with revised estimates showing next year's budget hole at around \$25 billion, they may get more than they wished for as passage of Proposition 26 further eliminates options for raising revenues.

On the regulatory front, some progress has been made in moving the California Public Utilities Commission toward addressing biogas and renewable feed-in tariff implementation. Additionally, Southern California Edison announced a proposed rate increase for water pumping customers in the new year.



# Inland Empire Utilities Agency & Chino Basin Coalition

## Status Report – November 2010

### ENERGY/REGULATORY

#### *Feed-In Tariff Discussions May Begin Soon At Commission*

In the wake of two key developments, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) may finally embark on serious discussions related to the price paid for renewable energy under a feed-in tariff.

After nearly a one-year delay, the CPUC finally appears poised to begin proceedings on implementing SB 32 (Negrete-McLeod D-Chino), a measure sponsored by IEUA. This measure requires the CPUC to consider additional environmental factors when formulating the price paid for renewable energy under these standard contracts. Currently, the CPUC relies primarily on the cost of natural gas to establish these prices, which has led to declining FiT prices this year.

However, the CPUC has recently approved a variety of utility-owned solar programs at prices nearly double that of the existing FiT. IEUA and DGI, in consultation with other parties on this issue, will argue that SB 32 requires similar prices for customer-owned generation of biogas, solar and small hydroelectric facilities.

On a parallel track, IEUA and DGI continue discussions aimed at establishing a biogas incubation program, specifically for dairy and wastewater biogas electrical generation. Similar to the California Solar Initiative (CSI) instituted a few years ago, this program would offer appropriate short-term incentives to target this market for development and widespread adoption of digester technologies.

DGI will continue to monitor these proceedings as the CPUC begins to consider them, and coordinate with IEUA and other interested parties to ensure that all renewable electricity projects are provided with an economically sustainable rate at minimal impact to ratepayers.

### *Edison Rates to Rise in 2011*

Southern California Edison (SCE) estimates that average retail electric prices will rise early next year by 4.6%. The increase for water pumping customers will be slightly less, however.

The following table represents the anticipated rate change expected to occur in late-February or early March 2011, with residential rates shown for comparison purposes:

<b>CLASS</b>	<b>June 2010 Rates (¢/kWh)</b>	<b>Estimated 2011 Rates (¢/kWh)</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Residential	15.9	16.9	6.6%
Large Pumping (>500 kW)	12.4	12.9	4.0%
Small Pumping (<500 kW)	11.5	11.9	2.9%
System Average	14.3	14.9	4.6%

Most of the rate change is associated with the cost of natural gas, which although remaining at generally low historical levels, are slightly higher than last year. Additionally, SCE overestimated natural gas costs in 2009, which led to a repayment in 2010 rates. That repayment will be eliminated in the 2011 rates beginning this spring.

SCE prices are highly responsive to natural gas prices, as more than 50% of the utility's generation is derived from natural gas production.

### *Edison Set to Propose New Rates*

Southern California Edison will also propose new rates next month, as it is currently preparing its General Rate Case (GRC) to be submitted to the CPUC in December 2010. Filed every three years, the GRC is how the Commission determines the total amount of revenues that SCE, as a regulated monopoly, is permitted to collect.

It will also determine the specific rates that will be charged for all customer classes, including water pumping, residential and commercial ratepayers. The litigation of this case is expected to take well over a year, with new rates not expected to take effect until early 2012.

DGI closely monitors GRC cases and the CPUC, and will continue to update IEUA and the coalition on energy rate changes.

## STATE BUDGET OUTLOOK DIRE

Just weeks after setting a record for the most belated budget in state history, the incoming Governor and Legislature were greeted with dire news about the health of the state's finances.

Less than half-way through the fiscal year, and just weeks after the state's leaders adopted a budget, the Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) anticipates a shortfall in the current 2010-11 budget of at least \$6 billion. LAO is a non-partisan branch of the state government which provides fiscal and policy advice to the Legislature.

The news gets worse for next year, as the deficit is projected to rise by an additional \$19 billion. This creates a shortfall of at least \$25 billion for the next Legislature and Governor-elect Jerry Brown to tackle in the coming months. This structural deficit is expected to remain at approximately the same level for the next few years as well.

There are four primary drivers to the ongoing structural deficit:

1. Increase in education funding to comply with Proposition 98
2. Repayment of Proposition 1A borrowing (local property taxes) from the 2009 state budget must begin in 2011-12
3. Expiration of the temporary sales tax increase approved as part of the 2009 state budget occurs in early 2011
4. Projections for federal assistance and matching funds will fall well-short of budgetary expectations

However, the next group of negotiators on the state budget may have an entirely different feel than in years past. As a result of the passage of Proposition 25, a two-thirds majority will no longer be required to approve a budget (provided it doesn't increase taxes or fees). This will permit Democrats to approve a budget without any votes from GOP members. Under previous rules, the 2/3 voting requirement gave the GOP effective veto-power on any budget deal.

However, in a move that will further complicate the state's fiscal picture, voters also approved Proposition 26, which requires a 2/3 supermajority vote to increase any state "fee." Many legal questions remain about how this new initiative will apply to budget issues, on both the state and local level.

It is unknown how the joint voter approval of Propositions 25 and 26 will affect budget issues in the coming year. Although Governor Schwarzenegger has indicated he will be calling for a special legislative session to address the \$6 billion gap in this year's budget, most pundits are pessimistic that anything will be accomplished during the lame duck session, essentially leaving the task of addressing the entire \$25 billion shortfall to Governor-elect Brown, and the incoming legislature.

## ELECTION RESULTS PROVIDE MAJOR GAINS FOR DEMOCRATIC PARTY IN CA

Despite nationwide trends to the contrary, the November 2, 2010 statewide General Election brought a democratic rip-tide to California.

Jerry Brown (D) will return to the Governor's Chair after a 29 year absence, where he may be joined by a complete slate of Democratic Constitutional officers. In the sole remaining undecided race (to replace Brown as Attorney General) the competition remains too-close-to-call as of November 22 with Kamala Harris (D) maintaining a slight lead over Steve Cooley (R), and the vote counting trending her way. The final vote must be confirmed by early December.

In terms of the makeup of the state legislature, there was only one significant change, again defying the national trend. Democrats were able to pick up one seat in the State Assembly, giving them a **52-28 majority** (Democrats also picked up the seat of Juan Arambula (I-Fresno, who caucused with Democrats). In the State Senate no seats changed hands, keeping the split at **25-15**.

While little changed in the Legislature, a lasting impact on state politics was made by some of the statewide propositions.

Voters approved Proposition 25, which removes the 2/3 requirement to approve a budget. The measure was approved by nearly 10 points. California now joins 47 other states in having a majority-only vote requirement to approve a budget, leaving Rhode Island and Arkansas with the only supermajority requirements.

Somewhat ironically, voters also approved Proposition 26, which *raises* the standard for approving increases in state-assessed fees to a supermajority 2/3 vote.

So while Democrats maintain total control of both houses, and have an easier path to approving a budget, they will also find it increasingly difficult to raise revenues.

## LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS SET TO RESUME

With dozens of new members set to join veteran lawmakers returning to the Capitol, the next legislative session will begin on December 6, 2010.

Although little legislative work will occur before March, newly proposed laws will begin to be introduced by early December. However, if history is a guide, the bulk of the new laws won't be introduced until late-February, the official deadline for introducing new bills.

Both the Assembly and the Senate limit the number of new bills each member can introduce, at least on paper. Traditionally, by early March approximately 1,500-1,800 news measures will have been proposed between the two houses.

Policy Committee hearings typically begin in late February and extend through May.

DGI will be tracking all proposed legislation during the winter recess and through the February introduction deadline.



Date: December 15, 2010  
To: The Honorable Board of Directors  
Through: Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee (12/8/10)  
From: Thomas A. Love  
General Manager  
Submitted by: Sondra Elrod  
Public Information Officer  
Subject: Public Outreach and Communications

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

This is an informational item for the Board of Directors to receive and file.

### **BACKGROUND**

#### **Calendar of Events**

##### **December 2010**

- December 23, Employee Holiday Breakfast, 7:00 a.m. IERCF and RP-4, 8: a.m. RP-1 Ops and LAB, 8:30 a.m. RP-1 Maintenance, 9:00 a.m. HQ-A & B in Event Room
- December 24, Offices closed
- December 27, Offices closed
- December 31, Offices closed

##### **January 2011**

- January 26, Project Learning Tree Workshop, 8:00 a.m. HQB Event Room

#### **Outreach/Educational Inland Valley Daily Bulletin Newspaper Campaign**

The monthly tips on ways to help conserve water continue to appear in our local newspapers each month.

#### **Water Conservation Outreach**

##### **Regional Conservation Outreach Campaign**

- Ongoing monthly ¼-page ads in the Inland Valley Daily Bulletin, Champion Newspaper, Fontana Herald News, La Opinion, and Inland Empire Magazine.

- Ongoing water wise gardening classes.

**Educational Updates**

- The Water Education Water Awareness Committee approved fifteen EduGrants with ten being in IEUA's service area.
- IEUA has received a total of seven Bussing Mini Grants for transportation to the Chino Creek Park to take part in the Water Discovery program. So far ten field trips have been hooked for the Water Discovery Program for this school year.

**PRIOR BOARD ACTION**

None.

**IMPACT ON BUDGET**

The above-mentioned activities are budgeted in the FY 2009/10 Administrative Service Fund, Public Information Services budget.

# IEUA MONTHLY WATER NEWSLETTER

DECEMBER 2010 (REPORTING NOVEMBER 2010 DATA)

## Highlights

- **DWR Releases Initial 2011 State Water Project Allocation** - On November 22nd, DWR announced an allocation of 25% of all requested deliveries. (Page 1)
- **BDCP Loses Key Supporters of the Plan** - The Westlands Water District and the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority have withdrawn its support of the BDCP. (Page 1)
- **MWD Makes Water Available for Groundwater Basin Replenishment** - MWD staff is recommending to amend the recently approved 2010/11 Water Management Program. (Page 1)


## Water Supply & Drought Update

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) announced an initial allocation of 25% of requested deliveries to its State Water Project (SWP) contractors for calendar year 2011. The initial allocation is a conservative delivery estimate and will be adjusted as hydrologic conditions develop during the winter. Nonetheless, 25% is a greatly improved figure from last year's initial projection of only 5%, the lowest since the SWP began delivering water in 1967. The 25% initial allocation represents over 1,000,000 AF compared to the maximum allowable 4,100,000 AF in water deliveries that SWP contractors requested. The estimated allocation during this time of year is a conservative figure. As the winter season progresses and the DWR has a clearer picture of water conditions, the allocation may be increased. If the average precipitation continues, the final allocation will likely be raised to 60% of the requested amounts.

On November 22nd, Westlands Water District (shortly followed by San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority) announced it is withdrawing its support for the continued development of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP). Westlands Water District and other public agencies that rely upon water supplies pumped through the Delta have invested nearly \$150 million and more than four years of effort to develop the BDCP program for fixing California's broken water system. BDCP was created to help resolve regulatory shortages through a balanced plan to meet California's co-equal objectives of repairing the Delta environment and restoring reliable, adequate water supplies for California. In response to Westlands' decision to withdraw support, MWD's General Manager, Jeff Kighlinger, stated "We share in the frustration that BDCP agencies have yet to embrace such a solution, but remain confident that additional technical work will help to identify a historic package of actions that the Delta Desperately needs."

## MWD Update

The outlook for water storage and supply has greatly improved in 2010, due to a combination of low demands and an increase in water supply, storage levels have exceeded expectations and have reached levels not seen in several years. On December 14th, MWD's Board of Directors will be asked to approve staff's recommendation to modify the terms of the 2010/11 Water Management Program (previously approved in August 2010). This program will essentially allow water agencies to purchase water without being subject to penalties that would have occurred under the current Water Supply Allocation Plan. Groundwater basins, such as the Chino Basin, will most likely look at this program as an opportunity to replenish their basins.





# IEUA Water Supply Programs

## Imported Water Deliveries

For calendar year 2010, IEUA and its member agencies have purchased approximately 48,401 AF. Compared with last year during this same time period, IEUA and its member agencies had purchases of 61,239 AF. This figure includes the 5,000 AF of replenishment water purchased in April, May and June, but does not include all expected DYY certifications for the third "call" year.

