



### **NOTICE OF MEETINGS**


### Thursday, November 4, 2010

9:00 a.m. – Agricultural Pool Meeting

#### AT THE INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY OFFICES

6075 Kimball Ave. Bldg. A Board Room Chino, CA 91710 (909) 993-1600






## Thursday, November 4, 2010

9:00 a.m. –Agricultural Pool Meeting

## **AGENDA PACKAGE**



## CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER AGRICULTURAL POOL MEETING

#### WITH

Mr. Bob Feenstra, Chair Mr. Jeff Pierson, Vice-Chair

9:00 a.m. – November 4, 2010 At The Offices Of Inland Empire Utilities Agency

6075 Kimball Ave., Bldg. A, Board Room Chino, CA 91710

#### **AGENDA**

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

#### **AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER**

#### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

Note: All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and non-controversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

#### A. MINUTES

1. Minutes of the Agricultural Pool Meeting held October14, 2010 (Page 1)

#### B. FINANCIAL REPORTS

- 1. Cash Disbursements for the month of September 2010 (Page 8)
- 2. Watermaster Visa Check Detail for the month of September 2010 (Page 24)
- 3. Combining Schedule for the Period September 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010 (Page 28)
- 4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 (Page 32)
- 5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through September 2010 (Page 36)

#### C. APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE

1. Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company Has Submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to Percolate 300.000 acre-feet of Water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage (*Page 40*)

#### II. BUSINESS ITEMS

A. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER ANNUAL AUDIT FY 2009/2010 – JUNE 30, 2010 Consider to Receive and File the Chino Basin Watermaster Annual Audit Performed by Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. (Page 48)

#### B. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Consider to Receive and File the First Quarter Actual vs. Budget Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 (Page 80).

#### C. LAND SUBSIDENCE COMMITTEE

Consider Recommendation that the MZ1 Technical Committee be Renamed the Land Subsidence Committee which Will be Open to all Watermaster Parties (Page 86)

#### D. LOCATION OF WELL I-17

Consider Approval of the Material Physical Injury Analysis - Well I-17 of the Chino Creek Well Field (Page 88)

#### III. REPORTS/UPDATES

#### A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

- 1. Paragraph 31 Appeal
- 2. Resolution 2010-04
- 3. Judgment Update

#### B. CEO/STAFF REPORT

- 1. Legislative Update
- 2. Recharge Update
- 3. Land Use Conversions Presentation

#### IV. INFORMATION

- 1. Cash Disbursements for October 2010 (Page 94)
- 2. Newspaper Articles (Page 104)

#### V. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

#### VI. OTHER BUSINESS

#### VII. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION


Pursuant to the Agricultural Pool Rules & Regulations, a Confidential Session may be held during the Watermaster Pool meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

#### VIII. FUTURE MEETINGS

* Thursday, November 4, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Thursday, November 4, 2010	1:00 p.m.	Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, November 4, 2010	2:30 p.m.	Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call Meeting
Thursday, November 18, 2010	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, November 18, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
* Thursday, November 18, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, December 2, 2010	1:00 p.m.	Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, December 2, 2010	2:30 p.m.	Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call Meeting
Thursday, December 9, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Thursday, December 16, 2010	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, December 16, 2010	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
* Thursday, December 16, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 6, 2011	1:00 p.m.	Annual Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 6, 2011	2:30 p.m.	Annual Non-Agricultural Pool Conf Call Meeting
Thursday, January 13, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Tuesday, January 18, 2011	9:00 a.m.	GRCC Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Friday, January 21, 2011	10:30 a.m.	CBWM Court Hearing @ Chino Courthouse
Thursday, January 27, 2011	11:00 a.m.	Annual Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Date changes due to holiday schedules


#### **Meeting Adjourn**



## I. CONSENT CALENDAR

### A. MINUTES

1. Agricultural Pool Meeting held on October 14, 2010



## Draft Minutes CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER AGRICULTURAL POOL MEETING

The Agricultural Pool Meeting was held at the offices of the office of Inland Empire Utilities Agency, 6075 Kimball Avenue, Chino, CA, on October 14, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

Agricultural Pool Members Present Who Signed In

Bob Feenstra, Chair Dairy Nathan deBoom Dairy

Rob Vanden Heuvel Milk Producers Counsel

Jeff PiersonCropsGlen DurringtonCrops

Jennifer Novak State of California, Dept. of Justice, CIM

Pete Hall State of California, CIM

Watermaster Board Members Present

Paul Hofer Crops

Michael Camacho Inland Empire Utilities Agency

**Watermaster Staff Present** 

Danielle Maurizio Senior Engineer
Joe Joswiak Chief Financial Officer
Ben Pak Senior Project Engineer
Sherri Lynne Molino Recording Secretary

**Watermaster Consultants Present** 

Michael Fife Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck Andy Malone Wildermuth Environmental Inc.

Others Present Who Signed In

Steven G. LeeReid & HellyerGil AldacoCity of ChinoRyan ShawInland Empire Utilities AgencyMarsha WestroppOrange County Water DistrictJulie CavendarState of California, CIM

Chair Feenstra called the Agricultural Pool meeting to order at 9:11 a.m.

#### AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

Chair Feenstra reordered the GRCC Recharge Improvement Activities Ahead of Recharge Improvement Implementation presentation to be given directly after the Consent Calendar.

#### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

#### A. MINUTES

1. Minutes of the Agricultural Pool Meeting held September 9, 2010

#### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

- 1. Cash Disbursements for the month of August 2010
- 2. Watermaster Visa Check Detail for the month of August 2010

- 3. Combining Schedule for the Period August 1, 2009 through August 31, 2010
- Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period August 1, 2010 through August 31, 2010
- 5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through August 2010

Motion by Vanden Heuvel, second by Durrington, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve Consent Calendar item A Minutes with one change made to the
motion on the closed item action and moved to file and receive Consent Calendar
item B Financial Reports, as presented

Item 5, was taken out of order

5. GRCC Recharge Improvement Activities Ahead of Recharge Improvement Implementation Mr. Pak gave the GRCC Update presentation. Mr. Pak noted the GRCC Committee is meeting on a regular basis. Mr. Pak stated the committee has discussed planning activities that would improve or enhance recharge in the near future which would be of no cost or low cost to the existing budget, or by grants, or other possibilities. Mr. Pak reviewed the current condition, solutions, and cost and benefits in detail for the Grove Basin, the Etiwanda Basin, the Jurupa Basin the Eastern Drainage Channel, the Wineville Basin, the Turner Basin, the North Milliken Grade Separation Project, and Turbid Water. Mr. Pak discussed the Total Added Recharge numbers for the presented basins/projects in detail. Chair Feenstra inquired about Grove Basin's recharge capabilities. Mr. Pak assured the members that Grove Basin does percolate even with the soil restrictions.

#### II. BUSINESS ITEMS

#### A. WATERMASTER RESOLUTION NO. 10-04 FOR PHASE III DESALTER EXPANSION

Ms. Maurizio introduced the Watermaster Resolution No. 10-04 for the Phase II Desalter Expansion. Counsel Fife stated what is in the meeting packet as well as supplemental materials on the back table are what have been discussed at several meetings. Counsel Fife noted the actual resolution starts on page 81 of the meeting packet and is lengthy; most of the resolution is recitals. Counsel Fife stated the reason for so many recitals is that most of what is relevant to the resolution is a gathering together of the different provisions relating to the Desalters and the Desalter Expansion, and the agreements and assurance that have been formulated which then puts all of those into one document. Counsel Fife stated what this resolution is accomplishing is providing comfort to the CDA Expansion parties that they are not going to be responsible for the broader projects that are involved in the CDA Expansion. Counsel Fife stated this document was provided to the Appropriative Pool last week and there were additional questions presented. After a lengthy discussion, the Appropriative Pool members deferred voting on the approval and scheduled a special meeting on October 21, 2010 at 8:00 a.m. just prior to the Advisory Committee meeting. Counsel Fife stated this was also presented to the Non-Agricultural Pool and they approved it unanimously and gave the Chairman the discretion to negotiate language changes if necessary at the Advisory Committee and Board level. Counsel Fife stated staff is looking for a motion similar to the Non-Agricultural Pool's motion. Chair Feenstra offered comment on the Appropriative Pool not taking action and in not knowing what the final outcome is going to be at the special meeting. Mr. Pierson noted the Agricultural Pool could conditionally approve the resolution with what is being presented today based upon a second review of the substantive changes that the Appropriate Pool makes to the agreement. Ms. Novak inquired about the motion made by the Non-Agricultural Pool. Counsel Fife stated the ultimate action that is taken is the approval by the Watermaster Board. The Non-Agricultural Pool's motion was consistent with how motions are normally framed actions of the Pools. The Pools make recommendations as to what they believe the Board should do. The members gave Mr. Bowcock the instruction to negotiate whatever language changes, in his discretion, he feels needs to be negotiated and then to vote yes when it is presented to the Advisory Committee and Watermaster Board level. Ms. Novak stated the Non-Agricultural Pool's motion is also a conditional approval. Director Hofer stated he was uncomfortable voting on this until the final

wording of this agreement comes together; any approval should be conditional and should be upon the review of the final document as agreed to by the other Pools. Director Hofer stated the Chair and the Agricultural Pool's attorney, at least, should review the final document. Mr. Pierson stated he would not vote on something unless he sees the final copy and any motion given today would be conditional. Mr. Lee stated his input would be similar to both Director Hofer and Mr. Pierson's comments and a motion could be subject to review of any substantive or grammatical changes that are made. Mr. Durrington inquired as to the necessity to approve the document prior to its completion. Counsel Fife stated a conditional approval is acceptable and is what the other Pools have done; however, for a timing sense this needs to be presented to the Watermaster Board this month. A lengthy discussion regarding this matter ensued. Mr. Lee inquired if it would be possible to see the redline documents prior to the Advisory Committee meeting. Counsel Fife stated the documents will be circulated as they are produced; however, the timing of when those documents will be ready is in question. Ms. Novak inquired as to what the Appropriative Pool's concerns were to put off making a motion. Counsel Fife stated from the discussions that took place on page 92, item number 5 of the meeting packet there is a commitment addressed there as to who will be the responsible party or parties for the completion of the project. Chair Feenstra commented on the complexity of making a decision today without seeing the final documents and having Mr. Pierson attend the Advisory Committee and be expected to vote on documents he has not had the opportunity to review. Ms. Novak offered comment on the presented document and her concerns. Mr. Lee stated a conditional approval could be done and a possible meeting prior to the Advisory Committee meeting for the review of the changes could be scheduled. Mr. Pierson offered comment on this situation and noted he would not give full approval unless he has seen the final product. It was noted there is a concern if all of the "whereas" were cross-referenced. Counsel Fife stated most of what is in these documents are a reiteration and is not new information. A discussion regarding bonds, assurances, and the possibility of people/parties pulling out at the last minute ensued. Chair Feenstra asked if Mr. Lee could craft a motion for the Agricultural Pool to consider. Mr. Lee stated the motion could read - a motion to approve conditionally, subject to review of any redrafts and to designate Jeff Pierson as our representative to approve if there are not substantive or grammatical changes. Mr. Pierson stated he would need the documents at least two days prior to the Advisory Committee meeting for his support; however, would be conditional upon counsels review also. Mr. Vanden Heuvel noted that is a lot to put on one individual and maybe have the chair, vice-chair, director Geoff Vanden Heuvel, and Director Hofer as the overseers on this. Ms. Novak offered comment on the mitigation areas and the mitigation zone. Ms. Maurizio stated Watermaster staff has been out there speaking to those parties possible, not all but most, trying to get some understanding of their wells and the construction of their wells. A discussion regarding the mitigation zone ensued. Mr. Malone offered comment on the discussed items regarding the mitigation zone and related issues. A discussion regarding the timing of the special Appropriative Pool meeting ensued.

Motion by Novak, second by Vanden Heuvel, and by unanimous vote

Moved to conditionally approve Resolution 10-04, subject to the Final Approval of

the Agricultural Pool Chair, Vice-chair, Director Vanden Heuvel, and Director Hofer, as presented

#### B. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER 2010-2011 ASSESSMENT PACKAGE

Ms. Maurizio stated there is a prepared presentation on the 2010-2011 Assessment Package. Chair Feenstra noted the Assessment Package really does not affect the Agricultural Pool as much as it affects other members and asked that the presentation not be given today. Mr. Lee stated at the recent Appropriative Pool meeting, Fontana Water Company noted their treasurer was out of town and was not able to review the Assessment Package, and the members asked that this item also be addressed at the special Appropriative Pool meeting on October 21<sup>st</sup>. Mr. Lee stated his recommendation to approve the portion of the Assessment Package that relates to the Agricultural Pool and reserve the rest as it relates to the other Pools. An inquiry was made to what portions of the Assessment Package apply. Ms. Maurizio stated it mostly relates to a land use conversion. Ms. Maurizio gave the page numbers and column

numbers/letters from the meeting package that applies to the Agricultural Pool. Ms. Novak offered comment on the Assessment Package. Mr. Pierson noted he has an issue with 10A, specifically the land use conversions and offered comment on them. Mr. Pierson asked if he could receive an overall depiction of what acreages have converted back to the Appropriators within the region. Ms. Maurizio stated there are maps at Watermaster which show this and they will be brought to the next meeting. Mr. Pierson noted his concerns. Ms. Maurizio stated Watermaster field staff does go out and verifies the information. Mr. deBoom asked that maybe a quarterly review be done on this. Ms. Maurizio stated this review is done yearly and could be provided yearly to this committee. Ms. Novak noted she is comfortable with moving the entire Assessment Package forward.

Motion by deBoom, second by Pierson, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve Chino Basin Watermaster 2010-2011 Assessment Package, as presented

#### C. SAN SEVAINE CHANNEL REPAIR AGREEMENT

Ms. Maurizio reviewed the San Sevaine Channel Repair Agreement in detail and offered the history regarding this item. Ms. Maurizio noted Watermaster counsel and the county attorneys have been working on this agreement; this will be a reimbursable agreement. The cost for the repairs is approximately one million dollars which will be split 50% to the county, 25% Inland Empire Utilities Agency, and 25% Chino Basin Watermaster — with a not to exceed for Watermaster of \$245,750. Ms. Maurizio stated the county has been made aware this is not a budgeted item for Watermaster this year and will be put into the budget next year. When the work is complete, Watermaster will reimburse the county the 25% – not to exceed the \$245750.00.

Motion by Durrington, second by Novak, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve the San Sevaine Channel Repair Agreement, as presented

#### D. BUDGET MODIFICATION

Mr. Joswiak offered history on last year's special assessments in the amount of \$100,000 for legal expenses. Mr. Joswiak noted the Non-Agricultural Pool used up their entire amount of monies; however, the Appropriative Pool only used \$37,000 of their monies, leaving a balance of \$63,333.00. Mr. Joswiak stated Watermaster's normal accounting practices are to roll that money into LAIF; however, because it was from a special assessment, those funds need to be allocated out only for the Appropriators. Mr. Joswiak stated he has discussed this situation with our external audit firm and it was their recommendation that the monies be put back into the budget and specifically used only for the Appropriators. A brief discussion regarding this matter ensued.

Motion by deBoom, second by Durrington, and by unanimous vote Moved to approve the Budget Modification, as presented

#### III. REPORTS/UPDATES

#### A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

#### 1. October 8, 2010 Hearing

Counsel Fife stated there was a hearing last Friday and two items were on the agenda for consideration; 1) the approval of the Non-Agricultural Pool's motion for a Judgment amendment and 2) the approval of the Recharge Master Plan. Both were approved by Judge Reichert and a copy of the order is on the back table. Counsel Fife noted it was a very good hearing and Mr. Malone gave a technical presentation to the Judge. The one-hour long presentation ultimately turned into a three-hour presentation; the Judge seemed to really understand what was being presented and enthused to be on our case. Ms. Novak inquired about a transcript and Ms. Maurizio stated one will be made available as soon as it done.

#### 2. Paragraph 31 Appeal

Counsel Fife stated the Paragraph 31 appeal is moving forward. Counsel Fife noted in September the Appellate Court issued an order asking for more additional information and a copy of that order is on the back table. Counsel Fife stated the Non-Agricultural Pool and California Steel Industries responded to the order; however, counsel and staff has concerns they did not provide enough information to the court. Ms. Novak inquired about whether this case is appealable or not. Counsel Fife stated the two items of concern was that the Appellate Court and their order specifically pointed to the issue that parties cannot agree amongst themselves to make something appealable, and that the right appeal is statutory only. Counsel Fife stated the concern is that they know Watermaster has a stipulated Judgment which takes the form of a contract and that the court was subtly asking why a stipulated Judgment, which is not normally appealable, can be appealable in this case. Counsel Fife stated the Judgment itself covers that issue in that it is a stipulated Judgment; it was also adopted by the court after an evidentiary proceeding which can be made appealable. The second item which needed to be made known to the court is the case in the Central Basin; they did have an issue in the past where some of the parties went to the court and asked the court to modify the Judgment and the court declined to do so. Those parties did appeal and took that case to the Appellate Court; the Appellate Court did have to address the issue of whether their stipulated Judgment could have appealable issues and the court did find that it did. Counsel Fife stated this information would be relevant to point out to our court that this type of case has already been dealt with. Ms. Novak offered comment on the importance of this item and how it affects the parties and the Chino Basin. A discussion regarding this matter ensued.

#### **B. ENGINEERING REPORT**

#### 1. Progress Report on Safe Yield Determination

Mr. Malone did not give the prepared presentation but gave a verbal report on what the presentation contained. A discussion on an increase of safe yield in the basin and how it would be apportioned ensued. Counsel Fife stated it would go to the Appropriators under the Judgment any increase or decrease goes to the Appropriators. A discussion regarding the Agricultural Pool when safe yield relates to increase and decrease ensued.

#### Added Comment:

Ms. Novak stated when presentations are given at other meetings; she would like the opportunity to see them at this meeting as part of the reports or at least have the option to see them or pass on them.

#### C. CEO/STAFF REPORT

#### 1. Legislative Update

Ms. Maurizio stated the state budget was approved last Friday and noted AB2304 was vetoed by the governor. Ms Maurizio noted Mr. Manning will give a more detailed report at the Advisory Committee meeting next week.

#### 2. Recharge Update

Ms. Maurizio stated there is no new update on recharge numbers; however, the new spreadsheet should be available at the Advisory Committee meeting next week.

#### 3. Strategic Planning Conference Update

Ms. Maurizio stated the Strategic Planning Conference was held last week at the Frontier Project and was focused on the Recharge Master Plan. Ms. Maurizio stated notes were taken during the breakout sessions and those notes in their draft form will be made available at the Advisory Committee meeting next week.

#### 4. Budget Projections

Ms. Maurizio stated next month at the meetings staff will have available, for the first quarter, which is July through September where Watermaster is on expense accounts.

5. <u>GRCC Recharge Improvement Activities Ahead of Recharge Improvement Implementation</u>
This presentation was given by Ben Pak directly after the Consent Calendar.

#### Added Comment:

Ms. Maurizio asked that this committee note that the next Agricultural Pool meeting is going to be held on Thursday, November 4, 2010 due to the holiday on November 11, 2010.

#### IV. INFORMATION

- Cash Disbursements for September 2010
   No comment was made regarding this item.
- Newspaper Articles
   No comment was made regarding this item.

#### V. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

Mr. Pierson inquired about a check written in the amount of \$1,500 for a sponsorship for a Coro luncheon. Mr. Joswiak stated this is a luncheon that was sponsored last fiscal year and this fiscal year at the request of the chairman of the Board. Ms. Maurizio noted Watermaster does have a category for conferences budgeted. Mr. Pierson asked that the chairman of the Board be asked about this sponsorship payment.

Chair Feenstra stated he has spoke to Mr. Aldaco regarding a property owner in the Chino Airport plume area that he has had difficulty getting in touch with. Chair Feenstra inquired about past tests performed on various wells by Wildermuth Environmental and noted he had not yet seen the reports on the findings. Chair Feenstra asked Mr. Lee to write a letter to Wildermuth Environmental about getting those reports/test results.

Chair Feenstra commented on a phone call from the Regional Water Board regarding some agricultural interests on the southwest end not being able to comply with discharge requirements. A report was filed a year ago on this and now the Water Board is giving Mr. Vanden Heuvel a few weeks' notice that there needs to be a response. Mr. Vanden Heuvel commented on speaking to the Regional Water Board regarding this issue. Mr. Vanden Heuvel gave the history on this matter. Mr. Vanden Heuvel stated that Mr. Brommenschenkel, on behalf of the pumpers, would submit an update to the Water Board on December 31, 2010 and another update on September 31, 2011. Mr. Vanden Heuvel noted he thinks this issue will be fine and noted Mr. Brommenschenkel is on top of the situation; further updates will be given once more is known. Chair Feenstra asked Mr. Lee to follow up on this item.

#### VI. OTHER BUSINESS

No comment was made regarding this item.

#### VII. FUTURE MEETINGS


9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
8:00 a.m.	Special Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
T.B.D.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
11:00 a.m.	Water Quality Meeting @ CBWM
11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM
9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
1:00 p.m.	Appropriative Pool Meeting @ CBWM
2:30 p.m.	Non-Agricultural Pool Conference Call Meeting
8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM
	8:00 a.m. T.B.D. 9:00 a.m. 11:00 a.m. 11:00 a.m. 1:00 p.m. 1:00 p.m. 2:30 p.m. 8:00 a.m.

Thursday, December 16, 2010 9:00 a.m. Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
\* Thursday, December 16, 2010 11:00 a.m. Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM

\* Note: Date changes due to holiday schedules
\*\* Note: Due to the Special Appropriative Pool Meeting this Meeting time is To Be Determined

The Agricultural Pool meeting was dismissed by Chair Feenstra at 10:53 a.m.


J		Secretary:	 
	•		
Minutes Approved:			



### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

#### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

- 1. Cash Disbursements for the month of September 2010
- 2. Watermaster Check Detail for the month of September 2010
- 3. Combining Schedule for the Period September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010
- 4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010
- 5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through September 2010





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

#### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Cash Disbursement Report – Financial Report B1

#### SUMMARY

Issue - Record of cash disbursements for the month of September 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Cash Disbursements for September 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

#### BACKGROUND

A monthly cash disbursement report is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster expenditures.

#### DISCUSSION

Total cash disbursements during the month of September 2010 were \$794,761.03. The most significant expenditures during the month were Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. in the amount of \$272,535.08 (check number 14485 dated September 1, 2010 and check number 14549 dated September 29, 2010), West Valley Water District in the amount of \$183,000.00 (check number 14548 dated September 29, 2010) and Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck in the amount of \$83,500.71 (check number 14505 dated September 15, 2010).

#### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool — November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool — November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool — November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee — November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board —

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

Paid Amount	83.99	509.05	1,123.49	100.00	3,947.25	125.00	125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 1,000.00	1,829.87
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031,7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 60191 · Lífe & Disab.Ins Benefits	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60183 · Worker's Comp Insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6052.2 · Applied Computer Technol	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg
Мето	<b>019447404</b> 8/19/10-9/18/10	Policy # 00-640888-0009 Employee Life & Disability Insurance	1615535-10 Workers Comp Insurance	Truck washing service truck washing 4 trucks	1976 Database Services	8/26/10 Board Meeting 8/26/10 Board Meeting	8/05/10 Appropriative Pool Meeting 8/05/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting 8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting 8/13/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting 8/13/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting 8/20/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting 8/25/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting 8/26/10 Foord Meeting	Sponsorship of Oct. 14, 2010 Luncheon
Мате	DIRECTV	STANDARD INSURANCE CO.	STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	BOWCOCK, ROBERT	CITISTREET	CORO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
Num	<b>14468</b> 019447404	<b>14469</b> 116408880009	14470 1615535-10	14471	14472 1976	14473 8/26 Board Meeting	8/05 Appro Pool Mtg 8/12 Ag Pool Meeting 8/13 CDA Ad Hoc Comm 8/13 CDA Ad Hoc Comm 8/19 Advisory Comm 8/20 CDA Ad Hoc Comm 8/26 CDA Ad Hoc Comm 8/26 Board Meeting 14475 08/08/10-08/21/10	14476
Date	<b>09/01/2010</b> 08/30/2010	<b>09/01/2010</b> 08/30/2010	<b>09/01/2010</b> 08/30/2010	09/01/2010	<b>09/01/2010</b> 08/30/2010	<b>09/01/2010</b> 08/26/2010	08/05/2010 08/06/2010 08/13/2010 08/13/2010 08/20/2010 08/25/2010 08/25/2010 08/25/2010	09/01/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	BIII Pmt -Check Bili TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOMAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill	Bill Pmt -Check

	1,500.00	125.00	125.00	885.00	125.00	2,065.00	2,065.00	1,532,00	2,065.00	2,065.00	11,022.00	4,200.00	4,200.00	6.946.89	6,946,89		125.00	125.00	125,00	125.00	125.00
	Account 6191 · Conferences + General	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6053 · Internet Exnense	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	/108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs 7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108,4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs 7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs		1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6052.1 · Park Place Comp Solutn		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 2000 · Accounts Pavable		1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	6311 · Board Member Compensation	6311 · Board Member Compensation			
September 2010	Memo Sponsorship of Oct. 14, 2010 Luncheon	8/28/10 Board Meeting 8/26/10 Board Meeting	208 Amriet 2010 Webette Services	8/26/10 Board Meeting		L0034726 - Laboratory Services	L00354728 - Laboratory Services L0035403 - Laboratory Services	L0034079 - Laboratory Services	L0034214 - Laboratory Services	L0033837 - Laboratory Services L0033836 - Laboratory Services	:	442 IT Services for August 2010		Payor #3493 CalPERS for 08/08/10-08/21/10		6311	8/06/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting	8/13/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting	8/20/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting	8/25/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting	Doord Moofing
ndec	Мате	наценеу, том	JAMES JOHNSTON	KUHN, BOB	MWH LABORATORIES						Verse	PARK PLACE COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.		PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM		VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY					
	Nun	<u>1</u> 4477 8/26 Board Meeting	14478 208	700 14479 879 Board Maeling	14480	L0034726	L0034728 10035403	L0034079	L0034214	L0033836 L0033836		14481 442		14482 08/08/10-08/24/10		14483	8/06 CDA A Hoc Comm	8/13 CDA Ad Hoc Comm	8/20 CDA Ad Hoc Comm	8/25 CDA Ad Hoc Comm	
	Date 09/01/2010	09/01/2010	09/01/2010	09/01/2010	09/01/2010	07/31/2010	07/31/2010	07/31/2010	07/31/2010	07/31/2010 07/31/2010		<b>09/01/2010</b> 08/30/2010		09/01/2010	2	09/01/2010	08/06/2010	08/13/2010	08/20/2010	08/25/2010	
	Type Bill .	TOTAL Bill Pmt -Check Bill	TOTAL Bill Pmt -Check	Dall TOTAL Bill Pmt -Check	TOTAL Bill Pmt -Check	## · ·		≣ P1			TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill		Ball	Bill	

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	09/01/2010	14484	<b>WHITEHEAD, MICHAEL</b>	8/26/10 Board Meeting	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	
Bill TOTAL	08/26/2010	8/26 Board Meeting		8/28/10 Board Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill Pmt -Check	09/01/2010	14485	WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	07/31/2010	2010358		2010358 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	4,468.75
Bill	07/31/2010	2010362		2010362 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	14,812.25
Bill	07/31/2010	2010363		2010363 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	300'00
Bill	07/31/2010	2010364		2010364 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	5,422.50
Bill	07/31/2010	2010365		2010365 - OBMP Engineering Services	6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	1,717.25
BIII	07/31/2010	2010368		2010368 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	675.00
	07/31/2010	2010372		2010372 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 · Grdwfr Qual-Engineering	375.00
Bill	07/31/2010	2010375		2010375 - Grdwfr Qual-Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwfr Level - Engineering	450.00
	07/31/2010	2010377		2010377 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level - Engineering	2,744.80
Bill	07/31/2010	2010378		2010378 - Grdwfr Qual-Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level - Engineering	1,156.25
Bill	07/31/2010	2010379		2010379 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7104.3 - Grdwtr Level - Engineering	575.00
(Bill)	07/31/2010	2010387		2010387 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	17,474.27
Bill	07/31/2010	2010390		2010390 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.6 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	247.50
#G	07/31/2010	2010391		2010391 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	25,645.70
≣ P1	07/31/2010	2010393		2010393 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	300,000
<b>≣</b> 2	07/31/2010	2010395		2010395 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	625.00
Bill	07/31/2010	2010396		2010396 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	5,030.95
Bill	07/31/2010	2010397		2010397 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	968.75
Bill	07/31/2010	2010398		2010398 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	750.00
Bill	07/31/2010	2010399		2010399 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	656,25
III	07/31/2010	2010401		2010401 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	137.50
Bill	07/31/2010	2010402		2010402 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	1,046.55
Bill	07/31/2010	2010403		2010403 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	125.00
Bill	07/31/2010	2010404		2010404 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	62.50
<b>118</b> .	07/31/2010	2010408		2010408 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	1,012.50
Bill	07/31/2010	2010411		2010411 - Recharge & Well - Engineering	7109.3 · Recharge & Well - Engineering	4,192.50
Bill	07/31/2010	2010414		2010414 - PE3&5-Engineering	7303 · PE3&5-Engineering	11,789.75
Bill	07/31/2010	2010419		2010419 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	3,440.00
Bill	07/31/2010	2010420		2010420 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	1,758.75
III BIII	07/31/2010	2010421		2010421 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	2,391,00
TOTAL						110,351.27
Bill Pmt -Check	09/01/2010	14486	WILLIS, KENNETH		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	08/20/2010	8/20 CDA Ad Hoc Comm		8/20/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00
BIII	08/25/2010	8/25 CDA Ad Hoc Comm		8/25/10 CDA Ad Hoc Committee Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00
III.	08/26/2010	8/26 Board Meeting		8/26/10 Board Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00

Financial Report - B1

Paid Amount 375.00	275.00	250.90	45.33 2.99 31.79 32.51 54.46	20.78 23.51 107.37 32.50 20.48 26.95 12.49	7,680.94 27,826.55 35,507.49	1,039.24 1,039.24 130.80 111.17 241.97	55.97
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6191 · Conferences - General	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6012 · Payroll Services		6989.5. Add Hot Litigation Committee 7204 · Comp Recharge-Supplies 712, 8/*7305 · PE385-Supplies 7404 · PE889-Supplies 7404 · PE4-Supplies 7404 · PE4-Supplies ol Meetii 8512 · Meeting Expenses	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 1409 · Prepaid Life, BAD&D & LTD 60191 · Life & Disab.Ins Benefits	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6031.7 • Other Office Supplies
Метто	Registration Fee for Oct. 13-15, 2010 Conf. Registration Fee for Ken Manning	2010082600 August 2010 Payroll Services	supplies for admin mtgs - booklet overview purchase flex tubing for cords mileage reimbursement to S. Molino purchase supplies for 7/15 & 8/19 Ad Meetings purchase lunch for 7/01 Intervention/Judgment	purchase supplies for 7/27 GRCC Meeting 7204 · Comp Recharge-S purchase supplies for 7/07, 7/14, 7/21, 8/04, 8/12, 8/7305 · PE3&5-Supplies purchase supplies for 7/15 and 8/19 DYY Meetings 7604 · PE8-Supplies purchase supplies for 7/15 and 8/19 DYY Meetings 7604 · PE8-Supplies purchase supplies for 7/101, 8/05 & 9/02 Approp. Pool 8312 · Meeting Expenses purchase supplies for 8/05 & 9/02 Non Ag Pool Meeti 8512 · Meeting Expenses	Payroll and Taxes for 08/22/10-09/04/10 Payroll Taxes for 08/22/10-09/04/10 Direct Deposits for 08/22/10-09/04/10 Wage Works Direct Debit 09/10/10	Employee Portion - 125K Flex Spending ID #0000000643 Account 00198 Prepayment - October 2010 July Premlum	0023230263 Office Water Bottle - August 2010
Name	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	РАУСНЕХ	PETTY ÇASH		Payroll and Taxes for 08/22/10-09/04/10  Wage Works Direct Debit 09/10/10	ACWA SERVICES CORPORATION	ARROWHEAD MOUNTAIN SPRING WATER
Num	14487	<b>14488</b> 2010082600	14489		09/04/10	14480 00198	14491 0023230253
Date	<b>09/01/2010</b> 09/01/2010	<b>09/02/2010</b> 08/31/2010	09/02/2010 09/02/2010		09/04/2010 09/04/2010 09/10/2010	09/10/2010 09/13/2010 09/09/2010	<b>09/13/2010</b> 08/31/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	<b>P13</b> or	General Journal General Journal TOTAL General Journal	General Journal TOTAL BIII Pmt -Check Bill	Bill Pmt -Check Bill

	Pald Amount 55.97	593.37 593.37	722.48	396.00	76.66	10,658.50 825.00 11,483.50	7.91	456.39	94.63	155.99 424.89 580.88	
	Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6043.1 · Ricoh Lease Fee	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg ids 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6026 · Security services	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg s and 6042 · Postage + General	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services 8467.1 · Frank B. & Associates	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 60182.2 · Dentel & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'I Ckg cilps, 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6175 · Vehicle Fuel	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 7405 · PE4+Other Expense 6022 · Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg
	Мето	10032925 Invoice for Ricoh copiers	7003-7309-1000-2744 misc. office supplies - drinks, coffee, paper goods	287935 building monitoring 9/01/10-11/30/10	800099090168851 4 FedEx shipments: Audit paperwork to auditors and 6042 · Postage + General	171414 171414 - Ag Pool Legal Services 171414 - Ag Pool Legal Services	3302618 September 2010 Premium	8016353467 miscellaneous office supplies - batteries, binder cilps, 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	300-732-989 Fuel for August 2010	August 2010 services August 2010 services	002483
20	Матте	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	MIJAG ALARM	PURCHASE POWER	REID & HELLYER	SAFEGUARD DENTAL & VISION	STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE	· UNION 76	VERIZON	WESTERN DENTAL SERVICES, INC.
	Num	1 <b>4492</b> 10032925	14483 7003730910002744	14484 287935	14495 8000909000168851	14496 171414	1 <b>4497</b> 3302618	1 <b>4498</b> 8016353467	14499 300732989	1 <b>4500</b> 012561121521714508 012519118950792103	14501
	Date	<b>09/13/2010</b> 09/09/2010	<b>09/13/2010</b> 08/31/2010	09/13/2010	09/13/2010 08/31/2010	<b>09/13/2010</b> 08/31/2010	<b>09/13/2010</b> 09/09/2010	<b>09/13/2010</b> 08/31/2010	<b>09/13/2010</b> 09/09/2010	09/13/2010 08/31/2010 09/09/2010	09/13/2010
	Type	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Prnt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Biill Pmt -Check Biil Biill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check

Page 6 of 13

STER	Month c	
CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER	Cash Disbursements For The Month o	September 2010
INO BASIN	isburseme	Septen
동	Cash D	

Financial Report - B1

Trees	Ç.	wi.N	Nama	ome M	Appenint	Daid Amount
 	09/09/2010	002483		October 2010 Dental Premium	60182.2 · Dental & Vision ins	28.06
тотаг						28.06
Bill Pmt -Check	09/13/2010	14502	YUKON DISPOSAL SERVICE	08-K2 213849	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/09/2010	08-k2 213849		Service for Sept 2010	6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	142.88
TOTAL						142,88
Bill Pmt -Check	09/15/2010	14503	BANC OF AMERICA LEASING	011624576	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/13/2010	011624576		Minolta lease - billing period 09/01/10-09/30/10	6043,1 · Ricoh Lease Fee	3,215.74
TOTAL						3,215.74
Bill Pmt -Check	09/15/2010	14504	BANK OF AMERICA	XXXX-XXXX-9341	1012 ⋅ Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
	08/31/2010	XXXXXXXXXX341		foot controls for new digital system	6055 · Computer Hardware	76.79
				Lunch for ACL Method of Assessment Meeting	6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	99.14
				Junch for 8/26 WM Board Meeting	6312 · Meeting Expenses	530.70
TOTAL						706,63
Bill Pmt -Check	09/15/2010	14505	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
	08/31/2010	436016		436016 - WM Legal Counsel	6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel	298.78
<b>≣</b> • <b>1</b> •	08/31/2010	436017		436017 - Santa Ana River	6907.34 · Santa Ana River	2,466.89
	08/31/2010	436018		436018 - Ontario Airport Plume	6907.31 · Ontario Airport Plume	126.90
Bill	08/31/2010	436019		436019 - Chino Airport Plume	6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume	16,079.25
Bill	08/31/2010	436020		436020 - Desalter Negotiations	6907,33 · Desalter Negotiations	30,775,05
Bill	08/31/2010	436021		436021 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	6907,35 · Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	3,453,30
1911	08/31/2010	436022		436022 - Santa Ana Sucker	6907.36 · Santa Ana Sucker	1,305,31
Bill	08/31/2010	436015		436015 - WM Legal Counsel	6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel	15,705.93
				436015 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NO!	6907,35 · Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	4,294,50
				436015 - Santa Ana Sucker	6907.36 · Santa Ana Sucker	444.60
				436015 - Desalter Negotiations	6907.33 · Desaiter Negotiations	1,710.20
		•		436015 - Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	6907.38 · Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	3,591.00
				436015 - Recharge Master Plan	6907.39 · Recharge Master Plan	2,462.40
				436015 - Peace II - CEQA	6907,30 · Peace II - CEQA	786.60
TOTAL						83,500.71
Bill Pmt -Check	09/15/2010	14506	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	URCES	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/15/2010			well completion reports-Desalter III Chino Creek	7305 · PE3&5-Supplies	174.15
TOTAL						174.15
Bill Pmt -Check	09/15/2010	14507	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	Lease Due Oct.1, 2010	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	09/15/2010			Lease Due October 1, 2010 - adjustment made	6021 · Office lease	5,844.00
TOTAL						5,844,00

Paid Amount	77.00	865.00 865.00	4,098.32	256.00		2,064.67	165.77	25.94	25.94	25.94	30,80	37.19	496.44	136.61	313.12
Account	ppter IV 1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6192 • Training & Seminars	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6024 • Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 8367 • Legal Service	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6066 · Position Bond Insurance		1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 3, 8/16, 7305 · PE3&5-Supplies	6909,1 ··OBMP Meetings	ootz - weening Expense d 8/25 8312 - Meeting Expenses	8412 - Meeting Expenses		/104.b · Grawtr Level - Supplies 6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	7405 · PE4-Other Expense	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg onferen 6031,7 • Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.4 · Retiree Medical	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.2 • Dental & Vision Ins
Memo	Sept. 22, 2010 Cucamonga Valley IAAP Chapter IV 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg Fee for J. Wilson, S. Molino and A. Camp 6192 · Training & Seminars	28134 Service -September 2010	Appropriative Pool Legal Services	405 Position Bond Insurance	VOID	03693719 CDA calls on 7/28, 7/30, 8/04, 8/06, 8/12, 8/ <sup>1</sup> 3, 8/16, 7305 · PE3&5-Supplies	Peace II calls on 7/29, 8/03 and 8/16	Non As Foul mig on 6/up calls on Appropriative Pool agenda on 7/28 and 8/25 8312 · Meeting Expenses	calls on AG Pool Agenda on 7/28 and 8/25	calls on Non AG Pool Agenda on 7/28 and 8/25	call on water level call on water purchase	call on subsidence mitigation	8016426456 1012 · Bank of America Gen' miscellaneous office supplies - end table for conferen 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies		160-513170-00006 October 2010 Premium
Name	CUCAMONGA VALLEY IAAP	GUARANTEED JANITORIAL SERVICE, INC.	JOHN J. SCHATZ	LIATH & ASSOCIATES	MCI	PREMIERE GLOBAL SERVICES							STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE	STAULA, MARY L	THE STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY
Num	14508	14509 28134	14510	14511 405	14512	14513 03693719							14514 · 8016426456	14515	1 <b>4516</b> 16051317000006
Date	09/15/2010 09/14/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/13/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 08/31/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/15/2010	09/15/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 08/31/2010							<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/13/2010	<b>09/16/2010</b> 09/30/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/09/2010
Туре	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt Check						TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill

Financial Report - B1

	Paid Amount 313.12	28.76	570.89	75.00		1,255.75 1,255.75	7,756.86 26,780.29 34,537.15	1,845.34	903.13	6,946.89	260.25
	Account	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6042 - Postage - General	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6022 · Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance		1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6053 • Internet Expense	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 2000 · Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6191 · Conferences · General	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 2000 - Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg
September 2010	Мето	2x81x0 booklets to Mathis, info. sent to City of Ontario	0903039481 monthly service	Ťruck washing service truck washing 3 trucks	VOID	74553444 - Monthly Websile and Internet Service	Payroll and Taxes for 09/05/10-09/18/10 Payroll Taxes for 09/05/10-09/18/10 Direct Deposits for 09/05/10-09/18/10	Payroll and Taxes for 08/22/10-09/04/10 Staff's 457 Retirement for 08/22/10-09/04/10	Sept. 12-15, 2010 Water Reuse Symposium Sept. 12-15, 2010 Water Reuse Symposium	Payor #3493 Staffs CaIPERS for 08/22/10-09/04/10	Wage Works Direct Debit 09/20/10 Employee Portion - 125K Flex Spending
eldes	Name	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE	VERIZON WIRELESS	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	MGI	мсі	Payroll and Taxes for 09/05/10-09/18/10	CITISTREET	PAK, BEN	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	Wage Works Direct Debit 09/20/10
	Num	14517 2x81x0	14518 0903039481	14519	14520	14521 74553444	09/18/10	14522 08/22/10-09/04/10	14623	14524 08/22/10-09/04/10	09/20/10
	Date	<b>09/15/2010</b> 08/31/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/13/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/14/2010	09/15/2010	<b>09/15/2010</b> 09/15/2010	09/18/2010 09/18/2010	09/20/2010 09/04/2010	<b>09/20/2010</b> 09/16/2010	<b>09/20/2010</b> 09/04/2010	09/20/2010 09/20/2010
	Type TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	General Journal General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check General Journal TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check General Journal TOTAL	General Journal General Journal TOTAL

Financial Report - B1

Paid Amount	3,758.46	179.13	8,319,34 7,914,25 16,233,59	400.98	77.70	2,767.50	25,778.00 25,778.00	509.05	100.00	1,039.24
Account	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.1 - Medical Insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6055 · Computer Hardware	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8567 - Non-Ag Legal Service 8567 - Non-Ag Legal Service	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8456 · IEUA Readyness To Serve	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60194 · Other Employee Insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6061.3 · Rauch	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6903 · SARW Group	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60191 - Lífe & Disab.Ins Benefits	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg
Мето	1741 October 2010 Medical Premlums	78427 to replace monitor in board room	1918389 - Non-Ag Legal Services 1910725 - Non-Ag Legal Services	90006265 90006265	111802 September 2010	S Sep-091006 Downpayment for annual report	TY 8031 8031 - SARW Group	Policy # 00-640888-0009 Life & Disability Insurance Premiums	Truck washing service truck washing 4 trucks	Wage Works Direct Debit 09/24/10 Employee Portion - 125K Flex Spending
Name	CALPERS	COMPUTER NETWORK	HOGAN LOVELLS'	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	PRE-PAID LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	RAUCH COMMUNICATION CONSULTANTS, LLC Sep-091006  Downpaymer	SANTA ANA WATERSHED PROJECT AUTHORITY 8031	STANDARD INSURANCE CO.	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	Wage Works Direct Debit 09/24/10
MuN	14525 1741	14526 79427	1 <b>4527</b> 1918389 1910725	14528 90006265	14529 111802	14530 Sep-091006	1 <b>453</b> 1 8031	1 <b>4532</b> 640888-0009	14533	09/24/10
Date	<b>09/22/2010</b> 09/17/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 09/17/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 08/31/2010 08/31/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 08/31/2010	0 <b>9/27/2010</b> 09/21/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 09/17/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 09/21/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 09/17/2010	<b>09/22/2010</b> 09/22/2010	<b>09/24/2010</b> 09/24/2010
Tvpe	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Prot -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt ·Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check  6 Bill Ted Bill	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	BIII Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	General Journal General Journal TOTAL

1 9	Date 09/28/2010	Num 14534	Name COMPUTER NETWORK	Memo	Account 1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	Paid Amount
	78696			workstation repair for office specialist	6055 · Computer Hardware	141.31
	78902			cd burning software	6054 · Computer Software	277.31
	79034			replacement batteries	6055 · Computer Hardware	195.75
	78877			maintenance and parts for HP 9500 copier	6055 · Computer Hardware	125.00
09/23/2010 78656	78656			laptop for Senior Engineer	6055 · Computer Hardware	1,625.37
09/28/2010 14535	14535		HOGAN LOVELLS	1922830	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
09/28/2010 1922830	1922830			1922830 - Non-Ag Legal Services	8567 · Non-Ag Legal Service	14,835,95
						14,835.95
09/29/2010 14536	14536		ARROWHEAD MOUNTAIN SPRING WATER	0023230263	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
09/27/2010 0023230253	0023230253			Office Water Bottle -September 2010	6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	17.48
						17.48
09/29/2010 14537	14537		BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION	1100130	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
07/31/2010 1100130	1100130			1100130	7202 · Comp Recharge-Engineering	7,496.25
						7,496.25
09/29/2010 14538	14538		DIRECTV	019447404	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
09/27/2010 019447404	019447404			Services for 9/19/10-10/18/10	6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	83,99
						66'88
09/29/2010 14539	14539		Equipco	S43625	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	
09/16/2010 S43625	S43625			to purchase Flow Meter for gw quality*	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	3,826.81
						3,826.81
09/29/2010 14540	14540		FIVE STAR CATERING		1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	
09/29/2010				balance due-caterer - Strategic Pianning Conf	6193.1 · Strategic Planning Conference	2,744.03
						2,744.03
09/29/2010 14541	14541		GRAINGER		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
09/21/2010 9353052054	9353052054			9353052054 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	60.72
09/23/2010 9355267809	9355267809			9355267809 - Grdwfr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	150.98
09/23/2010 9355267817	9355267817			9355267817 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	3.21
09/23/2010 9355267833	9355267833			9355267833 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	10.85
09/23/2010 9355267825	9355267825			9355267825 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	27.15
09/23/2010 9354245962	9354245962			9354245962 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwfr Quat - Supplies	6.52
09/23/2010 9354245954	9354245954			9354245954 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	20.24
09/23/2010 9354245970	9354245970			9354245970 - Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual - Supplies	77.42

Financial Report - B1

Paid Amount 357,09	190.00	46.99 60.62 107.61	298.64	1,069.20	150.89	64.98	183,000.00	4,207.50 1,300.00 5,502.50 28,068.75 3,846.25 1,075.00 10,428.55	406.25 3,137.50
Account	sium 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6191 · Conferences · General	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.1 · Copy Paper 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60183 · Worker's Comp Insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 7405 · PE4-Other Expense	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 5011 · Replenishment Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 7103.3 · Grdwfr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering
Мето	October 2, 2010 IAAP Multi Chapter Symposium 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg fee for S. Molino and J. Wilson to attend 6191 · Conferences · General	634056999001 copy paper desk calendars 2011	8016533293 misc. office supplies - index dividers for filings	1815535-10 Workers Comp Premium 1615535-10	012561121521714508 September 2010	00-101789-0001 October 2010	payment for FY 09-10 replenishment water \$366 x 500 AF	2010516 m- OBMP Engineering Services 201057 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010518 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010519 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010520 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010521 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010521 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010523 - Grdwfr Qual-Engineering 2010524 - Grdwfr Qual-Engineering
Name	IAAP MULTI-CHAPTER SYMPOSIUM	OFFICE DEPOT	STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE	STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND	VERIZON	VISION SERVICE PLAN	WEST VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC	
Num	14542	14543 534056999001	14544 8016533293	14545 1615535-10	<b>14546</b> 012561121521714508	14547 001017890001	14548	14549 2010516 2010517 2010518 2010519 2010520 2010521	2010523 2010524
Date	09/29/2010 08/27/2010	09/28/2010 09/16/2010	<b>09/29/2010</b> 09/18/2010	<b>09/29/2010</b> 09/23/2010	09/29/2010	<b>09/29/2010</b> 09/27/2010	09/29/2010 09/29/2010	09/29/2010 08/31/2010 08/31/2010 08/31/2010 08/31/2010 08/31/2010	08/31/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill	DD Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bil	B Bill

Type	Dafe	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Ball	08/31/2010	2010525		2010525 - Grdwtr Level - Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level - Engineering	287.50
Bill	08/31/2010	2010526		2010526 - Grdwfr Level - Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level - Engineering	787.50
BE	08/31/2010	2010527		2010527 - Grdwtr Level - Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level - Engineering	5,371.80
Bill	08/31/2010	2010528		2010528 - Grdwir Level - Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level - Engineering	468.75
Bill	08/31/2010	2010529		2010529 - Grdwtr Level - Contracted Serv	7104.8 · Grdwtr Level - Contracted Serv	2,075,00
1881	08/31/2010	2010530		2010530 - Grd Level-SAR Imagery	7107.3 · Grd Level-SAR Imagery	12,400.00
nie:	08/31/2010	2010531		2010531 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107,2 · Grd Level-Engineering	3,147.29
nie.	08/31/2010	2010532		2010532 - Grd Level-Cap Equip Exte	7107.8 · Grd Level-Cap Equip Exte	2,933.39
Bill	08/31/2010	2010533		2010533 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	7107.6 · Grd Level-Contract Svcs	10,512.55
Bill	08/31/2010	2010534		2010534 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	12,455,20
Bilt	08/31/2010	2010535		2010535 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	7107,6 · Grd Level-Contract Svcs	10,512.55
Bill	08/31/2010	2010536		2010536 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	7107.6 · Grd Level-Contract Svcs	9,000.00
1111	08/31/2010	2010537		2010537 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	300.00
Bill	08/31/2010	2010538		2010538 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	5,033,60
Bill	08/31/2010	2010539		2010539 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	468.75
B8	08/31/2010	2010540		2010540 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	93.75
III	08/31/2010	2010541		2010541 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	112.50
Bill	08/31/2010	2010542		2010542 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	962.70
160	08/31/2010	2010543		2010543 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	93.75
≣ P2	08/31/2010	2010544		2010544 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	31.25
188 <b>1</b>	08/31/2010	2010545		2010545 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	500.00
<b>8</b>	08/31/2010	2010546		2010546 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	15,88
Bill	08/31/2010	2010547		2010547 - Recharge & Well - Engineering	7109.3 · Recharge & Well - Engineering	1,005.00
Bill	08/31/2010	2010548		2010548 - PE3&5-Engineering	7303 · PE3&5-Engineering	17,537.50
<b>20</b>	08/31/2010	2010549		2010549 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	1,825.00
BIII	08/31/2010	2010550		2010550 PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	1,561.25
. 220	08/31/2010	2010551		2010550 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	4,718.05
TOTAL						162,183.81
Bill Pmt -Check	09/30/2010	14550	MWH LABORATORIES		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
III B	08/31/2010	L0036084		L0036084 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	2,065.00
Ħ	08/31/2010	L0036085		L0036085 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	615.00
B	08/31/2010	L0036914		L0036914 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svos	2,065.00
Bill	08/31/2010	L0036915		L0036915 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	1,532.00
Bill	08/31/2010	L0037162		L0037162 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	2,065.00
	08/31/2010	L0036922		L0036922 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	615.00
Bill	08/31/2010	1,0038382		L0038382 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	7108,4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	2,065.00
TOTAL.						11,022.00
Bill Pmt -Check	09/30/2010	14551	CITISTREET	Payroll and Taxes for 09/05/10-09/18/10	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
General Journal	09/18/2010	09/05/10-09/18/10	CITISTREET	Staff's 457 Retirement for 09/05/10-09/18/10	2000 · Accounts Payable	1,715.67

Туре

TOTAL

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

#### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

**Committee Members** 

SUBJECT:

VISA Check Detail Report - Financial Report B2

#### SUMMARY

Issue – Record of VISA credit card payment disbursed for the month of September 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the VISA Check Detail Report for September 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact - Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

#### BACKGROUND

A monthly VISA Check Detail report is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster expenditures charged against the CEO and/or CFO's Bank of America VISA card.