## Dry Year Yield (DYY) Program



MWD has made a third consecutive call on the DYY Program. This call is considered to be a partial call because there is only 17,200 AF left in the storage account. The remaining water in the storage account will be split amongst the DYY participants based on a pre-defined "pro-rata" methodology. To date, DYY participants have certified approximately 11,119 AF (of which 3,707 AF will receive additional ion-exchange treatment credit from MWD).

## Chino Desalter Authority (CDA)


For the month of November, the two desalters produced 2,062 AF of water (of which 1,208 AF was delivered to IEUA retail agencies). The contracted entitlement is 24,600 AFY (but actual production is 25,000 to 26,000 AFY) and is scheduled for expansion to produce approximately 40,000 AFY by 2014, with the implementation of CDA Phase III.

## Groundwater Recharge Program

In November, 1,911 AF of water was recharged into the Chino Basin. Of that 773 AF was recycled water and 1,139 AF was stormwater.




# Recycled Water News



**Recycled Water Usage:  
November 2010 Estimates**

Recharge: 440 AF  
 Direct Sales: 1,560 AF  
 Total Usage: 2,000 AF

## IEUA Connected Recycled Water Demand



## Conservation Program News

### MWD Water Use Efficiency IRP Activities

Workshop #7 was held on December 1 to review the additional edits made to the long-term conservation plan “draft”, based on comments received from the workgroup. The plan contents include an executive summary, goals, strategies and a five-year program implementation plan. On December 17, with time permitting, MWD staff will introduce the “draft” LTCP to the MA General Managers. Following review and comment from the General Managers, staff’s intent is to provide an informational item for their Board in February 2011 and to present the final Long-Term Conservation Plan to the Board for action in March 2011.



### MWD Program Advisory Committee (PAC)

The third PAC Meeting was held on December 1 to continue the development of the market transformation strategy for FY 2011/12. The PAC’s first task is to complete the Market Acceleration Program (MAP) for next fiscal year, and then proceed with refinements to the traditional programs. PAC meetings are scheduled every two weeks with the next meeting scheduled for December 15. MWD staff are tentatively planning on taking an informational item on staff and PAC recommendations to the Board in February 2011 and an action item in March 2011.

### MWD Conservation Programs—Rebates

Approximately 53% of MWD’s FY 2010/11 \$19.1 Conservation Programs budget has been committed to date. MWD staff modified the original budget allocation for the residential rebate program, increasing it from \$3.5 million to \$6 million to account for the increased activity in the program. The commercial program was thereby reduced by the same amount from \$6.5 million to \$4 million to reflect the lower than anticipated activity level. The regional commercial program has expended approximately 42% of the modified \$4.0 million annual budget, while the regional residential program has expended 52% of the newly reallocated annual budget of \$6.0 million.

### SBX 77 (Steinburg) - 20 X 2020 Implementation: DWR Urban Stakeholders Committee

A webinar was held on November 19 with the Urban Stakeholders Committee (USC) to discuss the Method 4 proposals. Four alternatives were initially formally proposed for consideration by stakeholders and DWR for the fourth target method. DWR staff provided a preliminary assessment of how well these proposals met the U4 Technical Subcommittee charge and evaluation criteria. Since then, new or revised alternatives have been proposed and evaluated. The DWR U4 preliminary assessment of the four most viable alternatives that are being considered are posted on DWR’s website for more detail.

Following final comments and review by USC members, DWR anticipates releasing the public draft in early January 2011 with a public webinar tutorial and workshop to be held within two weeks of issuing the draft.



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## IV. INFORMATION

1. Cash Disbursements for November 2010



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
November 1, 2010 - November 24, 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14628	ACWA	643	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	643		2011 Agency Dues-Jan/Jun 2011 Agency Dues-Jul/Dec	1433 - Prepaid Membership Dues 1433 - Prepaid Membership Dues	7,010.00 7,010.00 14,020.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14629	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	1984	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/31/2010	1984		Database Services - October 2010	6052.2 - Applied Computer Technol	3,171.00 3,171.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14630	ARROWHEAD MOUNTAIN SPRING WATER	0023230253	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	0023230253		Office Water Service - October 2010	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	17.48 17.48
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14631	BOWCOCK, ROBERT	10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	10/28 Board Meeting		10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00 125.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14632	CAMACHO, MICHAEL	10/01/2010 CDA Conference Call	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	10/01 CDA Call		10/14/2010 Ag Pool Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/14 Ag Pool Mtg		10/19/2010 CDA Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/19 CDA Call		10/20/2010 Admin. Meeting at Watermaster	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/20 Admin Mtg		10/21/2010 Advisory Committee Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/21 Advisory Comm		10/22/2010 CDA Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/22 CDA Call		10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/28 Board Meeting				875.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14633	DAILY BULLETIN	18069340	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	18069340		11/17/10-10/19/11	6112 - Subscriptions/Publications	221.00 221.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14634	DIRECTV	019447404	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	019447404		Service 10/19/10-11/18/10	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	83.99 83.99
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14635	HAUGHEY, TOM	10/28/10 Board Meeting	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	10/28 Board Meeting		10/28/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00 125.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14636	HOGAN LOVELLS	1930564	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/28/2010	1930564		1930564 - Non-Ag Legal Services	8567 - Non-Ag Legal Service	8,882.65 8,882.65
TOTAL						

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
November 1, 2010 - November 24, 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14637	JAMES JOHNSTON	212	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	855.00
Bill	10/31/2010	212		Website Services - October 2010	6052.3 - Website Consulting	855.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14638	KUHN, BOB		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/04 SPC		10/04/2010 Strategic Planning Conference	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/05 SPC		10/05/2010 Strategic Planning Conference	6311 - Board Member Compensation	250.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14639	PARK PLACE COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.	444	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	3,225.00
Bill	10/31/2010	444		IT Services - October 2010	6052.1 - Park Place Comp Solutin	3,225.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14640	PAYCHEX	2010102800	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	329.08
Bill	10/31/2010	2010102800		October 2010	6012 - Payroll Services	329.08
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14641	PURCHASE POWER	800090000168851	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	18.61
Bill	10/28/2010	800090000168851		Ag Pool Mtg tape fm 10/14/10 to Michael Fife	6042 - Postage - General	18.61
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14642	STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE	8016833467	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	347.48
Bill	10/28/2010	8016833467		copy paper, color paper for meeting packages	6031.1 - Copy Paper	347.48
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14643	VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY	6311	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/01 CDA Call		10/01/2010 CDA Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/06 CDA Call		10/06/2010 CDA Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/19 CDA Call		10/19/2010 CDA Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/28 Board Mtg		10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/29 CDA Call		10/29/2010 CDA Conference Call	6311 - Board Member Compensation	625.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14644	VERIZON	012561121521714508	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	150.60
Bill	10/28/2010	012561121521714508		012561121521714508	7405 - PE4-Other Expense	408.01
Bill	10/28/2010	012519116950792103		012519116950792103	6022 - Telephone	558.61
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14645	VISION SERVICE PLAN	00-101789-0001	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	28.83
Bill	10/28/2010	001017890001		Vision Ins. Premium - November 2010	60182.2 - Dental & Vision Ins	28.83
TOTAL						

P75

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
November 1, 2010 - November 24, 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14646	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	Truck washing service	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	100.00
Bill	10/28/2010			truck washing 4 trucks	6177 - Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	100.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14647	WHITEHEAD, MICHAEL	10/28/10 Board Meeting	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/28 Board Meeting		10/28/10 Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14648	WILLIS, KENNETH	10/28/10 Admin. Meeting at Watermaster	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/28 Admin Mtg		10/28/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/04 SPC		10/04/2010 Strategic Planning Conference	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/05 SPC		10/05/2010 Strategic Planning Conference	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						500.00
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14649	YOUNG, ROBERT	10/04/2010 Strategic Planning Conference	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/14 SPC		10/05/2010 Strategic Planning Conference	6311 - Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill	10/28/2010	10/05 SPC			6311 - Board Member Compensation	250.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14650	YUKON DISPOSAL SERVICE	08-K2 213849	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	142.88
Bill	10/28/2010	08-K2 213849		Disposal Service for Nov. 2010	6024 - Building Repair & Maintenance	142.88
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/03/2010	14651	CORELOGIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS	12938173	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	62.50
Bill	10/28/2010	12938173		12938173	7103.7 - Crdwr Qual-Computer Svc	62.50
Bill	10/28/2010	12938173		12938173	7101.4 - Prod Monitor-Computer	125.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/05/2010	14652	HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	7003730910002744	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	211.18
Bill	10/31/2010	7003730910002744		misc. office supplies-meeting supplies-cookies, crackers, granola bars-drinks, tylenol	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	211.18
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/05/2010	14653	REID & HELLYER	172325	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	15,370.00
Bill	10/31/2010	172325		172325 - Ag Pool Legal Services	8467 - Ag Legal & Technical Services	1,182.50
Bill	10/31/2010	172325		172325 - Ag Pool Legal Services	8467.1 - Frank B. & Associates	16,552.50
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/05/2010	14654	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL FC 156/10	reimb. of annual lease per Agreement with SCE	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	5,000.00
Bill	10/31/2010	FC 156/10			7205 - Comp Recharge-Other Expense	5,000.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/05/2010	14655	UNION 76	300-732-989	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
November 1, 2010 - November 24, 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill	10/31/2010	300732989		Fuel expense for October 2010	6175 - Vehicle Fuel	281.51
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>281.51</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/09/2010	14656	MWH LABORATORIES		1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/31/2010	L0041374		L0041374 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	1,778.00
Bill	10/31/2010	L0041963		L0041963 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	2,557.00
Bill	10/31/2010	L0041008		L0041008 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	2,059.00
Bill	10/31/2010	L0040759		L0040759 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	2,059.00
Bill	10/31/2010	L0040693		L0040693 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	1,446.00
Bill	10/31/2010	L0040993		L0040993 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	1,269.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>11,168.00</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/09/2010	14657	VILLEGAS, VICTORIA E.	10/08/10 Hearing Transcript	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/31/2010			10/08/10 Hearing Transcript	6009.4 - Printing	1,560.00
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>1,560.00</u>
General Journal	11/13/2010	11/13/10	Payroll and Taxes 10/31/10-11/13/10	Payroll and Taxes 10/31/10-11/13/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7,829.51
			Payroll Taxes 10/31/10-11/13/10	Payroll Taxes 10/31/10-11/13/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	25,286.91
			Direct Deposit/Checks 10/31/10-11/13/10	Direct Deposit/Checks 10/31/10-11/13/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	33,096.42
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>7,829.51</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14658	ACWA SERVICES CORPORATION	00198	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/09/2010	00198		Prepayment - December 2010	1409 - Prepaid Life, BAD&D & LTD	156.78
				November Insurance	60191 - Life & Disab.Ins Benefits	128.19
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>284.97</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14659	BANC OF AMERICA LEASING	011689768	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/15/2010	011689768		Minolia lease - billing period 11/01/10-11/30/10	6043.1 - Ricoh Lease Fee	3,215.74
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>3,215.74</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14660	CITISTREET	Payroll and Taxes for 10/17/10-10/30/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
General Journal	10/30/2010	11/10/08	CITISTREET	Employee 457 Deduction-10/17/10-10/30/10	2000 - Accounts Payable	1,720.80
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>1,720.80</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14661	COMPUTER NETWORK	79708	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/31/2010	79708		external hard drives for backup	6055 - Computer Hardware	1,033.13
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>1,033.13</u>
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14662	CORELOGIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS	12987219	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/31/2010	12987219		12987219	7103.7 - Grdwtr Qual-Computer Svc	62.50
				12987219	7101.4 - Prod Monitor-Computer	62.50
<b>TOTAL</b>						<u>125.00</u>



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
November 1, 2010 - November 24, 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14663	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	Lease Due Dec.1, 2010	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	5,844.00
Bill	11/15/2010			Lease Due December 1, 2010	1422 - Prepaid Rent	5,844.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14664	DEPT OF FISH AND GAME	Petition for Extension of Time Permit 20753	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	850.00
Bill	11/16/2010			Fee-Petition for Extension of time for Permit	6909 - OBMP Other Expenses	850.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14665	GUARANTEED JANITORIAL SERVICE, INC.	28234	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	865.00
Bill	11/09/2010	28234		Janitorial Service - November 2010	6024 - Building Repair & Maintenance	865.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14666	IAAP	93902097	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	128.00
Bill	11/09/2010	93902097		annual dues for J. Wilson IAAP membership	6111 - Membership Dues	128.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14667	MATHIS CONSULTING GROUP	153027	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	750.00
Bill	10/31/2010	153027		training meeting	6013 - Human Resources Services	750.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14668	OFFICE DEPOT	539072760001	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	445.60
Bill	10/31/2010	539072760001		copy paper	6031.1 - Copy Paper	445.60
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14669	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	Payor #3493	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6,607.46
General Journal	10/16/2010	11/10/03	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	CalPERS Payment-10/03/10-10/16/10	2000 - Accounts Payable	6,607.46
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14670	SAFEGUARD DENTAL & VISION	3399443	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7.91
Bill	11/09/2010	3399443		Dental Ins. For November 2010	60182.2 - Dental & Vision Ins	7.91
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14671	STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE	8016964072	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	119.58
Bill	11/15/2010	8016964072		misc.office supplies - rulers, scissors, mailing labels, markers, batteries	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	119.58
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14672	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BD	Petition for Extension of Time Permit 20753	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1,000.00
Bill	11/16/2010			Petition for Extension of Time Permit 20753	6909 - OBMP Other Expenses	1,000.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14673	STAULA, MARY L	Retiree Medical Premium-EE portion	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	136.61
Bill	11/30/2010				60182.4 - Retiree Medical	136.61
TOTAL						

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14674	THE STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY	160-513170-00006	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	313.12
Bill	11/09/2010	16051317000006		Dental Ins. - December 2010	60182.2 - Dental & Vision Ins	313.12
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14675	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE	2x81x0	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	78.92
Bill	10/30/2010	2x81x0		meeting tape, miscellaneous correspondence	6042 - Postage - General	78.92
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14676	VERIZON WIRELESS	0920855669	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	563.77
Bill	11/15/2010	0920855669		monthly service	6022 - Telephone	563.77
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14677	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	Truck washing service	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	100.00
Bill	11/15/2010			truck washing 4 trucks	6177 - Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	100.00
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14678	WESTERN DENTAL SERVICES, INC.	002483	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	28.06
Bill	11/09/2010	002483		Dental ins. - December 2010	60182.2 - Dental & Vision Ins	28.06
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/16/2010	14679	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	Payor #3493	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6,534.13
General Journal	10/30/2010	11/10/07	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	CalPERS payment for 10/17/10-10/30/10	2000 - Accounts Payable	6,534.13
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/17/2010	14680	BANK OF AMERICA	XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-9341	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	30.00
Bill	10/31/2010	XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-9341		FastTrak Replenishment	6174 - Transportation	242.40
				property tags for WM assets	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	1,229.76
				travel expenses for K. Manning to attend the October	6191 - Conferences - General	19.50
				Metrolink to meeting w/MMWD	6174 - Transportation	482.77
				Christmas Cards for office	6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	1,984.43
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/17/2010	14681	GRAINGER	9385951612	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	16.85
Bill	11/16/2010	9385951612		9388181522	7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	40.11
Bill	11/16/2010	9388181522			7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	56.96
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/17/2010	14682	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	90006605	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	400.98
Bill	10/31/2010	90006605		90006605	8456 - IEUA Readyness To Serve	400.98
TOTAL						
Bill Pmt -Check	11/17/2010	14683	ONTARIO, CITY OF*	Fee for Enroachment Permit	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
 Cash Disbursements For The Month of  
 November 1, 2010 - November 24, 2010

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill	11/16/2010			Fee for Encroachment Permit	7103.6 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	85.00
TOTAL						85.00
Bill Pmt -Check	11/17/2010	14684	PUMP CHECK	4026	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	225.00
Bill	10/31/2010	4026		4026	7103.4 - Grdwtr Qual-Contract Svc	225.00
TOTAL						225.00
General Journal	11/19/2010	11/19/10	Payroll and Taxes-11/19/10	Payroll and Taxes-11/19/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	3,031.95
				Payroll Taxes - 11/19/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	14,737.47
				Direct Deposit/Checks - 11/19/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	17,769.42
TOTAL					Total Disbursements:	154,144.81

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
 COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL  
 FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010

WATERMASTER ADMINISTRATION	OPTIMUM BASIN MANAGEMENT	POOL ADMINISTRATION & SPECIAL PROJECTS		GROUNDWATER OPERATIONS		EDUCATION FUNDS	GRAND TOTALS	BUDGET 2010-2011
		POOL APPROPRIATIVE	AG POOL	NON-AG POOL	GROUNDWATER REPLENISHMENT			
Administrative Revenues:								
Administrative Assessments								\$6,508,070
Interest Revenue		7,606	609	306		1	8,522	175,010
Mutual Agency Project Revenue								148,410
Grant Income								0
Miscellaneous Income	111,000						111,000	0
Total Revenues	111,000	7,606	609	306		1	119,522	6,831,490
Administrative & Project Expenditures:								
Watermaster Administration	160,210						160,210	512,546
Watermaster Board-Advisory Committee	21,974						21,974	73,073
Pool Administration		19,540	62,914	44,884			127,339	474,856
Optimum Basin Mgmt Administration	633,767						633,767	1,350,390
OBMP Project Costs	1,044,556						1,044,556	3,772,619
Debt Service	637,198						637,198	700,964
Education Funds Use								375
Mutual Agency Project Costs								10,000
Total Administrative/OBMP Expenses	182,185	19,540	62,914	44,884			2,625,045	6,894,823
Net Administrative/OBMP Expenses	(71,185)	48,950	19,805	2,430				
Allocate Net Admin Expenses To Pools	71,185	1,154,101	466,939	57,284				
Allocate Net OBMP Expenses To Pools	1,678,324	637,198						
Allocate Debt Service to App Pool	637,198							
Agricultural Expense Transfer*	549,658	(549,658)						
Total Expenses	2,409,447	609	104,597	(104,291)		1	2,625,045	6,894,823
Net Administrative Income	(2,401,841)						(2,505,523)	(63,333)
Other Income/(Expense)								
Replenishment Water Assessments					1,792		1,792	0
Interest Revenue								0
Water Purchases								0
Balance Adjustment								0
Other Water Purchases								0
Groundwater Replenishment			(183,732)				(183,732)	0
Net Other Income			(181,939)				(181,939)	0
Net Transfers To/(From) Reserves	(2,687,462)	(2,401,841)	609	(104,291)		1	(2,687,462)	(63,333)
Working Capital, July 1, 2010		6,219,006	473,483	256,632	1,369,991	1,001	8,478,365	
Working Capital, End Of Period		3,817,165	474,092	152,341	1,188,052	1,002	5,790,903	5,790,903
09/10 Assessable Production		78,733,238	31,854,766	3,907,911			114,495,915	
09/10 Production Percentages		68.765%	27.822%	3.413%			100.000%	

\*Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement.

NT:\Administration\Meetings - Agencies & Minutes\2010\Staff Letters\Combining Schedule B3\_Quiz2010.xls\July2010-0ario

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
 TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD  
 OCTOBER 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010

<b>DEPOSITORIES:</b>		
Cash on Hand - Petty Cash	\$	500
Bank of America		
Governmental Checking-Demand Deposits	\$	222,692
Zero Balance Account - Payroll	\$	-
Local Agency Investment Fund - Sacramento		
<b>TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND</b>		<b>10/31/2010</b>
<b>TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND</b>		<b>9/30/2010</b>
	\$	6,228,094
		6,706,999
	\$	<u>(478,905)</u>

**CHANGE IN CASH POSITION DUE TO:**

Decrease/(Increase) in Assets:		
Accounts Receivable	\$	10,314
Assessments Receivable		-
Prepaid Expenses, Deposits & Other Current Assets		(14,011)
Accounts Payable		140,072
Accrued Payroll, Payroll Taxes & Other Current Liabilities		(57,945)
Transfer to/(from) Reserves		(557,336)
	\$	<u>(478,905)</u>

**SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS:**

	Petty Cash	Gov'tl Checking Demand	Zero Balance Account Payroll	Local Agency Investment Funds	Totals
Balances as of 9/30/2010	\$ 500	\$ 161,911	\$ -	\$ 6,544,588	\$ 6,706,999
Deposits	-	552,689	-	10,314	563,003
Transfers	-	(67,347)	67,347	(550,000)	(550,000)
Withdrawals/Checks	-	(424,561)	(67,347)	-	(491,908)
Balances as of 10/31/2010	\$ 500	\$ 222,692	\$ -	\$ 6,004,902	\$ 6,228,094
<b>PERIOD INCREASE OR (DECREASE)</b>	\$ -	\$ 60,781	\$ -	\$ (539,686)	\$ (478,905)

**CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER  
TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD  
OCTOBER 1 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010**

**INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS**

Effective Date	Transaction	Depository	Activity	Redeemed	Days to Maturity	Interest Rate(*)	Maturity Yield
10/15/2010	Interest	L.A.I.F.	\$ 10,314				
10/28/2010	Withdrawal	L.A.I.F.	\$ (550,000)				
<b>TOTAL INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS</b>			<b>\$ (539,686)</b>				

\* The earnings rate for L.A.I.F. is a daily variable rate; 0.51% was the effective yield rate at the Quarter ended September 30, 2010.

**INVESTMENT STATUS  
October 31, 2010**

Financial Institution	Principal Amount	Number of Days	Interest Rate	Maturity Date
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$ 6,004,902			
<b>TOTAL INVESTMENTS</b>	<b>\$ 6,004,902</b>			

Funds on hand are sufficient to meet all foreseen and planned Administrative and project expenditures during the next six months.

All investment transactions have been executed in accordance with the criteria stated in Chino Basin Watermaster's Investment Policy.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph S. Joswiak  
Chief Financial Officer  
Chino Basin Watermaster



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

## IV. INFORMATION

### 2. Newspaper Articles



THE ORANGE COUNTY  
**REGISTER**

## Disney receives award for saving water

By [SARAH TULLY](#)

2010-11-02 07:22:43



The [Disneyland Resort](#) is set to receive an award for its programs to reduce storm-water runoff during a ceremony Tuesday (today).

The [California Stormwater Quality Association](#) plans to honor Disney during its sixth-annual awards luncheon in Rancho Mirage. Disney is among five recipients of the award and the only one from Orange County.

The resort created a program to cut down on the amount of water dumped into storm drains and the ocean.

Disney is recognized mostly for using a type of porous asphalt that allows rainwater to seep into the water table, instead of going into the ocean.

The concrete surfaces have spaces and holes, almost like a sponge, which allow water to seep into the ground, said Jill Bicknell, awards committee chair. That prevents the water from running off, picking up pollutants and carrying them into the ocean.

Crews used water-friendly practices for the construction of a new central bakery, a parking lot on Harbor Boulevard, four back office buildings, as well as the Grand Californian Hotel expansion, said Betsy Sanchez, a Disneyland Resort spokesman. [Read a story and see a video about Disney's environmentally friendly bakery.](#)

"As we grow and expand, one of the ways we continue to build on this legacy is by investing in new technologies, systems and processes that improve water quality," said Frank Dela Vara, director of environmental affairs at the Disneyland Resort, in a prepared statement. "We are honored to receive this award and look forward to continuing to develop innovative practices that help sustain our environment and support our core business values, because it is the right thing to do."

Last year, Disney received another environmental award for different water program and sustainable practices: Governor's Environmental and Economic Leadership Award. [See a story about the Disney environmental award.](#)

In that water-saving technique, Disney's parks siphoned water from two large waterways to recycling facilities, instead of dumping the water into storm drains. Most of Disney's water seeped into groundwater for future use.

Starting in 2008, Disney siphoned 16 millions gallons of water out of [Paradise Bay in Disney California Adventure](#) while crews constructed equipment for the "World of Color" show. [See a story about Disney's bay draining, including a graphic.](#)

In January, crews emptied 6 million gallons of water from the [Rivers of America in Disneyland](#) to renovate attractions around Tom Sawyer Island. [See a story about Disneyland's Rivers of America draining, including a graphic.](#)

See this post [in its original form](#), and read more on [Around Disney](#).

© Copyright 2010 Freedom Communications. All Rights Reserved.  
[Privacy Policy](#) | [User Agreement](#) | [Site Map](#)



THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

# THE ORANGE COUNTY REGISTER

## State panel approves H.B. desalination facility

By [JAIMEE LYNN FLETCHER](#)

2010-10-28 12:19:34



HUNTINGTON BEACH – [Poseidon Resources Inc.](#) is one step away from getting its final approval before starting construction on its \$350 million desalination facility.

The [State Lands Commission](#) on Friday gave Poseidon the green light for the facility at Pacific Coast Highway and Newland Street. The company will now have to take its project before the Coastal Commission for final approvals.