#### DISCUSSION

Total cash disbursement during the month of September 2010 was \$706.63. The monthly charges for September 2010 were for routine and customary expenditures and properly documented with receipts.

#### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool – November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool – November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee – November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board –

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

ASTER	port	
CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER	VISA Check Detail Report	Contourbor 2040

Financial Report - B2

nt Paid Amount	ica Gen'l Ckg	1 76.79	ngs 99.14	ses 530.70
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6055 · Computer Hardware	6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	6312 · Meeting Expenses
			ent Meeting	
Memo	XXXX-XXXX-9341	foot controls for new digital system	lunch for ACL Method of Assessment Meeting	lunch for 8/26 WM Board Meeting
Name	BANK OF AMERICA			
Date	09/15/2010	08/31/2010		
Num	14504	XXXXXXXXXXX3341 08/31/2010		
Туре	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill		

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

#### **STAFF REPORT**

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

**Committee Members** 

SUBJECT:

Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the

Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 - Financial Report B3

#### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact - Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

#### BACKGROUND

A Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the FY 2010/2011 cumulative Watermaster revenues, expenditures and changes in working capital for the period listed.

#### DISCUSSION

The Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital has been created from various financial reports and statements created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Combining Schedule provided balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system as presented.

#### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool — November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool — November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool — November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee — November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board —

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

		AN INTERNAL	STOTI OCIC INICITATION 9 SOLOTION 1000	ALONG S POLICY	ļ	C CHILD (A) CHILD				
	WATERMASTER	BASIN MANAGEMENT	APPROPRIATIVE POOL.	AG POOL	10 IE	GROUNDWATER OF ERATIONS GROUNDWATER SB222 REPLENISHMENT FUNDS	SB222 FUNDS	EDUCATION FUNDS	GRAND	BUDGET 2010-2011
Administrative Revenues: Administrative Assessments Interest Revenue Mutual Agency Project Revenue			7,606	609	306			<del></del>	8,522	\$6,358,070 175,010
Grant Income Miscelianeous Income	111,000								111,000	000
Total Revenues	111,000	-	7,606	609	306	t	1	1	119,522	6,681,490
Administrative & Project Expenditures: Watermaster Administration Watermaster Board-Advisory Committee Pool Administration Optimum Basin Mgnt Administration OBMP Project Costs Debt Service Education Funds Use Mutural Anancy Project Costs	137,547 16,574	507,922 671,703 637,198	17,760	44,298	34,707				137,547 16,574 96,765 507,922 671,703	512,546 73,073 261,523 1,350,390 3,772,619 700,964
Total Administrative/OBMP Expenses	154,121	1,816,823	17,760	44,298	34,707	E		1	2,067,709	6,681,490
Net Administrative/OBMP Expenses Allocate Net Admin Expenses To Pools	(43,121) 43,121	(1,816,823)	29,652	11,997	1,472				r	
(Secate Net OBMP Expenses To Pools Colocate Debt Service to App Pool		1,179,625 637,198	811,170 637,198	328,192	40,262					
Agricultural Expense Transfer*			384,487	(384,487)					ı	
Total Expenses		•	1,880,267	•	76,441	-	1	r	2,067,709	6,681,490
Net Administrative Income			(1,872,662)	609	(76,135)	1	1	<u>_</u>	(1,948,187)	
Other Income/(Expense) Replenishment Water Assessments Interest Revenue Water Purchases Balance Adjustment Other Water Purchases Groundwater Replenishment				·		1,792			1,792	00000
Net Other Income			1	1	-	(181,939)			(181,939)	0
Net Transfers To/(From) Reserves		(2,130,126)	(1,872,662)	609	(76,135)	(181,939)	-	-	(2,130,126)	- s
Working Capital, July 1, 2010 Working Capital, End Of Period		, ii	6,219,006 4,346,345	473,483 474,092	256,632 180,497	1,369,991	158,251 158,251	1,001	8,478,365 6,348,239	6,348,239
09/10 Assessable Production 09/10 Production Percentages		•	78,733.238 68.765%	31,854.766 27.822%	3,907.911 3.413%				114,495.915 100.000%	

<sup>\*</sup>Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement.

C:\Usersismolino\AppDatai\_coal\MicrosoftWindows\Temporary internet Files\Content.Outlook\9UL/16TC\Combining Schedule B3\_Sep2010.xis\_juiz010-Sep10

### THIS PAGE HAS

INTENTIONALLY

**BEEN LEFT** 

**BLANK** 

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through

September 30, 2010 - Financial Report B4

### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Record of increases or decreases in the cash position, assets and liabilities of Watermaster for the Period of September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

### **BACKGROUND**

A Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period September 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total cash in banks (Bank of America and LAIF) and on hand at the Watermaster office (petty cash) at the end of the period stated. The Treasurer's Report details the change (increase or decrease) in the overall cash position of Watermaster, as well as the changes (increase or decrease) to the assets and liabilities section of the balance sheet. The report also provides a detailed listing of all deposits and/or withdrawals in the California State Treasurer's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), the most current effective yield as of the last quarter, and the ending balance in LAIF as of the reporting date.

### DISCUSSION

The Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs has been created from various financial reports and statements created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Treasurer's Report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool – November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool – November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee – November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board –

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

Financial Report - B4

	DEPOSITORIES: Cash on Hand - Petty Cash	I <b>ES</b> : d - Petty Cas	£					↔	200
	Bank of America Governmental Zero Balance Local Agency Inv	ank of America Governmental Checking-Dema Zero Balance Account - Payrol ocal Agency Investment Fund - 3	ank of America Governmental Checking-Demand Deposits Zero Balance Account - Payroll ocal Agency Investment Fund - Sacramento	sits		<del>о о</del>	161,661	9	161,661 6,544,588
	TOTAL CASI TOTAL CASI	H IN BANKS	TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND		9/3(	9/30/2010 8/31/2010		\$ 6,7	<b>6,706,749</b> 7,499,221
	PERIOD INC	OD INCREASE (DECREASE)	REASE)					\$	(792,472)
CHANGE IN CASH POSITION DUE TO:		:						•	; ;
Decrease/(Increase) in Assets: Accounts Receivable Assessments Receiva	Accounts Receivable Assessments Receivable	ceivable s Receivable	; ;					€	(10,314)
Prepaid Expenses (Decrease)/Increase in Liabilities Accounts Payable Accued Payroll, P Accrued Payroll, P Transfer to/(from)	Prepaid Expenses, Deposits Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll, Payroll Tax Transfer to/(from) Reserves	inses, Depos /able roll, Payroll Ta rom) Reserve	Prepaid Expenses, Deposits & Other Current Assets Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll, Payroll Taxes & Other Current Liabilities Transfer tol(from) Reserves	ant Assets rrent Liabilities		,			(88) (274,220) 11,251 (519,100)
	PERIOD INC	OD INCREASE (DECREASE)	REASE)					\$	(792,472)
				Zero Balance					
	Petty Cash	Govt	Govt'l Checking Demand	Account Payroll	Local Investm	Local Agency Investment Funds	Totals		
SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS: Balances as of 8/31/2010 Deposits Transfers Withdrawais/Checks	<del>()</del>	\$ 009	154,133 \$ 800,100 (70,045) (722,527)	70,045 (70,045)	€	7,344,588 \$	7,499,221 800,100 (800,000) (792,572)		
Balances as of 9/30/2010	\$	\$ 009	161,661 \$		↔	6,544,588 \$	6,706,749		
PERIOD INCREASE OR (DECREASE)	49	\$	7,528 \$	9	€9	\$ (000'008)	(792,472)		

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD SEPTEMBER 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Financial Report - B4

# INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

· [	Transaction Withdrawal	Depository	·	Activity	Redeemed	Days to Maturity	Interest Rate(*)	Maturity Yield
	Withdrawal	LA.I.F.	<b>↔</b>	(450,000)		-		
띭	TOTAL INVESTMENT TRANSACT	SNOIL	ક્ક	(800,000)	1			

<sup>\*</sup> The earnings rate for L.A.I.F. is a daily variable rate; 0.56% was the effective yield rate at the Quarter ended June 30, 2010.

### INVESTMENT STATUS September 30, 2010

	Principal	Number of	Interest	Maturity
Financial Institution	Amount	Days	Rate	Date
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$ 6,544,588			
TOTAL INVESTMENTS	\$ 6,544,588			

Funds on hand are sufficient to meet all foreseen and planned Administrative and project expenditures during the next six months.

All investment transactions have been executed in accordance with the criteria stated in Chino Basin Watermaster's Investment Policy.

. .

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph S. Joswiak Chief Financial Officer

Chino Basin Watermaster

C:\Users\smoilno\AppDala\Local\Windows\Temporary Internet Files\Content.Outlook\9UL118TC\Treasurers Report B4\_Sep2010.xlsjSep\$010



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Budget vs. Actual Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 -

Financial Report - B5

### **SUMMARY**

**Issue** – Record of revenues and expenses of Watermaster for the Period of July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the Budget vs. Actual Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact - Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

### **BACKGROUND**

A Budget vs. Actual Report for the period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total revenues and expenses for the current fiscal year. The expense section is categorized into four distinct sections. Those sections are: General and Administrative Expenses; Optimal Basin Management Program Expenses; Project Expenses; and Other Income/Expenses.

### DISCUSSION

The Budget vs. Actual report has been created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Budget vs. Actual report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

Year-To-Date (YTD) for the three month period ending September 30, 2010 of the fiscal year, all categories were at or below the projected budget with the exception of category 6900 (Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan). Within the 6900 category is the regional board fine in the amount of \$62,675 which was posted to account 6909 (OBMP Other Expenses). If you recall, this item was not included as part of the fiscal year 2010/2011 budget. Also recorded within this category are the Watermaster's legal expenses. Currently, the legal expenses are

above the Y-T-D budget as a direct result of the ongoing Desalter Negotiations of \$95,335, the Chino Airport Plume of \$45,858, Santa Ana Sucker \$7,200, and the Paragraph 31 Motion activity of \$34,010. Several individual legal projects were below budget for the first quarter. These were the Peace II (\$11,324), Ontario Airport Plume (\$2,777), Water Auction (\$8,817), Recharge Master Plan (\$6,275) and General Administrative Legal Costs (Pool, Advisory and Board meetings) of (\$16,243). The budgeted amount for legal expenses for the entire fiscal year of \$450,000 was allocated at \$37,500 per month. For the first three months, the cumulative

Y-T-D budget was \$112,500 and actual legal expenses totaled \$249,467 which resulted in an over budget variance of \$136,967. To date, the legal contingency of \$145,000 has not been used.

### Actions:


November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool – November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool – November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee – November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board –

Actual  0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8,521.8 8,721.4 42,989.8 1,486.8 7,482.9 6,842.15 6,842.15 6,842.15 6,842.15 6,842.15 1,466.8 7,482.9 1,0,811.8 8,355.8 1,777.1 8,935.6 1,0,528.0 1,0,528.0 1,0,528.0 1,0,528.0 1,0,528.0	### Budget   Budget   Budget   COO   COO	For The Month of September 2010           Budget         \$ Over(Under)           0         0.00         0.00           0         0.00         0.00           0         0.00         0.00           0         0.00         0.00           0         0.00         0.00           0         0.00         0.00           0         0.00         0.00           1         0.500.00         4,683.85           1         0.500.00         1,1539.17           2         0.00         1,4842.80           0         0.00         256.00           0         0.00         256.00	%	Year-T Actual  111,000.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 119,521.80 119,521.80 115,083.76 23,559.76 4,417.72 18,838.78	148,410.00 0.00 35,000.00	Vear-To-Date as of September 30, 2010           Budget         \$ Over(Under)         9           1,00         148,410.00         -37,410.00           0,00         0,00         0,00           0,00         0,00         0,00	o of E	Fi Projected 148,410.00	Scal Year End a Budget	Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011  Budget \$ Over(Under)  148,410.00 0.00	% of I
0 · Local Agency Subsidies 0 · Admin Asmnts-Approp Pool 0 · Admin Asmnts-Approp Pool 0 · Non Operating Revenues 0 · Miscellaneous income Income Profit 0 · Office Building Expense 0 · Office Building Expense 0 · Office Building Expense 0 · Office Supplies & Equip. 0 · Office Supplies & Equip. 0 · Contract Services 0 · Insurance 0 · Insurance 0 · Dues and Subscriptions 0 · WM Admin Expenses 0 · Travel & Transportation 0 · Conferences & Seminars 0 · Advisory Comm · WM Board 0 · Matermaster Board Expenses 0 · Advisory Comm · WM Board 0 · Watermaster Board Expenses 0 · Advisory Comm · WM Board 0 · Watermaster Board Expenses 0 · Advisory Admin	Budget  0.00  0.00  35,002.00  36,002.00  36,002.00  36,509.67  3,125.00  8,166.66  8,766.66  5,000.00  0.00		% of Budget 0.0% 0.0% 24.35% 24.35% 112.23% 101.42% 47.55% 95.81% 123.33% 136.85%	Actual  111,000,00  0.00  8,521.80  119,521.80  115,083.76  23,569.76  4,417.72  18,838.78	Budget 148,410.00 0.00 35,002.00	\$ Over(Under) -37,410.00 0.00	% of Budget 74.79%	Projected 148,410.00	Budget 148,410.00	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budge
0 · Local Agency Subsidies 0 · Admin Asmnts-Approp Pool 0 · Admin Asmnts-Approp Pool 0 · Non Operating Revenues 0 · Miscellaneous Income Income Profit 0 · Salary Costs 0 · Office Building Expense 0 · Orfice Supplies & Equip. 0 · Orfice Supplies & Equip. 0 · Information Services 0 · Information Services 0 · Insurance 0 · Dues and Subscriptions 0 · WM Admin Expenses 0 · Travel & Transportation 0 · Conferences & Seminars 0 · Advisory Comm · WM Board 0 · Watermaster Board Expenses 0 · Advisory Comm · WM Board 0 · Watermaster Board Expenses 0 · Advisory Comm · WM Board 0 · Watermaster Board Expenses 0 · Advisory Admin	35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 36,002.00 36,002.00 38,786.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -1,1539.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.50 256.00 0.00		111,000,00 0,00 0,00 8,521,80 119,521,80 119,521,80 115,083,76 23,558,76 4,417,72 18,838,78	148,410.00 0.00 0.00 35,002.00	-37,410,00 0.00	74.79%	148,410.00	148,410.00	0.00	100.0%
Approp Pool Non-Agri Pool Revenues Income Expense REquip. Iting Costs rvices ces ces ces contation Serninars n-WM Board aard Expenses Pool Admin R Pool Admin	35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 37,286.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00 37,256.00	0.00 0.00 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -1,539.17 -342.11 -342.11 -2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0.00		111,000,00 0,00 0,00 8,521,80 119,521,80 118,521,80 115,083,76 23,559,76 4,417,72 18,838,78	148,410.00 0.00 0.00 35,002.00	-37,410,00 0.00 0.00	74.79%	148,410.00	148,410.00	00'0	100.0%
Approp Pool Non-Agri Pool Revenues Income Expense & Equip. ting Costs rvices criptions enses oord Expenses Pool Admin & Pool Admin	35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 36,286.00 3,125.00 3,125.00 3,166.66 8,766.66 8,766.66	0.00 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 -342.11 -342.60 -266.00 -0.00		0.00 8.521.80 0.00 119,521.80 119,521.80 115,083.76 23,559.76 4,417.72 18,838.78	0.00 0.00 35,002.00	0.00					
Non-Agri Pool Revenues Income Expense & Equip. Iting Costs rvices res res res res res res res res res r	35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 38,286.00 8,166.66 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0.00		0.00 8.521.80 0.00 119.521.80 119.521.80 115.083.76 2.3.559.76 4,417.72 118.838.78	35,002.00	0.00	%0'0	6,153,067.00	6,153,067.00	0.00	100.0%
Revenues Income Expense & Equip. Iting Costs rvices res res res res res res res res res r	35,002.00 36,002.00 36,002.00 38,286.00 38,288.60 3,255.00 3,125.00 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00	26,480.20 0.00 -26,480.20 4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.50 256.00 0.00		0.00 119,521.80 1115,083.76 23,559.76 4,417.72 18,838.78	35,002,00	,	%0.0	355,003,00	355,003,00	0.00	100.0%
Expense  Requip.  Requip.  Ing Costs  rrices  res  res  res  res  res  res  res	35,002.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 38,286.00 8,589.67 3,125.00 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	26,480.20 -26,480.20 -26,480.20 4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.50 256.00 0.00		0.00 119,521,80 116,083,76 23,569,76 4,417,72 18,838,78	C	-26,480.20	24.35%	175,010.00	175,010.00	0.00	100.0%
Expense  & Equip.  fing Costs  rvices  res  res  res  res  res  res  res	35,002.00 35,002.00 38,286.00 8,589.67 3,125.00 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	-26,480.20 -26,480.20 4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.50 256.00 0.00		119,521,80 118,083,76 23,569,76 4,417,72 18,838,78	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0:00	%0.0
Expense iting Costs rvices ses criptions criptions cription cription oord attor sool Admin k Pool Admin	35,002.00 38,286.00 8,599.67 3,125.00 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	-26,480.20 4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.50 256.00 0.00		119,521,80 115,083,76 23,559,76 4,417,72 18,838,78	183,412.00	-63,890,20	65.17%	6,831,490.00	6,831,490,00	00'0	100.0%
Expense  A Equip.  Iting Costs  rvices  res  res  portation  Serninars  n - WM Board  oard Expenses  Pool Admin  k Pool Admin	38,286.00 8,599.67 3,125.00 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0.00	112.23% 101.42% 47.55% 95.81% 123.33% 136.85%	115,083.76 23,559.76 4,417.72 18,838.78	183,412.00	-63,890.20	65.17%	6,831,490.00	6,831,490.00	00'00	100.0%
Expense  & Equip.  ting Costs  rvices  ces  criptions  enses  enses  n-WM Board  aerd Expenses  Pool Admin	38,286,00 8,599,67 3,125,00 8,166,66 8,766,66 5,000,00 0,00	4,683.85 121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0.00	112.23% 101.42% 47.55% 95.81% 123.33% 136.85%	115,083.76 23,559.76 4,417.72 18,838.78							
Expense 8.  It & Equip. 1.  Iting Costs 7.  Indices 6.  criptions enses  portation 2.  Serninars 4.  Soul Admin 10.  k Pool Admin 10.	8,599.67 3,125.00 8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0,00	121.74 -1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0.00	101.42% 47.55% 95.81% 123.33% 100.0%	23,559.76 4,417.72 18,838.78	115,860.00	-776.24	99,33%	464,944.00	464,944.00	00'0	100.0%
ting Costs  rices  res  criptions  criptions	3,125,00 8,166,66 8,766,66 5,000,00 0,00	-1,639.17 -342.11 2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0,00	47.55% 95.81% 123.33% 136.85% 100.0%	4,417.72	25,799.00	-2,239,24	91.32%	103,196.00	103,196.00	0.00	100.0%
ting Costs 7.1  rvices 10.8  criptions enses  portation 2.7  Serninars 4.7  oard Expenses 4.7  Pool Admin 10.9  k Pool Admin 10.9	8,166.66 8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00	-342.11 2,045.22 1,842.60 256.00 0,00	95.81% 123.33% 136.85% 100.0%	18,838.78	9,375,00	4,957,28	47.12%	37,500.00	37,500,00	0.00	100.0%
rvices 103 res criptions criptions character portation 2.7 Seminars 4.7 oard Expenses 4.7 Pool Admin 100, 2.7	8,766.66 5,000.00 0.00 0.00	2,045.22 1,842.50 256.00 0.00	123.33% 136.85% 100.0%		20,366.66	-1,527,88	92.5%	78,300.00	78,300.00	0.00	100.0%
criptions criptions censes censes portation Seminars n-WM Board oard Expenses Appoil Admin 10,0	5,000.00	1,842.50 256.00 0.00	136.85%	32,577,59	35,550.00	-2,972.41	91,64%	142,200.00	142,200.00	00:00	100.0%
enses enses portation Seminars n-WM Board oard Expenses A 2001 Admin 10,0	0.00	256.00	100.0%	6,842.50	21,000.00	-14,157.50	32,58%	75,000.00	75,000.00	00'0	100.0%
criptions enses portation 2.7 Seminars 4.7 Oard Expenses 4.0 Pool Admin 10.0	0000	00'0		15,863.00	17,575.00	-1,712,00	90.26%	17,575.00	17,575,00	00:00	100.0%
portation 2. Seminars 4. Seminars 6. oard Expenses 4. Pool Admin 10.8	00.010		0.0%	20,131,63	20,250.00	-118.37	99.42%	21,000.00	21,000.00	00'0	100.0%
Seminars 4.  n - WM Board oard Expenses 4.  Pool Admin 10.	20.002	-202.95	18.82%	47.05	750.00	-702.95	6,27%	3,000.00	3,000.00	0.00	100.0%
s ard 4 and 5 and 5 and 6 and	0.00	-15.66	100.0%	-15.66	200.00	-215.66	-7.83%	1,800.00	1,800.00	0.00	100.0%
4 4 0 2 0	2,730.00	-15.80	99.42%	6,849.39	8,290.00	-1,440.61	82.62%	33,160.00	33,160.00	00'0	100.0%
4 00 2 0	4,000.00	717.12	117.93%	9,058.76	- 10,250.00	-1,191.24	88.38%	23,000.00	23,000,00	0.00	100.0%
See	1,872.50	-936.81	49.97%	4,011.11	5,617,50	-1,606.39	71.4%	22,470.00	22,470.00	0.00	100.0%
5	4,216.92	71.74	101.7%	12,562.91	12,650.75	-87,84	99.31%	50,603,00	50,603,00	0.00	100,0%
	7,982,84	2,645,24	133.14%	17,760.19	18,192,50	-432.31	97.62%	90,043.00	90,043,00	0.00	100.0%
_	2,345,59	438,34	118.69%	6,693.27	7,036.75	-343,48	95.12%	28,147.00	28,147.00	0.00	100.0%
8467 - Ag Legal & Technical Services   13,710.50	9,833,33	3,877,17	139,43%	34,529,50	29,500.00	5,029.50	117.05%	118,000.00	118,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special 3,075,00	1,000,00	2,075.00	307.5%	3,075.00	3,000.00	75.00	102.5%	12,000.00	12,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8471 · Ag Pool Expense 0.00	16,250.00	-16,250.00	%0.0	0.00	16,250,00	-16,250.00	0.0%	65,000,00	65,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8500 · Non-Ag PI-WM & Pool Admin 15,456.82	13,472.17	1,984,65	114.73%	34,707.32	40,416.50	-5,709.18	85.87%	161,666.00	161,666.00	0.00	100.0%
6500 · Education Funds Use Expens	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	375.00	-375.00	%0.0	375.00	375,00	00'0	100.0%
9400 · Depreciation Expense 0.00	0.00	00:00	%0'0	0.00	00'0	0.00	%0.0	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
9500 · Allocated G&A Expenditures -38,278.48	-40,677.42	2,398,94	94.1%	-115,707.58	-122,032,25	6,324.67	84.82%	-488,129.00	-488,129.00	0.00	100.0%
6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	109,690.99	6,908.99	106.3%	478,319,41	282,517.00	195,802.41	169.31%	1,197,734.00	1,197,734.00	0.00	100.0%
6950 · Mutual Agency Projects 0.00	00.00	00:00	%0'0	00'0	00'0	00'0	%0.0	10,000.00	10,000,00	00'0	100.0%
9501 · G&A Expenses Allocated-OBMP 7,971.19	11,888.00	-3,916.81	67.05%	29,602,47	35,664.00	-6,061.53	83.0%	142,656.00	142,656.00	0.00	100.0%
7101 · Production Monitoring 4.649.18	5,879.31	-1,230.13	79.08%	26,355.72	28,054,75	-1,699.03	93.94%	104,219,00	104,219.00	0.00	100,0%
7102 · In-line Meter Installation 1,203,47	5,556.59	4,353.12	21.66%	3,399.71	16,669.75	-13,270,04	20.39%	66,679.00	66,679.00	00'0	100.0%
7103 · Grdwtr Quality Monitoring 21,666.22	16,916,34	4,749,88	128.08%	43,839.99	50,749.00	-6,909.01	86.39%	202,996.00	202,996.00	0.00	100.0%
7104 · Gdwfr Level Monitoring 11,913.53	25,863,08	-13,949.55	46.06%	39,872.39	84,070,50	-44,198.11	47.43%	336,282.00	336,282.00	00'0	100.0%
7105 - Sur Witr Qual Monitoring	315.00	-315,00	%0.0	00:00	1,070,00	-1,070.00	0.0%	4,280.00	4,280.00	0.00	100.0%
7107 · Ground Level Monitoring 11,032.13	83,801.67	-72,769.54	13.17%	119,264.74	203,905.00	-84,640.26	58.49%	815,620.00	815,620.00	0.00	100.0%
7108 · Hydraulic Control Monitoring 17,141.25	41,141.67	-24,000,42	41.66%	57,480.37	123,425,00	-65,944.63	46,57%	493,700.00	493,700.00	00'0	100.0%
7109 · Recharge & Well Monitoring Prog	0.00	472.50	100.0%	5,670.00	8,440,00	-2,770,00	67.18%	8,440.00	8,440.00	0.00	100.0%