The desalination facility would turn out 50 million gallons of fresh water a day, which is expected to account for about 8 percent of the county's water supply and help combat the excessive drought plaguing the county.

Company officials say the project would create jobs, generate tax revenue for Huntington Beach and provide \$1.9 million in improvements near the desalination facility or along the pipeline route and another \$2 million for citywide improvements.

[Project opponents](#) say the desalination facility would [harm sea life](#) and use up too much energy. They also say desalinated water would be too expensive for tax payers.

Despite those concerns, city officials approved an environmental report that said there would not be significant impacts on the environment that would require halting the project.

[Poseidon first gained approvals from the city in 2006 but had to come back after the company tweaked its plans.](#) The desalination facility is planned to be built adjacent to the AES power plant, which has an intake pipe in the ocean it uses to suck in sea water and cool its equipment.

The State Water Resource Control Board plans to require AES to reduce its salt water intake by 2020, which means Poseidon will have to operate independently of the power plant. Poseidon would use AES discharge water until the state policy takes effect, company officials said.

The new proposal would allow Poseidon to either take discharged seawater from the Huntington Beach Generating Station or the facility would pump its own ocean water using the generating station's pipeline, according to city reports.

[City Council members in September approved the project with Councilwoman Jill Hardy casting the dissenting vote saying she thought the project was "full of holes" and she wanted to further explore the possibilities of desalination.](#)

The project is anticipated to go before the Coastal Commission in mid-2011.

Contact the writer: 714-796-7953 or [jfletcher@ocregister.com](mailto:jfletcher@ocregister.com)

© Copyright 2010 Freedom Communications. All Rights Reserved.  
[Privacy Policy](#) | [User Agreement](#) | [Site Map](#)

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

[Close](#)

## Groups Urge Inclusion of Water in Proposed Infrastructure Bank

By Patrick Crow, Washington Correspondent

The American Water Works Association (AWWA) has urged that water systems be eligible for funding through a federal infrastructure bank proposed by President Barack Obama.

Congress is not expected to pass such legislation during the planned Lame Duck session this year.

Although President Obama's message focused on transportation spending, AWWA Executive Director David LaFrance said, "There are more than one million miles of water mains buried beneath our roads, stretching 20 times the length of our interstate highways. Much of our water infrastructure was constructed between 80 and 100 years ago and is nearing the end of its functional lifespan.

"While transportation funding is important, our water systems, although out of sight, cannot be overlooked. These systems are critical for the public health protection, fire protection, economic prosperity and our overall quality of life."

AMWA said the bank would borrow money through the U.S. Treasury at low rates and provide low-cost loans for water projects. It said, "Because the bank would issue loans -- not grants -- it would have minimal impact on the federal budget and would require no new taxes. It's a fresh, sustainable approach to financing our nation's aging water infrastructure problem that strikes just the right balance between federal assistance and local responsibility."

The association later urged leaders of the Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee not to overlook the water sector in discussions of the proposed bank.

AWWA noted that the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund program is primarily targeted at providing assistance to small water systems, leaving many metropolitan utilities with little funding assistance.

Meanwhile, the National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA) urged President Obama to include clean water funding in a possible \$50 billion economic stimulus bill.

NACWA observed that EPA has estimated the nation needs nearly \$300 billion for wastewater and stormwater projects over the next 20 years to comply with the Clean Water Act. Also, there is a \$500 billion gap between current investment and projected needs in water infrastructure needs over the next 20 years.

### Lead Rules

Key senators have introduced a bill toughening rules against lead in drinking water.

Senators Barbara Boxer (D-Calif.), chairman of the Environment and Public Works Committee, Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.), Amy Klobuchar (D-Minn.), Benjamin Cardin (D-Md.), Bernard Sanders (I-Vt.), and Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.) filed the bill.

In the House of Representatives, Anna Eshoo (D-Calif.) previously introduced a similar bill that became part of the Assistance, Quality, and Affordability Act (H.R. 5320), which was passed July 29.

The senators said lead is a toxic contaminant that can harm the human nervous system and brain development. Federal law allows plumbing fixtures that carry drinking water to contain up to 8% lead. Under the Senate bill, the wetted surface of such plumbing cannot contain more than a weighted average of 0.25%.

Sen. Boxer said, "The bottom line is that there is no safe level of lead -- a toxic heavy metal -- in our drinking water."

Sen. Cardin noted that industry now is able to make plumbing products containing less lead. Sen. Sanders said Vermont has already enacted a strong standard against lead in drinking water.

### Fresh Water Study

The Obama Administration has received a study detailing the challenges in providing fresh water.

The White House Council on Environmental Quality accepted the document prepared by the Johnson Foundation at Wingspread, of Racine, WI. The Water Environment Federation (WEF) was among various groups supporting its conclusions.

Sponsors said the study, "Charting New Waters: A Call to Action to Address U.S. Freshwater Challenges," is believed to be the first comprehensive, cross-sector examination of its kind.

The report identified problems such as pollution and scarcity; competing urban, rural and ecosystem water needs; climate change; environmental and public health impacts; and a variety of economic implications. It offered actions to confront those threats and a plan to ensure that freshwater resources would be adequate.

It recommended coordinated regulations by federal, state and local jurisdictions. It also said the freshwater regulatory framework, which was developed in the 1970s to deal with the environmental issues of that era, should be modernized.

WEF President Paul Freedman said, "With aging infrastructure, growing populations and climate change, water will become the defining societal and environmental challenge of the twenty-first century. We need to rethink our twentieth century approaches to find sustainable solutions. The Freshwater Call to Action not only identifies key challenges but presents important recommendations that will move us towards these solutions."

In other Washington news:

- The House of Representatives has passed a bill to make it easier for communities to establish pharmaceutical take-back programs, which could reduce the amount of unused drugs flushed in toilets. The Senate has approved a similar bill.
- EPA has awarded Topeka, Kan., \$485,000 toward \$3.2 million in projects. A drinking water project would install 9,500 feet of 18-inch line and 925 feet of 24-inch line. A sanitary sewer project will include 3,375 feet of 18-inch pipe and 2,100 feet of 15-inch pipe.
- The agency cited the Montgomery, Ala., Water Works and Sanitary Sewer Board and the Hendersonville, Tenn., Utility District, for allowing unauthorized discharges of sewage in August.
- EPA has begun to award grants under the administration's Great Lakes Restoration Initiative, which targeted the most significant environmental problems in the region. The Great Lakes provide 30 million Americans with drinking water.
- Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev.) has decided the Senate will debate climate change and energy legislation this year. The bill would include provisions to help water and wastewater systems adapt to climate change.
- EPA is drafting a rule to reduce mercury waste from dental offices. Dental amalgams, or fillings containing mercury, account for 3.7 tons of mercury discharged each year. EPA expects to propose a rule next year and finalize it in 2012.
- The Department of Agriculture has granted \$445.3 million for 89 water and wastewater infrastructure projects in small communities under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.
- EPA has asked nine oil industry service companies to provide data on the hydraulic fracturing of natural gas wells. Congress has ordered the agency to determine if the practice has affected drinking water and public health. **WW**

[More WaterWorld Current Issue Articles](#)  
[More WaterWorld Archives Issue Articles](#)

---

To access this Article, go to:

<http://www.waterworld.com/WaterWorld/en-us/index/display/article-display.articles.waterworld.drinking-water.infrastructure.groups-urge-inclusion-of-water-in-proposed-infrastructure-bank.html>



## Made in IBM Labs: California State Water Control Board Partners with IBM to Improve Water Quality

By IBM

Thursday, November 4, 2010

**San Jose, California - 04 Nov 2010:** A new application that enables iPhone users to help monitor water quality and alert authorities to problems, is now available in Apple's App Store. The first state to partner with IBM (NYSE: [IBM](#)) to use this data to monitor the thousands of miles of creeks and streams in their jurisdiction is California's State Water Control Board.

Developed by IBM Research and available for free at Apple's App Store, Creek Watch is an easy-to-use application that allows community members to snap a photo of a creek or stream and answer three simple questions about the particular waterway. The data is uploaded in real-time to a central database, accessible by water authorities responsible for monitoring local water supplies.

According to the United Nations, contaminated water kills more people than all wars, crimes and terrorism combined; every 20 seconds, an infant dies from polluted water. While most agree that water is among our most precious resources, what many do not realize is that we walk over and drive past our drinking water everyday, making valuable observations about the water's condition as we do so. Creek Watch makes it easy to capture these observations, providing water resource managers with additional insight and data to better ensure a sustainable water supply.

Creek Watch uses a combination of the iPhone's built-in location sensor and user contributed data to provide information that is valuable for water management analysis – e.g., at what times of the year specific creeks begin to run dry or when the water levels are at capacity.

Contributing water data with IBM's Creek Watch app requires just four easy steps:

- Use the iPhone's built-in camera to snap a photo of a waterway
- Specify the Water Level: Dry, Some or Full
- Specify the Flow Rate: Still, Slow or Fast
- Specify the Trash Level: None, Some or A lot

"Creek Watch lets the average citizen contribute to the health of their water supply – without PhDs, chemistry kits and a lot of time," said Christine Robson, IBM Research. "Harnessing the crowdsourced data movement for a cause people care about is a win-win-win for citizens, local water boards and IBM's desire to solve big data challenges."

In many cases the organizations charged with monitoring local water conditions are over-extended and unable to physically monitor creeks and streams on their own due to the sheer volume of waterways.

"With about 800 miles of creeks in Santa Clara County alone, we need innovative technologies like this one to empower the community to help us continuously improve our water quality and the environment," said Carol Boland, Watershed Biologist for the City of San Jose. "An amazing characteristic of IBM's Creek Watch app is that it's accessible to anyone that has an iPhone and doesn't require a huge commitment to do something that will really benefit the creeks."

Capitalizing on the phenomenon of crowdsourcing for data collection, IBM researchers hope that this and other applications will launch a new sense of environmental awareness within the community.

See a demonstration of the app [here](#). For more information about how IBM is using technology to create a smarter planet, visit: [ibm.com/smarterplanet](http://ibm.com/smarterplanet).

Registered journalists and bloggers can view and download VNR and photos on the iPhone Creek app [here](#). All materials are available in standard definition broadcast and streaming quality. [registration is available online]

### SOURCE

Rate Article: Average 0 out of 5

[Register](#) or [log in](#) to comment on this article!

0 COMMENTS

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

## NORCO: More wells will speed Wyle cleanup

10:00 PM PDT on Wednesday, November 3, 2010

By BRIAN ROKOS  
The Press-Enterprise

Installation is expected to begin before the end of the year on six additional extraction wells that could accelerate the removal of the contaminants at the site of the former Wyle Laboratories in Norco.

Rafat Abbasi, project manager for the state Department of Toxic Substances, which is overseeing the cleanup, updated the Norco City Council on the effort's progress Wednesday night. In an earlier interview, he said there is no timetable for its completion but, "We are essentially in the latter stages of the game."

Wyle tested defense and aerospace products at the 429-acre Hillside Avenue site from 1957 to 2004. Residents began questioning the safety of the site in 2001. Tests of soil and groundwater found suspected and known cancer-causing chemicals, predominantly trichloroethylene, or TCE.

[Story continues below](#)



2003 / The Press-Enterprise  
Interim measures to clean up the former Wyle Labs site include wells that can extract contaminants, with the actual cleanup to begin next year. Six more wells are expected to be under construction by the end of the year.

The Department of Toxic Substances has overseen what are being described as interim measures before the actual cleanup begins next year. Wyle is paying for the cleanup.

Abbasi said it should take him two weeks to review the plans for the new wells, and installation could be completed eight to 10 weeks after the review is finished. It would be about six weeks before the department would learn the effectiveness of the wells, he said.

The underground contaminants have been moving downhill from where the wells will be located, Abbasi said. Placing the wells at the source should stop that migration.


Four wells will be placed on the land and two will be installed along Golden West Lane. Contaminated water will be extracted into carbon into an absorption system, and the carbon will be disposed of.

The installation will require digging up part of Golden West Lane, Abbasi said. Residents on the road should receive notice of the work next week, he said.

The new wells will bring the number on the site to 11.

[Story continues below](#)





The initial cleanup plan -- injecting sodium permanganate into the ground water -- was rejected because that oxidant can react with the steel injection wells and generate hexavalent chromium, a known carcinogen, Abbasi said.

The new cleanup plan, which must be approved, could include several strategies, Abbasi said. They could include vaporizing the TCE and then sucking the vapor into wells where it will be treated; planting trees to naturally clean the soil; and pumping out groundwater, treating it and recycling it through the wells.