Page 1 of 2

10)27/10 Accrual Basis		7200 · PE2- Comp Recharge
¥		


	Ţ	1/12th of the Total Budget	otal Budget		3	3/12th (25%) of	3/12th (25%) of the Total Budget		н	100% of the Total Budget	tal Budget	
	For	The Month of	For The Month of September 2010	0	Year	r-To-Date as of !	Year-To-Date as of September 30, 2010	. 01.	Fis	scal Year End as	Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011	
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget
7200 · PE2- Comp Recharge Pgm	7,144.28	24,335,16	-17,190,88	29.36%	205,136.67	254,255.50	-49,118.83	80.68%	1,017,022.00	1,017,022,00	0,00	100,0%
7300 · PE3&5-Water Supply/Desalte	10,320.48	13,982.58	-3,662.10	73.81%	51,748.96	53,907,75	-2,158.79	%0'96	72,111.00	72,111.00	0.00	100.0%
7400 • PE4- Mgmt Plan	777.46	7,572.92	-6,795,46	10.27%	2,367,26	22,988.75	-20,621.49	10.3%	91,955,00	91,955.00	00'0	100.0%
7500 · PE6&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	4,736.05	12,648.34	-8,112.29	36.86%	20,430.10	38,545,00	-18,114.90	53.0%	154,180.00	154,180.00	0.00	100.0%
7600 · PE8&9-StorageMgmt/Conj Use	1,504.35	5,658,33	-4,153,98	26.59%	10,032,07	17,062.50	-7,030.43	58.8%	68,250.00	68,250.00	0.00	100.0%
7690 · Recharge Improvement Debt Pymt	00:00	00'0	00:00	%0'0	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	90.9%	700,964.00	700,964.00	0.00	100.0%
7700 · Inactive Well Protection Prgm	00'0	0.00	00'0	%0.0	0,00	353.00	-353.00	0.0%	1,412,00	1,412.00	0.00	100.0%
9502 · G&A Expenses Allocated-Projects	30,307.29	28,789,42	1,517.87	105.27%	86,105,11	86,368,25	-263.14	99.7%	345,473.00	345,473.00	00'0	100.0%
Total Expense	346,414.29	489,459.32	-143,045.03	70.78%	2,067,708.47	2,305,282.16	-237,573.69	89.69%	6,894,823,00	6,894,823.00	0.00	100.0%
Net Ordinary Income	-337,892,49	-454,457.32	116,564.83	74.35%	-1,948,186.67	-2,121,870.16	173,683.49	91,82%	-63,333.00	-63,333.00	0.00	100.0%
Other income												
4225 · Interest Income	1,792.25	0.00	1,792,26	100.0%	1,792,25	00:00	1,792,25	100.0%	00.00	0.00	0000	0.0%
4210 · Approp Pool-Replenishment	0,00	0.00	0,00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	%0.0	00:0	00'0	0.00	0.0%
4220 · Non-Ag Pool-Replenishment	0,00	00'0	0.00	0,0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	%0'0	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
4600 · Groundwater Sales	00'0	0.00	0.00	0,0%	0.00	00'0	0.00	%0.0	0.00	00'0	0.00	0.0%
Total Other Income	1,792.25	0.00	1,792.25	100.0%	1,792.25	0.00	1,792.25	100.0%	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.0%
Other Expense												•
5010 · Groundwater Replenishment	183,000.00	0.00	183,000,00	100.0%	183,731.60	00.00	183,731.60	100.0%	0.00	0.00	00'0	%0'0
CA 100 · Other Water Purchases	0.00	0.00	00'0	%0.0	0.00	00.00	00'0	%0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
4999 · To/(From) Reserves	-519,100.24	-454,457.32	-64,642.92	114.22%	-2,130,126.02	-2,121,870,16	-8,255.86	100.39%	00.00	0.00	00'0	0.0%
Total Other Expense	-336,100.24	-454,457.32	118,357.08	73,96%	-1,946,394.42	-2,121,870.16	175,475.74	91.73%	00'0	00:0	000	0.0%
Net Other Income	337,892,49	454,457.32	-116,564.83	74.35%	1,948,186.67	2,121,870.16	-173,683,49	91.82%	00.0	0.00	0.00	%0'0
Net Income	0.00	0.00	00'0	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	-63,333.00	-63,333.00	0.00	100.0%



### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

### C. APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE

1. Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company Has Submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to Percolate 300.000 acre-feet of Water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage



### **NOTICE**

**OF** 

### **APPLICATION(S)**

RECEIVED FOR

### **RECHARGE**

Date of Notice:

October 29, 2010

This notice is to advise interested persons that the attached application(s) will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice.

### NOTICE OF APPLICATION(S) RECEIVED

Date of Application: October 28, 2010 Date of this notice: October 29, 2010

Please take notice that the following Application has been received by Watermaster:

• Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company has submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to percolate 300.000 acre-feet of water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage.

This *Application* will first be considered by each of the respective pool committees on the following dates:

Appropriative Pool:

November 4, 2010

Non-Agricultural Pool:

November 4, 2010

Agricultural Pool:

November 4, 2010

This Application will be scheduled for consideration by the Advisory Committee no earlier than thirty days from the date of this notice and a minimum of twenty-one calendar days after the last pool committee reviews it.

After consideration by the Advisory Committee, the *Application* will be considered by the Board.

Unless the *Application is* amended, parties to the Judgment may file *Contests* to the *Application* with Watermaster *within seven calendar days* of when the last pool committee considers it. Any *Contest* must be in writing and state the basis of the *Contest*.

Watermaster address:

Chino Basin Watermaster 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: (909) 484-3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890

### NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE

Notification Dated: October 29, 2010

A party to the Judgment has submitted a proposed Application for Recharge for Watermaster approval. Unless contrary evidence is presented to Watermaster that overcomes the rebuttable presumption provided in Section 5.3(b)(iii) of the Peace Agreement, Watermaster must find that there is "no material physical injury" and approve the Application. Watermaster staff is not aware of any evidence to suggest that this recharge would cause material physical injury and hereby provides this notice to advise interested persons that this Application will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice. The attached staff report will be included in the meeting package at the time the Application begins the Watermaster process (comes before Watermaster).

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: (909) 484.3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890 www.cbwm.org

### KENNETH R. MANNING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

DATE:

October 29, 2010

TO:

**Watermaster Interested Parties** 

SUBJECT:

Summary and Analysis of Application for Recharge

### Summary -

There does not appear to be a potential material physical injury to a party or to the basin from the proposed transaction as presented.

### Issue -

 Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company has submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to percolate 300.000 acre-feet of water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage.

### Recommendation -

- 1. Continue monitoring as planned in the Optimum Basin Management Program.
- 2. Use all new or revised information when analyzing the hydrologic balance and report to Watermaster if a potential for material physical injury is discovered, and
- 3. Approve the application as presented.

### Fiscal Impact -

	X]	None
Ī	]	Reduces assessments under the 85/15 rule
Ī	1	Reduce desalter replenishment costs

### Background

The Court approved the Peace Agreement, the Implementation Plan and the goals and objectives identified in the OBMP Phase I Report on July 13, 2000, and ordered Watermaster to proceed in a manner consistent with the Peace Agreement. Under the Peace Agreement, Watermaster approval is required for applications to store, recapture, recharge or transfer water, as well as for applications for credits or reimbursements and storage and recovery programs.

Where there is no material physical injury, Watermaster must approve the application. Where the request for Watermaster approval is submitted by a party to the Judgment, there is a rebuttable presumption that most of the transactions do not result in Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin (Storage and Recovery Programs do not have this presumption).

The following Application for Recharge is attached with the notice of application.

 Notice of Application for Recharge – San Antonio Water Company has submitted Form 2 Application for Recharge to percolate 300.000 acre-feet of water from Six Basins into the Upland Basin as Local Supplemental Storage.

Notice of the Application identified above was mailed on October 29, 2010 along with the materials submitted by the requestors.

### DISCUSSION


There is no indication additional analysis regarding this transaction is necessary at this time. As part of the OBMP Implementation Plan, continued measurement of water quality, water levels and the installation of extensometers are planned. Based on no real change in the available data, we cannot conclude that the proposed application will cause material physical injury to a party or to the Basin.

### APPLICATION FOR RECHARGE

Street A Upu City	of Party  N. EUCLID Address  AND Sta	A 91786 te Zip Code	Date Requested  300 Acre-form Amount Requested  1 131 GPM  Projected Rate of Recharge  Facsimile: 909.9	Amount Approved  [[-]-10 / [2-3]-10  Projected Duration of Recharge
	E OF SUPPLY		1 doon tho.	10.70
Water fr  [ ]  [ ]  [X]  [ ]	om: State Water Project Colorado River Local Supplemental Recycled Water Other, explain	Source: Sw Br	rein è	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
METHO	D OF RECHARGE			
ивтно [ <b>X</b> ]	PERCOLATION		ARAOW RTE	
		Locatior Well Numbe	ARROW RTE	MONTE VISTA
ſΧį	PERCOLATION	Location Well Numbe Location (attach map) Facility Name	ARAOW RTE	MONTE VISTA
	PERCOLATION INJECTION	Location Well Numbe Location (attach map) Facility Name	ARAOW RTE	MONTE VISTA
	PERCOLATION INJECTION	Location  Well Numbe  Location (attach map)  Facility Name  Share of Safe Yield  Carry Over Right  Water in Storage	ARAOW RTE	MONTE VISTA
[ <b>X</b> ]	PERCOLATION INJECTION	Location  Well Numbe  Location (attach map)  Facility Name  Share of Safe Yield  Carry Over Right  Water in Storage	ARAOW RTE	MONTE VISTA


### MATERIAL PHYSICAL INJURY

s the Applicant aware of any potential Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin that nay be caused by the action covered by the application? Yes [ ] No [X
f yes, what are the proposed mitigation measures, if any, that might reasonably be imposed to ensure that the action does not result in Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin?
Applicant Yes [ ] No [X
TO BE COMPLETED BY WATERMASTER:
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL:
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM AGRICULTURAL POOL.
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM APPROPRIATIVE POOL:
HEARING DATE, IF ANY:
DATE OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPROVAL:
DATE OF DOADD ADDDOVAL.



### II. BUSINESS ITEM

A. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER ANNUAL AUDIT FY 2009/2010 – JUNE 30, 2010





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

**Committee Members** 

SUBJECT:

Fiscal Year 2009/2010 Audit

### SUMMARY

Issue – Annual Audit of the Watermaster Financial Records dated October 11, 2010

Recommendation - Receive and File

Financial Impact – None

### Background

Chino Basin Watermaster is required to have an annual audit every year. Attached is our fiscal year 2009/2010 annual audit report dated October 11, 2010. The unqualified report was issued by Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C., our audit firm. An unqualified opinion means that the financial statements were prepared in conformity with GAAP. Furthermore, there were no findings with respect to the following:

- 1. Did not identify any deficiencies in internal controls that would be considered to be material weaknesses.
- 2. No new accounting policies were adopted by Watermaster and the application of existing policies was not changed during the fiscal year.
- 3. Encountered no difficulties in performing and completing the audit.
- 4. The financial statements include certain reclassifications for financial statement reporting purposes.
- 5. No disagreements with Watermaster management arose during the course of the audit.
- 6. Watermaster did not consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters

### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool –

November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool -

November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool -

November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee -

November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board -

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



### Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

An Independent CPA Firm

2301 Dupont Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92612 949-474-2020 ph 949-263-5520 fx www.mhm-pc.com

Board of Directors Chino Basin Watermaster Rancho Cucamonga, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Chino Basin Watermaster (the "Watermaster") for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated October 11, 2010. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

### Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

### Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

Audit fieldwork was performed in August 2010. As communicated to the Board of Directors in a letter dated August 10, 2010, significant risks of material misstatement addressed by our auditing procedures included:

- Risk of material fraud or misstatement associated with cash receipts and disbursements.
- Risk of improper classification of expenses.
- Risk of improper timing in recording revenues.
- Risk of material fraud or misstatement associated with significant assets and liabilities.

### Significant Audit Findings

We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control we consider to be material weaknesses.

### Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the Watermaster are described in note one to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended June 30, 2010.



Board of Directors Chino Basin Watermaster Rancho Cucamonga, California Page 2 of 3

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

Examples of significant judgments and estimates reflected in the Watermaster's financial statements and disclosures include:

- Judgments associated with the collection of receivables
- Estimates involving revenues and expenses to be accrued as of year end.

### Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no difficulties in performing and completing our audit.

### Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The accompanying financial statements include certain reclassifications for financial statement reporting purposes (i.e. reclassifying certain unpaid expenses as liability, etc.).

### Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting and auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, which could be significant to the financial statements or the auditors' report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

### Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated October 11, 2010.

### Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the Watermaster's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require that the consulting accountant contact us to



Board of Directors Chino Basin Watermaster Rancho Cucamonga, California Page 3 of 3

determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants during the audit.

### Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards with management each year prior to retention as the Watermaster's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors and the management of the Watermaster and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Magor Hoffman Molema F.C.

Irvine, California October 11, 2010

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

Basic Financial Statements and Supplemental Data

Year ended June 30, 2010

(With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

### Basic Financial Statements and Supplemental Data

### Year ended June 30, 2010

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	6
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	7
Statement of Cash Flows	8
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	9
Supplementary Information:	
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital - For the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010	21
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital - For the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009	22



### Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

An Independent CPA Firm

2301 Dupont Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92612 949-474-2020 ph 949-263-5520 fx www.mhm-pc.com

Board of Directors Chino Basin Watermaster Rancho Cucamonga, California

### Independent Auditors' Report

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Chino Basin Watermaster as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the management of Chino Basin Watermaster. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit. The prior year partial comparative information has been derived from the Chino Basin Watermaster's basic financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2009 and, in our report dated December 11, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Chino Basin Watermaster as of June 30, 2010, and respective changes in financial position and cash flows of the Chino Basin Watermaster for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The information identified in the accompanying table of contents as management's discussion and analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Chino Basin Watermaster's basic financial statements. The supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Mayor Hoffman Me law Al.

Irvine, California October 11, 2010



### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### BACKGROUND

The Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster) was established under a Judgment entered in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Bernardino, entitled "Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.," (originally Case No. SCV 164327, the file was transferred in August 1989 and assigned a new Case No. RCV 51010). The Judgment prescribes Watermaster's authorities and specifies classes of water production assessments to be used to fund certain activities. Those assessment categories are: Administration, Optimal Basin Management Program, Special Projects and Replenishment. Each class of assessment has a prescribed purpose and water production base. Assessment revenue is Watermaster's principal source of income.

This section of the Watermaster's annual financial report presents our analysis of the Watermaster's financial performance during the fiscal year ended on June 30, 2010. Please read it in conjunction with the basic financial statements that follow this section.

### **OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the Watermaster's basic financial statements, which are comprised of two components: Financial Statements (pages 6-8) and Notes to the Financial Statements (pages 9-19). This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements (pages 20-22).

### Required Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Watermaster report information using the accrual basis of accounting; accordingly, all of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for regardless of when the cash is received or paid. This accounting treatment is similar to the methods used by private sector companies and aids in answering the question of whether the Watermaster, as a whole, has improved or deteriorated as a result of this year's activities.

The Statement of Net Assets (page 6) includes all of the Watermaster's investments in resources (assets) and the obligations to creditors (liabilities). It also provides the basis for evaluating the capital structure of the Watermaster and assessing the liquidity and financial flexibility of the Watermaster.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets (page 7) includes all of the current year revenues and expenses. This statement measures the success of the Watermaster's operations over the past year and can be used to determine whether the Watermaster has successfully recovered all of its costs through assessments and other charges.

The Statement of Cash Flows (page 8) reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, investing, and financing activities. This statement demonstrates where the cash came from, how the cash was used, and how much the change in cash was during the fiscal year.

These statements are one of many different ways to measure the Watermaster's financial health, or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the Watermaster's net assets are one of the indicators of whether its financial position is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other non-financial factors, however, such as changes in the Watermaster's, assessment income, cost sharing agreements, and other operational measures to help assess the overall financial position of the Watermaster.

### SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### Statement of Net Assets

June 30, 2010 (With comparative totals for June 30, 2009)

	2010	2009	Difference	% Change
Assets		#:		
Current	\$ 10,614,785	\$ 11,596,978	\$ (982,193)	-8.5%
Capital	46,889	53,176	(6,287)	11.8%_
Total Assets	10,661,674	11,650,154	(988,480)	-8.5%
Liabilities	4 000 000	400.000	4 500 004	204 407
Current	1,989,063	469,002	1,520,061	324.1%
Non current	194,246	185,186	9,060	4.9%
Total Liabilities	2,183,309	654,188	1,529,121	233.8%
Net Assets				
Invested in capital assets	46,889	53,176	(6,287)	-11.8%
Restricted for Water Purchases	1,280,113	4,111,568	(2,831,455)	-68.9%
Unrestricted	7,151,363	6,831,222	320,141	4.7%
Total Net Assets	\$ 8,478,365	\$10,995,966	(\$ 2,517,601)	-22.9%

For the year ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, Watermaster's Total Net Assets was \$8,478,365 and \$10,995,966 respectively.

Total Assets decreased by \$988,480 or 8.5% in FY 2010 compared to FY 2009. Parties who extract water in excess of their rights are assessed on an annual basis to cover the cost of purchasing replenishment water. In fiscal year 2008/2009, Watermaster collected the money for the purchase of replenishment water but was unable to satisfy the purchase obligation of the replenishment water. For FY 2010, the majority of the decrease in Total Assets was a direct result of the purchase of replenishment water throughout the fiscal year. Comparing FY 2010 to FY 2009, Cash and Investments (Note 2 - pages 12-15) decreased by \$787,508 or 7.0% (net of the audit adjustment described below), Accounts Receivables decreased by \$176,515 or 89.2%, Prepaid Expenses decreased by \$18,170 or 54.3% and Capital Assets (net of accumulated depreciation) decreased by \$6,287 or 11.9%.

The first of four payments related to the purchase and sale agreement between the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool and Watermaster (Note 9 - page 19) was issued on January 14, 2010 in the amount of \$2,166,022. At the end of the fiscal year June 30, 2010, three checks issued to members of the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool totaling \$415,136 had not cleared the Watermaster bank account. As a result, an audit adjustment was recorded increasing the categories of Cash and Investments and Accounts Payable for \$415,136.

Total Liabilities increased by \$1,529,121 or 233.8% in FY 2010 as compared to FY 2009. Accounts Payable increased \$1,581,185 or 406.4% (which included the audit adjustment amount of \$415,136 listed above). The Accounts Payable increase was directly related to the recording and paying of outstanding invoices at fiscal year end June 30, 2010. Accrued Salaries and Benefits decreased by \$61,124 or 76.5% and Compensated Absences (Note 4 - page 16) increased by \$9,060 or 4.9%.

### Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets

### June 30, 2010 (With comparative totals for June 30, 2009)

_	2010	2009	Difference	% Change
Operating Revenues				
Administrative assessments	\$ 7,404,003	\$ 8,166,124	(\$ 762,121)	-9.3%
Mutual agency project revenue	0	51,217	(51,217)	-100.0%
Replenishment water	7,073,805	6,437,643	636,162	9.9%
Miscellaneous revenue	111,188	0	111,188	100.0%
Total Operating Revenues	14,588,996	14,654,984_	(65,988)	0.5%_
Operating Expenses				
Watermaster administration	657,236	510,988	146,248	28.6%
Depreciation	29,103	25,577	3,526	13.8%
Pool, Advisory and Board	277,050	250,870	26,180	10.4%
Optimum Basin Management Plan	6,327,400	6,913,336	(585,936)	-8.5%
Mutual agency project costs	0	10,000	(10,000)	-100.0%
Groundwater replenishment	9,894,321	2,326,075	7,568,246	325.4%
Total Operating Expenses	17,185,110	10,036,846	7,148,264	71.2%
Income from operations	(2,596,114)	4,618,138	(7,214,252)	-156.2%
Non-Operating Revenues				
Interest	78,513	179,866	(101,353)	56.3%_
Total Non-Operating Revenues	78,513	179,866	(101,353)	-56.3%_
Change in net assets	(2,517,601)	4,798,004	(7,315,605)	-152.5%
Net assets at beginning of year	10,995,966	6,197,962	4,798,004	77.4%
Total net assets at end of year	\$ 8,478,365	\$ 10,995,966	(\$ 2,517,601)	-22.9%

### **REVIEW OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES**

Total Operating Revenues decreased \$65,988 or 0.5% in FY 2010 as compared to FY 2009. Annual administrative assessments are determined by dividing the adopted budget by the total assessable production. Administrative assessment revenue for FY 2010 decreased \$762,121 or 9.3% from the previous year due to budgeted decreases in the overall OBMP expenses, recharge basin operations and maintenance expenses. Replenishment water assessment revenue for FY 2010 increased by \$636,162 or 9.9% compared to the previous year due to production increases in excess of production rights, and due to the increased cost of replenishment water. For the current fiscal year, there was no Mutual Agency Project Revenue recorded (a decrease of \$51,217 or 100.0%) and the Miscellaneous Revenue category increased by \$111,188 or 100.0% as compared to the previous year.

Overall operating expenses (excluding replenishment activities) decreased by \$419,982 or 5.4% in FY 2010 as compared to FY 2009. The operating expense categories for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010 were as follows: Watermaster administrative expenses increased \$146,248 or 28.6%; Depreciation expense increased by \$3,526 or 13.8%; the Pools, Advisory and Board administration expenses increased by \$26,180 or 10.4%; Optimum Basin Management Plan (OBMP) decreased \$585,936 or 8.5%; and Mutual agency project costs decreased \$10,000 or 100% as compared to the previous fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Groundwater replenishment activities increased dramatically in FY 2010 as compared to FY 2009. The replenishment activities for FY 2010 were \$7,728,299, along with the water purchase of \$2,166,022 (see Note 9) for a total of \$9,894,321 as compared to \$2,326,075 for FY 2009, an increase of \$7,568,246 or 325.4% from the prior year.

Non-operating revenue represented interest income of \$78,513 and \$179,866 for the years ending June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, which decreased due to the falling interest rates.

### **CONTACTING THE WATERMASTER**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Watermaster's finances and to demonstrate the Watermaster's accountability for the resources it receives. If you have any questions about this report, or need additional information, please contact Joseph S. Joswiak, Chief Financial Officer at (909) 484-3888.

### Statement of Net Assets

### June 30, 2010

(with comparative totals for June 30, 2009)

Assets	2010	2009
Current assets:		
Cash and investments (note 2)	\$ 10,578,034	\$ 11,365,542
Accounts receivable	21,458	197,973
Prepaid expenses	15,293	33,463
Total current assets	10,614,785	11,596,978
Noncurrent assets:		
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation (note 3)	46,889	53,176
Total noncurrent assets	46,889	53,176
Total assets	10,661,674	11,650,154
<u>Liabilities</u>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	1,970,273	389,088
Accrued salaries and benefits	18,790	79,914
Total current liabilities	1,989,063	469,002
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Compensated absences (note 4)	194,246	185,186
Total noncurrent liabilities	194,246	185,186
Total liabilities	2,183,309	654,188
Net Assets		
Net assets:		
Invested in capital assets	46,889	53,176
Restricted for water purchases	1,280,113	4,111,568
Unrestricted	7,151,363	6,831,222
Total net assets	\$ 8,478,365	\$ 10,995,966

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

### Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

### Year ended June 30, 2010

(with comparative totals for June 30, 2009)

		2010		2009
Operating revenues:				
Administrative assessments (note 1)	\$	7,404,003	\$	8,166,124
Mutual agency project revenue		-		51,217
Replenishment water		7,073,805		6,437,643
Miscellaneous revenue	- <u></u>	111,188		
Total operating revenues		14,588,996		14,654,984
Operating expenses:				
Watermaster administration		657,236		510,988
Depreciation		29,103		25,577
Pool, advisory and Board administration		277,050		250,870
Optimum Basin Management Plan		6,327,400		6,913,336
Mutual agency project costs		-		10,000
Groundwater replenishment and other water purchases		9,894,321	_	2,326,075
Total operating expenses	_	17,185,110	_	10,036,846
Income (loss) from operations		(2,596,114)		4,618,138
A7				
Nonoperating revenues: Interest income		78,513		179,866
Total nonoperating revenues		78,513		179,866
Change in net assets		(2,517,601)		4,798,004
Net assets at beginning of year	_	10,995,966	_	6,197,962
Total net assets at end of year	<u>\$</u>	8,478,365	<u>\$</u>	10,995,966

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

### Statement of Cash Flows

### Year ended June 30, 2010

(with comparative totals for June 30, 2009)

	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash received from customers	\$ 14,765,511	•
Cash received from other agencies	-	51,217
Cash paid to employees for services	(1,330,329)	
Cash paid to suppliers of goods and services	(14,278,387)	(9,111,868)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	(843,205)	5,058,611
Cash flows from capital financing activities:		
Acqusition of capital assets	(22,816)	(19,530)
Net cash provided by (used for) capital financing activities	(22,816)	(19,530)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Interest received	78,513	179,866
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	78,513	179,866
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(787,508)	5,218,947
Cash and investments at the beginning of year	11,365,542	6,146,595
Cash and investments at the end of year	\$ 10,578,034	\$ 11,365,542
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash		
provided by (used for) operating activities:  Operating income (loss)	\$ (2,596,114)	Ф 1 610 120
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss)	Φ (2,390,114)	\$ 4,010,136
to net cash used for operating activities:		
Depreciation	29,103	25,577
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	176,515	712,489
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	18,170	(10,206)
Increase (decrease) in account payable	1,581,185	(404,168)
Increase (decrease) in accrued salaries and benefits	(61,124)	74,590
Increase (decrease) in compensated absences	9,060	42,191
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$ (843,205)	\$ 5,058,611

### Noncash investing, capital and financing activities:

There were no significant noncash investing, capital or financing activities during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

Year ended June 30, 2010

### (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### **Description of Reporting Entity**

The Chino Basin Watermaster ("Watermaster") was established under a judgment entered in Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Bernardino as a result of Case No. RCV 51010 (formerly Case No. SCV 164327) entitled "Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.", signed by the Honorable Judge Howard B. Wiener on January 27, 1978. The effective date of this Judgment for accounting and operations was July 1, 1977.

Pursuant to the Judgment, the Chino Basin Municipal Water District (CBMWD) five member Board of Directors was initially appointed as "Watermaster". Their term of appointment as Watermaster was for five years, and the Court, by subsequent orders, provides for successive terms or for a successor Watermaster. Pursuant to a recommendation of the Advisory Committee, the Honorable J. Michael Gunn appointed a nine-member board as Watermaster on September 28, 2000.

Under the Judgment, three Pool committees were formed: (1) Overlying (Agricultural) Pool which includes the State of California and all producers of water for overlying uses other than industrial or commercial purposes; (2) Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool which represents producers of water for overlying industrial or commercial purposes; and (3) Appropriative Pool which represents cities, districts, other public or private entities and utilities. The three Pools act together to form the "Advisory Committee".

The Watermaster provides the Chino Groundwater Basin service area with services which primarily include: accounting for water appropriations and components of acre-footage of stored water by agency, purchase of replenishment water, groundwater monitoring and implementation of special projects.

Watermaster expenditures are allocated to the pools based on the prior year's production volume (or the same percentage used to set the annual assessments). Allocations for fiscal year 2009-10 expenses are based on the 2008-09 production volume.

2000 00

	200	8-09
	Acre Feet	<u>%</u>
Production Volume:		
Appropriative Pool	84,716	69.867
Agricultural Pool	32,143	26.509
Non-Agricultural Pool	4,394	<u>3.624</u>
Total Production Volume	<u>121.253</u>	<u>100.000</u>

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

The Agricultural Pool members ratified an agreement with the Appropriative Pool at their meeting of June 16, 1988, wherein the Appropriative Pool assumes Agricultural Pool administrative expenses and special project cost allocations in exchange for an accelerated transfer of unpumped agricultural water to the Appropriative Pool. In addition the Agricultural Pool transferred all pool administrative reserves at June 30, 1988 to the Appropriative Pool effective July 1, 1988.

In July of 2000, the principal parties in the Basin signed an agreement, known as the Peace Agreement, which among other things formalized the commitment of the Basin parties to implement an Optimum Basin Management Program. The Peace Agreement was signed by all of the parties, and the Court has approved the agreement and ordered the Watermaster to proceed in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The Court has approved revisions to the Chino Basin Watermaster Rules and Regulations.

### Basis of Accounting

The Watermaster is accounted for as an enterprise fund (proprietary fund type). A fund is an accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts established to record the financial position and results of operations of a specific governmental activity. The activities of enterprise funds closely resemble those of ongoing businesses in which the purpose is to conserve and add to basic resources while meeting operating expenses from current revenues. Enterprise funds account for operations that provide services on a continuous basis and are substantially financed by revenues derived from user charges. The Watermaster utilizes the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized as they are incurred.

The Watermaster applies all applicable GASB pronouncements in accounting and reporting for proprietary operations as well as the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements: Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principals Board (APB) Opinions, and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARB's) of the Committee on Accounting Procedure.

### Cash and Investments

Investments are reported in the accompanying balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value that occur during a fiscal year are recognized as interest income reported for that fiscal year.

Watermaster pools cash and investments of all fund balance reserves. Interest income earned by the pooled investments is allocated quarterly to the various reserves based on each reserve's average cash and investments balance.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

### Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash equivalents are defined as short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash or so near their maturity that they present insignificant risk of changes in value because of changes in interest rates, and have an original maturity date of three months or less.

### Capital Assets

Capital assets are valued at cost where historical records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no historical records exist. The Watermaster capitalizes all assets with a historical cost of at least \$5,000 and a useful life of at least three years. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

Depreciation is computed utilizing the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Computer equipment and software	5 years
Office furniture and fixtures	7 years
Leasehold improvements	10 years
Automotive equipment	7 years

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assts and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Appropriative Interest Revenue Allocation

On August 30, 1979, the Appropriative Pool unanimously approved assessment procedures whereby any interest earned from the Watermaster assessments paid by Appropriative Pool members would reduce the total current assessment due from those members. Fiscal year 2008-09 interest revenue was allocated to the Appropriative Pool, resulting in a reduction of the 2009-10 assessments. The amount of administrative assessment received for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$7,404,003.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

### Prior Year Data

The information included in the accompanying financial statements for the prior year has been presented for comparison purposes only and does not represent a complete presentation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, such information should be read in conjunction with the Watermaster's prior year financial statements, from which the financial data was derived.

### (2) Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2010 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of net assets:

Cash and investments

\$10,578,034

Total cash and investments

\$10,578,034

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2010 consist of the following:

Cash on hand	\$	500
Deposits with financial institutions	6	03,604
Investments	9,9	<u>73,930</u>
Total cash and investments	\$10.5	78.034

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

<u>Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the Watermaster's Investment Policy</u>

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the Watermaster by the California Government Code and the Watermaster's investment policy. The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code (or the Watermaster's investment policy, if more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

	Authorized		*Maximum	*Maximum
	By		Percentage	Investment
Investment Types	Investment	*Maximum	Of	In One
Authorized by State Law	Policy	<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Portfolio</u>	<u>Issuer</u>
Local Agency Bonds	Yes	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	Yes	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	Yes	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptances	Yes	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	Yes	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	Yes	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	Yes	1 year	None	None
			20% of	
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	Yes	92 days	base value	None
Medium-Term Notes	Yes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	Yes	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	Yes	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	Yes	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	Yes	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund				
(LAIF)	Yes	N/A	None	None
JPA Pools (other investment pools)	Yes	N/A	None	None

<sup>\*</sup> Based on state law requirements or investment policy requirements, whichever is more restrictive.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

### Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the Watermaster manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the Watermaster's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the Watermaster's investment by maturity:

	Rem	naining Maturity	(in Months)	)	
Investment Type	Total <u>Amount</u>	12 Months Or Less	13 <b>-2</b> 4 <u>Months</u>	25-60 <u>Months</u>	
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$ 9,973,930	9,973,930		-	
Total	<u>\$ 9,973,930</u>	<u>9,973,930</u>		***	

### Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the Watermaster's investment policy, and the actual rating as of year end for each investment type:

			Ratin	g as of Yea	r End
Investment Type	Total <u>Amount</u>	Minimum Legal <u>Rating</u>	AAA	<u>Aa</u>	Not Rated
Local Agency Investment Fund	<u>\$9,973,930</u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	9,973,930
Total	<u>\$9,973,930</u>			_	<u>9,973,930</u>

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the Watermaster's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure Watermaster deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

### Investment in State Investment Pool

The Watermaster is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the Watermaster's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the Watermaster's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (3) Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2010 is as follows:

	Balances at July 1, 2009	Additions	Deletions	Balances at June 30, 2010
Computer equipment and	•			
software	\$ 94,774	22,816	<del>-</del>	117,590
Office furniture and fixtures	42,291	=	-	42,291
Leasehold improvements	23,443	-	-	23,443
Automotive equipment	90,484	-		<u>90,484</u>
Total costs of depreciable assets	250,992	<u>22,816</u>		273,808
Less accumulated depreciation: Computer equipment and				
software	(74,055)	(13,565)	-	(87,620)
Office furniture and fixtures	(36,953)	(5,339)	-	(42,292)
Leasehold improvements	(14,068)	(2,345)	-	(16,413)
Automotive equipment	<u>(72,740</u> )	(7,854)		(80,594)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(197,816</u> )	(29,103)		(226,919)
Net capital assets	<u>\$ 53,176</u>	(6,287)	-	46.889

### (4) Compensated Absences

Permanent Watermaster employees earn from 10 to 20 vacation days a year, depending upon their length of employment and 12 sick days a year. Employees may carry vacation days forward up to the equivalent number of days earned in the immediately preceding twenty-four (24) month period. There is no maximum accumulation of sick leave; and upon retirement or resignation at age 55 or greater, employees with continuous employment for a minimum of twenty (20) years are compensated for all accumulated sick leave at 50% of their rate of pay at termination. Other employees are paid based upon length of employment and age at time of retirement or resignation. The amount of compensated absences outstanding as of June 30, 2010 was \$194,246.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (5) Deferred Compensation Plan

The Watermaster has established deferred compensation plans for all employees of Watermaster in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457, whereby employees authorize the Watermaster to defer a portion of their salary to be deposited in individual investment accounts. Participation in the plans is voluntary and may be revoked at any time upon advance written notice. The amount of compensation subject to deferral until retirement, disability, or other termination by a participant may not exceed the maximum legal amounts for deferred compensation plans. Amounts withheld by Watermaster under this plan are deposited regularly with California Public Employees' Retirement System. The Watermaster makes no contribution under the plan. As of June 30, 2010, the deferred compensation plan assets were held in trust accounts for the sole benefit of the employees and their beneficiaries, and accordingly have been excluded from Watermaster's reported assets.

### (6) Operating Lease

The Watermaster entered into a lease for rent of office space on September 1, 2003, expiring August 30, 2018. The amount paid under this lease was \$65,940 for the year ended June 30, 2010. The future minimum lease payments for this lease are as follows:

Year Ending June 30:	<u>Amount</u>
2011	69,504
2012	69,504
2013	69,504
2014	69,504
2015-2019	289,600
Total	<u>\$567,616</u>

### (7) Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS)

### Plan Description

The Watermaster contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement, disability benefits, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Effective May 2009, the Watermaster changed from a 2% at 55 Risk Pool during year ending June 30, 2008 to a 2.5% at 55 Risk Pool. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. PERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the cost sharing plans that are administered by PERS. Copies of PERS' annual financial report may be obtained from its executive office at 400 "P" Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (7) Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS), (Continued)

### Contributions

Participants are required to contribute 8% of their annual covered salary. The Watermaster makes the contributions required of the employees on their behalf and for their account. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and the Watermaster contract with employee bargaining groups.

For each of the fiscal years shown below, the Watermaster has contributed at the actuarially determined rate provided by PERS' actuaries. Under GASB 27, an employer reports an annual pension cost (APC) equal to the annual required contribution (ARC) plus an adjustment for the cumulative difference between the APC and the employer's actual plan contributions for the year. The cumulative difference is called the net pension obligation (NPO). The ARC for the period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 has been determined by an actuarial valuation of the plan as of June 30, 2007. The Watermaster's covered payroll for PERS was \$862,905.01 for the year ended June 30, 2010, while the Watermaster's total payroll for all employees was \$862,905.01 during the same period. In order to calculate the dollar value of the ARC for inclusion in financial statements prepared as of June 30, 2010, the contribution rate is multiplied by the payroll of covered employees that were paid during the period from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010.

### Three-Year Trend Information

### Annual Pension cost (Safety)

	Employer			
	Contribution	Employer	Percentage	Net Pension
Fiscal Year	<u>Rate</u>	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Contributed</b>	<b>Obligation</b>
6/30/08	10.345%	144,250	100%	_
6/30/09	11.346%	176,576	100%	_
6/30/10	11.417%	165,298	100%	_

### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (8) Project Commitments

Under a financing agreement developed pursuant to the OBMP Recharge Master Plan, the Watermaster is obligated to pay for one-half of the fixed project costs for certain recharge facilities in the Chino Basin area that are being constructed to increase the recharge of imported water, storm water, and recycled water to the Chino Groundwater Basin. The recharge facilities being constructed will be owned by the Inland Empire Utilities Agency pursuant to a Recharge Operations Agreement. When complete, the recharge project will enable the Watermaster to increase annual recharge supplemental water to the Chino Groundwater Basin. In addition, stormwater and recycled water recharge would be increased. Fixed project costs include construction costs, debt service on the related bond financing and reserves for repair, replacement, improvement and debt service. The Watermaster's share of the cost for 2009 is \$515,006.

### (9) Purchase of Water from Non-Agricultural Pool

As part of the Peace II Agreement, a purchase and sale agreement between the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool and Watermaster was signed on September 27, 2007. The signed agreement (Attachment "G") was titled "PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT FOR THE PURCHASE OF WATER BY WATERMASTER FROM THE OVERLYING (NON-AGRICULTURAL) POOL". Section D of the Agreement states:

"Commencing thirty (30) calendar days from the Notice of Intent to Purchase ("Payment Date") Watermaster will pay to the Non-Agricultural Overlying Pool for each acre-foot of the Storage Transfer Quantity in accordance with the following schedule as the schedule is adjusted for inflation by the consumers price index ("CPI") for San Bernardino County from May 31, 2006 until the Payment Date:

- 1. \$215 times 1/4 of the Storage Transfer Quantity on the Payment Date.
- 2. \$220 times 1/4 of the Storage Transfer Quantity on the first anniversary of the Payment Date.
- 3. \$225 times 1/4 of the Storage Transfer Quantity on the second anniversary of the Payment Date.
- 4. \$230 times 1/4 of the Storage Transfer Quantity on the third anniversary of the Payment Date.

However, all payments provided for herein, including inflation adjustments, are subject to an express price cap and will not exceed ninety-two (92) percent of the then prevailing MWD replenishment rate in any year".

The Notice of Intent to Purchase ("Payment Date") was December 18, 2009. On January 14, 2010 the first of four installment payments in the amount of \$2,166,022 (adjusted for inflation as prescribed by Section D) were issued to the Non-Agricultural Overlying Pool. The future three payments (adjusted for inflation) will be issued in accordance to Section D.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION


CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2009 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2010

\*Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement.

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER
COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL.
FOR THE
PERIOD JULY 1, 2008 THROUGH JUNE 39, 2009


		MUMITIMOM	POOL ADMINISTRATION AND SPECIAL PROJECTS	ATION AND SPEC	IAL PROJECTS		R OPERATION			i (
	WALEKWIASIEK ADMINISTRATION	BASIN MANAGEMENT	APPROPRIATIVE AGRICULTURAL NON-AGRIC. POOL POOL	AGRICULI URAL POOL	NON-AGRIC. POOL	GROUNDWAIER REPLENISHMENT	SBZZZ FUNDS	EUUCAIIUN FUNDS	TOTALS	2008-2009
Administrative Revenues										
Administrative Assessments	í	ŧ	7,993,307	•	172,817	•	ı	1	\$ 8,166,124	\$ 7,992,648
Interest Revenue		•	111,927	10,198	2,825		1	27	124,977	174,368
Mutual Agency Project Revenue	B		49,935	Ī	1,282	•	•		51,217	148,410
Grant Income	r	į	1	i	•	1	1	•		1
Miscellaneous Income	ı	1	1	•	•		•		-	1
Total Revenues	•	-	8,155,169	10,198	176,924		1	27	8,342,318	8,315,426
A diministration & Contract Conception										
Matermaster Administration	536 190	,	•			•	'	,	536 190	619 960
Matermaster Roand-Advison: Committee						•	•	,	58.265	61 201
Pool Administration		. 1	20 294	167 194	5 117		1	•	192,505	196.523
Optimum Basin Mont Administration		1 930 126	103,07	5 1	- - -		,	,	1 930 126	2.023.380
OBMP Project Costs	: 1	3 721 316					'	,	3,721,316	4.142,393
Dobt Service	•	1 261 894	1	,	•		•		1261894	1 261 594
California Grada Has	•	ten', 57',						375	375	375
Material Fully Ose		10.000						î,	10 000	10 000
Tatal Adelicy Project Costs	1 100	000,01	*00.00	107 404	1 7		1	2.0	7 740 774	0 245 426
Note of the state of the second of the secon	594,455	6,923,336	70,234	167,194	- C . C	1	•	6/6	123'012'3	0,470
Not Administrative/OBMP Expenses Allocate Net Admin Expenses To Pools	(594,455) 594,455	(6,923,336)	445 873	133.703	14.879		•		ı	,
should be accounted to the state of the stat			4 2 4 4 502	4 170 264	003.67				1	ļ
Allocate Net OBMP Expenses To Pools		3,551,442	4,544,005	1 66,6 12,1	43,300	•	r	•	•	r ı
Allocate Debt Service to App Pool		1,201,034	1,201,034		•	•	•			İ
Agricultural Expense Transfer*			1,552,774	(1,552,774)	,	-	-			
Total Expenses			7,625,418	21,474	63,504		,	375	7,710,771	8,315,426
Net Administrative Income			529,751	(11,276)	113,420		r	(348)	631,547	-
Other Income/(Expense)										
Replenishment Water Assessments				ŀ	ı	6,437,643	1	•	6,437,643	1
Interest Revenue			•	•	ı	54,889	•	•	54,889	
Water Purchases			•	•	1	(2,326,075)	٠ -		(2,326,075)	,
Balance Adjustment			1	•			r	•	ľ	ı
Groundwater Replenishment			1		-	•	1	1	r	•
Net Other Income			1	1	t	4,166,457		-	4,166,457	-
Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures			529,751	(11,276)	113,420	4,166,457		(348)	4,798,004	1
Working Capital. July 1, 2008			5,413,216	481,995	143,157	•	158,251	1,343	6,197,962	
Working Capital, End Of Period			5,942,967	470,719	256,577	4,186,457	158,251	1 995	\$ 10,995,966	\$ 10,995,966
07/08 Assessable Production 07/08 Production Percentages			103,077.958 75.005%	30,909.693 22.492%	3,439.822 2,503%				137,427.473 100.000%	

\*Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement.



### II. BUSINESS ITEM

**B. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS** 





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

**Committee Members** 

SUBJECT:

First Quarter Actual vs. Budget Review for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30,

2010

### SUMMARY

**Issue** – Review the Actual vs. Budget report of revenues and expenses of Watermaster for the Period of July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010.

**Recommendation** – Staff recommends the First Quarter Actual vs. Budget Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

### **BACKGROUND**

A quarterly review of the Actual vs. Budget Report for the period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total revenues and expenses for the current fiscal year. The purpose of the review is to timely identify expense line items or categories that are showing a trend of "over budget" results. Once the overage has been identified, staff will monitor and take corrective action(s), if possible, to reduce or eliminate the budget overage. If a budget transfer is required to adjust the overage, the quarterly review provides an advanced warning that a potential budget problem exists.

### **DISCUSSION**

The three-section Actual vs. Budget report has been created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The first section provides an Actual vs. Budget comparison of the current month (1/12 of the approved budget). The second section provides an Actual vs. Budget comparison of the Year-To-Date (Y-T-D) for the first quarter (25% of the approved budget). The third section provides a fiscal year end June 30, 2011 Projected vs. Budget comparison. For purposes of the first quarterly report, the projected and budget results at year-end June 30, 2011 are assumed to be equal since it is too early in the year to

properly estimate the next nine months of activity. The Actual vs. Budget report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

Year-To-Date (YTD) for the three month period ending September 30, 2010 of the fiscal year, all categories were at or below the projected budget with the exception of category 6900 (Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan). Within the 6900 category is the regional board fine in the amount of \$62,675 which was posted to account 6909 (OBMP Other Expenses). If you recall, this item was not included as part of the fiscal year 2010/2011 budget. Also recorded within this category are the Watermaster's legal expenses. Currently, the legal expenses are

above the Y-T-D budget as a direct result of the ongoing Desalter Negotiations of \$95,335, the Chino Airport Plume of \$45,858, Santa Ana Sucker \$7,200, and the Paragraph 31 Motion activity of \$34,010. Several individual legal projects were below budget for the first quarter. These were the Peace II (\$11,324), Ontario Airport Plume (\$2,777), Water Auction (\$8,817), Recharge Master Plan (\$6,275) and General Administrative Legal Costs (Pool, Advisory and Board meetings) of (\$16,243). The budgeted amount for legal expenses for the entire fiscal year of \$450,000 was allocated at \$37,500 per month. For the first three months of the fiscal year, the cumulative Y-T-D actual legal expenses totaled \$249,467 and the budgeted amount was \$112,500 which resulted in an "over budget" variance of \$136,967 or 221.75%. To date, the legal contingency of \$145,000 has not been used.

### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool – November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool – November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee – November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board –

	. <u>F</u>	irst Quarter (:	25%) of Budget	<u></u>	100%
	Jul '10 - Sep 10	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget	Budget
6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan					
6901 · WM Staff Salaries	51,358.51	51,655.00	-296.49	99.43%	206,620,00
6903 · SARW Group	25,778.00	25,778.00	0.00	100.0%	25,778.00
6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	88,115.85	87,584.00	531.85	100.61%	350,336.00
6907 · OBMP Legal Fees					
6907.3 - WM Legal Counsel					
6907.30 · Peace II - CEQA	1,175.75	12,500.00	-11,324.25	9.41%	50,000.00
6907.31 - Ontario Airport Plume	972.90	3,750.00	-2,777.10	25.94%	15,000.00
6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume	49,607.82	3,750.00	45,857.82	1,322.88%	15,000.00
6907.33 · Desalter Negotiations	95,334.65	0.00	95,334.65	100.0%	0.00
6907.34 · Santa Ana River	6,513.52	6,250.00	263,52	104.22%	25,000.00
6907.35 · Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	34,009.78	0.00	34,009.78	100.0%	0.00
6907,36 · Santa Ana Sucker	7,096.91	0,00	7,096.91	100.0%	0.00
6907.37 · Water Auction	1,183.50	10,000.00	-8,816.50	11.84%	40,000.00
6907.38 ⋅ Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	3,591.00	3,750.00	-159.00	95.76%	15,000.00
6907.39 · Recharge Master Plan	3,724.65	10,000.00	-6,275.35	37.25%	40,000.00
6907.3 WM Legal Counsel - Other	46,257.00	62,500.00	-16,243.00	74.01%	250,000.00
Total 6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel	249,467.48	112,500.00	136,967,48	221.75%	450,000.00
6907.4 · WM Legal Counsel - Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	145,000.00
Total 6907 · OBMP Legal Fees	249,467.48	112,500.00	136,967.48	221.75%	595,000,00
6909 · OBMP Other Expenses		:			
6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	902.99	0.00	902.99	100.0%	0,00
6909.5 - Ad Hoc Litigation Committee	21.58	0.00	21.58	100.0%	0.00
6909 · OBMP Other Expenses - Other	62,675.00	5,000.00	57,675.00	1,253.5%	20,000.00
Total 6909 · OBMP Other Expenses	63,599.57	5,000.00	58,599.57	1,271.99%	20,000.00
Total 6900 - Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	478,319.41	282,517.00	195,802.41	169.31%	1,197,734.00

If the legal expenses continue at the current trend, cost savings in other expense areas may not be enough to keep the overall expenses from exceeding the total budget.

# THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY BEEN LEFT BLANK FOR PAGINATION

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Budget vs. Actual Current Month, Year-To-Date and Fiscal Year-End


09:18 AM 10/27/10 Accrual Basis

		ו זכנון כו נוגב ו	1/ 12til ol the Fotal buuget		-	של דביון (בשימן מן נווב ומנמן ממפפר	ale total badge				Topys of the lotal oneget	
	For	. The Month o	For The Month of September 2010	0	Year	To-Date as of S	Year-To-Date as of September 30, 2010	010	iΪ	scal Year End a	Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011	1
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget
<u>lncome</u>												
4010 · Local Agency Subsidies	00.00	0.00	00'0	%0.0	111,000.00	148,410.00	-37,410.00	74.79%	148,410.00	148,410.00	0.00	100.0%
4110 · Admin Asmats-Approp Pool	00'0	00'0	0.00	%0.0	00:00	00'0	0.00	%0.0	6,153,067.00	6,153,067.00	0.00	100.0%
4120 · Admin Asmnts-Non-Agrl Pool	00:00	00'00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	00.0	0.00	%0'0	365,003.00	355,003.00	0.00	100.0%
4700 · Non Operating Revenues	8,521,80	35,002.00	-26,480.20	24.35%	8,521,80	35,002.00	-26,480.20	24.35%	175,010.00	175,010.00	0.00	100.0%
4900 · Miscellaneous income	00'00	0.00	00'0	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	00'0	%0.0
Total Income	8,521,80	35,002.00	-26,480.20	24.35%	119,521.80	183,412.00	-63,890.20	85.17%	6,831,490.00	6,831,490,00	00'0	100.0%
Gross Profit	8,521.80	35,002,00	-26,480.20	24.35%	119,521.80	183,412,00	-63,890.20	65.17%	6,831,490.00	6,831,490.00	0.00	100.0%
Expense												
6010 · Salary Costs	42,969,85	38,286.00	4,683,85	112.23%	115,083.76	115,860.00	-776.24	99,33%	464,944.00	464,944.00	00'0	100.0%
6020 · Office Building Expense	8,721.41	8,599.67	121.74	101.42%	23,559.76	25,799,00	-2,239.24	91.32%	103,196.00	103,196.00	0.00	100.0%
6030 · Office Supplies & Equip.	1,485.83	3,125.00	-1,639.17	47,55%	4,417.72	9,375,00	-4,957.28	47.12%	37,500.00	37,500.00	0.00	100.0%
6040 · Postage & Printing Costs	7,824.55	8,166,66	-342.11	95.81%	18,838.78	20,366.66	-1,527.88	92.5%	78,300.00	78,300.00	0.00	100.0%
6050 · Information Services	10,811,88	8,766.66	2,045.22	123.33%	32,577.59	35,550.00	-2,972.41	91,64%	142,200.00	142,200.00	0.00	100.0%
6060 · Contract Services	6,842,50	5,000.00	1,842.50	136.85%	6,842,50	21,000,00	-14,157.50	32.58%	75,000.00	75,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6080 · Insurance	256.00	0.00	256.00	100.0%	15,863.00	17,575.00	-1,712.00	90,26%	17,575,00	17,575.00	0.00	100.0%
6110 · Dues and Subscriptions	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	20,131.63	20,250.00	-118.37	99.42%	21,000.00	21,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6140 · WM Admin Expenses	47.05	250,00	-202.95	18.82%	47.05	750,00	-702.95	6.27%	3,000.00	3,000.00	0.00	100.0%
-6150 · Field Supplies	-15.66	0.00	-15,66	100.0%	-15.66	200.00	-215.66	-7.83%	1,800.00	1,800.00	00'0	100.0%
O3170 · Travel & Transportation	2,714.20	2,730.00	-15.80	99.42%	6,849.39	8,290.00	-1,440.61	82.62%	33,160.00	33,160.00	00'0	100.0%
6190 · Conferences & Seminars	4,717.12	4,000,00	717.12	117.93%	9,058.76	10,250,00	-1,191.24	38,38%	23,000.00	23,000.00	0.00	100.0%
6200 · Advisory Comm · WM Board	935.69	1,872.50	-936.81	49.97%	4,011.11	5,617,50	-1,606.39	71.4%	22,470.00	22,470.00	0.00	100.0%
6300 · Watermaster Board Expenses	4,288.66	4,216.92	71.74	101.7%	12,562.91	12,650,75	-87.84	99.31%	50,603.00	50,603.00	0.00	100.0%
8300 · Appr PI-WM & Pool Admin	10,628.08	7,982.84	2,645,24	133.14%	17,760,19	18,192,50	432,31	97.62%	90,043.00	90,043.00	0.00	100.0%
8400 · Agri Pool-WM & Pool Admin	2,783.93	2,345.59	438.34	118,69%	6,693.27	7,036,75	-343.48	95.12%	28,147.00	28,147.00	0.00	100.0%
8467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services	13,710.50	9,833.33	3,877.17	139.43%	34,529.50	29,500,00	5,029,50	117.05%	118,000.00	118,000.00	00'0	100.0%
8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	3,075.00	1,000.00	2,075.00	307.5%	3,075.00	3,000.00	75.00	102.5%	12,000,00	12,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8471 · Ag Pool Expense	0.00	16,250.00	-16,250.00	0.0%	00'0	16,250,00	-16,250.00	%0.0	65,000.00	65,000.00	0.00	100.0%
8500 · Non-Ag PI-WM & Pool Admin	15,456.82	13,472.17	1,984,65	114.73%	34,707.32	40,416.50	-5,709.18	85.87%	161,666.00	161,666.00	0.00	100.0%
6500 - Education Funds Use Expens	00'0	00'0	00'0	%0'0	00.00	375.00	-375.00	%0:0	375.00	375,00	0.00	100.0%
9400 · Depreciation Expense	00:00	00'0	00'00	%0:0	0.00	00:00	0.00	%0:0	00:00	0.00	00'0	%0'0
9500 - Allocated G&A Expenditures	-38,278.48	-40,677.42	2,398.94	94.1%	-115,707.58	-122,032.25	6,324.67	94.82%	-488,129.00	-488,129.00	00'0	100.0%
6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	116,599.98	109,690.99	6,908.99	106.3%	478,319.41	282,517.00	195,802.41	169.31%	1,197,734.00	1,197,734.00	00:0	100.0%
6950 · Mutual Agency Projects	00:00	00'0	00'0	%0.0	00'0	00'0	00'0	0.0%	10,000.00	10,000.00	0.00	100.0%
9501 · G&A Expenses Allocated-OBMP	7,971.19	11,888.00	-3,916.81	67.05%	29,602.47	35,664,00	-6,061,53	83.0%	142,656.00	142,656.00	00'0	100.0%
7101 · Production Monitoring	4,649,18	5,879.31	-1,230.13	79.08%	26,355.72	28,054.75	-1,699.03	93.94%	104,219,00	104,219.00	00'0	100.0%
7102 · In-line Meter Installation	1,203.47	5,556,59	-4,353.12	21.66%	3,399.71	16,669,75	-13,270.04	20.39%	66,679.00	66,679.00	0.00	100.0%
7103 · Grdwtr Quality Monitoring	21,666.22	16,916,34	4,749,88	128.08%	43,839,99	50,749.00	-6,909.01	86.39%	202,996.00	202,996.00	00'0	100.0%
7104 · Gdwtr Level Monitoring	11,913.53	25,863.08	-13,949.55	46.06%	39,872.39	84,070.50	-44,198.11	47.43%	336,282.00	336,282.00	00.00	100.0%
7105 - Sur Wir Qual Monitoring	00:00	315.00	-315,00	0.0%	0.00	1,070,00	-1,070.00	%0.0	4,280.00	4,280.00	0.00	100.0%
7107 · Ground Level Monitoring	11,032,13	83,801.67	-72,769.54	13.17%	119,264.74	203,905,00	-84,640.26	58.49%	815,620.00	815,620.00	00.00	100.0%
7108 - Hydraulic Control Monitoring	17,141.25	41,141.67	-24,000,42	41.66%	57,480.37	123,425,00	-65,944.63	46.57%	493,700.00	493,700.00	0.00	100.0%
7109 · Recharge & Well Monitoring Prog	472.50	0.00	472.50	100.0%	5,670.00	8,440.00	-2,770.00	67.18%	8,440,00	8,440.00	00:00	100.0%

### CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Budget vs. Actual Current Month, Year-To-Date and Fiscal Year-End


09:18 AM 10/27/10 Accrual Basis

	Ţ	1/12th of the Total Budget	otal Budget		(1)	3/12th (25%) of	3/12th (25%) of the Total Budget		1	100% of the Total Budget	al Budget	
	For	The Month of	For The Month of September 2010	0.	Year	-To-Date as of S	Year-To-Date as of September 30, 2010	10	Fis	cal Year End a	Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011	_
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget
7200 · PE2- Comp Recharge Pgm	7,144.28	24,335.16	-17,190,88	29.36%	205,136.67	254,255.50	49,118,83	80.68%	1,017,022.00	1,017,022.00	0.00	100.0%
7300 · PE3&5-Water Supply/Desalte	10,320.48	13,982.58	-3,662.10	73.81%	51,748.96	53,907.75	-2,158.79	%0'96	72,111.00	72,111.00	00'0	100.0%
7400 · PE4- Mgmt Plan	777.46	7,572,92	-6,795.46	10.27%	2,367.26	22,988,75	-20,621,49	10.3%	91,955.00	91,955.00	0.00	100.0%
7500 · PE6&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	4,736.05	12,848.34	-8,112.29	36.86%	20,430.10	38,545,00	-18,114,90	53.0%	154,180.00	154,180.00	0.00	100.0%
7600 · PE8&9-StorageNigmt/Conj Use	1,504.35	5,658.33	-4,153.98	26.59%	10,032.07	17,062.50	-7,030.43	58.8%	68,250.00	68,250.00	0.00	100.0%
7690 · Recharge Improvement Debt Pymt	00.00	00'0	00'0	0.0%	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	%6'06	700,964.00	700,964.00	0.00	100.0%
7700 · Inactive Well Protection Prgm	0.00	0.00	00.0	%0.0	0.00	353.00	-353.00	%0'0	1,412.00	1,412.00	0.00	100.0%
9502 · G&A Expenses Allocated-Projects	30,307.29	28,789.42	1,517.87	105.27%	86,105.11	86,368,25	-263.14	%2'66	345,473.00	345,473.00	00'0	100.0%
Total Expense	346,414.29	489,459.32	-143,045.03	70.78%	2,067,708.47	2,305,282.16	-237,573.69	89.69%	6,894,823.00	6,894,823.00	0.00	100.0%
Net Ordinary Income	-337,892.49	-454,457.32	116,564.83	74,35%	-1,948,186.67	-2,121,870.16	173,683.49	91.82%	-63,333.00	-63,333.00	00'0	100.0%
<u>Other Income</u>												
4225 · Interest Income	1,792,25	0.00	1,792.25	100.0%	1,792,25	0.00	1,792.25	*00.00	00:00	00.00	0.00	%0.0
4210 · Approp Pool-Replenishment	00.00	0.00	00'0	0.0%	0.00	0.00	00:0	%0'0	00'0	00:00	00'0	0.0%
4220 · Non-Ag Pool-Replenishment	0.00	0.00	00'00	%0'0	00:00	0,00	00'0	0.0%	00'0	0.00	00'0	0.0%
4600 · Groundwater Sales	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	00'0	0.0%	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
Total Other Income	1,792.25	00'00	1,792.25	100.0%	1,792.25	0.00	1,792,25	400.0%	00'0	0.00	00'0	%0.0
Other Expense							-					
-5010 · Groundwater Replenishment	183,000.00	0.00	183,000.00	100.0%	183,731.60	00'00	183,731.60	100,0%	00'0	0.00	00:00	0.0%
OQ100 · Other Water Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	00'0	00.00	%0.0
9999 · To/(From) Reserves	-519,100.24	-454,457.32	-64,642.92	114.22%	-2,130,126.02	-2,121,870.16	-8,255.86	100.39%	00:00	00:00	0.00	0.0%
Total Other Expense	-336,100.24	454,457.32	118,357,08	73.96%	-1,946,394,42	-2,121,870,16	175,475.74	91.73%	00:00	00.0	0.00	0.0%
Net Other Income	337,892.49	454,457.32	-116,564.83	74,35%	1,948,186.67	2,121,870.16	-173,683.49	91.82%	00:00	0.00	00:00	0.0%
Net Income	00'0	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	-63,333.00	-63,333,00	0.00	100.0%



### II. BUSINESS ITEM

C. LAND SUBSIDENCE COMMITTEE





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

### **STAFF REPORT**

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

**Committee Members** 

SUBJECT:

Formation of a Land Subsidence Committee

### SUMMARY

Issue – Currently, Watermaster convenes a MZ1 Technical Committee to oversee the implementation of the MZ1 Subsidence Management Plan (MZ1 Plan). The main objective of the MZ1 Plan is to abate future land subsidence and ground fissuring in MZ1, or reduce it to tolerable levels. The work that supported the development of the MZ1 Plan, and the ongoing land subsidence monitoring program called for by the MZ1 Plan, both indicate that pumping-induced land subsidence can, and does, occur outside of MZ1 across most of the central and western portions of Chino Basin. In addition, basin-wide management plans, such as Basin Re-Operation and the achievement of hydraulic control through desalter pumping, are predicted to cause regional lowering of groundwater levels, which can lead to land subsidence in areas prone to this phenomenon. Currently, the MZ1 Technical Committee is composed of representatives from parties that pump from MZ1 and the focus of its activities are in MZ1.

**Recommendation** – Watermaster staff recommends that the MZ1 Technical Committee be renamed the Land Subsidence Committee, and be open to all Watermaster parties. This change is prudent because land subsidence, its causes, and its solutions are not unique to MZ1, and because the ongoing land subsidence monitoring program is funded by all Watermaster parties.

Fiscal Impact - None.

### **BACKGROUND**

Because of the historical occurrence of pumping-induced land subsidence and ground fissuring in southwestern Chino Basin (southern MZ1), the OBMP called for the development and implementation of an interim management plan for MZ1 that would:

- Minimize subsidence and fissuring in the short-term,
- Collect information necessary to understand the extent, rate, and mechanisms of subsidence and fissuring, and
- Formulate a management plan to reduce to tolerable levels or abate future subsidence and fissuring.


From 2001-2005, Watermaster developed, coordinated, and conducted an Interim Monitoring Program (IMP) under the guidance of the MZ-1 Technical Committee, which is composed of representatives from all major MZ-1 producers and their technical consultants. The IMP was an aquifer-system and land subsidence investigation focused in the southwestern region of MZ1 that would support the development of a long-term management plan to minimize and abate subsidence and fissuring (MZ1 Plan). The IMP involved the construction of highly-sophisticated monitoring facilities, such as deep borehole extensometers and piezometers, the monitoring of land surface displacements through traditional ground-level surveys and remote-sensing techniques, the detailed monitoring of the aquifer system with water-level-recording transducers installed at an array of production and monitoring wells, and the purposeful stressing of the aquifer system through multiple controlled pumping tests.

The investigation methods, results, and conclusions are described in detail in the MZ1 Summary Report, dated February 2006. The investigation provided enough information for Watermaster to develop Guidance Criteria for the MZ1 producers in the investigation area that, if followed, would minimize the potential for subsidence and fissuring during the completion of the MZ1 Plan. The Guidance Criteria included a listing of Managed Wells and their owners subject to the criteria, a map of the so-called Managed Area, and an initial threshold water level (Guidance Level) of 245 feet below the top of the PA-7 well casing. The MZ1 Summary Report and the Guidance Criteria were adopted by the Watermaster Board in May 2006. The Guidance Criteria formed the basis for the MZ1 Plan, which was approved by Watermaster in October 2007. The Court approved the MZ1 Plan in November 2007 and ordered its implementation.

Since 2007, Watermaster has continued implementation of the MZ1 Plan. Drawdown at the PA-7 piezometer has not fallen below the Guidance Level, and very little, if any permanent compaction has been recorded at the Ayala Park Extensometer. The ongoing monitoring program called for by the MZ1 Plan continues to be implemented. Review and revision of the monitoring program for the MZ1 Plan is debated annually by the MZ1 Technical Committee, and the monitoring program is forwarded to the Watermaster Board for inclusion in the Watermaster budget.


### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool – November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool – November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee – November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board –



### II. BUSINESS ITEM

D. MATERIAL PHYSICAL INJURY ANALYSIS – WELL I-17 OF THE CHINO CREEK WELL FIELD





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

### STAFF REPORT

DATE:

November 4, 2010

TO:

**Committee Members** 

SUBJECT:

Chino Creek Well Field Location I-17

### **SUMMARY**

**Issue** — The Chino Desalter Authority (CDA) has requested that Watermaster approve the location of soon-to-be-constructed Well I-17 of the Chino Creek Well Field (CCWF). Watermaster's primary concerns for the CCWF are the achievement of hydraulic control and the potential for land subsidence and ground fissuring.

Watermaster's Engineer (Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.) has reviewed the well location and the proposed well construction specifications and has prepared (1) an opinion that Well I-17, as proposed, is consistent with the Optimum Basin Management Program (OBMP) and the Peace II project description and (2) a provisional finding of no material physical injury (see attached letter and map).

**Recommendation** — Approve the CDA's proposed location of Well I-17.

Financial Impact — None.

### **BACKGROUND**

There are two main objectives of the CCWF: (1) to develop a supply of raw groundwater for an expansion of the Chino Desalter facilities and (2) to achieve and maintain hydraulic control of groundwater outflow from the Chino Basin. Achievement and maintenance of hydraulic control is a requirement of the Basin Plan as updated in 2004 and the Peace II Agreement as approved by the Court in December 2007. Also of concern to Watermaster and the affected Parties is the potential for land subsidence and ground fissuring associated with pumping from and drawdown caused by the CCWF.

Well I-17 is one of six proposed production wells that will comprise the CCWF. Watermaster recently completed and published a groundwater-flow modeling study of the Peace II project description called 2009 Production Optimization and Evaluation of the Peace II Project Description (WEI, November 25, 2009). In this study, the CCWF was simulated to pump from six wells located in the southwestern portion of the Chino Basin. These wells were simulated to be screened exclusively across the shallow aquifer system which, in this region, is approximately 30-200 feet below ground surface (ft-bgs). The study

demonstrated that this design and configuration of the CCWF was capable of (1) achieving and maintaining hydraulic control and (2) not causing excessive drawdown in the deeper confined aquifers that could lead to high rates and magnitudes of land subsidence.

Well I-17 is located in approximately the same location as the one of the six CCWF wells that have been modeled and approved by Watermaster (WEI, November 25, 2009). If Well I-17 is screened across the shallow aquifer system only, as the CDA has indicated, then the potential for material physical injury associated with not achieving hydraulic control or with pumping-induced land subsidence and ground fissuring is minimal.

This opinion of no material physical injury is contingent upon the appropriate operation (pumping) of these wells in the future. Watermaster will request the opportunity to opine on the appropriate operation of Well I-17 and the potential for material physical injury after the entire CCWF has been installed and tested.

### Actions:

November 4, 2010 Appropriative Pool – November 4, 2010 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 4, 2010 Agricultural Pool – November 18, 2010 Advisory Committee – November 18, 2010 Watermaster Board –



October 20, 2010

Chino Basin Watermaster Attention: Mr. Kenneth R. Manning, Chief Executive Officer 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Subject: Material Physical Injury Analysis - Well I-17 of the Chino Creek Well Field

Dear Mr. Manning:

Per your request, Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI) has reviewed the proposed location of the third test well (Well I-17) of the so-called Chino Creek Well Field (CCWF). The proposed well location was provided to WEI by Western Municipal Water District (WMWD). WMWD has told WEI that Well I-17 will be perforated within the shallow aquifer system only (< 200 feet below ground surface). Based on this information, we have prepared this opinion on consistency with the Optimum Basin Management Program (OBMP) and the Peace II project description, and the potential for material physical injury that could be associated with this proposed well.

There are two main objectives of the CCWF: (1) to develop a supply of raw groundwater for an expansion of the Chino Desalter facilities and (2) to achieve and maintain hydraulic control of groundwater outflow from the Chino Basin. Achievement and maintenance of hydraulic control is a requirement of the Basin Plan as updated in 2004 and the Peace II Agreement as approved by the Court in December 2007.

Our primary concerns for material physical injury associated with the CCWF are the inability to achieve and maintain hydraulic control and the potential for land subsidence and ground fissuring.

Hydraulic Control. Hydraulic control is defined as the elimination of the groundwater discharge from the Chino-North management zone into the Prado Basin management zone (PBMZ). Currently, hydraulic control is not being achieved in the area of the proposed CCWF. Current piezometric data indicates that groundwater originating in the Chino-North management zone is discharging to the south in this area, mainly through the shallow aquifer system, into the PBMZ. The water quality in the shallow aquifer system is generally high in TDS and nitrate concentrations. Watermaster's (and IEUA's) primary objective is to ensure that groundwater pumping at the CCWF achieves hydraulic control in this area, so that these shallow poor-quality groundwaters do not exit the Chino Basin as rising groundwater which could decrease basin yield and degrade the quality of the Santa Ana River. Therefore, the wells of the CCWF should be located, constructed and operated to cause the requisite drawdown in the shallow aquifer system to achieve hydraulic control.

Land Subsidence. Pumping from the deeper confined aquifers (>200 ft-bgs) in the western portion of the Chino Basin can lead to excessive drawdown in these deep aquifers, which can lead to compaction of clay and silt layers within the aquifer system, which can result in land subsidence and ground fissuring at the land surface. Pumping from the shallow unconfined aquifers typically causes less drawdown within the aquifer system and, hence, lessens the potential for material physical injury associated with land subsidence and ground fissuring.