Cleanup of 212 acres on the eastern half of the property, known as the Upper Basin, was completed in April 2009.

The site does not pose any danger, Abbasi said. He said neighborhood residents are not threatened because they do not drink the groundwater.

"You can have a picnic on the property, no problem," he said.

Abbasi said he expects to hold a meeting to update residents in early 2011.

The city early this year rejected the idea of buying the land to maintain it as open space. The group Friends of Norco Hills still hopes to buy the site, said Pat Overstreet, a member.

Reach Brian Rokos at 951-368-9660 or [brokos@PE.com](mailto:brokos@PE.com)

## REGION: Inland leaders explore remedies for uncertain water supplies

08:44 PM PST on Sunday, November 7, 2010

By DAVID DANIELSKI  
The Press-Enterprise



**Photo Gallery:** Sacramento Delta water tour

Twenty-eight years ago, Northern California voters killed a bond issue that would have paid for a canal to carry water from the big rivers in the north to fast-growing Southern California.

The Peripheral Canal, as it was called, is still being debated, and now officials are talking instead about building tunnels to carry the water more than 40 miles underground at a cost that could top \$14 billion. The tunnels would carry supplies under the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta to the pumps that feed the California Aqueduct.

The Delta may be hundreds of miles from Inland water taps, but its aging levees, declining fish populations and water pumping limitations have Southern California water purveyors again looking for a big fix.

[Story continues below](#)



Silvia Flores / The Press-Enterprise

The State Water Project gets water from the Oroville Dam north of Sacramento. Proponents of a tunnel system, an idea that surfaced last year, say it would offer many benefits, such as allowing water providers easier access to water stored at the dam.

The goal is to bypass the environmentally sensitive and troubled Delta and ensure reliable deliveries to Southern California customers and to farmers and residents in the Central Valley and San Jose area.

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California provides wholesale supplies to many agencies, including Eastern and Western municipal water districts in Riverside County. The wholesaler obtains about 50 percent of its water from the Delta, a system of sloughs and wetlands fed by the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers.

On a recent tour for Southern California leaders, Curt Schmutte, a Metropolitan engineer, described some of the problems -- aging levees, sinking earth and the potential for earthquakes and seawater intrusion -- that jeopardize water supplies flowing through the Delta.

Schmutte stood on a Delta levee along the San Joaquin River

and gestured toward cornfields growing on an island 30 feet below the river.

Fields in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta are sinking, Schmutte said.

"As these islands continue to go down, the impact of an earthquake is going to be greater. ... If we have an earthquake, these levees would liquefy," Schmutte told a group of Inland officials who were on last month's tour.

Sea water from the San Francisco Bay would flood the Delta. Supplies pumped from the Delta into State Water Project aqueducts would become too salty for human consumption. A temporary fix to shore up a patchwork of levees would take at least six months, he said.

"It's a ticking time bomb," said Tom Grady of the Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce as he rode the tour bus. "Eventually, we are going to have a crisis."

Metropolitan officials are promoting the idea of building a tunnel system that would ferry fresh water from the Sacramento River some 43 miles under the Delta to pumping stations that feed that State Water Project aqueducts and reservoirs.

The tunnel idea surfaced last year from an interagency planning endeavor set up by the state Department of Water Resources. It calls for an 8-mile intake tunnel that would lead to two parallel tunnels, each 33 feet wide and 35 miles long, running some 150 feet below the Delta.

Metropolitan officials say the \$10 billion to \$14 billion project would solve several problems.

Sophisticated screening systems would separate imperiled Delta smelt and other fish from the water that's bound for taps, eliminating the current practice of slowing down the pumping when protected fish arrive in spring to spawn.

It also would allow the water providers to more quickly and reliably convey water stored at the Oroville Dam to the State Water Project aqueducts.

The Delta now acts as a bottleneck that slows deliveries; pumping too much alters the water flows in ways that harm fish populations, explained Roger Patterson, Metropolitan's assistant general manager.

The tunnels also would eliminate what Schmutte described as a growing threat of levee failures from earthquakes and heavy water flows from storms and melting snow.

### CHALLENGES

Yet the tunnel idea faces political and financial challenges.

An earlier plan to route Sacramento River water around the Delta failed in 1982 when voters turned down a bond issue to fund the Peripheral Canal.

Opposition to the tunnel and canal are entrenched. Regardless of how the water is carried south, many Delta area residents don't trust Metropolitan and other agencies not to take too much.

When the touring Inland officials stopped for hamburgers and club sandwiches at the Black Bear Diner in the Delta town of **Oakley**, a bumper sticker saying "Stop the Canal" was displayed in the restaurant window. The same message was on yard signs displayed throughout the area.

Bill Wells, executive director of the California Delta Chambers & Visitor's Bureau, said the project -- tunnels or canal -- is solidly opposed by Delta area farmers and businesses because it would divert too much high-quality water out of the Delta, leaving behind water that is salty from tidal flows and unsuitable for agriculture and wildlife.

"Delta farmers have everything to lose," he said.

### RELATED

The Press-Enterprise's special project on the water situation

### ON THE WEB

Federal report on the sinking delta

State website on the delta

California

Department of Health Care Services

MWD website on the delta

The tunnel, he said, could take as much as three-fourths of the water that flows down the Sacramento River.

"They say they are only going to take X amount, but they could take it all," Wells said.

[Story continues below](#)



Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors field inspector Dickie Simmons checks out the Banks Pumping Plant at the start of the California Aqueduct during an inspection trip of The State Water Project.

He dismissed as "blasted propaganda" concerns that an earthquake could cause hundreds of miles of levees to fail at once.

"They are overstating something that has never happened," Wells said.

When a levee has failed in the past, it has been repaired, and life in the Delta returned to normal, he said

Jack Boatwright, a Bay Area-based geophysicist for the U.S. Geological Survey, said the levees are more than 100 years old. They could fail with a small amount of shaking, for example, from a major earthquake centered more than 50 miles away in the Bay Area, he said.

Precise predictions are impossible, Boatwright said, but researchers believe the Bay Area has a 63 percent chance of a magnitude 6.7 or greater earthquake in the next 20 years.

In response to claims that they will take too much water from the Sacramento River, Metropolitan officials countered that the district can take only the water it is entitled to in State Water Project allocation contracts.

They also say that the tunnel idea comes out of a planning process that puts just as much as emphasis on restoring Delta habitat to help declining populations of smelt and salmon. The U.S. [Fish and Wildlife Service](#), among other agencies, would require water allocations to protect the fish and other species.

### HIGH COSTS

Kathy Cole, Metropolitan's legislative representative, told the Inland group that Delta habitat restoration would be covered through an \$11.14 billion water bond expected to go to California voters in 2012; the bond also would fund several other water programs.

The tunnels' cost would be borne by those who use the water, including San Joaquin Valley farmers and residents of the Silicon Valley, San Luis Obispo area, Kern County and those who use water provided by the Metropolitan Water District.

A tunnel would do less harm to the environment than the surface canal envisioned decades ago, Cole said during a presentation at Metropolitan's Sacramento offices.

Metropolitan's cost share would be \$3 billion -- a fourth of the project's cost, said Bob Muir, a district spokesman. If financed over 30 years, the tunnels would cost the average residents roughly \$5 a month, he said.

Wells said a better alternative is for Southern California to conserve more water.

Demands for more water, he added, could be met by building desalination plants along the coast and pumping the water from the plant to the aqueducts.


**SINKING ISLANDS**

Schmutte, the water district engineer, said a Delta fix will be needed sooner or later.

Each year, farm fields on the Delta's 56 islands sink lower as carbon in the ancient peat soils combines with oxygen and escapes into atmosphere in the form of carbon dioxide gas. This sinking land puts more pressure on levees, some built 150 years ago.

A major earthquake is inevitable, Schmutte said. And to make things worse, sea levels are expected to rise as the Earth warms, putting even more pressure on the levees.

Story continues below



Click to enlarge

Beyond visits to the levees at risk, the contingent of Inland and Los Angeles County officials and business leaders also traveled to Oroville Dam and, in the Delta area, a water-channel control station, a fish diversion and capture facility, and the giant Harvey O. Banks pumping station that lifts water from the Delta about 1,000 feet uphill to the waiting aqueducts.

Participants said they found the information invaluable toward understanding the complex systems that takes water from the Sierra Nevada range to taps in Southern California.

"When you experience the thing up close and personal, it really makes a difference," said Riverside County Superior Court Judge Paul Zellerbach, the county's district attorney-elect. Still, he said he is not ready to endorse spending more than \$10 billion on water tunnels.

"I first need to hear from all sides," he said. "We heard from just one side."

Riverside City Councilman **Paul Davis**, whose city ward receives state water, said he, too, is not ready to support a multibillion-dollar tunnel system. He wants to learn more about alternatives, such as building desalination plants.

More debate will come next year when the state Department of Water Resources and federal **Bureau of Reclamation** are expected to jointly release a draft environmental impact study on the tunnel project. Once the document is released, water providers and other agencies are expected to take official positions on the tunnels, said Patterson, Metropolitan's assistant general manager.

Luis Juarez, a board member of the Three Valleys Metropolitan Water District serving the Pomona-C Claremont areas, said he worries about what will happen to Southern California's water supply if the Delta levees fail.

"It's like a dark cloud over our heads," he said.

*Reach David Danelski at 951-368-9471 or [ddanelski@PE.com](mailto:ddanelski@PE.com)*

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

SFGate.com

[Print This Article](#)[Back to advertisement | your ad here](#)

Article

SFGate.com

## Hinkley water tainted by chromium 6 spreading

Peter Fimrite, Chronicle Staff Writer

Monday, November 8, 2010



The creeping plume of chemically laced drinking water that plagued the Mojave Desert town of Hinkley and led to a major motion picture about the scandal has continued to spread despite a long-standing order for Pacific Gas and Electric Co. to clean up the mess.

Higher than normal levels of cancer-causing hexavalent chromium, or chromium 6, have been detected over the past year in groundwater more than a half-mile beyond the previous boundary of contamination in the San Bernardino County farming community, water quality regulators revealed last week.

The toxic spillage at a PG&E facility southeast of Hinkley was first exposed by Erin Brockovich, a paralegal at a Southern California firm whose court battle on behalf of sickened residents against the giant utility was recounted in a 2000 movie starring Julia Roberts.

Representatives of PG&E said the levels of chromium 6 in the new location were never above California's safe drinking water standard and have recently been reduced to natural background levels. Still, many farmers and ranchers in the area are angry that the tainted water remains a threat two decades after PG&E stopped discharging the chemical.

"The plume is migrating, and this is a violation of the cleanup order," said Carmela Gonzalez, one of many residents of Hinkley who is demanding action. "It is outrageous that this has been allowed to continue. People are fed up."

Hexavalent chromium was used by PG&E between 1952 and 1966 to fight corrosion in the cooling towers at a compressor station about 12 miles west of Barstow. The wastewater from the cooling towers was discharged into unlined ponds. Some of the contaminated runoff percolated into the groundwater, polluting a 2-mile-long, nearly mile-wide portion of the aquifer.



## Reports of illness

Dozens of townsfolk reported falling sick, and some allegedly died from exposure to the carcinogen. Breast cancer, Hodgkin's disease, lung, brain and gastrointestinal cancers, miscarriages, and kidney and ovarian tumors were blamed on the poisoned water.

The utility was ordered to clean up the water after a legal battle spearheaded by Brockovich. The film "Erin Brockovich" focused on a 1996 case that ended with a \$333 million settlement on behalf of more than 600 Hinkley residents.

Other lawsuits involving 1,100 people exposed to chromium 6 from PG&E facilities in Kings, Riverside and San Bernardino counties were also settled, the last one for \$20 million in 2008.

At that time, the utility appeared to have controlled the spread of the chromium 6 in Hinkley. Then a local farmer with water rights north of the PG&E facility began a pumping operation that experts believe sucked the plume northward.

The Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, which oversees the Hinkley area, issued a cleanup and abatement order in August 2008, instructing PG&E to stop the expansion of the underground chromium plume by Dec. 31 of that year.

The plume nevertheless continued spreading. It is now 2.6 miles long and 1.3 miles wide and extends 0.6 miles farther northeast than it did two years ago, said Lisa Dernbach, the senior engineering geologist for the water board.

Dernbach said increased levels of chromium have recently been detected in about 12 domestic drinking water wells. That's in addition to the dozens of wells previously affected. Not only that, Dernbach said, but chromium has also been found in what she called "the lower aquifer," an area of groundwater separated from the upper aquifer by a layer of clay.

## Domestic wells

"This is important," she said, "because the pollution originally affected only the upper aquifer, and a lot of the domestic wells were tapped into the lower aquifer because it was believed to be uncontaminated."

Reports of sick and dying residents continue, including the deaths of three people who Gonzalez said once lived in a house that PG&E purchased and demolished - two died from cancer - but there is no direct evidence of a link between the reported health problems and chromium 6.

Lahontan officials have not slapped PG&E with a notice of violation, a common enforcement tool.

"In this case the enforcement committee decided that a verbal enforcement action to Pacific Gas and Electric Co. was appropriate in part because PG&E was cooperative and working to develop a

plan to address the violation, which they have since implemented," said Chuck Curtis, the supervising engineer for the water quality control board.

Bob Doss, PG&E's principal engineer for gas transmission and distribution remediation, said the chromium plume has been expanding and contracting for years, but is, for the most part, corralled.

The trick now, he said, is to move forward with a PG&E action plan that was recently submitted to the Lahontan board. The proposal is to reduce chromium levels to naturally occurring background levels of less than 3.2 parts per billion.

A series of hydraulic and biological methods are being used, Doss said, including the injection of ethanol into the groundwater, a process that converts hexavalent chromium into trivalent chromium, which is not harmful.

PG&E is also growing feed crops like alfalfa using contaminated water, a process that essentially filters out the chromium 6, at a utility-owned dairy.

"The goal, quite simply put, is to continue to use our agricultural treatment in the one area and expand that into other areas," Doss said. "It's a natural beneficial use that makes a lot of sense. It dilutes the plume."

The highest concentration of chromium found in a resident's well over the past year has been about 14 parts per billion, according to Dernbach. The state drinking water standard for chromium is 50 parts per billion. The most toxic area, at the source of the plume, has a concentration of 9,030 parts per billion of total chromium, Dernbach said.

### **Many forms of chromium**

One issue is that the standards do not specifically single out chromium 6, which is one of many forms of chromium. The California Department of Public Health does not currently have a standard for chromium 6 in drinking water, but last year the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment proposed a ceiling of 0.06 parts per billion.

That standard, if it eventually gets approved, would mean that virtually all of the well water in the Hinkley Valley is unsafe to drink.

"Here you have a community that is suffering because their wells have been contaminated, and PG&E hasn't even received a violation," Gonzalez said. "California needs to know that this plume is growing. Erin Brockovich didn't fix it, and Hinkley residents aren't getting justice."

Brockovich, whose law firm said she was out of the country, did not respond to an e-mail about the expanding chromium plume.

E-mail Peter Fimrite at [pfimrite@sfnchronicle.com](mailto:pfimrite@sfnchronicle.com).

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

-  News
-  Jobs
-  Homes
-  Web
-  Obits

news

## Sludge suit sent to pasture -- for now

By JAMES BURGER and GRETCHEN WENNER, Californian staff writers [jburger@bakersfield.com](mailto:jburger@bakersfield.com), [gwenner@bakersfield.com](mailto:gwenner@bakersfield.com) | Tuesday, Nov 09 2010 02:48 PM

Last Updated Tuesday, Nov 09 2010 07:37 PM

Kern County on Tuesday won a 4-year-old federal court battle with the city of Los Angeles over the dumping of southland sewage sludge on local fields.

But Los Angeles is already gearing up to start a new battle over Kern County's Measure E sludge ban.

U.S. District Court Judge Gary Feess dismissed what was left of a 2006 federal case on Tuesday, leaving the city of Los Angeles and other plaintiffs with the option of filing a new lawsuit in state court.

"The city of Los Angeles is evaluating its options in light of today's ruling," said John Franklin, spokesman for the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office. "The city looks forward to working with Kern County in a constructive manner to assess the next steps in the legal proceedings, taking into account the interests of all affected parties."

Kern County Counsel Theresa Goldner said Los Angeles attorneys have already informed county attorneys they will sue in state court.

Still, local officials enjoyed their victory Tuesday.

"This ruling means Los Angeles and other sanitation districts cannot challenge Kern County's ordinance under federal law," Goldner said in a statement.

She said Kern County has the legal right to begin enforcing Measure E immediately. But it is likely Kern will wait before stopping the procession of trucks that drives into Kern County each day.

Measure E gave Los Angeles and Orange County a six-month grace period in which to end the land application of sludge. When Feess stopped enforcement of Measure E in November 2006, Goldner said, there were still roughly two months left in that grace period.

Kern County staff will likely let those two months expire before asking the Board of Supervisors whether to enforce Measure E, Goldner said.

When asked if Los Angeles had another place to dispose of its sludge if Kern County starts enforcing the ban, Franklin said: "I don't think we have another place to take biosolids at this time."

Southern California's sludge lawsuit was filed in response to Measure E, Kern County's voter-approved ban on the land application of treated human and industrial sewage sludge. Voters here overwhelmingly approved the measure in June 2006, with more than 83 percent voting "yes."

Feess had originally ruled in favor of Los Angeles on some matters, but the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals overturned those rulings last year and sent the case back to Feess. This summer, the U.S. Supreme Court refused to hear the case.

On Tuesday, over the protests of Los Angeles attorneys, Feess said Los Angeles' two remaining legal arguments -- that Kern County overstepped its police powers and violated the intentions of the California Integrated Waste Management Act -- do not belong in federal court. His order dismissed the federal case against Measure E.

Kern had already been in sludge-related legal battles for years after establishing rules in the 1990s saying only the most highly treated sewage sludge could be spread on county land.

After Measure E passed, the city of Los Angeles, along with sanitation districts in Los Angeles and Orange counties and other industry interests, filed a federal suit against Kern in August 2006.

State Sen. Dean Florez, the Shafter Democrat who spearheaded Measure E, said Tuesday's dismissal "makes it clear leaders in Los Angeles need to do the right thing and stop dumping sludge in Kern County.

"It's a vindication that the people of Kern County had it right when they overwhelmingly voted in favor of Measure E," Florez said in an e-mail.

Kern County has long been the final destination for sewage sludge -- also called by the industry-coined term "biosolids" -- trucked in from Los Angeles, Orange County and other locales. Land application of sludge became popular after ocean dumping was outlawed and landfill disposal proved more expensive.

Proponents equate land application with beneficial recycling of organic matter and say sludge makes excellent fertilizer.

Critics say pathogens, heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, household chemicals and varying contents of industrial waste streams aren't completely eradicated by treatment, making sludge a threat to soil, groundwater and potentially to human health.

Some soil scientists have also expressed concern over the sheer quantity spread over and over again on the same spots at sludge farms like the ones in Kern County.

The city of Los Angeles owns and operates its Green Acres farm south of Bakersfield, where most of that city's sewage sludge continues to be trucked on a daily basis. Farmer Shaen Magan owns a private operation

in northern Kern that takes sludge from Orange and Los Angeles counties as well as Valencia, Goleta and Ventura, county records show.

Kern's ban only applies to property in unincorporated areas of the county. Entities can and do land apply treated sludge in cities within Kern.

The city of Bakersfield applies its treated sludge to city-owned land. The county's Kern Sanitation Authority treats its sewage sludge to the "exceptional quality" standard and sends it to a composting facility.

There are other independently operated sanitation districts in the county.

### 9 Comments

Please [sign in or register](#) to comment.

Showing 9 comments

Sort by: **Oldest first** [Subscribe by email](#) [Subscribe by RSS](#)



**gcrab605** 18 hours ago

We have long been a dumping ground for all other types of waste, human and otherwise, so may I suggest that Los Angeles find another place? Say the Los Angeles mayor's office, the governor's door step or I wonder if the Delta Smelt would find it appetizing.

6 people liked this.



**DavidPriceIII** 17 hours ago

This well deserved decision marks a tremendous victory for the citizens of Kern County as well as county staff and its litigation team. It is ironic that it comes on the day that Assistant County Counsel Steve Schuett was to be honored by the Board of Supervisors for his many positive contributions to Kern County, the success of this litigation being one of them. For those of us that have been involved with this issue since its very beginning in Kern County, this is a very welcome achievement.

7 people liked this.



**Santiago** 17 hours ago

About effing time. That place smells worse than the Borba Dairies.



**chickenhead** 15 hours ago

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

SFGate.com

Print This Article

Back to advertisement | your ad here

Article

SFGate.com

## PG&E ordered to probe Hinkley's new water woes

Peter Fimrite, Chronicle Staff Writer

Wednesday, November 10, 2010

Pacific Gas and Electric Co. was ordered by regulators this week to find out why toxic chemicals from a cooling tower spill have leached even deeper into the water supply of the Mojave Desert town of Hinkley.

**Celebrate a Season of Victory**

**Get the 2010 Championship Package**

**Package Includes:**

- Four souvenir editions, including the complete Championship newspaper and three EXTRA editions.
- T-shirt featuring The Chronicle's Championship newspaper front page.

**Starting At \$12.85**

**Order Today! Click Here.**

San Francisco Chronicle | SFGate.com

The order, by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, requires the San Francisco utility to dig monitoring wells and determine how cancer-causing hexavalent chromium got into the lower aquifer, where many residents get their drinking water.

"It's a serious issue," Lauri Kemper, the assistant executive officer for the Lahontan board, said Tuesday. "It is a formal investigative order, but it is not necessarily considered punitive. If PG&E were to fail to meet the deadline then they would be subject to penalties."

The four-page order was issued the same day The Chronicle revealed that the plume of contaminated water underneath this San Bernardino County farming community was still expanding two years after the utility had promised to contain it.

The creeping plume of hexavalent chromium, also known as chromium 6, was first exposed by Erin Brockovich, a paralegal at a Southern California law firm whose court battle on behalf of sickened residents against PG&E was recounted in a 2000 movie starring Julia Roberts.

Most people thought the issue had been resolved after a series of multimillion-dollar settlements, but higher than normal levels of the compound were detected over the past year in groundwater more than a half-mile beyond the previous boundary. In August, levels well above background levels were found in the lower aquifer, which until then had been considered clean.

"I am furious. I am fit to be tied," said Roberta Walker, the original plaintiff in the 1992 lawsuit that was settled in 1996 on behalf of 600 Hinkley residents for \$333 million. The film "Erin Brockovich" focused on that case.

"PG&E said they started to clean it up, but they stopped and it has continued to move," said Walker, who had to move her family out of their house in the middle of the plume. The family moved 7 miles away. "The plume is now about a mile and a half away from us, heading our way again."



Hexavalent chromium was used by PG&E between 1952 and 1966 to fight corrosion in the cooling towers at a compressor station about 12 miles west of Barstow. The wastewater from the cooling towers was discharged into unlined ponds and the contaminated runoff percolated into the groundwater.

Dozens of townsfolk reported falling sick, and some allegedly died from exposure to the carcinogen. Breast cancer, Hodgkin's disease, lung, brain and gastrointestinal cancers, miscarriages, and kidney and ovarian tumors were blamed on the poisoned water.

PG&E allegedly tried to cover up the mess and its effects, leading to the court battle.

The plume has grown to 2.6 miles long and 1.3 miles wide and extends 0.6 of a mile farther northeast than it did two years ago. Regulators said increased levels of chromium have recently been detected in a dozen more domestic drinking water wells and in the lower aquifer, an area of groundwater separated from the upper aquifer by a layer of clay.

Representatives of PG&E said the levels of chromium 6 in the new locations were never above California's safe drinking water standard.

The goal, however, is to reduce chromium levels to naturally occurring background levels. "These concentrations exceed background concentrations and show an increasing trend over time," wrote Kemper, in support of the control board's investigative order.

Jeff Smith, a spokesman for PG&E, said the utility staff intends to comply with the order.

Meanwhile, anger among the locals continues to grow amid reports of sick residents.

E-mail Peter Fimrite at [pfimrite@sfchronicle.com](mailto:pfimrite@sfchronicle.com).

<http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2010/11/10/BA4A1G9H7V.DTL>

This article appeared on page **C - 1** of the San Francisco Chronicle

© 2010 Hearst Communications Inc. | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Feedback](#) | [RSS Feeds](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Site Index](#) | [Contact](#)

<http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2010/11/09/18663722.php>

[California](#) | [Central Valley](#) | [East Bay](#) | [Environment & Forest Defense](#) | [Government & Elections](#)

## Northern California cries "Foul!" on BDCP

by Dan Bacher

Tuesday Nov 9th, 2010 5:38 PM

The November 8 edition of Restore the Delta's "Delta Flows" newsletter discusses how last week 43 cities, counties, water districts, and associations from throughout Northern California sent a letter to Karen Scarborough, Undersecretary of the Natural Resources Agency, expressing concerns about the lack of Due Process in consideration of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP) document scheduled for release November 18. The BDCP was concocted by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger and his cronies to fast-track the construction of a peripheral canal to export more Delta water to corporate agribusiness and southern California.

Here is the complete newsletter from Barbara Barrigan-Parrilla, campaign director of Restore the Delta, with lots of great information about the latest events in the battle to save the imperiled Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta.

November 8, 2010

"I can be pushed just so far."

-Harry Leon Wilson

Northern California cries "Foul!"

Last week 43 cities, counties, water districts, and associations from all parts of Northern California sent a letter to Karen Scarborough, Undersecretary of the Natural Resources Agency, expressing concerns about the lack of Due Process in consideration of the BDCP plan document scheduled for release November 18.

They expressed "foundational concerns" about water rights and Delta flows, and about funding. They noted that "The November 18th document should describe in detail the benefit that the PREs [potentially regulated entities] will receive, as well as any benefits that the public is expected to receive and pay for."

Attached to this letter was another to sent to Scarborough on September 3 by Stuart L. Somach, General Counsel of Glenn Colusa Irrigation District (GCID) expressing concerns about the "ranges of operations" being considered by the BDCP.

Said Somach, "GCID supports efforts to achieve the coequal goals set forth in SB 7x 1, but remains concerned that the obligations for meeting these goals will fall upon parties not responsible for the Delta's existing conditions and who will not benefit from the activities contemplated by SB 7x 1."

According to Somach, long-standing principles of California water law would be violated if upstream senior diverters were expected to forego diversions in order for BDCP to meet its goals. Area of

origin statutes protect Northern California water supplies from injury by export projects.

Secretary of the Interior Kenneth Salazar got a copy of this letter. He needs to study it carefully before getting together with the PREs this week to talk about federal support for the BDCP.

We'll take just water, please. Hold the selenium.

Petitions have been filed asking the State Water Resources Control board to reconsider its October resolution approving a Basin Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin river basins that did not adequately address selenium control in the San Joaquin river basin.

Petitions have been filed by the California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, the California Water Impact Network, AquAlliance, and a group of Environmental Advocates consisting of the Southern California Watershed Alliance, the North Coast Rivers Alliance, the Planning and Conservation League, Friends of the Trinity River, the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, Sierra Club California, and Friends of the River.

Says the petition by Environmental Advocates, "Resolution 2010-0046 refuses to effectively address partially regulated and the unregulated discharges of pollutants from adjacent and north Westside upslope areas into the Grasslands Watershed."

Grasslands drainers have a joint powers agreement with the San Luis Delta Mendota Water Authority allowing groundwater to be pumped to the surface and discharged in the San Joaquin river via the federal San Luis Drain and Mud Slough.

The discharged water contains a number of chemicals, including selenium, that have been identified by EPA as pollutants. The petitions assert that selenium occurs at levels toxic to fish, wildlife, and humans who rely on the San Joaquin River for a domestic water supply.

Byron Buck, Executive Director of the State and Federal Contractors Water Agency, thinks we're overly emotional when we describe selenium-loaded irrigation drainage water as "toxic."

So let's just say that anyone ingesting selenium - an essential micronutrient - should be doing it only by choice. Because too much selenium can make fish, birds, and people very, very, very sick.

Another detour around the BDCP process

The Sacramento Bee's Matt Weiser announced that State officials have reached an agreement that will provide an estimated \$188 million over 10 years to restore habitat for imperiled fish in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

DWR and DFG will undertake certain restoration activities to satisfy state and federal environmental laws. State water contractors will pay for those projects.

Weiser writes that, "In the agreement, finalized last week, DWR also commits to restoring a total of 8,000 acres of habitat for fish including salmon, sturgeon, Delta smelt and Sacramento splittail. This may involve acquiring additional Delta properties."

"The deal is intended to satisfy state and federal endangered species acts, as well as the terms of a forthcoming Bay Delta Conservation Plan."

Now, excuse us for being confused, but the BDCP still doesn't have a clear plan, let alone a plan that

has been through environmental review. So how can state agencies start in on restoration activities to satisfy the terms of the BDCP?

Is this a way to bypass consideration of the environmental impact of the whole BDCP project? But CEQA doesn't permit a public agency to subdivide a single project into smaller sub-projects in order to avoid considering the environmental impact of the whole project.

On the other hand, if there are restoration activities that DWR and DFG can legitimately undertake, a naïve person might wonder what they have been waiting for.

### Highlights of the October meeting of the Delta Stewardship Council

The Delta Stewardship Council met October 28-29 with a full agenda and a weighty packet of supporting documents. Following are some highlights.

According to an Agreement Listing provided in the meeting packet, Ongoing grants for the Delta Science Program (with start dates ranging from 2005 to 2010 and end dates ranging from 2010 to 2012) total over \$14 million.

Delta Science Program contracts total over \$9 million more, including \$50,000 each to the nine Delta Science Board members for 15-month contracts.

Executive Office contracts account for almost \$3 million more, with \$1.5 million for legal services alone (in a contract with the Department of Justice).

These costs are offset by Receivables from agencies including the Bureau of Reclamation, the USGS, DWR, USF&G, and NOAA.

So a lot of money is changing hands in this process that does nothing to, for example, reinforce Delta levees. But it does provide employment in one sector of the economy.

The DSC had a preliminary discussion of proposed Early Actions, which will be considered by a committee this week. Early actions included stockpiling rock for levee repairs, and there was a lot of discussion about which counties or other entities had already stockpiled rock, whether it was there for maintenance or to protect water supply, and how the costs should be shared. Chair Isenberg said it would be a "modest victory" if they could work this issue out.

### How to implement that BDCP, but not what to implement

The DSC worked through lunch to hear from Richard Roos-Collins about an item that wasn't even on the original agenda: the proposed plan for implementing the BDCP. This involves a BDCP Implementation Office (IO). Thus yet another layer of Delta governance was slipped in while most of the audience was out to lunch.

The BDCP appears to be on schedule to release a public viewing draft on November 18, according to ARCADIS, the independent consultant brought on by the DSC to report on the BDCP. A draft for public review including the draft EIR/EIS is scheduled for release in spring or early summer of 2011.

ARCADIS insists that just because their matrix lists unresolved issues, that doesn't mean that the issues aren't being addressed. Nevertheless, here are some issues still "pending" in the matrix:

There is still no evaluation of alternatives that will reduce exports.

The BDCP project description still needs to be determined. (They can't say exactly what it is, but they know how they want to handle governance.)

Information requests are pending regarding flow criteria, not just amount of flows but timing and levels of contaminant reduction. The Water Board has scheduled discussion on this subject for mid-December.

There is insufficient information to evaluate turbidity effects on fish movement and survival.

There still isn't enough information on the effect of Sacramento River diversions on water quality, fish, and aquatic habitat in the central and south Delta.

Science tries not to trip on policy

The DSC heard from Dr. Richard Norgaard, the new Delta Independent Science Board Chair. Dr. Norgaard showed an inclination to be . . . well, independent. He told the DSC that the charge to the Board is immense and expressed concern with the time frame for reviewing White Papers. He commented on the conflict with the BDCP process and declined to rank stressors.

DSC Chair Isenberg told him that the DSC will push the Science Board, and Norgaard agreed that the DSC could make unreasonable demands but implied that they wouldn't necessarily be met.

The DSC heard presentations on White Papers on the Delta Ecosystem and Delta Flood Risk. They also received a Preliminary Notice of Preparation (NOP), the first step in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process. In a cover memo, DSC Executive Officer Joe Grindstaff noted that a key issue in the NOP that "might be controversial" is the secondary planning area which could cover most of the state.

(The Primary Planning Area is within the Delta. The Secondary Planning area is the Delta watershed and areas that use Delta water.)

The presentation on the Delta Ecosystem White Paper included a PowerPoint with a chart indicating that Delta water use has remained stable for decades while export water use has increased. The presenter said that he had been asked to mention that changes in cropping patterns in the Delta had hardened demand - a gratuitous observation under the circumstances, and one which even the DSC members seemed to find inappropriate.

It prompted council members Fiorini and Nottoli to request a White Paper on Delta Agriculture.

In public comments, Restore the Delta pointed out that water demand has hardened statewide and suggested that the DSC consider any changes in Delta cropping patterns in that context. The DSC will have to look into this because they are required to promote sustainable use of water in the Secondary Planning Area - which is just about everywhere in California.

On the subject of Flood Risk, someone noted that how we handle flood protection depends on what we would like the Delta to look like in the future. Restore the Delta notes that not all "we's" have the same future in mind.

For more information, go to <http://www.restorethedelta.org>.

© 2000–2010 San Francisco Bay Area Independent Media Center. Unless otherwise stated by the author, all content is free for non-commercial reuse, reprint, and rebroadcast, on the net and elsewhere. Opinions are those of the contributors and are not necessarily endorsed by the SF Bay Area IMC. [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#) | [Contact](#)

latimes.com/news/local/la-me-hinkley-chromium-20101115,0,4878497.story

**latimes.com**

## Tainted PG&E groundwater plume again threatens residents of Hinkley, Calif.

**The border of the plume has shifted 1,800 feet beyond a containment boundary set up by Pacific Gas & Electric Co. in 2008, spreading higher levels of a cancer-causing heavy metal isotope, state officials say.**

By Louis Sahagun, Los Angeles Times

November 15, 2010

Reporting from Hinkley, Calif.

A plume of chromium-tainted groundwater is once again bearing down on residents of Hinkley, Calif., where more than a decade ago an underdog battle with Pacific Gas & Electric Co. spawned a multimillion-dollar settlement and the Oscar-winning film "Erin Brockovich."

### FOR THE RECORD:

Water contamination: An article in the Nov. 15 Section A about groundwater contamination in Hinkley in San Bernardino County referred to the substance involved, hexavalent chromium, as a heavy-metal isotope. Hexavalent chromium is not an isotope of chromium but a form of the element whose atoms are missing six electrons. It is found in a number of chemical compounds used for industrial purposes. —

advertisement



The border of the plume has shifted 1,800 feet beyond a containment boundary set by PG&E in 2008, spreading higher levels of hexavalent chromium, a cancer-causing heavy metal isotope linked to stomach cancers and other health hazards, according to state water officials. The isotope also has been discovered in a lower aquifer that, until recently, PG&E believed was protected from contaminated groundwater above it by a thick layer of clay, the officials added.

In 1997, PG&E paid 660 Hinkley residents \$333 million to settle lawsuits alleging injuries including intestinal tumors and breast cancer from chromium-laced waste water that had seeped from the utility's disposal ponds between 1951 and 1966, winding its way into the community's drinking wells.

PG&E's handling and reporting of the migrating plume is under investigation by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, the state regulatory agency responsible for protecting the area's water.

"We definitely know there are violations, and that what PG&E is doing right now to contain the plume is not enough," said Lauri Kemper, assistant executive officer for the water board. "We have the authority to impose fines of up to \$5,000 per day for each day the plume exists outside of the boundary set in 2008."

Kemper said the water board has retained a state water attorney to help prepare a legal case against the utility, a process that could take six months.

Utility officials acknowledge that parts of the plume have spread but say it is being controlled by ongoing cleanup efforts. They deny that its spread has violated any legal agreements and said more scientific research is needed to determine whether spikes in concentrations of hexavalent chromium, also known as chromium 6, detected in many local wells could be linked to the plume or to natural occurrences.

"These concentrations remain within the realms of naturally occurring background concentrations," said Robert C. Doss, PG&E principal engineer. "There is no way to determine whether our plume is having an impact or not."

A hearing on the matter has been scheduled for May 2011.

Doss said he understands that the situation "represents a worry about the health of Hinkley families and their investments." But he also suggested that critics have exaggerated the health hazards posed by contamination in the plume's outer edges and have mistakenly interpreted its constantly changing shape as "overall growth."

The amoeba-like plume is about 2 1/2 miles long and a mile wide, and advancing west and northwest at a rate of about a foot a day, officials said.

"In some places the plume grows and then shrinks, in others it might sprout a lobe as it responds to hydrological pressures," Doss said.

As for PG&E's remediation efforts in Hinkley, Doss said, "It's fair to say what we are doing now needs to be supplemented to bring it up to a final cleanup. But we take exception to any assertions that the measures we've taken have not had a positive effect on the problem."

Many property owners in this dusty agricultural town about five miles west of Barstow in San Bernardino County are frustrated with PG&E's efforts to contain the plume and the water board's apparent hesitation to charge the utility with civil violations.

"Obviously, the community would be happy to see us file civil liability complaints against the company," Kemper said. "We are considering that internally. But we haven't yet because we are busy every day trying to stay on top of the situation to ensure they are continuing to clean up this plume."

"They've had 23 years to fix this problem," said Carmela Gonzalez, 44, a lifelong resident who was not part of the original Hinkley lawsuit. "Instead, they've allowed the contamination plume to grow and put fear in the hearts of Hinkley residents that they are still not safe and that their property is worthless."

Added Gonzalez: "People around here no longer trust the water board to do right by Hinkley. PG&E should be helping residents get out of here if they want to by giving them reasonable compensation for their losses."

Some of the hundreds of plaintiffs in the earlier case are exploring their options, given that they signed agreements barring them from discussing details of their settlements. Some residents, who were not involved in that case, talk of launching another class-action lawsuit.

Lillie Stone and her husband, Jim, who is disabled, live on fixed incomes and want PG&E to buy their property at a reasonable price, or pay to help them relocate. Neither received any settlement money from the original Hinkley case.

"There is no wholesale plan to buy out the people living in Hinkley," Doss said. "If, however, a property sits on top of our plume, we will certainly entertain responsible and good-faith offers to buy those homes."

The cozy two-story house the Stones built in 1987 sits a few miles north of where the utility for years collected chromium-tainted water used as an anti-corrosive in a pumping plant's cooling towers. Unaware of the leaking contamination, residents drank tap water, bathed in it and watched their children splash in chromium-laced swimming pools on hot summer days.

Gazing out a kitchen window at outdoor bird baths filled with water from the family well, Lillie Stone, 66, shook her head in dismay and said, "It's happening again, and it's scaring the daylights out of us."

"Tests showed that levels of hexavalent chromium in our well water have risen to 2.9 parts per billion, or more than 700% over the past three years," Stone said. "But a PG&E representative sat right here at my table and said he wouldn't even consider buying us out until we reach 4 parts per billion. I'm so heartbroken and anxious about the future that I've stopped tending to my garden and citrus orchard."

"We just want to get the heck out of Hinkley," she added. "But if PG&E doesn't buy us out..."

"We'll stay and suffer even more than we're suffering now," her husband interjected.

Rob Gerner, 54, who lives a mile west of the Stones, is still waiting for a response to what he described as "a demand letter" his attorney delivered to PG&E more than three weeks ago. "Tests of water samples from my wells in July came up with 3.8 parts per billion of chromium 6, which is above normal background levels," he said. "We've put a lot of hard work and money into this house over the past 21 years. Now, I'm not sure it would ever sell. We want to be made whole."

PG&E continues to pump water from the edges of the plume and apply it to alfalfa fields with a subterranean drip system, to avoid having chromium 6 surface residues kicked up by desert winds. PG&E officials said the chromium 6 is not absorbed by the alfalfa, which is used as cattle feed.

In addition, 115,200 gallons of fresh water is injected daily along the western boundary of the plume to block contaminants from migrating closer to Hinkley Elementary School's wells, about 2,100 feet away.

The utility also injects ethanol into the center of the plume, where concentrations of chromium 6 exceed levels of 50 parts per billion, in an effort to spur the growth of bacteria that can transform the toxic substance into harmless compounds.

There is no drinking water standard for chromium 6 in the United States. In California, the state Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment has proposed setting a public health goal of 0.06 parts per billion. About 18% of the public drinking water sources in California have chromium 6 levels above 1 part per billion.



On Friday, PG&E officials notified several homeowners along Summerset Avenue in Hinkley that elevated levels of chromium 6 made them eligible for free bottled water.

The plume has been swelling in the general direction of a hillside home owned by Roberta Walker. She was a lead plaintiff in the lawsuit that gave birth to the 2000 film and Oscar for actress Julia Roberts, who played Brockovich, the legal researcher and mother of three who developed the case.

Walkers' suspicions about PG&E began in 1987 when it suddenly began supplying her and her neighbors with bottled water. "They said our well water didn't quite meet standards for drinking," she recalled. Five years later, she said, "They said they wanted to buy our property. I gave them an offer they could not possibly honor — \$250,000. They agreed. That's when I realized something was very wrong."

Her legal challenge was taken up by Brockovich. Together, they uncovered the cause of the pollution in Hinkley's groundwater and went on to mount the class-action case.

Walker said she bought her current home with her portion of the lawsuit settlement — slightly less than \$1 million — believing that "I was several miles away from the contamination and out of harm's way. At our new home, the well water tested pure, good, clear and clean."

"Now, there is a poisonous plume moving towards me, like a plague," Walker said. "I'm talking to attorneys about what it will take to force PG&E to buy us out. We can't wait on this. I want out. The water agency says it will do something about this stuff but, hey, I've heard that before. Actions speak louder than words."

Walker also is conferring with Brockovich. "Erin told me not to worry," Walker said. "She said she'll take care of me."

In a telephone interview, Brockovich said, "Once again, this is a community of sitting ducks. I'll be out there soon to help encourage people to get the word out, to start knocking on doors and examining water and soil test results. Then we'll decide how to proceed."

Brockovich said further litigation shouldn't be necessary, adding, "PG&E should step up to the plate, take responsibility and act on it. But I'm not holding my breath."

[louis.sahagun@latimes.com](mailto:louis.sahagun@latimes.com)

Copyright © 2010, [Los Angeles Times](#)

# Running Dry? California Water Supply at Risk

November 10, 2010, 1:04 pm • Posted by Gretchen Weber



View of Lake Mead on 9/9/10 (Original image courtesy of the Image Science & Analysis Laboratory, NASA Johnson Space Center. This version produced by Tom Yulsman of CEJournal)

Lake Mead is the largest reservoir in the country. It's located on the Colorado River, which provides water for about 27 million people in seven states, including [millions of Californians](#). In fact, California gets [more than a trillion gallons](#) of water from the Colorado River each year, directly from Lake Mead via the Colorado River Aqueduct which snakes across the desert. Eighteen million people in Southern California are dependent on the Colorado for 40% of their water. And for some agricultural operations, that percentage is more like 100. Needless to say, it's a critical source of water.

The thing is, after 11 years of dry conditions in the region, Lake Mead dropped to its [lowest level ever](#) in October. And so far, it's stayed there. Since Hoover Dam was completed in the 1937 the water level has never been so low. As of today, it's at 38% of [capacity](#). And it's not just Lake Mead that's low. The whole [Colorado River storage system](#) is at just 55% of capacity, so forget just filling it up with water from upstream. Of course, winter's on it's way, and with that, precipitation, so the lake shouldn't stay quite so low for long. And, thanks to a wet year, Northern California's [reservoirs are doing well](#).

But when you think about this water shortage in terms of population trends and the changing climate, the future for water in the Southwest [looks grim](#). Population areas supplied by the Colorado River are some of the [fastest growing](#) in the country. So demand is going up. At the same time, scientists and water managers say the supply will go down. The region is expected to get warmer and drier in the coming decades, which means less precipitation, and more specifically, less snow. Which means that of the precipitation that does come, more will come as rain, which is harder to capture and store for use throughout the dry summer months.

In fact, studies show that the river's flow is likely to decrease 10-15% in coming decades due to climate change, according to the Bureau of Land Management's [Terry Fulp](#), and, he said, the demand is already outpacing the supply.

"The supply and demand curves have crossed," he [told me last May](#).

The question of just how long the Colorado River can continue meet the needs of seven states with growing populations, depends on how quickly those supply and demand curves are diverging. [Scientists have given varying estimates of when Lake Mead will go dry](#), but recent studies estimate a 50-50 chance that it could happen before 2057. ([Earlier studies](#) had estimated it as soon as 2021.) The state's population is expected to increase by half, to [nearly 60 million](#), by 2050.

So, Californians, what happens then? And what should we be doing now to prepare? It might be worth thinking about. In 2060, taking shorter showers and turning off the water when you brush your teeth might not be enough.

THIS PAGE  
HAS  
INTENTIONALLY  
BEEN LEFT  
BLANK  
FOR PAGINATION

**THE SACRAMENTO BEE** [sacbee.com](http://sacbee.com)

## Delta plan churns up concerns

**mweiser@sacbee.com**

**Published Friday, Nov. 19, 2010**

In a glassy conference room alongside a Sacramento River levee, a committee of 25 people struggled Thursday to do what Californians have never been able to do before: reach agreement on how to drink from the Delta without killing it.

After meeting for four years and spending \$140 million, the committee drafting the Bay Delta Conservation Plan aimed Thursday to complete a "Nov. 18 draft" of its progress so far. This odd name for the document reflects the enormous stakes in crafting a plan that meets two goals: restoring the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta ecosystem and building a pair of tunnels or canal to ferry its water elsewhere.

It is not the final draft that water agencies and the Schwarzenegger administration wanted by this date, nor even the "working draft" they were prepared to settle for. It is enough of a draft, however, to keep environmental groups and Delta residents in the room.

"It is a snapshot in time on where we've gotten to date," said Karen Scarborough, undersecretary of the state's Natural Resources Agency and chair of the steering committee. "We are not at the top yet, but we are at a very broad, stable ledge."

The multibillion-dollar plan may be teetering on the brink of that ledge, however, after developments over the last two weeks.

At a meeting last week in Washington, D.C., representatives of the Westlands Water District, a huge irrigation agency in the San Joaquin Valley, reportedly stormed out of a meeting with David Hayes, an Interior Department undersecretary. Other meeting participants told The Bee the trigger was a discussion that the plan may include reduced water deliveries.

And at a meeting in Los Banos on Wednesday, a number of federal water contractors were ready to withdraw funding to continue the conservation plan, said Brett Baker, a lobbyist for the Central Delta Water Agency who was there. The group plans to consider the motion again next week, he said.

"It's just, in my opinion, not going very well," Jason Peltier, a Westlands representative, told an Assembly oversight hearing on the Delta on Tuesday.

"There's going to need to be some kind of a reset – some kind of a come to Jesus – about how all our interests can be met, or not met, and tell people they're not going to get what they had been hoping for," he said.

The plan's goal is to protect freshwater exports while also restoring Delta habitat. Seven fish species in the Delta, the West's largest estuary, have been driven to the brink of extinction by demand for its water, which serves 25 million Californians and 3 million acres of farmland. Invasive species, water pollution and habitat loss have also contributed.

The centerpiece of the conservation plan is a tunnel system or canal to divert Sacramento River water out of the estuary and deliver it directly to export canals near Tracy. In addition, more than 115,000 acres of restored habitat would help wildlife rebound.

The project is unprecedented in California and perhaps the nation. The tunnels option would cost \$12.7 billion, while the canal would cost \$8.4 billion.

Habitat projects would add about \$4 billion more to either option.

Completion of the Nov. 18 draft marks an important milestone. But numerous political and economic obstacles loom, and the question now is whether the project is on a path to construction or veering toward a bureaucratic dustbin.

It came under attack by some committee members who objected to calling the draft "finished," as Scarborough's agency did in a press release on Tuesday.

That's because, while the draft is the most complete so far, it leaves enormous holes. One is a lack of substantive analysis of how water diversions into a new canal or tunnels will affect the Delta's aquatic environment.

Either design is large enough to divert the Sacramento River's entire flow under some conditions, and environmentalists and Delta residents are still waiting for language that would guarantee adequate river flows to protect habitat and water quality.

"I'm not feeling well," said Deanna Sereno, a committee member representing the Contra Costa Water District, which often finds itself allied with environmental groups because it draws drinking water directly from the Delta. "I'm really nervous about what we're finalizing here."

The committee plans to complete an official draft of the plan by July and an environmental impact study by October. Final approval is expected in late 2012 or early 2013. The plan would then have to be approved by state and federal wildlife agencies.

Whether all of this happens depends largely on two things: Gov.-elect Jerry Brown and economics.

Brown asserted in his campaign that he intends to see the plan through to completion of the environmental review process.

Money may be a bigger concern, and it is amplified by a growing realization that water agencies may not get as much Delta water from the project as they hoped. If deliveries are reduced, the economics make even less sense.

© Copyright The Sacramento Bee. All rights reserved.

---

Call The Bee's Matt Weiser, (916) 321-1264. Follow him on Twitter @matt\_weiser.