Preliminary Opinion on Material Physical Injury. Watermaster recently completed and published a groundwater-flow modeling study of the Peace II project description called 2009 Production Optimization and Evaluation of the Peace II Project Description (WEI, November 25, 2009). In this study, the CCWF was simulated to pump from six wells located in the southwestern portion of the Chino Basin. These wells were simulated to be screened exclusively across the shallow aquifer system which, in this region, is approximately 30-200 feet below ground surface (ft-bgs). The study demonstrated that this design and configuration of the CCWF was capable of (1) achieving and maintaining hydraulic control and (2) not causing excessive drawdown in the deeper confined aquifers that could lead to high rates and magnitudes of land subsidence.

Figure 1 (attached) shows the locations of all three test production wells (I-16, I-17, and I-18). Well I-17 (as well as I-16 and I-17) are located in approximately the same locations as the CCWF wells that have been modeled and approved by Watermaster (WEI, November 25, 2009). If these production wells are screened across the shallow aquifer system only, as WMWD has indicated to us, then we anticipate no material physical injury associated with not achieving hydraulic control or with pumping-induced land subsidence and ground fissuring.

This opinion of no material physical injury is contingent upon the appropriate operation (pumping) of these wells in the future. We respectfully request the opportunity to opine on the appropriate operation of these wells and the potential for material physical injury after the entire CCWF has been installed and tested.

We appreciate the opportunity to serve the Watermaster and the Parties to the Judgment. Please call me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Very truly yours,

Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.

Mark J. Wildermuth, PE


Mal flw Ides

Chairman

Andrew E. Malone, PG

ILEML

Principal Geologist



Chino Creek Well Field as modeled by Wildermuth Environmental [2009]

Streams, Rivers, and Channels

Riparian Habitat in Prado Basin (2006)

Proposed Test Production Wells of the Chino Creek Well Field

Existing Chino Desalter Well

117°40'0"W

Chino North
Chino East
Chino South

Chino Creek Desalter Well Field Modeled vs. Proposed Well Locations

Produced for:

Author: AEM
Date: 2010/1020
File: MPI\_Analysis.mxd

WILDERMUTH"
ENVIRONMENTAL INC.


Produced by:

## THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT


BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



### IV. <u>INFORMATION</u>

1. Cash Disbursements for October 2010



### CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010

For Informational Purposes Only

Paid Amount	7,749.92 26,569.31 34,319,23	55.57 62.90 7.18	2,394.75	271.88	2,814,41 89.50 417,80 522,51 3,844,22	478.57	20.00	810.00	562.50
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 7103.6 - Grdwfr Qual-Supplies 7103.6 - Grdwfr Qual-Supplies 7103.6 - Grdwfr Qual-Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.2 · Applied Computer Technol	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6055 · Computer Hardware	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6043.1 · Ricoh Lease Fee 6043.2 · Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee 6043.2 · Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee 6043.2 · Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg soda: 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.3 · Website Consulting	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6013 · Human Resources Services
мето	Payroll and Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10 Payroll Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10 Direct Debits/Checks for 09/19/10-10/02/10	9358550722 9357278634 9357278642	1979 September 2010	79461 Port switch with cables	10134602 invoice minus 2 months insurance premiums Documentation Fee (One-Time Charge) Usage for Black Copies Usage for Color Copies	7003-7309-1000-2744 miscellaneous office supplies - coffee, napkins, soda: 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Oct. 21, 2010 Leadership Breakfast Reg. Oct. 21, 2010 Leadership Breakfast registration	209 September 2010 - Website Consulting	153003 preparation for workshop
Name	Payroll and Taxes for 09/19/10-10/02/10	GRAINGER	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	COMPUTER NETWORK	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	JAMES JOHNSTON	MATHIS CONSULTING GROUP
Num	10/02/2010	1 <b>4563</b> 9358550722 9357276642	<b>14564</b> 1979	<b>14555</b> 79461	1 <b>4556</b> 10134602	14557 7003730910002744	14558	<b>14559</b> 209	1 <b>4560</b> 153003
Date	10/02/2010	10/06/2010 09/28/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/30/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/30/2010	10/06/2010 09/30/2010	10/06/2010	10/06/2010 10/05/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/30/2010	10/06/2010 09/30/2010
Туре	General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check

For Informational Purposes Only

For Informational Pu	Paid Amount 562.50	4,075.00	141.00	4,275.00	497.00	950,00	55.56	85.00 85.00	169.07	142.88	125.00
	Account	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6062 • Audit Services	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6026 • Security services	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.1 - Park Place Comp Solutn	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6193.1 - Strategic Planning Conference	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 7102.8 • In-line Meter-Calib & Test	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6042 · Postage - General	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6024 • Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6175 · Vehicle Fuel	1012 · Bank of America Gen'I Ckg 6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 • Board Member Compensation
CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010	Memo	30040 first billing in connection with annual audit	289729 commercial monitoring 10/01/10-12/31/10	443 September 2010 - IT Services	55367 imprinted pens - Strategic Planning Conference	3960 3960 - in-Line Meter-Calib & Test	8000909000168851 3 shipments - Non Ag Pool Meeting tape	0/36533 Continuing treatment for ant and pest control	300-732-989 September 2010 - Fuel for trucks	08-K2 213849 Service for Oct 2010	9/23/10 Board Meeting 9/23/10 Board Meeting
CHINO B. Cash Disburs October 1, :	Name	MAYER HOFFMAN MC CANN P.C.	MIJAC ALARM	PARK PLACE COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.	PRINTING RESOURCES	PUMP CHECK	PURCHASE POWER	R&D PEST SERVICES	UNION 76	YUKON DISPOSAL SERVICE	BOWCOCK, ROBERT
	Num	<b>1456</b> 1 30040	1 <b>4562</b> 289729	14563 443	14564 55367	<b>14565</b> 3960	<b>14566</b> 8000909000168851	1 <b>45</b> 67 0136553	14568 300732989	<b>14569</b> 08-k2 213849	1 <b>4570</b> 9/23 Board Meeting
	Date	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/27/2010	10/06/2010 10/01/2010	10/06/2010 09/30/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/30/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/30/2010	1 <b>0/06/2010</b> 09/28/2010	10/06/2010 09/30/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 09/30/2010	<b>10/06/2010</b> 10/05/2010	<b>10/07/2010</b> 09/30/2010
	Type TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check  d Bill  G1AL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt ·Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010

Tvpe	Date	Mum	Name	Мето	Account	Paid Amount
	0.000	ı	MINOR CHRONIC		4049 . Donly of America Coult Pla	
	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25.00
		1		Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25.00
				Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25.00
				Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
TOTAL						375.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14577	KOOPMAN, GENE	AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
186	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Meeting		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25,00
TOTAL				AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
; ;				-		
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14578	KUHN, BOB	9/14/10 Peace II Workshop	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	!
Bill TOTAL	09/30/2010	9/14 Peace II Wkshp		9/14/10 Peace II Workshop	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14579	PAYCHEX	3010093000	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
≣ P9	09/30/2010	2010093000		September 2010 Payroll Services	6012 · Payroll Services	249.98
79 <b>6</b>						249,98
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14580	PIERSON, JEFFREY		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bii	09/30/2010	7/08 Ag Pool Meeting		7/08/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	7/15 Advisory Comm		7/15/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
III	09/30/2010	7/22 Board Meeting		7/22/10 Board Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
H	09/30/2010	8/12 Ag Pool Meeting		8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
JIIS .	09/30/2010	8/19 Advisory Comm		8/19/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
Bill	09/30/2010	8/26 Board Meeting		8/26/10 Board Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
BIB	09/30/2010	9/16 Advisory Comm		9/16/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125,00
Bill	09/30/2010	9/09 Ag Pool Mtg		9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
TOTAL						1,000.00
. Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14581	REID & HELLYER	171923	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
	09/30/2010	171923		171923 - Ag Pool Legal Services	8467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services	12,803.00
				171923 - Ag Pool Legal Services	8467.1 · Frank B. & Associates	907,50
TOTAL						13,710.50
Bill Pmt -Check	10/07/2010	14582	THE STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY	160-513170-00006	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	10/06/2010	16051317100006		November 2010 - Dental Premium	60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	313.12
TOTAL						313.12

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER
Cash Disbursements For The Month of
October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010

For Informational Purposes Only

Paid Amount	115.66	125.00 125.00 250.00	25.00 100.00 25.00 100.00 250.00	429.80	125.00 125.00 250.00	125.00 125.00 250.00	0.00	828.76 828.76	114.29 118.70 232.99
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6042 · Postage - General	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8411 - Compensation 8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special 8411 - Compensation 8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6022 · Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6177 - Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1409 · Prepaid Life, BAD&D & LTD 60191 · Life & Disab.Ins Benefits
Memo	2x81x0 WM bible to Tom Bunn, misc. correspondence	6311 9/14/10 Peace II Resolution Workshop 9/17/10 CDA Meeting	8/12/10 Ag Pool Meeting Ag Pool Member Compensation 9/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting Ag Pool Member Compensation	012519116950792103 Monthly Telephone Service	9/14/10 Peace Il Resolution Workshop 9/23/10 Board Meeting	9/14/10 Peace II Workshop 9/23/10 Board Meeling		033616 field truck maintenance	00198 Prepayment - November 2010 October Insurance Premiums
Name	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE	VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY	VANDEN HEUVEL, ROB	VERIZON	WILLIS, KENNETH	YOUNG, ROBERT	VOID	A&R BRIDGESTONE FIRESTONE AUTO CARE	ACWA SERVICES CORPORATION
Num		14584 9/14 Peace II Wkshp 9/17 CDA Mtg	14585 8/12 Ag Pool Meeting 9/09 Ag Pool Meeting	<b>14586</b> 012519116950792103	14587 9/14 Peace II Wkshp 9/23 Board Meeting	14588 9/14 Peace II Wkshp 9/23 Board Meeting	14589	14590 033616	1 <b>4591</b> 00198
Date	1 <b>0/07/2010</b> 09/30/2010	10/07/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/07/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/07/2010 09/30/2010	10/07/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/ <b>07/2010</b> 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/19/2010	<b>10/19/2010</b> 10/14/2010	10/14/2010 10/14/2010
Туре	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pint -Check Bill TGGAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 · October 26, 2010

For Informational Purposes Only

Paid Amount	3,215.74	125.00 125.00 255.00	16,354.23 1,094.40 3,043.80 3,735.84 719.10 4,340.00 29,374.65 3,141.43	4,611.49	125.00	1,774.25	250.00 672.20 38.06 960.26	5,844.00
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6043.1 · Ricoh Lease Fee	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	6907.35 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI 6907.37 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI 6907.35 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI 6907.37 - Desafter Negotiations 6907.34 - Santa Ana River 6907.31 - Ontario Airport Plume 6907.32 - Chino Airport Plume 6907.33 - Desafter Negotiations 6907.35 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.1 · Medical Insurance 1017 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckn	6311 · Board Member Compensation	2000 - Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6055 · Computer Hardware 6054 · Computer Software 6055 · Computer Hardware	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1422 · Prepaid Rent
Мето	011657903 Minoita lease - billing period 10/01/10-10/31/10	Reissue of Lost Check 14306 6/16/2010 Pérsonnel Committee Meeting 6/03/2010 Dr. Mathis Meeting	436605 - WM Legai Counsel 436605 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI 436605 - Desalter Negotiations 436606 - Santa Ana River 436607 - Ontario Airport Plume 436608 - Chino Airport Plume 436609 - Desalter Negotiations 436610 - Purchase & Sale Agreement NOI	1741 Employee Medical Premiums 9/10/2010 CDA 64 Hoc Conference Call	9/10/2010 CDA Ad Hoc Conference Call 9/10/2010 CDA Ad Hoc Conference Call	Faytou ailu Taxes Ioi oar Bro-Tovoz ro Employee 457 Deductions	10 flash drives adobe acrobat software flash drive	Lease Due Nov.1, 2010 Lease Due November 1, 2010
Name	BANC OF AMERIÇA LEASING	вомсоск, ковект	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK	CALPERS CAMACHO MICHAEL	CAMACHO, MICHAEL	OITISTREET	COMPUTER NETWORK	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT
Num	14592 011657903	14593 6/16 Personnel Comm 6/03 Dr. Mathis Mtg	14594 436605 436606 436607 436609 436610	14595 1741 	14596 9/10 CDA Ad Hoc Call	1809/ 10/02/2010	14598 79636 79572	14699
Date	<b>10/19/2010</b> 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/19/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/19/2010	09/30/2010	10/02/2010 10/02/2010	10/19/2010 10/18/2010 10/18/2010	<b>10/19/2010</b> 10/18/2010
Туре	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Prnt -Check Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Fint -Check TOTAL	Seneral Journal	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill	Bill Prot -Check Bill

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010

For informational Purposes Only

Paid Amount 5,844.00	62.50 62.50 125.00	865.00	18.21 55.03 33.00 116.00 9.63	32.64 50.95 66.40 24.69 6.79	551.37 27.85 579.22	07.77	14.95 273.00 1,156.73 1,444.68	
Account	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 7103.7 - Grdwrt Qual-Computer Svc 7101.4 - Prod Monitor-Computer	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	6141.1 · Meeting Suppiles 6141.3 · Admin Meetings 6173 · Mileage Reimbursements 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance 6212 · Meeting Expense	7103.6 - Grdwfr Meerings 7103.6 - Grdwfr Qual-Supplies 7104.6 - Grdwfr Level-Supplies 7305 - PE885-Supplies 7604 - PE889-Supplies 7204 - Comp Recharge-Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6044 · Postage Meter Lease 6044 · Postage Meter Lease	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60194 · Other Employee insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6022 · Telephone 6193.1 · Strategic Planning Conference 7305 · PE3&5-Supplies	1014 - Dailk Of Allietiva Cert I Vry
Мето	12962708 12962708 Grdwir Qual - Computer Service 12962708 - Prod Monitor - Computer	<b>28177</b> Service - October 2010	supplies for 9/30 Assessment Workshop supplies for 2 office birthdays mileage reimbursement-Molino-Ag Pool Mtgs AAA reimbursements for service for field trucks supplies for 9/16 Advisory Committee Meeting	supplies for water Auction Succontinutee mig- supplies for water quality festing groundwater level monitoring supplies supplies for CDA/Desalter Resolution Meetings supplies for 9/22 DYY/CUP Meeting supplies for 9/27 Recharge Meeting	Lease period Oct 30, 2010 - Jan. 30, 2011 equipment tax and filing fees	111802 October 2010	Monthly moderator charge 9/02 Strategic Planning Conference planning call CDA calls-8/27,9/1,9/3,9/8,9/10,9/13,9/17,9/22	Fayor #3493
Мате	FIRST AMERICAN REAL ESTATE SOLUTIONS	GUARANTEED JANITORIAL SERVICE, INC.	PETTY CASH		PITNEY BOWES CREDIT CORPORATION	PRE-PAID LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	PREMIERE GLOBAL SERVICES	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
wn N	14600 12962706	1 <b>4601</b> 28177	14602		14603 6684246 6684246	<b>14604</b> 111802	14605 04148268	14606
Date	<b>10/19/2010</b> 09/30/2010	1 <b>0/19/2010</b> 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 10/18/2010		<b>10/19/2010</b> 10/14/2010 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 10/18/2010	10/19/2010 09/30/2010	10/19/2010
Type TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	P100 TOT	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill ToTAL	Bill Pmt -Check

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010

For Informational Purposes Only

	nt	6,679.87	Gen'i Ckg 7.91	Gen'l Ckg pplies 217.45 pplies 124.40 341.85	Gen'I Ckg 136.81	1 Gen'i Ckg 2,842.25	r Gen'l Ckg 125.00 125.00 ompensation 125.00 250.00	Gen'l Ckg   563.17   563.17	Gen'l Ckg Maintenance 100,00	Gen'l Ckg To Serve 400.98	
•	Account	2000 · Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.4 - Retirce Medical	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6907.30 · Peace II - CEQA	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 • Board Member Compensation 6311 • Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6022 · Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 8456 · IEUA Readyness To Serve	
October 1, 2010 - October 20, 2010	Memo	CaIPERS for 09/19/10-10/02/10	3351237 October 2010 Dental Premium	cannon camera - replace broken Ops camera markers, binder clips, paper	Retiree Medical Premium	1800001768 Filing Fee	6311 9/10/2010 CDA Ad Hoc Conference Call 9/09/10 Ag Pool Closed Session	0911935177 monthly service	Truck washing service truck washing 4 trucks	90006445	
	Name	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	SAFEGUARD DENTAL & VISION	STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE	STAULA, MARY L	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY	VERIZON WIRELESS	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	
;	Num	10/02/2010	<b>14607</b> 3351237	1 <b>4608</b> 8016720732 8016647073	14609	<b>14610</b> 1800001768	14611 9/10 CDA Ad Hoc Call 9/09 Ag Closed Mtg	14612 0911935177	14613	14614 90006445	
	Date	10/02/2010	10/19/2010 10/14/2010	<b>10/19/2010</b> 10/14/2010 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 10/31/2010	10/19/2010 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 09/30/2010 09/30/2010	10/19/2010 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 10/14/2010	10/19/2010 09/30/2010	
	Type	General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pint -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Biil Pmt -Check Biil TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010


Paid Amount		343.40	76.00	418.69	635.00	1,473.09		100,00	100.00		77.22	77.22		1,774.25	1,774.25		50.00	20.00		173,527.31	173,527.31		8,974.60	8,974,60		6,607.46	6,607.46		509.05	508.05		1,044.04
Account	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	8191 · Conferences - General	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	6312 - Meeting Expenses	6191 · Conferences - General		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	2000 · Accounts Payable		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Cko	A100 - Training & Seminare		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	7206 · Comp Recharge-O&M		1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	8367 · Legal Service		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	2000 · Accounts Payable		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	60191 · Life & Disab, Ins Benefits		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	60183 · Worker's Comp Insurance
Memo	4024-4200-0193-9341	air fare-K. Manning-attend Utility Exec. Conf.	to purchase gloves for gw quality monitoring	lunch for 9/27 W/M Board Meeting	registration-K. Manning-ACWA 2010 Fall Conf.		Flood Enroachment Permit Fee	Permit-access to wells 6875 Pine Ave, Chino		9367089662	Supplies for field staff		Payroll and Taxes for 10/03/10-10/16/10	Employee 457 Dedcutions		October 27, 2010 Cucamonna Valley IAAP	Experience of Molino	TRE IS S. FYINGO BILD S. INGRING	90006497	GW Recharge O&M Cost Reimbursement			Approp. Pool Legal Services		Payor #3493	CaiPERS for Payroll for 10/03/10-10/16/10		Policy # 00-640888-0009	Life and Disability Premiums		1615535-10	Workers Comp Premium
Name	BANK OF AMERICA						COUNTY OF ORANGE			GRAINGER			CITISTREET	CITISTREET		CHCAMONGA VALLEY IAAP			INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY			JOHN J. SCHATZ			PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM		STANDARD INSURANCE CO.			STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND	
Num	14616	4024420001939341			4024420001939341		14617			14618	9367089662		14619	10/16/2010		14620			14621	90006497		14622			14623	10/16/2010		14624	640888-0009		14625	1615535-10
Date	10/21/2010	09/30/2010			10/20/2010		10/21/2010	10/20/2010		10/21/2010	10/20/2010		10/26/2010	10/16/2010		10/26/2010	10/26/2010	10/20/20 10	10/26/2010	10/26/2010		10/26/2010	09/30/2010		10/26/2010	10/16/2010		10/26/2010	10/22/2010		10/26/2010	10/22/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill			B	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	General Journal	TOTAL	P 1		!	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	100	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	General Journal	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Ē

n of

For Informational Purposes Only

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of October 1, 2010 - October 26, 2010


Paid Amount	1,044.04		3,284,35	4,463.25	900'009	2,877,50	765.00	4,230.00	1,175.00	1,309.73	1,614,45	125.00	487.50	4,420.80	300.00	1,176.58	00.009	8,880.55	75.00	637,50	7,394.40	312,50	712.50	4,651.46	93.75	2,230.00	472.50	400,00	400.00	1,571.25	3,164.80	58,425.37	420,239.11
Account		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	7103,3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level-Engineering	7104.3 · Grdwtr Level-Engineering	7107,2 · Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	7107,6 · Grd Level-Contract Svcs	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108,3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7109.3 · Recharge & Well · Engineering	7303 - PE3&5-Engineering	7402 · PE4-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	7502 - PE6&7-Engineering		Total Disbursements:									
Memo			2010572 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010573 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010575 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010576 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010577 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010578 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010579 - OBMP Engineering Services	2010582 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	2010583 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	2010585 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering	2010589 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering	2010591 - Grdwir Level-Engineering	2010597 - Grd Level-Engineering	2010601 - Grd Level-Engineering	2010604 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	2010605 - Grd Level-Engineering	2010607 - Grd Level-Engineering	2010609 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010610 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010613 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010615 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010616 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010618 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010624 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	2010625 - Recharge & Well - Engineering	2010628 - PE3&5-Engineering	2010631 - PE4-Engineering	2010634 - PE6&7-Engineering	2010635 - PE6&7-Engineering		
Name		WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC																															
Num		14626	2010572	2010573	2010575	2010576	2010577	2010578	2010579	2010582	2010583	2010585	2010589	2010591	2010597	2010601	2010604	2010605	2010607	2010609	2010610	2010613	2010615	2010618	2010618	2010624	2010625	2010628	2010631	2010634	2010635		
Date		10/26/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010	09/30/2010		
Туре	TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Ma	III	Bill	Bill	lite	Bill	Bill	Bill	Bill	Bill	HIB	NEG	Bill	Bii	Bill		≣	≣	III8	III B	Bill	IIIB	III	BSIII	ilia	Bill	Bill	Bill	Bill	TOTAL	



# **CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**

# IV. <u>INFORMATION</u>


2. Newspaper Articles



#### SACRAMENTO - STOCKTON - MODESTO

# Sacramento County sewer charges could triple if state water officials have their way

Karen Massie Last updated 11 hrs ago Posted: 10/5/2010





SARAMENTO COUNTY, CA - Sacramento-area sanitation officials are panning a state plan to clean wastewater more and triple sewer rates.

Stan Dean, chief engineer for the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District made a presentation to the Sacramento City Council Tuesday night about the agency effort to get a new permit.

Dean said the large wastewater treatment facility off Franklin Boulevard in Elk Grove replaced 22 smaller treatment plants in 1982. Since then, wastewater from homes and businesses has been treated and discharged back into the Sacramento River near Freeport.

Dean said the water is cleaned, "in accordance with regulations that are under the Clean Water Act."

However, that treated water is being questioned by the Central Valley Water Quality Control Board. "The state has decided they want to make the water even cleaner than they have in the past," Dean said.

The plan calls for \$2 billion in upgrades at the water treatment plant. It serves 1.3 million people in Sacramento County and West Sacramento.

Dean said ratepayers would have to pay dearly for the improvements. "The monthly homeowner pays the sanitation district about \$20 a month for service," he said.

The improvements would raise monthly bills to about \$60. Dean believes that's too much money for seniors and low-income residents.

"This is reprehensible," said Councilwoman Sandy Sheedy. "They arbitrarily did this without going to us. We'll all be bankrupt. We need to get the word out so people will comment."


Meanwhile, businesses say the extra fees in hookup charges and impact charges would stiffle development.

"The fee for McCormick and Schmick's is \$595,000 just to hook up to a sewer line," said Steve Ayers, CEO of Armour Steel Company which owns the Elks Building in Sacramento.

Ayers says the fees would hurt Sacramento and deter new businesses from coming.

"We'd not be able to revitalize our city," said Ayers. "This is a job killer. It would kill our community."

Dean said supporters of the plan contend the treated wastewater is harming the Delta. Dean disputed that.



Print Powered By Formal Dynamics

# NEWS10.

SACRAMENTO - STOCKTON - MODESTO

"Nobody really has a good handle on why the ecosystem in the delta is collapsing -- whether it's the water exports, whether it's invasive clams and nonnative species that are taking over," Dean declared.


"We do not think our discharges are causing the problems in the delta," Dean said. "A number of people are saying we ought to put in this expensive treatment plan. They don't know if it will solve things but they want us to wait and see."

Dean said because more homes will add to the amount of wastewater going into the river, perhaps the sanitation district should remove some ammonia from it. That would add about \$10 to monthly sewer bills.

Ratepayers are being encouraged to take their concerns to the Central Valley Water Quality Control District which will make a decision on the Sacramento region's wastewater permit by Jan. 1. Written comments must be in by Friday. A public hearing will be scheduled for December for anyone to express their views verbally.

By Karen Massie,

News10/KXTV



Print Powered By Formal Dynamics

# REGION: Water leaders say conservation is not enough


10:00 PM PDT on Monday, October 18, 2010 By JANET ZIMMERMAN

The Press-Enterprise

Increased water conservation has helped the Inland region get through several years of drought and supply problems, but it won't be enough to sustain residents and businesses in the future, water leaders told Riverside County supervisors Monday.

Recent measures have concentrated on the easiest and least expensive fixes, such as high-efficiency toilets and appliances, but the next push to eke out more savings will be difficult and costly, members of the county's Water Task Force said at the informational meeting in Riverside. At the top of the list is a new delivery system for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, they said.

The Delta is the beginning of the State Water Project that moves supplies from Northern California reservoirs to millions of people in Southern California. But the Delta's earthen levees are crumbling and vulnerable to earthquakes, and for 10 months of the year, the pumping is restricted to protect fish species.



2009 / The Press-Enterprise Riverside County's Water Task Force recommends a new delivery system for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta to sustain residents and businesses in the future.

Between 2005 and 2009, the supply from the Delta was reduced by 970,000 acre-feet -- enough to support almost 2 million people per year, according to the state Department of Water Resources.

The task force, which includes local water districts and government agencies, supports an alternative canal built around the Delta to solve the environmental and seismic problems. An \$11.1 billion bond measure to fund the project is set to go before voters in 2012.

"The Delta is going to be less reliable than it is today unless there are major infrastructure changes," said Anthony Pack, general manager at Eastern Municipal Water District in Perris. "It's an issue that can't be ignored."

Eastern has reduced its reliance on imported water from 80 percent to 56 percent of water deliveries, while the district's customer base has grown by 35 percent, Pack said. Future measures include the capture of storm water, storage and desalination of groundwater and water recycling.

Already, Riverside County requires water efficiency in new housing and shopping center developments under a landscaping ordinance passed last year. Restrictions also apply to existing homes and businesses that pull county permits for construction projects and plan to change more than 2,500 square feet of landscaping.

Replacing grass with low-water, native plants will be standard in the future, said John

Rossi, general manager of Western Municipal Water District in Riverside and chairman of the task force.

Cool-season turf irrigated with high-efficiency nozzles uses 857,688 gallons per year at a cost of about \$2,006. But a medium water use garden of Mediterranean plants on drip irrigation requires only 475,749 gallons per year at a cost of about \$1,113, he said.

The landscape ordinance, which mandates use of weather-based controllers and highefficiency sprinklers that eliminate runoff, is an example of the comprehensive approach to water management that looks beyond water agency boundaries, said Celeste Cantu. executive director of the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority.

"The cheapest way to save water is not to waste it in the first place," Cantu told the board.

Reach Janet Zimmerman at 951-368-9586 or jzimmerman@PE.com

The New York Eimes

### Green

A Blog About Energy and the Environment

OCTOBER 18, 2010, 2:05 PM

### Lake Mead Hits Record Low Level

By FELICITY BARRINGER

Jim Wilson/The New York Times Bleached rock indicating a former high-water mark on outcroppings surrounding Lake Mead.

Sometime between 11 and noon on Sunday, the water level in Lake Mead, the massive reservoir whose water fills the taps of millions of people across the Southwest, fell lower than it ever has since it was filled 75 years ago.

Even as a flurry of thunderstorms dropped rain on the Las Vegas area, with as much as an inch falling in the mountains to the north, Lake Mead's level dropped to 1,083.18 feet above sea level just before noon, and fell further, to 1,083.09, by 9 local time Monday morning. (The Bureau of Reclamation site features a bar graph showing the reservoir levels since the lake was first formed when the Colorado River waters were impounded by Hoover Dam.)

"It is a record-setting moment," said Colleen Dwyer, a spokeswoman for the Bureau of Reclamation. She added that slightly more water than usual had been released through Hoover Dam over the weekend because the power marketing agency that sends damgenerated electricity around the Southwest had requested some additional flow.

Lake Mead's levels are still eight feet above the level at which a shortage is officially declared and limited rationing could go into effect for users in Nevada and Arizona, and well above the levels when the Hoover Dam's hydroelectric output might be seriously jeopardized.

But Barry Nelson, a senior policy analyst for the Natural Resources Defense Council, said: "This strikes me as such an amazing moment. It's three-quarters of a century since they filled it. And at the three-quarter-century mark, the world has changed."

He noted that Hoover Dam was "one of the iconic features of American engineering" and one of the earliest of what are now 45,000 major dams on rivers worldwide. "This is the place where the mega-dam began, and it may be the place where it ends," he said, because of "climate change and new constraints on water supplies."

Mr. Nelson said that the 11-year drought, which has caused the Colorado River to deliver considerably less water than its users have been promised, "reflects weather patterns that are what climate models predict for an era of climate change."

"Either these are early indicators of climate change or conditions we should expect more of in the future," he concludes.

The Bureau of Reclamation's current plan for the coming year calls for an increase of up to 40 percent in the amount of water delivered to Lake Mead from Lake Powell, the big reservoir upstream, a step that could help equalize the amount of water in each reservoir and possibly avoid triggering the shortage declaration.

Copyright 2010 The New York Times Company | Privacy Policy | NYTimes.com 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018

# REGION: Colorado River drought threatens power production

07:19 AM PDT on Wednesday, October 6, 2010


By JANET ZIMMERMAN The Press-Enterprise

Record low levels at Lake Mead threaten hydropower generation that brings electricity to Southern California, including several Inland cities, and moves drinking water for millions of residents and farms.

The man-made lake formed by Hoover Dam now stands at 39 percent capacity, largely because of an 11-year drought on the Colorado River. It is only the third time Lake Mead has been this low since it was filled in the 1930s.

Hoover, which straddles Arizona and Nevada, is one of the Southwest's cheapest and cleanest sources of power. Electricity production at the dam has dropped along with Mead's volume. Losing that supply wouldn't leave California in the dark, but it would send utilities searching for other, more expensive sources, the cost of which would be passed on to consumers.

Story continues below



In the meantime, the U.S. <u>Bureau of Reclamation</u>, which manages the dam, is working on new equipment that would allow Hoover to operate at lower levels.

Lake Mead now stands at 1,083.6 feet above sea level, just 8 feet shy of the point that would trigger the first-ever water shortage declaration and force reduced deliveries to Nevada and Arizona. A continued drop, to 1,025 feet, would hit supplies for California, which has rights to the largest share of the river.

According to projections from the Bureau of Reclamation, the lake will continue to drop in October before levels begin climbing next month; it will remain at an average 1,105 feet over the next 30 years.

But some scientists say natural drought cycles will collide with population growth and <u>slimate change</u> and, if unabated, will kill any chance of ever filling the reservoir again.

"The amount of water coming down the river gets less and less, and you're already using all there is. You have to say, it's not going to last long," said <u>Tim Barnett</u>, a research marine geophysicist at Scripps Institution of Oceanography in San Diego who, with colleague David Pierce, released a study two years ago titled, "When Will Lake Mead Go Dry?"

According to their calculations, there is a 50 percent chance the reservoir will dry up by 2021.

#### Power down, prices up

Electricity from Hoover covers peak demand for numerous cities, including Riverside, Banning and Colton, and helps Metropolitan Water District of Southern California pump supplies from Lake Havasu, through the 242-mile Colorado River Aqueduct, to Lake Mathews.

"It's been striking for quite a few years. They've reduced the output of the dam because of the low water level," said Steve Homer, project administrator for the Southern California Public Power Authority, a group of 12 municipal utilities that buys shares of power and transmission projects. "We are seeing the effects. There is less power available."

Electricity available from Hoover has declined 29 percent since 1980. That has local utilities buying power on the open market, where rates are up to four times higher. The cost has been passed on to consumers.

Electricity is divvied up according to the Hoover Power Allocation Act of 1984, which gives 28.5 percent to Metropolitan, 15 percent to Los Angeles, 23 percent to Nevada, 18 percent to Arizona and most of the remainder to other California utilities.

That legislation expires in 2017. A bill to extend the contracts by 50 years and allot 5 percent for new customers, including Native American tribes, has passed <u>Congress</u> and is before the <u>Seriate</u>.

Hoover provides half of the energy wholesaler Metropolitan needs to move Colorado River water to agencies throughout Southern California. The loss of some of that power — and increased prices for buying it elsewhere — was one factor involved in rate hikes of 49 percent over three years, said Jon Lambeck, Metropolitan's manager of power resources.

While Hoover is an important source of clean, cheap energy, utilities would be able to replace the power if the generating facility was unable to operate, he said.

"The lights would not go out. You would still get water at the tap. The energy is available in the marketplace to replace Hoover; it's just a matter of cost," he said.

The utility is considering solar power generation projects at its water treatment facilities and buying power from utility-scale solar, wind and solar thermal projects proposed for the California desert.

#### **Utilities worried**

Big operations like Metropolitan can spread cost increases across its customer base, but it's harder for smaller contractors, such as the city of Banning.

Last year, the city utility received 1,544 megawatts from Hoover, a drop from the 2,000 megawatts it got eight years ago, said Fred Mason, electric utility director. Hoover power costs about \$23.50 per megawatt at the summer peak, compared with \$58 per megawatt on the open market, he said.

Small losses can be replaced with power from the city's coal, nuclear and geothermal plants, "but if we were to lose the total and had to replace it with other sources, that would increase our costs by about \$50,000 a year," Mason said.

Officials at Riverside Public Utilities have watched their share from Hoover drop by 15 percent over the past couple of years, said Gary Nolff, assistant general manager.

### **WORRIES GROW**

"Just the fact that we're restricted now is enough to make us worry," he said.

Barnett and Pierce say there is a 50 percent chance that, within seven years, Lake Mead's levels will drop too low to produce electricity. The weight of the water is what turns the massive turbines; once the lake drops below 1,050 feet, bubbles are created that damage equipment.

The Bureau of Reclamation has ordered an upgraded turbine that would operate at lake levels as low as 1,000 feet. The new turbine is expected to be delivered in early 2012 and, if it is successful, several of the other 16 turbines would be replaced by 2016.

The Bureau also modified the gate system to increase flow and regain 88 megawatts of electricity that had been lost.

The government also is working to better equalize the storage in Mead and upper reservoir Lake Powell. Releases from Powell are triggered when the water reaches certain elevations at both lakes.

The decision is made April 1 and adjusted for snowmelt runoff, bureau spokesman Bob Walsh said.

If Mead gets too low or Powell has available supplies, then water is sent downstream.

"Right now it looks like that could happen next year," he said.

Reach Janet Zimmerman at 951-368-9586 or jzimmerman@PE.com.

# THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

### <u>Chemical & Engineering News</u> Serving the chemical, life sciences and laboratory worlds

### **Latest News**

Home » Latest News » How To Define "Safe" Water?

#### **Advertisement**



#### **Advertise Here**

September 23, 2010 DOI:10.1021/CEN091610144239

## **How To Define "Safe" Water?**

Water Pollution: Southern California study highlights the limits of bacteria used as fecal indicators

## Steven C. Powell



Stanley Grant

GO WITH THE FLOW Although treated wastewater flows out of discharge pipes free of fecal contamination, indicator bacteria are still abundant downstream.

People love to frolic in lakes and rivers, but unfortunately so do a lot of nasty microbes. A nearly 25-year-old national recreational water standard protects us from waterborne pathogens, especially those lurking in fecal

waste. But a new study in Environmental Science & Technology (DOI: 10.1021/es101092g) shows that the microbes used to flag fecal contamination can be unreliable.

Water quality depends on upstream iputs, such as urban runoff, agricultural runoff, or wastewater treatment discharges. Since 1986, the Environmental Protection Agency has relied on culturing Escherichia coli and Enterococcus bacteria to spot feces contamination in these waters. A positive test for feces usually indicates the presence of pathogens that cause illness, such as stomach disorders and respiratory infections.

But in recent years researchers have found that the gut bacteria used as feces indicators can survive outside of our digestive tracts in other environments. These "naturalized" bacteria could lead to false positives in culture tests and thus make them inaccurate fecal indicators.

Stanley Grant, a professor of environmental engineering at the University of California, Irvine, and colleagues wanted to develop a better quantitative understanding of how water quality markers—including fecal indicator bacteria—changed along inland urban waters. The team decided to study a wastewater treatment plant stream that feeds into the Santa Ana River in Southern California. During the dry season, the Santa Ana receives about 85% of its flow from disinfected wastewater.

They collected water samples at various spots, including directly from the wastewater discharge pipe and within the Santa Ana itself, both up- and downstream from where the wastewater flows into it.

The researchers cast a wide analytical net in assessing water quality, including the traditional culture test for E. coli and Enterococcus, quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays for Enterococcus and human-specific HF183 Bacteroides, and measurements of chemical markers for sewage and wastewater such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid.

While samples straight from the wastewater discharge pipe were very low in *Enterococcus* according to the standard EPA culture test, the levels rose as the water flowed downstream: "Water emerged from the wastewater plant disinfected, and then within 500 meters downstream the concentrations exceeded the regulatory criteria," Grant says.

The team concluded that the riverbed served as a source of naturalized Enterococcus. The bacteria essentially sounded the alarm for feces that weren't there, says Grant.

Ecologist Richard L. Whitman of the U.S. Geological Survey in Porter, Indiana, calls the study "really important work." A recent court order requires the EPA to revise its recreational water regulations by 2012. And this study adds important data for finding better standards, Whitman says, by better defining the disconnect between fecal indicators and actual human pathogens.

## More On This Topic

- Treating Sewage For Drinking Water
- Viruses Infiltrate Municipal Wells
- Related Journal: Evaluation of Chemical, Molecular, and Traditional Markers of Fecal Contamination in an Effluent Dominated Urban Stream Environ. Sci. Technol., DOI: 10.1021/es101092g

Chemical & Engineering News ISSN 0009-2347 Copyright © 2010 American Chemical Society

# THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

<u>Chemical & Engineering News</u> Serving the chemical, life sciences and laboratory worlds

### **Latest News**

Home » Latest News » Debunking The Detection Limit Myth

#### **Advertisement**



#### Advertise Here


October 14, 2010

DOI: 10.1021/CEN100510130407

## **Debunking The Detection Limit Myth**

# Drinking Water: Better analytical methods do not lead to stricter contaminant regulations

## Rebecca Renner



Ja-Myung Kim, Kitack Lee,

Kyoungsoon Shin

AT THE LIMIT Advances in analytical chemistry bring down detection limits, but do not necessarily strengthen water quality standards.

Critics of environmental regulations often argue that as analytical methods become more precise, policymakers push for tougher regulations, because scientists can detect smaller and smaller contaminant concentrations.

But a new report concludes that this criticism, known as the "vanishing zero effect," is a myth-at least when it comes to U.S. drinking water regulations. Instead, the authors find that a better understanding of a chemical's toxicology appears to be the primary driver of changes in regulatory limits (Environ. Sci. Technol., DOI: 10.1021/es101417u).

Although people have invoked the vanishing zero effect for decades, no one had yet examined its validity. So engineers Ryan Calder and Ketra Schmitt of Concordia University in Montreal, decided to perform the first comprehensive analysis of the role that improved detection capabilities play in drinking water regulation

The pair of myth-busters examined three possible rationales for changing a specific contaminant's regulations: an improved detection technique, a better understanding of its toxicology, or a cheaper method to treat it. They specifically evaluated the evolution of the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, because those regulations undergo regular review.

"What we found surprised us," Schmitt says. The act regulates 67 chemical contaminants, but since it went into effect, the regulatory limits of only 15 chemicals have changed. "We were surprised that regulations aren't changing as quickly as we anticipated," she says. Even more surprising, regulations for seven of those 15 contaminants, including barium, selenium, and chromium, have became less stringent over time—the opposite direction predicted by the vanishing zero effect.

Still, regulations for six contaminants have stiffened. Of those six, only the regulatory limits on now banned pesticides, lindane and toxaphene, are now at their detection limits. Meanwhile, arsenic's regulatory limits are well above its detection limit and policymakers based that choice on toxicology—its cancer risk—tempered by treatment cost concerns. Toxicological information also drove the limits for cadmium and the pesticide methoxychlor.

Calder and Schmitt also found that toxicological information plays an important role in screening emerging contaminants for potential regulation. For example, regulators first considered perchlorate as a candidate for drinking water limits when an analytical breakthrough lowered its detection limit. But the current regulatory discussions focus on mitigating its health effects.

The paper shines light on a previously ignored topic, says engineering analyst Patrick Gurian at Drexel University in Philadelphia: "It's nice to see some critical thinking and evidence brought to bear on this common belief."

Gurian thinks that improved analytical methods have actually made regulatory decisions more complex. "Once we could simply regulate to a level we could detect," he says. "Now we have to face societal choices about how much to invest in risk reduction and how much risk we are willing to accept."

## More On This Topic

• Role of Detection Limits in Drinking Water Regulation Environ. Sci. Technol., DOI; es101417u

Chemical & Engineering News ISSN 0009-2347 Copyright © 2010 American Chemical Society

# THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

# SCIENTIFIC **AMERICAN**

Permanent Address: http://www.scientificamerican.com/blog/post.cfm?id=what-is-stormwater-runoff-and-why-d-2010-10-21

# What is stormwater runoff, and why does it matter?

By Anne Casselman Thursday, October 21, 2010 1



Editor's Note: Expedition Blue Planet, led by Jacques Cousteau's granddaughter Alexandra Cousteau, is traveling 14,500 miles of road over 138 days to investigate and film some of North America's most pressing water-use and management stories. Expedition members will file dispatchs from the field for Scientific American until the expedition concludes on November 12 in Washington, D.C. This is their fourth blog post.

Right from the start of our expedition, stormwater runoff has held center stage when it comes to water quality issues. It's a constant refrain: Tell us about the water quality issues that this watershed faces? Answer: Stormwater runoff,

More than three quarters of North Americans live in urban areas, and by 2030, 60 percent of the world's population is expected to live in them. Not only do these concrete jungles after our watersheds and hydrological cycles, but they also shunt the runoff from impervious pavement and rooftops, into the water body at hand, whether it's a lake, creek, river or coastline.

"People think storm water is pristine," says Lou Di Gironimo, general manager for Toronto Water. "Well, it is when it comes out of the clouds but not when it hits an urban surface." Despite the fact that Toronto's stormwater does not meet the criteria for discharging it untreated, the bulk of it is collected in storm drains and funneled straight into the city's creeks, rivers and lakes, like in so many urban centers worldwide.

According to the Environmental Protection Agency, some 80,000 miles of streams and rivers are impaired by urbanization in the U.S. And the amount of impervious surface superimposed on the country's watersheds ranges between 12.5 percent and 30 percent—high enough to degrade aquatic habitats.

About 13 percent of U.S. rivers, 18 percent of lakes and 32 percent of estuaries are made unsafe for swimming or fishing thanks to stormwater, making it a leading source of water pollution. Just as an example, 75 percent of the toxic chemicals that arrive in Seattle's Puget Sound are carried by stormwater that slicks paved roads, rooftops, yards and other urban features.

"We all pollute the environment in one way or another, and the rainwater, all it does is it picks it up, mixes it all together, puts it in a pipe and we're having to deal with it somewhere," explains Di Gironimo.

Urban streams suffer from increased phosphorus concentrations due to the ubiquitous application of lawn and garden fertilizers. Urban areas also increase the nitrogen concentration in rivers for hundreds of miles. Then there are the metals, of which lead, zinc, chromium, copper, manganese, nickel and cadmium are the most common in runoff.

Brake linings, tires and engines contain nickel, chromium, lead, zinc, lead, manganese and copper, among others—all of which accumulate on parking lots and roads.

(One study found that the copper levels in stormwater runoff have the potential to increase mortality in salmon by way of compromising their sensory abilities and increasing the odds that they'll get plucked off by predators.)

Then there are pesticides, PCBs and the petroleum-hydrocarbons that drip off our cars. Drop by drop, oil stain by oil stain, it all adds up. By one estimate, the Los Angeles River alone contributes 1 percent of the annual world petroleum hydrocarbon input into the ocean.

The EPA is expected to enact stormwater regulations come 2012 but in the meantime, there are small steps that many urbanites are making already. In natural systems, rainwater never really traveled that far from where it fell. The soil and plants would soak it up.

Indeed, the best ways to deal with urban stormwater is to go back to that principle: use the rainwater where it falls.

"Capture that rainwater, put it in a rain barrel, put it in your garden and use that water," urges Di Gironimo.

"If you let it fall and hit your driveway or the road, it is now polluted and we're gong to have to deal with it somehow."

Photo: Mud Creek's path in Toronto near Yonge Street and Eglington. Courtesy of Flickr.

© 2010 Scientific American, a Division of Nature America, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

# INLAND: Water districts betting on bacteria for cleanup

05:43 PM PDT on Tuesday, October 26, 2010 By JANET ZIMMERMAN The Press-Enterprise

Two Inland water agencies are in line for millions of dollars in federal funding to develop the nation's first systems that use naturally occurring bacteria to scrub drinking water of toxic contaminants.

The biological treatment method uses microorganisms that feed on nitrate and perchlorate, which have forced closure of numerous wells and installation of expensive treatment systems.

Using the harmless bacteria is less expensive and more environmentally friendly than other cleansing methods, water experts said. It also avoids adding salt back into the water that would later have to be removed, and it doesn't create waste that has to be disposed.

"It's a simple process, it's natural, it works well, and I think it will benefit a lot of people," said Jack Safely, director of water resources at Western Municipal Water District in Riverside.

Western and West Valley Water District in Rialto are developing the systems, which until now have only been used to clean water that is injected back into the soil to recharge underground basins. This would be the first to be served

directly to customers, said Jess Brown, director of research and development at Carolla Engineering, the Walnut Creek company working with the agencies.

### ON THE WEB

A federal science
panel's report on
health effects of
perchlorate
Information on
perchlorate in baby
formula
California web page
on Nitrate in drinking
water

Story continues below



The Press-Enterprise
Perchlorate is used in rocket fuel, munitions and
fireworks and is believed to have leached into
groundwater industrial use in north Rialto. Above,
Rialto in 1955.

#### **ALREADY THERE**

The bacteria already exist in soil and water. When the contaminated water is pumped into the treatment plant, it goes into a vessel with sand or granular activated carbon and concentrated vinegar, which makes the bacteria grow. The bugs digest the nitrate, perchlorate and volatile organic chemicals such as trichloroethylene, or TCE, and convert them to nitrogen gas, a harmless byproduct vented to the atmosphere, Brown said.

The bacteria are easily killed by chlorine, the primary disinfectant used in water treatment.

Western and West Valley have conducted pilot studies on the treatment for the state. Once their plants are running, they would have to prove that the process eliminates the

contaminants and produces water that meets drinking water standards before the state would issue a permit, said Ken August, spokesman for the Department of Public Health.

The standard method for removing nitrate is reverse osmosis, in which water is forced through a membrane that allows water molecules to pass through but blocks larger compounds. That process requires a lot of energy, Safely said. And ion exchange for perchlorate, which uses resin to change the chemical's properties, is expensive because filters have to be changed frequently with high levels of contamination.

#### STARTUP EXPENSE


Though biological treatment is more expensive up front, about \$4.2 million vs. \$3.8 million for ion exchange, it saves money in the long run because it can treat high levels of contaminants and treats multiple chemicals in a single process. Biological treatment is about \$238 per acre-foot of water, compared to \$254 for ion exchange, according to a 2009 study by the Department of Defense.

On Tuesday, West Valley received a \$10 million grant from the state health department to build its treatment plant. Groundwater in the area is contaminated with perchlorate, which can disrupt the thyroid gland's ability to absorb iodide, needed to make the hormones that guide brain and nerve development in fetuses and babies.

Story continues below



PURIFYING WATER WITH BACTERIA: Water agencies in the fliverside area and Rigito plan to use natural bacteria to break down changed centamination in groundwater.



Click to enlarge

Perchlorate is an ingredient in rocket fuel, munitions and fireworks and is believed to have leached into groundwater from decades of industrial use in north Rialto. The U.S. <a href="mailto:EnvironmentalProtection Agency">Environmental Protection Agency</a> has declared the 160-acre area a Superfund cleanup site and will build wells to pump the water out and treat it with ion exchange before sending it to taps.

Construction on West Valley's project should start by March. After six months to a year of testing and pending approval by the state, the plant will be providing water for homes in two years, general manager Anthony Araiza said.

#### ATTACKS NITRATE

Western will use the technology to treat for nitrate, a byproduct of fertilizer that causes

oxygen deprivation in infants. The district received \$625,000 from the EPA to build a treatment system at the existing Arlington Desalter southwest of Riverside. Western is requesting \$5 million more in federal funds this year and will use revenue bonds to generate matching funds. The one-year construction project is expected to start in 2011.

The project would add 3.7 million gallons of water per day to the local supply and would benefit the cities of Riverside and Norco and unincorporated areas of Riverside County, Safely said.

Reach Janet Zimmerman at 951-368-9586 or jzimmerman@PE.com

# THIS PAGE HAS INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION