



NOTICE OF MEETING

Thursday, February 10, 2011

9:00 a.m. –Agricultural Pool Meeting

AT THE INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY OFFICES

6075 Kimball Ave. Bldg. A Board Room Chino, CA 91710 (909) 993-1600





Thursday, February 10, 2011

9:00 a.m. – Agricultural Pool Meeting

AGENDA PACKAGE



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER AGRICULTURAL POOL MEETING

WITH

Mr. Bob Feenstra, Chair Mr. Jeff Pierson, Vice-Chair

9:00 a.m. – February 10, 2011 At The Offices Of

Inland Empire Utilities Agency

6075 Kimball Ave., Bldg. A, Board Room Chino, CA 91710

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER

AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

I. CONSENT CALENDAR

Note: All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and non-controversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

A. MINUTES

1. Minutes of the Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting held January 13, 2011 (Page 1)

B. FINANCIAL REPORTS

- 1. Cash Disbursements for the month of December 2010 (Page 7)
- Watermaster Visa Check Detail for the month of December 2010 (Page 19)
- 3. Combining Schedule for the Period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 (Page 23)
- 4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 (Page 27)
- 5. Budget vs. Actual July through December 2010 (Page 31)

C. WATER TRANSACTION

- Consider Approval for Notice of Sale or Transfer The City of Pomona will transfer 370.000 acre-feet of water to the City of Upland. The water will be transferred from Pomona's Excess Carryover Account to Upland's Excess Carryover Account Date of Application: January 18, 2011 (Page 35)
- Consider Approval for Notice of Sale or Transfer On January 20, 2011, Watermaster received Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4, and 5 with Southern California Edison (SCE) as the Transferor and the City of Ontario (as an Overlying Non-Agricultural party) as Transferee in the amount of 27.959 acre-feet of safe yield as a permanent transfer. Also to be transferred are all of SCE's post-June 30, 2007 stored, carry-over and unpumped groundwater. Date of Application: January 20, 2011 (Page 45)

II. BUSINESS ITEMS

A. TURNER BASIN EXCAVATION COST SHARING AGREEMENT

Consider and Make Recommendation Regarding Agreement Between Watermaster and IEUA to Share Costs Associated with CEQA Analysis and Grading Plans for Expansion of Recharge Opportunities at Turner Basins (*Page 59*)

B. RFP FOR OUTSIDE AUDIT FIRM

Staff Recommends a Request for Proposal (RFP) Be Issued to Determine Interest and Related Costs for an Audit Firm to Perform the Field Work and Issue an Audit Opinion Upon the Basic Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 and Future Years (Page 65)

C. WELL LOCATION OF WELLS I-19, I-20, AND I-21

Consider Approval of Staff Recommended Well Locations for the Chino Creek Well Field Wells I-19, I-20, and I-21 (Page 67)

III. REPORTS/UPDATES

A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

- 1. Draft Restated Watermaster Judgment (on Watermaster ftp site)
- 2. January 21, 2011 Hearing Update
- 3. January 26, 2011 Settlement Conference Update
- 4. Santa Ana Sucker Critical Habitat Designation

B. ENGINEERING REPORT

- 1. Basin Land Subsidence Committee Meeting Update
- 2. MZ3 Recharge Opportunities Effort
- 3. Chino Creek Well Field Well I-18 Design (Page 78)

C. FINANCIAL REPORT

1. Expected Cost of Completion Mid-Year (Page 92)

D. CEO/STAFF REPORT

- 1. Legislative Update
- 2. Recharge Update
- 3. CEO Resignation

IV. INFORMATION

- 1. Cash Disbursements for January 2011 (Page 97)
- Semi Annual Report July through December 2010 (Page 108)
- 3. Newspaper Articles (Page 116)

V. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

VII. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

Pursuant to the Agricultural Pool Rules & Regulations, a Confidential Session may be held during the Watermaster Pool meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

VIII. FUTURE MEETINGS

Thursday, February 10, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Thursday, February 17, 2011	Cancelled	IEUA Dry Year Yield Meeting
Thursday, February 17, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
* Thursday, February 24, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Land Subsidence Committee Mtg. @ CBWM
Thursday, February 24, 2011	11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM
Friday, April 22, 2011	10:00 a.m.	Chino Basin Watermaster Court Hearing

^{*} Changed from February 17th at 10:30 to February 24th at 9:00 a.m.

Meeting Adjourn



I. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. MINUTES

1. Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting held on January 13, 2011



Draft Minutes CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER AGRICULTURAL POOL MEETING

The Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting was held at the offices of the office of Inland Empire Utilities Agency, 6075 Kimball Avenue, Chino, CA, on January 13, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.

Agricultural Pool Members Present Who Signed In

Bob Feenstra, Chair Dairy
John Huitsing Dairy

Gene Koopman Milk Producers Counsel Rob Vanden Heuvel Milk Producers Counsel

Jeff PiersonCropsGlen DurringtonCrops

Jennifer Novak State of California, Dept. of Justice, CIM

Julie Cavender State of California, CIM
Pete Hall State of California, CIM

Watermaster Staff Present

Ken ManningChief Executive OfficerDanielle MaurizioSenior EngineerJoe JoswiakChief Financial OfficerBen PakSenior Project EngineerSherri Lynne MolinoRecording Secretary

Watermaster Consultants Present

Michael Fife Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck Andy Malone Wildermuth Environmental Inc.

Others Present Who Signed In

Steven G. Lee Reid & Hellyer
Dave Crosley City of Chino
Gil Aldaco City of Chino

Robert DeLoach
Ryan Shaw
Marsha Westropp
Cucamonga Valley Water District
Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Orange County Water District

Rick Reese State of California, Dept. of Justice, CIM Chino Basin Water Conservation District

Chair Feenstra called the Agricultural Pool meeting to order at 9:03 a.m.

AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

There were no additions or reorders made to the agenda.

I. ANNUAL ELECTIONS - ACTION

A. Calendar-Year 2011 Agricultural Pool Members

The Agricultural Pool membership shall consist of <u>not less than ten representatives</u> selected at large by members of the pool. Pool members made the necessary changes to the following list for pool membership and alternates during calendar year 2011 as follows:

2011 Agricultural Pool Members 2011Current Alternates: Robert Feenstra Syp Vander Dussen Dairy: Dairy: Gene Koopman Peter Hettinga Nathan deBoom John Huitsing Rob Vanden Heuvel Glen Durrington Crops: Crops: Dan Hostetler Jeff Pierson Pete Hall State: State: Michael Hughes Jennifer Novak Brian Pahel Robert Nobles Julie Cavender **Calendar Year 2011 Agricultural Pool Officers** Nominations took place for the Pool Chair and Vice-Chair to serve during calendar year 2011. Appointees: Chair Bob Feenstra Vice-Chair Jeff Pierson Secretary/Treasurer Watermaster Chief Executive Officer C. Calendar Year 2011 Advisory Committee Members & Officers Nominations took place for the 2011 Advisory Committee Vice-Chair position to serve during calendar year 2011. Appointee: Vice-Chair Agricultural Pool Jeff Pierson D. Calendar-Year 2011 Pool Representation on Watermaster Board

Nominations took place for the Watermaster Board representatives and their alternates during Calendar-Year 2011.

Appointees:

Member: Paul Hofer Alternate: Pierson either/or Feenstra Member: Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel Alternate: Feenstra either/or Pierson

II. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

MINUTES

Minutes of the Agricultural Pool Meeting held December 9, 2010

FINANCIAL REPORTS

- Cash Disbursements for the month of November 2010
- Watermaster Visa Check Detail for the month of November 2010
- 3. Combining Schedule for the Period July 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010
- 4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010
- 5. Budget vs. Actual July through November 2010

C. INTERVENTION INTO THE AGRICULTURAL POOL

Intervention of Restorative Justice Center (dba the Community Garden Project of Rancho Cucamonga) into the Agricultural Pool

NOTICE OF INTENT

Annual Filing of Notice of Intent Regarding the Determination of Operating Safe Yield

E. CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER INVESTMENT POLICY

Resolution 11-01 – Resolution of the Chino Basin Watermaster, San Bernardino County, California, re-authorizing the Watermaster's Investment Policy

F. LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND

Resolution 11-02 – Resolution Authorizing Investment of Monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)

Motion by Durrington, second by Pierson, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve Consent Calendar items B through E, as presented

I discussion regarding item A and item F ensued.

Motion by Koopman, second by Novak, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve Consent Calendar items A and F, as presented

III. REPORTS/UPDATES

A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

Draft Restated Watermaster Judgment

Counsel Fife stated this item was court requested and Watermaster staff, counsel, and other parties are moving forward on gathering information and having meetings to compile all necessary information to complete this item. Once this item is completed it will be submitted to the court for approval. Ms. Novak inquired about the Draft Restated Watermaster Judgment being on the Watermaster ftp site. Mr. Manning stated it will be put back on the Watermaster ftp site today and a notice will be sent out.

2. January 21, 2011 Hearing

Counsel Fife stated the only item that will be presented to the court for approval on January 21st will be the reappointment of the Watermaster nine-member board. The judge will also discuss status on Watermaster matters.

3. January 26, 2011 Settlement Conference

Counsel Fife stated the Paragraph 31 Motion case has been approved into the mediation process and this matter is scheduled to appear at a Settlement Conference on January 26, 2011; notice regarding this matter has been served on all parties by Watermaster. Counsel Fife stated the order states the full governing Board, Pool, and other parties must appear at this conference; however, if each entity appoints designated representatives to appear for them that would be considered. Counsel Fife stated each entity can file the change in representatives with the presiding court for their approval prior to the actual Settlement Conference. Counsel Fife stated the Watermaster Board authorized Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel, Charles Field, and Michael Camacho to represent the Chino Basin Watermaster Board at the January 26, 2011 Settlement Conference. A discussion regarding this matter ensued. It was noted Steven Lee would be in attendance. After discussion it was decided Jennifer Novak, Jeff Pierson, and Pete Hall be appointed to represent the Agricultural Pool at the January 26th Settlement Conference and Counsel Lee would file the appropriate paperwork with the court.

4. Santa Ana Sucker Critical Habitat Designation

Counsel Fife stated recently news was received from the US Fish and Wildlife Service that the designation of river habitat has gone in the other direction and the areas that Chino Basin were seeking to have excluded from the sensitive habitat did not turn out as planned/hoped. Counsel Fife stated greater reaches of the Santa Ana River are now being designated for Sucker habitat and there is an ongoing process to discuss the next steps. Counsel and staff are going to monitor and participate as directed by the Watermaster Board. There is not a full report available presently; however, a full report will be given at a future meeting. Chair Feenstra offered comment on the new designation area. Chair

Feenstra commented on a recent article written for the Orange County Register about the volume of water that would be released at the Prado Dam. A lengthy discussion regarding the critical habitat new designation and concerns ensued.

B. ENGINEERING REPORT

1. MZ1 Progress Report

Mr. Malone stated a new Land Subsidence Committee has emerged out of the MZ1 Technical Committee. This new committee is now open to all parties and will give way to a larger group focusing on a broader area than just the Management Zone 1 area. Mr. Malone gave a preview of what will be presented for the first meeting which is scheduled for January 20, 2011 at 11:00 a.m. Mr. Malone discussed the Chino Basin Watermaster Webpage which was developed by Watermaster and the new links which will provide current live data for PA-7 Water Level at Ayala Park, pictures and data on land subsidence and ground fissuring, and other MZ1 related information. Mr. Malone stated this webpage is providing the pumpers in MZ1 area some information as to where the water level is relative to the management criteria which is at a depth of 245 feet. Mr. Malone reviewed several maps for subsidence and fissuring and offered comment in detail on the maps. A discussion regarding Mr. Malone's presentation ensued.

2. Modeling of the Chino Desalter Well-Field

This item was reported under item 1. MZ1 Progress Report.

C. CEO/STAFF REPORT

1. Legislative Update

Mr. Manning stated most of the focus in Sacramento is on the budget, spending cuts, and taxes. Mr. Manning reviewed current legislative events. Mr. Manning discussed how new legislation could affect redevelopment projects. Chair Feenstra inquired about agency funds. Mr. Manning stated Watermaster does not receive state funds.

2. Recharge Update

Mr. Manning stated December was a very good month for recharging storm water; 6,700 acre-feet was captured which is a record month for Watermaster. Mr. Manning thanked Inland Empire Utilities Agency and the partnership of the Flood Control and the Conservation District for developing a program to capture storm water. Mr. Manning noted the 6,700 acre-feet is enough water for 65,000 people for one year. Mr. Manning noted he has heard from the Metropolitan Water District there is a possibility that there will be water made available to Watermaster; however, the conditions are not fully known and they would like to know the availability in our basins to take in water under some program. A discussion regarding snowpack water ensued. Chair Feenstra inquired about damages to the basins from the recent heavy rains. Mr. Manning stated the damages were considered normal and so far there have been no overwhelming damages. A discussion regarding the price of water, water levels, and percolation rates ensued.

3. Notice of Availability - Non-Agricultural Water

Mr. Manning stated every year the Peace II Agreement calls for the Non-Agricultural Pool to have an outlet for accumulating water that they can make available. The Non-Agricultural Pool parties must notify Watermaster by the end of December if they are going to make water available. Mr. Manning stated the Non-Agricultural Pool has not declared any water being available this year.

4. New Board Member from the City of Pomona

Mr. Manning stated the new representative from the City of Pomona will unofficially be Paula Lantz. Mr. Manning noted Ms. Lantz has served on the Watermaster Board previously. Mr. Manning noted Inland Empire Utilities Agency has not yet made its designation for the Watermaster member. A discussion regarding the State coming on the Board and Ms. Novak offered comment with this regard.

5. CDA Update

Mr. Manning stated following the fine that was issued from the Regional Water Quality Control Board the Watermaster Board appointed Scott Slater and himself to work with the CDA on the development of all the documents necessary and all the procedures to get the expansion of the desalters moving forward; the CDA at their Board meeting last week took action on all of the documents necessary for the expansion to take place and the passed those suite of documents and actions unanimously. At the same time this matter was being worked on, the CDA hired a new general manager, Curtis Paxton. Mr. Manning offered some comments on Mr. Paxton's background. The CDA is moving ahead with the development of their wells. Mr. Manning stated Western Municipal Water District is still pursuing the LRP Agreement with the Metropolitan Water District.

6. Restated Watermaster Judgment Ag Well Sub-Committee Report

Mr. Manning stated the Restated Watermaster Judgment Ag Well Sub-Committee has met a couple of times and are working on a list current Agricultural Members. So many people in the Agricultural area have either moved, died or sold their property, or some other situation has come up with their information. This committee is moving forward on their progress and will mostly likely meet a few more times. Chair Feenstra noted they have added Nathan deBoom to the committee because of his overall knowledge of the area.

7. General Electric Pool Designation

Mr. Manning stated General Electric entered into the Judgment as an Appropriative Pool member after the original adjudication; however, they have always been treated as a Non-Agricultural Pool member and have been listed in our Annual Reports and Assessment Packages as a Non-Agricultural Pool member for over a decade now. Watermaster has no record of this change or why this they were originally placed into the Appropriative Pool. GE recognizes they belong in the Non-Agricultural Pool and have confirmed they want to stay in the Non-Agricultural Pool. Watermaster has asked they make a formal request to be put into the Non-Agricultural Pool and the proper paperwork will be filed with the court. Then they will be put in the Restated Watermaster Judgment as a Non-Agricultural Pool member. A lengthy discussion regarding this matter ensued.

IV. INFORMATION

Cash Disbursements for December 2010
 No comment was made regarding this item.

2. Newspaper Articles

No comment was made regarding this item.

V. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

Chair Feenstra offered comment on the TCE issue. Mr. Manning stated the plume exists and the Regional Board will have a demand on those who are responsible to take some action to eliminate the plume. That will have to be worked out by the PRP's when they become responsible parties and the deal is actually made. Watermaster responsibility is to work with the Regional Board in the development of information. The PRP/ABGL Group made a presentation to the Watermaster Board at their last meeting and Watermaster staff and consultants are putting together a draft response to that presentation. Watermaster is still working with the ABGL Group on this matter. Chair Feenstra stated Mr. Pierson and himself are attending meetings regarding the plume and offered comment on the issue. A lengthy discussion regarding this matter ensued.

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

No comment was made regarding this item.

VII. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

Pursuant to the Agricultural Pool Rules & Regulations, a Confidential Session may be held during the Watermaster Pool meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

No confidential session was called.

VIII. <u>FUTURE MEETINGS</u>

Thursday, January 13, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
Tuesday, January 18, 2011	9:00 a.m.	GRCC Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	8:00 a.m.	IEUA DYY Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	9:00 a.m.	Annual Advisory Committee Meeting @ CBWM
Thursday, January 20, 2011	11:00 a.m.	Basin Subsidence Committee Mtg. @ CBWM
Friday, January 21, 2011	10:30 a.m.	CBWM Court Hearing @ Chino Courthouse
Wednesday, January 26, 2011	9:30 a.m.	State Court of Appeal @ Riverside Courthouse
Thursday, January 27, 2011	11:00 a.m.	Annual Watermaster Board Meeting @ CBWM

The Annual Agricultural Pool meeting was dismissed by Chair Feenstra at 10:43 a.m.

	Secre	etary:	
		· ·	
	•	•	
Minutes Approved:			



I. CONSENT CALENDAR

B. FINANCIAL REPORTS

- 1. Cash Disbursements for the month of December 2010
- 2. Watermaster Check Detail for the month of December 2010
- 3. Combining Schedule for the Period December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010
- 4. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010
- 5. Budget vs. Actual July 2010 through December 2010





9641 San Bemardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 3, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Cash Disbursement Report - Financial Report B1

SUMMARY

Issue - Record of cash disbursements for the month of December 2010.

Recommendation – Staff recommends the Cash Disbursements for December 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

BACKGROUND

A monthly cash disbursement report is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster expenditures.

DISCUSSION

Total cash disbursements during the month of December 2010 were \$389,173.21. The most significant expenditures during the month were Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. in the amount of \$91,196.33 (check number 14736 dated December 8, 2010), Monte Vista Irrigation Company in the amount of \$83,073.38 (check number 14752 dated December 15, 2010) and Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck in the amount of \$32,126.10 (check number 14758 dated December 21, 2010).

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

Paid Amount	3,803.50	26.47	50.00	83.99	855.00	417.00	99.81	3,525.00	207.70	224.54 97.78 322.32
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.2 · Applied Computer Technol	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6192 · Training & Seminars	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.3 · Website Consulting	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6026 · Security services	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.1 · Copy Paper	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.1 • Park Place Comp Solutn	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies 10 6042 · Postage - General
Мето	1985 Database Services - November 2010	0023230253 Office Water - November 2010	Cucamonga Valley IAAP Chapter Meeting Fee for J. Wilson and S. Molino-12/08	019447404 11/19/10-12/18/10	214 Website Services - November 2010	292687 Annual Permit Fees and security monitoring	542117906001 copy paper	445 IT Services - November 2010	55743 business cards for Frank Yoo - qty 500	8000909000168861 order ink cartridges for meter 6031.7 · Other Office Sup FedEx shipments for: 10/21/10 - check to BHFS; 10 6042 · Postage - General
Name	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	ARROWHEAD MOUNTAIN SPRING WATER	CUCAMONGA VALLEY IAAP	DIRECTV	JAMES JOHNSTON	MIJAC ALARM	OFFICE DEPOT	PARK PLACE COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.	PRINTING RESOURCES	PURCHASE POWER
Num	14702 1985	14703 0023230253	14704	147 05 019447404	14706 214	14707 292587	14708 542117906001	14709 445	14710 55743	14711 8000909000168851
Date	1 2/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/01/2010 12/01/2010	12/01/2010 11/23/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/01/2010 11/22/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010
Туре	Bill Pmt -check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check d Bill 19 7AL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL

Page 1 of 9

	Paid Amount	2,511.50 1,255.75 3,767.25	100.00	8,553.37	314.28	4,305.43 3,985.17 8,290.60	311.50	352.84	7.91	*	148.22
	Account	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6053 · Internet Expense 6053 · Internet Expense	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 8567 · Non-Ag Legal Service	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031,7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8367 · Legal Service 8367 · Legal Service	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6112 · Subscriptions/Publications	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6012 · Payroll Services	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182,2 · Dental & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6175 · Vehicle Fuel
er 2010	Memo	Oct-Nov Internet services - 62398019 Dec Internet services - 62398019	Truck washing service truck washing 4 trucks	1937753 Non-Ag Legal Services	7003730910002744 misc. office supplies, meeting supplies	Approp. Pool Legal Services - November 2010 Approp. Pool Legal Services - October 2010	9821161016 Newspaper subscription 12/07/10-12/05/11	2010112500 Payroll Processing - November 2010	3448033 Employee Dental Premium - December 2010	VOID	300-732-989 November 2010
December 2010	Name	VERIZON BUSINESS	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	HOGAN LOVELLS	HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	JOHN J. SCHATZ	LOS ANGELES TIMES	РАҮСНЕХ	SAFEGUARD DENTAL & VISION	THEIRL, JIM	UNION 76
	Num	14712 62398019 62398019	14713	14714 1937753	14715 7003730910002744	14716	1 4717 010006926943	.1 4718 · 2010112500	14719 3448033	14720	14721 300732989
	Date	12/01/2010 11/30/2010 12/01/2010	12/01/2010 11/30/2010	12/02/2010 11/10/2010	12/08/2010 11/30/2010	12/08/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010	12/08/2010 12/07/2010	12/08/2010 11/30/2010	12/08/2010 12/07/2010	12/08/2010	12/08/2010 11/30/2010
	Туре	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	D L Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL

Page 2 of 9

	Paid Amount	150.74 412.86 563.60	1,955.33	6,575.29 6,575.29	13,070.00 1,457.50 14,527.50	142.88	437.34	125.00	2,814,41 313.70 345.47 643.31 4,116.89	125.00
-	Account	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 7405 • PE4-Other Expense 8022 • Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 2000 · Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 2000 · Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 8467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services 8467.1 · Frank B. & Associates	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6043.1 · Ricoh Lease Fee 6043.2 · Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee 6043.2 · Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee 6043.2 · Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 - Board Member Compensation
er 2010	Мето	012561121521714508 012519116950792103	Payroll and Taxes for 11/14/10-11/27/10 Employee 457 Deductions	Payor #3493 CalPERS retirement for 11/14/10-11/27/10	172856 - Ag Pool Legal Services 172856 - Ag Pool Legal Services	08-K2 213849 Service for Dec 2010	Reimburse for well sampling project exp. Reimburse for well sampling project exp.	11/18/2010 Advisory Committee Meeting 11/18/2010 Advisory Committee Meeting	invoice minus 4 months of insurance @ 42.90 Maintenance Fee Usage for Black Copies Usage for Color Copies	11/18/2010 Board Meeting 11/18/2010 Board Meeting
	Name	VERIZON	CITISTREET CITISTREET	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	REID & HELLYER	YUKON DISPOSAL SERVICE	тнепк, ым	самасно, міснає.	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	KUHN, BOB
	Num	14722 012561121521714508 012519116950792103	14723 11/27/10	14724 1 <i>1/27/</i> 10	14725 172856	14726 08-k2 213849	14727	14728 11/18 Advisory Comm	1 4729 10339902	14730 11/18 Board Meeting
	Date	12/08/2010 11/19/2010 12/02/2010	12/08/2010 11/27/2010	12/08/2010 11/27/2010	12/08/2010 11/30/2010	12/08/2010 12/07/2010	12/08/2010 12/02/2010	12/08/2010 11/18/2010	12/08/2010 12/08/2010	12/08/2010 11/18/2010
	Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check General Journal TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check General Journal TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL

Page 3 of 9

Paid Amount	410.00 2,065.00 1,532.00 2,065.00 8,072.00	125.00	175.74	125.00	125.00 125.00 125.00 375.00	3,512.00 300.00 1,415.00 3,086.25 22,212.50 2,068.75 125.00 1,656.25 300.00 3,271.20 2,275.00 2,296.00
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs 7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs 7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs 7108.4 · Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.1 · Copy Paper	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services 7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 7103.3 · Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 7104.3 · Grdwtr Level-Engineering 7104.3 · Grdwtr Level-Engineering
Memo	L0043958 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs L0043959 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs L0043466 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs L0043954 - Hydraulic Control-Lab Svcs	11/18/2010 Board Meeting 11/18/2010 Board Meeting	9638765 Copy paper	11/18/2010 Board Meeting 11/18/2010 Board Meeting	6311 11/04/2010 Ag Pool Closed Session 11/12/2010 CDA Conference Call 11/18/2010 Board Meeting	2010725 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010726 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010727 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010728 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010729 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010730 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 2010731 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 2010733 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 2010734 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 2010736 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 2010737 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 2010737 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 2010738 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 2010738 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering
Name	MWH LABORATORIES	PIERSON, JEFFREY	סחורד	SAGE, KEVIN	VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY	WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC
E nN	14731 L0043958 L0043959 L0043954	14732 11/18 Board Meeting	14733 9638765	14734 11/18 Board Meeting	14735 11/04 Ag Pool Mtg 11/12 CDA Call 11/18 Board Meeting	2010726 2010726 2010728 2010729 2010730 2010731 2010733 2010735 2010735 2010736 2010736
Dafe	12/08/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010	12/08/2010 11/18/2010	12/08/2010 12/01/2010	12/08/2010 11/18/2010	12/08/2010 - 11/04/2010 11/12/2010 11/18/2010	12/08/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TO	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bil

Page 4 of 9

T.	Date	e N	Name	December 2010	Account	Paid Amount
IIIa	11/30/2010	2010739		2010739 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	6.656.49
	11/20/2010	3010740		2040740 - Grd Level-Can Fourin Exte	7107.8 • Grd Level-Cap Equip Exte	1,054.80
	1,50,50,00	2010740		2040744 - Ord Laval, Can Entire Esta	7107 8 - Grd I evel-Cap Equip Exte	64.60
ā	11/30/2010	2010742		2010742 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	15,864.30
	11/30/2010	2010743		2010743 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs	7107.6 · Grd Level-Contract Svcs	5,571.06
	11/30/2010	2010744		2010744 - Grd Level-Engineering	7107.2 · Grd Level-Engineering	450.00
Bill	11/30/2010	2010745		2010745 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	450.00
iig	11/30/2010	2010746		2010746 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	1,671.90
BII	11/30/2010	2010747		2010747 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	656.25
Bill	11/30/2010	2010748		2010748 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	181.25
Bill	11/30/2010	2010749		2010749 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	225.00
Bill	11/30/2010	2010750		2010750 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	588.10
Bill	11/30/2010	2010751		2010751 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108,3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	218.75
Bill	11/30/2010	2010752		2010752 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	1,837.50
·Bill	11/30/2010	2010753		2010753 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering	7108.3 · Hydraulic Control-Engineering	15.88
Bill	11/30/2010	2010754		2010754 - Recharge & Well - Engineering	7109.3 · Recharge & Well - Engineering	808.75
Bill	11/30/2010	2010756		2010756 - PÉ4-Engineering	7402 · PE4-Engineering	450.00
<u></u>	11/30/2010	2010757		2010757 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	645.00
≣ P1	11/30/2010	2010758		2010758 - PE6&7-Engineering	7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	53.75
图 3	11/30/2010	2010755		2010755 - PE3&5-Engineering	7303 · PÉ3&5-Engineering	8,215,00
TOTAL						91,196.33
Jood O. two III a	42/08/20140	14777	HTHNUH STILL	QiOX	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
	0100131	Š		1		
Bill Pmt -Check	12/08/2010	14738	YOUNG, ROBERT	11/18/2010 Board Meeting	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/18/2010	11/18 Board Meeting		11/18/2010 Board Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00
TOTAL						125.00
Bill Pmt -Check	12/09/2010	14739	HAUGHEY, TOM	11/18/10 Board Meeting	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	
Bill	11/18/2010	11/18 Board Meeting	-	11/18/10 Board Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125,00
TOTAL						125.00
General Journal	12/11/2010	12/11/2010		Payroll and Taxes 11/28/10-12/11/10	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
	12/11/2010	11/28/10-12/11/10		Payroll Taxes 11/28/10-12/11/10 .	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	10,215,23
	12/11/2010	11/28/10-12/11/10	-	Direct Depoisits 11/28/10-12/11/10	1012 Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	31,391.97
TOTAL						41,607.20
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14740	GRAINGER		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
	11/16/2010	9397062655		9397062655 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Quaf-Supplies	49.85
⊞	11/24/2010	9403607998		9403607998 - Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	84.83
TOTAL						134.68

Page 5 of 9

			Decem	December 2010		
Туре	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14741	PETTY CASH	2317-2332	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	12/13/2010			supplies for auxilliary room - bowls, trays	6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	206.17
	_			mileage reimbursement - s, molino	6173 · Mileage Reimbursements	33.00
				gas for field trucks	6175 · Vehicle Fuel	27.00
				travel reimbursement for K. Manning	6191 · Conferences - General	40.00
				supplies for 10/21 11/18 Advisory Comm. mtgs	6212 · Meeting Expense	17.75
				hose for water quality sampling	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	32.68
				supplies for GRCC mtgs 10/26 and 12/08	7205 · Comp Recharge-Other Expense	41,56
				supplies for 11/18 DYY mtg	7604 · PE8&9-Supplies	9.38
				supplies for10/21 Special Approp. Pool Mtg	8312 · Meeting Expenses	8.38
TOTAL	-					415.92
						,
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14742	RAUCH COMMUNICATION CONSULTANTS, LLC Dec-121001	LC Dec-121001	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	11
Bill TOTAL	12/08/2010	Dec-121001		progress billing - annual report	6061.3 · Kaucn	2,786.25
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14743	STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATI 094-014940	AT1 094-014940	1012 · Bank of Amèrica Gen'l Ckg	
⊪a P145	12/13/2010	094-014940		annual water rights fee for application A028473	7205 · Comp Recharge-Other Expense	549.70
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14744	WESTERN DENTAL SERVICES, INC.	002483	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
BIII	12/13/2010	002483		Dental premium - January 2011	60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	28.06
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14745	STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATI 094-014458	ATI 094-014458	1012 Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
BIII TOTAL	12/13/2010	094-014458		annual water rights fee for application A031369	7205 · Comp Recharge-Other Expense	2,154.70
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14746	STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATI 094-014939	NTI 094-014939	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bili TOTAL	12/13/2010	094-014939		annual water rights fee for application A028996	7205 · Comp Recharge-Other Expense	909.70
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14747	BANK OF AMERICA	XXXX-XXXX-9341	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/30/2010	XXXXXXXXXXXX341		travel expense - K. Manning	6191 · Conferences - General	430.42
				Junch for 11/18 mtg	6212 · Meeting Expense	243.87
				lunch for 11/18 mtg	6312 · Meeting Expenses	243.88
				notary expense-SBCFCD-San Sevaine Channel	7205 · Comp Recharge-Other Expense	40.00
				traffic sign for w/q sampling in Ontario	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	380,00
TOTAL						1,338.17

Туре	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14748	CORELOGIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS	13011662	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/30/2010	13011662		13011662 - Grdwtr Qual-Computer Svc	7103.7 · Grdwtr Qual-Computer Svc	62,50
				13011662 - Grdwfr Qual-Computer Svc	7101.4 · Prod Monitor-Computer	62.50
TOTAL						125.00
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14749	MWH LABORATORIES		1012 · Bank of America Gen'I Ckg	
B	11/03/2010	L0043016		L0043016 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs	1,554.00
Bill	11/24/2010	L.0043540		L0043540 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 · Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	1,066,00
Bill	11/24/2010	L0043462		L0043462 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103,5 · Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svos	1,066.00
Bill	11/24/2010	L0043538		L0043538 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs	1,456.00
TOTAL						5,142.00
Bill Pmt -Check	12/13/2010	14750	VERIZON WIRELESS	0929763569	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/30/2010	0929763569		monthly service	6022 · Telephone	598.38
TOTAL						598.38
General Journal	12/15/2010	12/15/10		Service Charge-Sep/Nov	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Р <mark>2</mark> 1				Service Charge	· 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	153.16 153.16
	0.000,200,000	7	*ACMANNED VOCABLI THAT I DO	Accessment Befund - Norative Droduction	4049 · Bank of Americe Gen'l Oku	
Cireck	44 (45 (5040	NAC 40 07	NELAN ENENCY, ETHIONICA	Absessing the return - regarder to concern.	4123 · Non-Anticultural Dool	198 97
Clean Meino	0102/61/11	20-01942		ODMO Administrativo Associated	4404 . OBMO Adm Assessment	739 15
				Object Administrative Assessment Non-Agricultural Pool Allocation	4123.3 · Non-Ag Pool-Special Assessment	668.95
TOTAL					28	1,530.07
Check	12/15/2010	14752	MONTE VISTA IRRIGATION COMPANY	Assessment Refund - Negative Production	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Credit Memo	11/15/2010	AP10-10CR		15% Gross Replenishment Assessments	4211 · 15% Gross Assessments	83,073.38
TOTAL						83,073,38
Bill Pmt -Check	12/15/2010	14753	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	Lease Due Jan 1, 2011	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	12/14/2010			Bullding Rent - January 1, 2011	1422 · Prepaid Rent	5,844,00
Bill Pmt -Check	12/15/2010	14754	PREMIERE GLOBAL SERVICES	05106183	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
Bill	11/30/2010	05106183		monthly fee	6022 · Telephone	14,95
				CDA calls-10/27,28,29,11/3,5,10,12,19 & 24	7305 · PE3&5-Supplies	392.44
				deanup litigation call on 10/28	6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	147.78
		•		Non Ag Pool meetings on 11/04 and 11/10	8512 · Meeting Expense	275.26
				monitoring weil sampling call on 11/24	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	7.72
TOTAL						838,15

Page 7 of 9

Paid Amount	667.50	313.12	136.61	14,707.00 1,608.75 791.55 6,527.30 8,491.50 32,126.10	4,995.65	165.00	865.00 865.00	07.77	00.008
Account	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 • Other Office Supplies	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 60182.2 • Dental & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.4 · Retiree Medical	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel 6907.34 · Santa Ana River Water Rights 6907.35 · Ontario Airport Piume 6907.35 · Paragraph 31 Motion 6907.33 · Desalter Negotiations	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.1 · Medical Insurance	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 60183 - Worker's Comp Insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60194 · Other Employee Insurance	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6061.3 - Rauch
December 2010	9688883 4 minute books, 5 packs of refill paper	160-513170-00006 Employee Dental Premiums - January 2011	Employer portion of Retiree Medical	437928 - WM Legal Counsel 437929 - Santa Ana River Water Rights 437930 - Ontario Airport Plume 437931 - Paragraph 31 Motion 437983 - Desalter Negotiations	1741 Employee Medical Premiums - January 2011	to treat employee injury-out hand-Wilson	28261 Janitorial service - December 2010	111802 December 2010	c Oct 101003 Progressive billing - annual report
Name Name	סחורד	THE STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY	STAULA, MARY L.	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK	CALPERS	DAN VASILE	GUARANTEED JANITORIAL SERVICE, INC.	PRE-PAID LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	RAUCH COMMUNICATION CONSULTANTS, LLC Oct 101003
Num	1 4755 968883	14756 160-513170	14757	14768 437928 437930 437931 437983	14759 1741	14760	1 4761 28261	14762 111802	14763 Oct 101003
Date	12/15/2010 12/14/2010	12/15/2010 12/14/2010	12/15/2010 12/15/2010	12/21/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010 11/30/2010	12/21/2010 12/21/2010	12/21/2010 12/21/2010	12/21/2010 12/21/2010	12/21/2010 12/21/2010	12/21/2010 12/21/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-check Bill Bill Bill APAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	BIII Pmt -Check Bill: TOTAL	Bill Prot-Check Bill TOTAL

r Grif	ţeC	M	omeN	Memo	Account	Paid Amount
Bill Pmt -Check	12/21/2010 12/21/2010	14764 6408880009	STANDARD INSURANCE CO.	Policy # 00-640888-0009 Life & Disability Ins Premium	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60191 · Life & Disab.Ins Benefits	510.85
TOTAL						510.85
Bill Pmt -Check	12/21/2010 12/21/2010	14765	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	Truck washing service truck washing 2 trucks	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	50.00
TOTAL						50.00
Bill Pmt -Check	12/21/2010	14766	CITISTREET	Payroll and Taxes 11/28/10-12/11/10	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	1 955 33
Gellerat Journal TOTAL	12/2 1120 10	01 11 7/7		ביולים אינו ואסווסווים ומענסמסווים במענסמסווים		1,955.33
Bill Pmt -Check	12/21/2010	14767	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	Payor #3493	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
General Journal	12/11/2010	12/11/10	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	CalPERS for 11/28/10-12/11/10	2000 · Accounts Payable	8,195.66
TOTAL						8,195.66
General Journal	12/25/2010	12/25/10	Payroll and Taxes for 12/12/10-12/25/10	Payroll and Taxes for 12/12/10-12/25/10	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
P1				Payroll Taxes for 12/12/10-12/25/10	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6,137.61
7				Direct Deposits for 12/12/10-12/25/10	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	24,558.67
) ; j						
General Journal	12/31/2010	12/31/10	Wage Works Direct Debit-Dec2010	Wage Works Direct Debit-Dec2010	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	000
				Employee Deductions1-vvage vvorks-Decz010	1012 - Bank of America Gent Okg	1,008.24 2,008.04
				Employee Deductionsz-vvage vvorks-Deczo Lo Monthly Eee "Wate Works-Dec2010	1012 · Bank of America Gen1 Ckg	#3.88C,1
I&TOT						2,165.23
10.10						
					Total Disbursements:	389,173.21

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 3, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

VISA Check Detail Report - Financial Report B2

SUMMARY

Issue – Record of VISA credit card payment disbursed for the month of December 2010.

Recommendation – Staff recommends the VISA Check Detail Report for December 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

BACKGROUND

A monthly VISA Check Detail report is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster expenditures charged against the CEO and/or CFO's Bank of America VISA card.

DISCUSSION

Total cash disbursement during the month of December 2010 was \$1,338.17. The monthly charges for December 2010 were for routine and customary expenditures and properly documented with receipts.

Actions

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Approved Unanimously February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER VISA Check Detail Report December 2010

Paid Amount		430.42	243.87	243.88	40.00	380.00	1,338.17
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	6191 · Conferences - General	6212 · Meeting Expense	6312 · Meeting Expenses	7205 · Comp Recharge-Other Expense	7103.6 · Grdwtr Qual-Supplies	
Мето	XXXX-XXXX-9341	travel expense - K. Manning	lunch for 11/18 mtg	lunch for 11/18 mtg	notary expense for SBCFCD contract for San Sevaine Channel	traffic sign for w/q sampling in Ontario	
Name	BANK OF AMERICA						
Date	12/13/2010 B	4' 11/30/2010					
Num	14747	XXXX-XXXX-834° 11/30/2010					
Type	Bill Pmt -Check	Bii					

. TOTAL

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bemardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 10, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the

Period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 - Financial Report B3

SUMMARY

Issue – Record of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

Recommendation – Staff recommends the Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact - Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

BACKGROUND

A Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the FY 2010/2011 cumulative Watermaster revenues, expenditures and changes in working capital for the period listed.

DISCUSSION

The Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital has been created from various financial reports and statements created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Combining Schedule provided balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system as presented.

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

Page 1 of 1

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2010

BUDGET 2010-2011	59 \$6,508,070 29 175,010 30 148,410 0	9	33 512,546 35 73,073 94 474,856 96 1,350,390 21 3,772,619 700,964 75 375	12 6,894,823		12 6,894,823 57 (63,333)	22.000000000000000000000000000000000000		7 (63,333)	25. 17,522,822	ري م
GRAND	6,508,169 13,929 111,000	6,633,098	269,893 32,565 191,804 797,804 7346,291 637,198	3,276,042	1 1	3,276,042 3,357,057	5,866,500 4,632	(183,732) 5,687,400	9,044,457	8,478,365	114,495.915 100.000%
EDUCATION FUNDS	6	2	375	375		375 (373)			(373)	1,001	
OPERATIONS SB222 FUNDS								1	•	158,251 158,251	
GROUNDWATER OPERATIONS GROUNDWATER SB222 REPLENISHMENT FUNDS						1 1	5,866,500 4,632	(183,732) 5,687,400	5,687,400	1,369,991 7,057,392	
L PROJECTS NON-AG POOL	484	484	64,475	64,475	(215,589) 73,185	(77,929) 78,413			78,413	256,632 335,045	3,907,911 3,413%
RATION & SPECIA AG POOL	1,158	1,158	95,973	95,973	(1,757,344) 596,556	1,064,815		1	1,158	473,483 474,641	31,854.766 27.822%
POOL ADMINISTRATION & SPECIAL PROJECTS APPROPRIATIVE AG NON-AG POOL POOL	12,556	12,556	31,356	31,356	(4,343,506) 1,474,466 637,198	(1,064,815) (3,265,302) 3,277,858		1	3,277,858	6,219,006 9,496,865	78,733.238 68.765%
OPTIMUM BASIN AANAGEMENT		-	797,986 1,346,221 637,198	2,781,404	(2,781,404) 2,144,207 637,198				9,044,457		-
WATERMASTER BASIN ADMINISTRATION MANAGEMENT	6,508,169 (272) 111,000	6,618,898	269,893 32,565	302,458	6,316,439 (6,316,439)	ı					
	Administrative Revenues: Administrative Assessments Interest Revenue Mutual Agency Project Revenue Grant Income	Total Revenues	Administrative & Project Expenditures: Watermaster Administration Watermaster Board-Advisory Committee Poof Administration Optimum Basin Mgnt Administration OBMP Project Costs Debt Service Education Funds Use Mutual Agency Project Costs	Total Administrative/OBMP Expenses	Net Administrative/OBMP Expenses Alocate Net Admin Expenses To Pools Callocate Net OBMP Expenses To Pools Allocate Debt Service to App Pool	Agricultural Expense Transfer* Total Expenses Net Administrative Income	Other Income/(Expense) Replenishment Water Assessments Interest Revenue Water Purchases Balance Adjustment	Other water Putchases Groundwater Replenishment Net Other Income	Net Transfers To/(From) Reserves	Working Capital, July 1, 2010 Working Capital, End Of Period	09/10 Assessable Production 09/10 Production Percentages

^{*}Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement. N:NdministrationMeetings - Agendas & Minutes/2011/Staff Letters/2011/22 Combining Sehedule B3_Dec/2010/sejul/2010-Dec/10

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bemardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 3, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period December 1, 2010 through

December 31, 2010 - Financial Report B4

SUMMARY

Issue – Record of increases or decreases in the cash position, assets and liabilities of Watermaster for the Period of December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

Recommendation – Staff recommends the Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

BACKGROUND

A Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total cash in banks (Bank of America and LAIF) and on hand at the Watermaster office (petty cash) at the end of the period stated. The Treasurer's Report details the change (increase or decrease) in the overall cash position of Watermaster, as well as the changes (increase or decrease) to the assets and liabilities section of the balance sheet. The report also provides a detailed listing of all deposits and/or withdrawals in the California State Treasurer's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), the most current effective yield as of the last quarter, and the ending balance in LAIF as of the reporting date.

DISCUSSION

The Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs has been created from various financial reports and statements created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Treasurer's Report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool - Approved Unanimously

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD DECEMBER 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2010

Financial Report - B4

\$	1,576,968 16,004,902	\$ 17,582,369 6,004,432	\$ 11,577,937	\$ (8,518) 11,879,379 25 16,937 2,605 (312,491)	\$ 11,577,937				
	\$ 1,576,968 \$			·		Totals	\$ 6,004,432 12,520,795 (500,000) (442,858)	\$ 17,582,369	\$ 11,577,937
		12/31/2010 11/30/2010				Local Agency Investment Funds	6,004,902	16,004,902	10,000,000
,				Assets rt Liabilities		Zero Balance Account Payroll Inve	- \$ 104,509 (104,509)	٠	φ.
ash	Governmental Checking-Demand Deposits Zero Balance Account - Payroll Local Agency Investment Fund - Sacramento	(S AND ON HAND S AND ON HAND	ECREASE)	Accounts Receivable Assessments Receivable Prepaid Expenses, Deposits & Other Current Assets Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll, Payroll Taxes & Other Current Liabilities Transfer to/(from) Reserves	ECREASE)	Zerc Govť'l Checking Av Demand P	(969) \$ 12,520,795 (10,604,509) (338,349)	1,576,968 \$	1,577,937 \$
DEPOSITORIES: Cash on Hand - Petty Cash Bank of America	Governmental Checking-Dema Zero Balance Account - Payroll oal Agency Investment Fund - A	TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND TOTAL CASH IN BANKS AND ON HAND	PERIOD INCREASE (DECREASE)	Accounts Receivable Assessments Receivable Prepaid Expenses, Deposits Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll, Payroll Tax Transfer to/(from) Reserves	PERIOD INCREASE (DECREASE)	Petty Go Cash	200 \$	\$ 009	. ເ
DEI CAS		01	Bd	CHANGE IN CASH POSITION DUE TO: Decrease/(Increase) in Assets: Accounts Receivable Assessments Receiva Prepaid Expenses, Di (Decrease)/Increase in Liabilities Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll, Payr Transfer to/(from) Re	PEI		SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS: Balances as of 11/30/2010 Deposits Transfers Withdrawals/Checks	Balances as of 12/31/2010 \$	PERIOD INCREASE OR (DECREASE)

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER TREASURER'S REPORT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS FOR THE PERIOD DECEMBER 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2010

INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

Effective Date	Transaction	Depository		Activity	Redeemed	Days to Maturity	Interest Rate(*)	Maturity Yield	
12/10/2010	Withdrawal	L.A.I.F	⇔	(200,000)					
12/20/2010	Deposit	L.A.I.F	s	10,500,000					
		•							
TOTAL INVESTI	TOTAL INVESTMENT TRANSAC	SHOITS	€9	10,000,000	1				

^{*} The earnings rate for L.A.I.F, is a daily variable rate; 0.46% was the effective yield rate at the Quarter ended December 31, 2010.

INVESTMENT STATUS December 31, 2010

<u>Financial Institution</u> Local Agency Investment Fund	Principal Amount \$ 16,004,902	Number of Days	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	
TOTAL INVESTMENTS	\$ 16,004,902				

Funds on hand are sufficient to meet all foreseen and planned Administrative and project expenditures during the next six months.

All investment transactions have been executed in accordance with the criteria stated in Chino Basin Watermaster's Investment Policy.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph S. Joswiak Chief Financial Officer Chino Basin Watermaster

N:VadministrationWeetings - Agendas & Minutes\2011\Staff Letters\{201102 Treasurers Report B4_Dec2010.xls]Dec2010



9641 San Bemardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 3, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Budget vs. Actual Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 -

Financial Report - B5

SUMMARY

Issue – Record of revenues and expenses of Watermaster for the Period of July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

Recommendation – Staff recommends the Budget vs. Actual Report for the Period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

BACKGROUND

A Budget vs. Actual Report for the period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 is provided to keep all members apprised of the total revenues and expenses for the current fiscal year. The expense section is categorized into four distinct sections. Those sections are: General and Administrative Expenses; Optimal Basin Management Program Expenses; Project Expenses; and Other Income/Expenses.

DISCUSSION

The Budget vs. Actual report has been created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Budget vs. Actual report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

Year-To-Date (YTD) for the six month period ending December 31, 2010 of the fiscal year, all categories were at or below the projected budget with the exception of category 6900 (Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan). Within the 6900 category is the regional board fine in the amount of \$62,675 which was posted to account 6909 (OBMP Other Expenses). The regional board fine of \$62,675 was not included as part of the fiscal year 2010/2011 budget. Also recorded within this category are the Watermaster's legal expenses. Currently, the legal expenses are above the Y-T-D budget as a direct result of the ongoing Desalter Negotiations of \$146,720, the Chino Airport Plume of \$46,820, Santa Ana River Critical Habitat of \$8,006, and the Paragraph 31 Motion activity of \$51,685. Several individual legal projects were below budget for the Y-T-D period. These were the Peace II (\$20,982), Ontario Airport Plume (\$4,974), the Santa Ana River Water Rights Application of (\$1,905), Water Auction (\$18,817), Regional Water Quality Control Board of (\$3,909), Recharge Master Plan (\$16,275) and General Administrative Legal Costs (Pool, Advisory and Board meetings) of (\$23,891). The budgeted amount for legal expenses for the entire fiscal year of \$450,000 was allocated at \$37,500 per month. For the six

month period, the cumulative Y-T-D budget was \$225,000 and actual legal expenses totaled \$387,478 which resulted in an over budget variance of \$162,478. To date, the legal contingency of \$145,000 has not been used.

	Jul '10 - Dec 10	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget
6907 · OBMP Legal Fees				
6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel				
6907.30 · Peace II - CEQA	4,018.00	25,000.00	-20,982.00	16.07%
6907.31 · Ontario Airport Plume	2,525.85	7,500.00	-4,974.15	33.68%
6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume	54,319.77	7,500.00	46,819.77	724.26%
6907.33 · Desalter Negotiations	146,720.25	0.00	146,720.25	100.0%
6907.34 · Santa Ana River Water Rights	10,595.47	12,500.00	-1,904.5 3	84.76%
6907.35 · Paragraph 31 Motion	51,684.93	0.00	51,684.93	100.0%
6907.36 · Santa Ana River Critical Habitat	8,005.91	0.00	8,005.91	100.0%
6907.37 · Water Auction	1,183.50	20,000.00	-18,816.50	5.92%
6907.38 · Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	3,591.00	7,500.00	-3,909.00	47.88%
6907.39 · Recharge Master Plan	3,724.65	20,000.00	-16,275.35	18.62%
6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel - Other	101,108.58	125,000.00	-23,891.42	80.89%
Total 6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel	387,477.91	225,000.00	162,477.91	172.21%
6907.4 · WM Legal Counsel - Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
Total 6907 · OBMP Legal Fees	387,477.91	225,000.00	162,477.91	172.21%
6909 · OBMP Other Expenses				
6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	1,420.80	0.00	1,420.80	100.0%
6909.4 · Printing	1,560.00	0.00	1,560.00	100.09
6909.5 · Ad Hoc Litigation Committee	21.58	0.00	21.58	100.0%
6909 · OBMP Other Expenses - Other	64,525.00	10,000.00	54,525.00	645.25%
Total 6909 · OBMP Other Expenses	67,527.38	10,000.00	57,527.38	675.27%

Looking ahead, the month of January should provide similar financial results. The legal expenses will be slightly higher than December's with the return of legal activities and projects after the holiday season. For January, there is no expectation of any "abnormal financial activity" resulting in large over budget variances.

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Approved Unanimously

February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool - Approved Unanimously

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -

100% of the Total Budget

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER
Budget vs. Actual
Current Month, Year-To-Date and Fiscal Year-End

6/12th (50%) of the Total Budget

1/12th of the Total Budget

Accrual Basis 02:25 PM 01/26/11

46.15% 92.13% 128.6% 74.08% 89.77% 53.4% 99.55% 81.78% 71.08% 96.43% 91.51% 100.0% 87.97% 110.19% 106.25% 100.0% 88.19% 100.0% 96.79% 95.01% 98.79% 100.0% 34.33% 50.0% 94.08% 77.62% 99.18% 82.97% 125.58% 0.0% 106.0% 96.36% 82.09% 93.51% 100.2% 16.53% 97.32% 97.32% 96.64% 0.0% % of Budget Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011 -3,988.00 413.00 -10,661.00 -900.00 -913.00 -212.00 0,00 -1,970.00 -1,361.00 -5,030.00 -15,331.00 2,869.00 750.00 -35,000.00 12,716,00 0.00 0.00 57,653.00 342,556.00 36,974.00 -31,075.00 -61,284.00 -1,238.00 41,941.00 30,180.00 \$ Over(Under) 4,562.00 3,740.00 12,012.40 -11,913.10 146,080,98 -183,391.68 -183,391.68 27,878.80 -5,464.00 5,084.00 375.00 8,440.00 1,800.00 Budget 00.0 42,200.00 17,575.00 30,000.00 3,000,00 33,160.00 23,000.00 22,470.00 50,603.00 90,043.00 28,147.00 118,000.00 12,000.00 65,000.00 161,666.00 488,129.00 1,197,734.00 10,000.00 142,656.00 104,219.00 66,679.00 202,996.00 336,282.00 4,280.00 315,620.00 193,700.00 355,003.00 175,010.00 6,831,490.00 3,831,490.00 164,944.00 30,500.00 78,300.00 75,000.00 148,410.00 6,153,067.00 8,440.00 375.00 3,042.00 900.00 25,036.00 30,000,00 1,030.00 29,172.00 21,639.00 17,440.00 50,190.00 74,712.00 31,016,00 48,180.00 12,750.00 30,000.00 48,950.00 0.00 430,476.00 ,540,290.00 10,000.00 105,682.00 93,558.00 35,604.00 202,083.00 274,998.00 786,512,00 151,759.00 111,000.00 6,165,079.40 343,089.90 28,929.02 0.00 192,822.80 97,514.00 73,216.00 37,638.00 71,260.00 17,363.00 6,648,098.32 6,648,098.32 Projected 84.41% 53.89% 36.04% 99.87% 96.36% 72.25% 77.7% 99.81% 44.48% 90.26% 53.48% 34.36% -1.74% 83.45% 73.57% 77,62% 94.24% 74.4% 110.19% 106.25% %0.0 79.76% 100.0% 0.0% 86.65% 0.0% 74.08% 23.4% 99.3% 61.56% 53.44% 74.79% 100.2% 96.64% 19.9% 98.61% 98.61% 25.58% 138.18% %0.0 % of Budget Year-To-Date as of December 31, 2010 375.00 32,576.79 -915.66 1,434.52 0.00 0.00 -1,316.25 -310.90 -9,791.85 -147.02-1,712.00 -2,514.74 18,487.26 -5,330.55 -768,93 64,642.14 -1,368.77 .113,828.80 0.00 28,870.00 -984.59 -2,743.81 4,360.86 -1,456.79 10,787.28 15,090.00 32,500.00 16,357.87 205,888.84 25,537,55 \$ Over(Under) 37,410.00 12,012.40 11,913.10 56,074.98 -93,385.68 -93,385,68 -1,841.20 4,232.15 10,583.87 375.00 8,440.00 1,500.00 42,143.00 59,000.00 000 244,064,50 539,256.00 0.00 33,339,50 2,140.00 246,850.00 16,580.00 1,235.00 25,301.50 14,073.50 6,000.00 32,500.00 80,833.00 71,328.00 52,109.50 10,498.00 68,141.00 107,810.00 000 6,726,484.00 6,100.00 52,000.00 17,575.00 22,750.00 900.00 16,500.00 148,410.00 6,153,067.00 355,003.00 70,004.00 6,726,484.00 234,222.00 50,598.00 15,250.00 43,900,00 Budget 64,475.13 7,801.95 771.23 13,929.02 -15.66 12,139.14 0.00 375.00 0.00 133,021.20 7,123.75 515.41 13,836,19 8,720.26 31,355.72 15,508.02 74,090.00 6,375.00 -211,487.71 52,840.74 46,778.95 109,729.07 03,498.86 0.00 233,911.10 48,756.80 34,108.15 23,130.00 15,863.00 12,166.13 23,844.71 745,144.84 111,000.00 6,165,079.40 343,089.90 6,633,098.32 11,017.85 6,633,098.32 Actual 100,0% 21.97% 38.8% 158,05% 37.91% 100.0% 77.74% 22.13% 211.28% 86.24% 330.0% 65.9% 83.68% 82.69% 64.62% 110.58% 77.84% 101.34% 91.85% 48.97% 48.54% 15.45% 15.45% 15.45% 99.88% 107.96% 98.23% 85.2% 161.99% 0.0% 24.92% %0.0 65.57% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% % of Budget 0.0% For The Month of December 2010 -29,594.78 -44.96 -739,83 145.12 -1,695.19 1,285.20 -644.78 -938.54 -1,352.83 4,593,74 1,231,49 2,107.64 -469.25 645.00 8,533,99 -938.50 0.00 0,00 6,637.81 0.00 622.21 226.31 0.00 000 -29,594.78 0.00 -29,594.78 5,813.75 0.00 6,217,22 2,610,11 2,300.00 16,250.00 14,522.21 4,206.23 43,121.12 32,103.17 \$ Over(Under) 1,250.00 2,730.00 7,983.84 1,000.00 5,000.00 250.00 0.00 1,872.50 4,216.92 0.00 83,912.99 2,541.67 0.00 0.00 2,345.59 9,833,33 16,250.00 13,472.17 40,677.42 11,888.00 5,556.59 25,863.08 83,801.67 41,141.67 0.00 0.00 35,002.00 0.00 35,002.00 35,002.00 38,787.00 8,433.00 13,766.66 9,500.00 5,879.31 16,916.34 Budget 4,955.70 17,142.65 9,038.50 5,407.22 3,686.25 311.50 395.12 1,285.20 1,227.72 3,278.38 1,766.62 3,300.00 0.00 0.00 7,681.77 6,501.52 4,325.10 -154.25 645.00 2,496,71 0.00 8,480.50 8,878.43 69,390.78 23,755.44 0,680.55 0.00 38,741,13 4,260.17 22,300.65 ,034.81 -34,039.61 5,407.22 5,407.22 9,104.05 Actual 7109 · Recharge & Well Monitoring Prog 9501 · G&A Expenses Allocated-OBMP 8467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services 6500 · Education Funds Use Expens 7108 · Hydraulic Control Monitoring 4120 · Admin Asmnts-Non-Agri Pool 6300 · Watermaster Board Expenses 8500 · Non-Ag PI-WM & Pool Admin 9500 · Allocated G&A Expenditures 6200 · Advisory Comm - WM Board 8400 - Agri Pool-WM & Pool Admin 4110 - Admin Asmnts-Approp Pool 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special 6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan 8300 - Appr PI-WM & Pool Admin 7103 · Grdwtr Quality Monitoring 7107 · Ground Level Monitoring 4700 · Non Operating Revenues 6040 - Postage & Printing Costs 6190 · Conferences & Seminars 7105 - Sur Wtr Qual Monitoring 6020 · Office Building Expense 6950 · Mutual Agency Projects 6030 · Office Supplies & Equip. 6110 · Dues and Subscriptions 7102 · In-line Meter Installation 7104 · Gdwtr Level Monitoring 4010 · Local Agency Subsidies 6170 · Travel & Transportation 7101 · Production Monitoring 9400 · Depreciation Expense 4900 · Miscellaneous Income G140 · WM Admin Expenses 6050 · Information Services 6060 · Contract Services 8471 · Ag Pool Expense S 150 · Field Supplies 6010 · Salary Costs 6080 · Insurance Total Income **Gross Profit**

100% of the Total Budget

6/12th (50%) of the Total Budget

1/12th of the Total Budget

	Actual	
7200 · PE2- Comp Recharge Pgm	11,808.19	U.
7300 · PE3&5-Water Supply/Desalte	5,612.80	
7400 · PE4- Mgmt Plan	861.69	
7500 · PE6&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	12,986.00	
7600 · PE8&9-StorageMgmt/Conj Use	3,456.10	
7690 · Recharge Improvement Debt Pymt	0.00	
7700 · Inactive Well Protection Prgm	0.00	
9502 · G&A Expenses Allocated-Projects	26,357.83	
Total Expense	321,553.00	
Net Ordinary Income	-316,145.78	E.
4225 · Interest Income	2,839.54	
4210 · Approp Pool-Replenishment	00'0	
4220 · Non-Ag Pool-Replenishment	00.00	
4600 · Groundwater Sales	00.00	- 3
Total Other Income	2,839.54	
Other Expense		
C4010 · Groundwater Replenishment	00'0	
-5100 · Other Water Purchases	0.00	
9999 · To/(From) Reserves	-313,306.24	
Total Other Expense	-313,306,24	
		•

	For	The Month of	For The Month of December 2010		Year	-To-Date as of I	Year-To-Date as of December 31, 2010	10	Fis	cal Year End a	Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011	1
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget
E	11,808.19	23,835.17	-12,026.98	49.54%	412,778.26	508,011.00	-95,232.74	81.25%	972,122.00	1,011,022.00	-38,900.00	96.15%
salte	5,612.80	2,689.25	2,923,55	208.71%	73,363.73	73,975.50	-611.77	99.17%	106,358.00	90,111.00	16,247.00	118.03%
	861.69	7,572.92	-6,711,23	11.38%	12,057.01	45,977.50	-33,920,49	26.22%	88,826.00	91,955.00	-3,129.00	%9.96
Mgmt	12,986.00	12,181.67	804.33	106.6%	43,941.10	73,090.00	-29,148.90	60.12%	140,180.00	146,180.00	-6,000.00	95.9%
nj Use	3,456.10	5,258.33	-1,802.23	65.73%	18,759.66	31,725.00	-12,965.34	59.13%	40,149.70	64,250.00	-24,100.30	62.49%
Debt Pymt	00'0	0.00	0.00	%0.0	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	80.9%	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	%6.08
Prgm	0.00	0.00	00'0	%0.0	00'0	706.00	-706.00	0.0%	00:0	1,412.00	-1,412.00	0.0%
d-Projects	26,357.83	28,789.42	-2,431.59	91.55%	158,646.95	172,736.50	-14,089.55	91.84%	317,294.00	345,473.00	-28,179.00	91.84%
ē	321,553.00	454,156.67	-132,603.67	70.8%	3,276,041.54	3,822,369.00	-546,327,46	85.71%	6,894,823.00	6,894,823.00	0.00	100.0%
	-316,145.78	-419,154.67	103,008.89	75.43%	3,357,056.78	2,904,115.00	452,941.78	115.6%	-246,724.68	-63,333.00	-183,391.68	389.57%
			9									
(9)	2,839.54	00'00	2,839.54	100.0%	4,631.79	00:00	4,631.79	100.0%	9,631.79	0.00	9,631,79	100.0%
nent	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.0%	3,594,458,40	0.00	3,594,458.40	100.0%	3,594,458.40	0.00	3,594,458.40	100.0%
ment	0.00	0.00	0.00	%0.0	27,545.86	0.00	27,545.86	100.0%	27,545.86	0.00	27,545.86	100.0%
	0.00	0.00	0.00	%0.0	2,244,495.90	00.00	2,244,495.90	100.0%	2,244,495.90	0.00	2,244,495.90	100.0%
	2,839.54	0.00	2,839.54	100.0%	5,871,131.95	00'0	5,871,131.95	100.0%	5,876,131,95	00'0	5,876,131.95	100.0%
	C	o c	8	7000	183 731 60	000	183 731 60	100 0%	183.731.60	0.00	183,731.60	100.0%
шеш	8 6	00.0	000	%0.0	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	00'0	0.00	%0.0
	-313.306.24	-419,154.67	105,848.43	74.75%	9,044,457.13	2,904,115.00	6,140,342.13	311.44%	5,445,675.67	-63,333.00	5,509,008.67	-8,598.48%
	-313,306,24	-419,154.67	105,848.43	74.75%	9,228,188.73	2,904,115.00	6,324,073.73	317.76%	5,629,407.27	-63,333,00	5,692,740.27	-8,888.59%
	316,145.78	419,154.67	-103,008.89	75.43%	-3,357,056.78	-2,904,115.00	-452,941.78	115.6%	246,724.68	63,333.00	183,391.68	389.57%
	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.0%	00.00	00'0	0.00	0.0%	0.00	000	0.00	%0.0

Note: Please see the staff report (Financial Report-BS) for additional detailed information on the account categories.

Net Other Income Net Income Page 2 of 2



I. CONSENT CALENDAR

C. WATER TRANSACTION

- Consider Approval for Notice of Sale or Transfer – The City of Pomona will transfer 370.000 acre-feet of water to the City of Upland. The water will be transferred from Pomona's Excess Carryover Account to Upland's Excess Carryover Account
- 2. Consider Approval for Notice of Sale or Transfer On January 20, 2011, Watermaster received Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4, and 5 with Southern California Edison (SCE) as the Transferor and the City of Ontario (as an Overlying Non-Agricultural party) as Transferee in the amount of 27.959 acre-feet of safe yield as a permanent transfer. Also to be transferred are all of SCE's post-June 30, 2007 stored, carry-over and unpumped groundwater.



NOTICE

OF

APPLICATION(S)

RECEIVED FOR

WATER TRANSACTIONS - ACTIVITIES

Date of Notice:

January 27, 2011

This notice is to advise interested persons that the attached application(s) will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION(S) RECEIVED

Date of Application:

January 18, 2011

Date of this notice:

January 27, 2011

Please take notice that the following Application has been received by Watermaster:

 Notice of Sale or Transfer – The City of Pomona will transfer 370.000 acre-feet of water to the City of Upland. The water will be transferred from Pomona's Excess Carryover Account into Upland's Excess Carryover Account.

This *Application* will first be considered by each of the respective pool committees on the following dates:

Appropriative Pool:

February 3, 2011

Non-Agricultural Pool:

February 3, 2011

Agricultural Pool:

February 10, 2011

This Application will be scheduled for consideration by the Advisory Committee no earlier than thirty days from the date of this notice and a minimum of twenty-one calendar days after the last pool committee reviews it.

After consideration by the Advisory Committee, the *Application* will be considered by the Board.

Unless the *Application is* amended, parties to the Judgment may file *Contests* to the *Application* with Watermaster *within seven calendar days* of when the last pool committee considers it. Any *Contest* must be in writing and state the basis of the *Contest*.

Watermaster address:

Chino Basin Watermaster 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: (909) 484-3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890

NOTICE OF TRANSFER OF WATER

Notification Dated: January 27, 2011

A party to the Judgment has submitted a proposed transfer of water for Watermaster approval. Unless contrary evidence is presented to Watermaster that overcomes the rebuttable presumption provided in Section 5.3(b)(iii) of the Peace Agreement, Watermaster must find that there is "no material physical injury" and approve the transfer. Watermaster staff is not aware of any evidence to suggest that this transfer would cause material physical injury and hereby provides this notice to advise interested persons that this transfer will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice. The attached staff report will be included in the meeting package at the time the transfer begins the Watermaster process (comes before Watermaster).

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: (909) 484.3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

DATE:

January 27, 2011

TO:

Watermaster Interested Parties

SUBJECT:

Summary and Analysis of Application for Water Transaction

Summary -

There does not appear to be a potential material physical injury to a party or to the basin from the proposed transaction as presented.

Issue -

 Notice of Sale or Transfer – The City of Pomona will transfer 370.000 acre-feet of water to the City of Upland. The water will be transferred from Pomona's Excess Carryover Account into Upland's Excess Carryover Account.

Recommendation -

- 1. Continue monitoring as planned in the Optimum Basin Management Program.
- 2. Use all new or revised information when analyzing the hydrologic balance and report to Watermaster if a potential for material physical injury is discovered, and
- 3. Approve the transaction as presented.

Fiscal Impact -

[X]	None
[]	Reduces assessments under the 85/15 rule
[]	Reduce desalter replenishment costs

Background

The Court approved the Peace Agreement, the Implementation Plan and the goals and objectives identified in the OBMP Phase I Report on July 13, 2000, and ordered Watermaster to proceed in a manner consistent with the Peace Agreement. Under the Peace Agreement, Watermaster approval is required for applications to store, recapture, recharge or transfer water, as well as for applications for credits or reimbursements and storage and recovery programs.

Where there is no material physical injury, Watermaster must approve the transaction. Where the request for Watermaster approval is submitted by a party to the Judgment, there is a rebuttable presumption that most of the transactions do not result in Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin (Storage and Recovery Programs do not have this presumption).

The following application for water transaction is attached with the notice of application.

 Notice of Sale or Transfer - The City of Pomona will transfer 370.000 acre-feet of water to the City of Upland. The water will be transferred from Pomona's Excess Carryover Account into Upland's Excess Carryover Account. Notice of the water transaction identified above was mailed on January 27, 2011 along with the materials submitted by the requestors.

DISCUSSION

Water transactions occur each year and are included as production by the respective entity (if produced) in any relevant analyses conducted by Wildermuth Environmental pursuant to the Peace Agreement and the Rules & Regulations. There is no indication additional analysis regarding this transaction is necessary at this time. As part of the OBMP Implementation Plan, continued measurement of water levels and the installation of extensometers are planned. Based on no real change in the available data, we cannot conclude that the proposed water transaction will cause material physical injury to a party or to the Basin.

CONSOLIDATED WATER TRANSFER FORMS:

FORM 3: APPLICATION FOR SALE OR TRANSFER OF RIGHT TO PRODUCE WATER FROM STORAGE FORM 4: APPLICATION OR AMENDMENT TO APPLICATION TO RECAPTURE WATER IN STORAGE FORM 5: APPLICATION TO TRANSFER ANNUAL PRODUCTION RIGHT OR SAFE YIELD

FISCAL YEAR 2010 - 2011

DATE F	REQUESTED: Jar	nuary 1	8, 2011	AMOUNT REQUESTED:	370	Acre-Feet
TRANS	FER FROM (SELLE	R / TRAN	SFEROR):	TRANSFER TO (BUYER /	TRANSFE	REÉ):
City	of Pomona			City of Upland		
Name o	if Party			Name of Party		
505	S Garey Ave	nue	·	460 N. Euclid Av	enue/	
Street A	Address		•	Street Address		
Pom	iona	CA	91767	Upland	CA	91786
City		State	Zip Code	City	State	Zip Code
909-	620-2266			909-291-2960		
Telepho	one			Telephone	***************************************	
909-	620-7601			909-291-2974		
Facsimile Facsimile						
	Pump as necessar	sources o ent or futu y to stabil	f supply are curtail ire demand over a	nd above production right nent amounts	À	
WATER	Storage	Right (Ap	propriative Pool) c	or Operating Safe Yield (Non-A	_	Pool)
2 X	Other, explain	xcess Ca	rryover	a mort takin and additional iimi	· otorage	
	و المراسية		40			
WATER	IS TO BE TRANSF			d (common)		
S I.	Annual Production Storage (rare)	right/ U	peraung sale 11610	и (сониноп)		-
	Other, explain _	<u></u>				

July 2009

IS THE 85/15 RULE EXPECTED TO APPLY? (If yes, a	I answers below must be "yes.")	Yes 🗆	No 🛛
Is the Buyer an 85/15 Party?		Yes 🖾	No 🗖
Is the purpose of the transfer to meet a current demand or	ver and above production right?	Yeş □	No ⊠
Is the water being placed into the Buyer's Annual Account	?	Yes □	No ⊠
IF WATER IS TO BE TRANSFERRED FROM STORAGE	•		•
1,000 gallons per minute	Current and Future year	ars as n	eeded
Projected Rate of Recapture	Projected Duration of Recapture		,
METHOD OF DECARTIBE (o a numning evolunge	tai Na		
METHOD OF RECAPTURE (e.g. pumping, exchange, e			
Pumping			
PLACE OF USE OF WATER TO BE RECAPTURED:			
City of Upland service area			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LOCATION OF RECAPTURE FACILITIES (IF DIFFEREN	T FROM REGIJI AR PRODUCTION	EACH ITIE	¢)•
	THOM REGOLARY ROBOGION	TACILITIE	
	77040-8861-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WATER QUALITY AND WATER LEVELS			
Are the Parties aware of any water quality issues that exis	t in the area? Yes 🛭 No	П	
If yes, please explain:	in the died! Tee fix 140	<u>.</u>	
DBCP and nitrate			
DDOT and initiate			
What are the existing water levels in the areas that are like	- T		·
Approximately 600 BGS (Below gro	und surface)		
			
MATERIAL PHYSICAL INJURY			
Are any of the recapture wells located within Management	Zone 1? Yes 💆 No 🗇		
In the Ameliana more of annual district of Paris 1.			·
Is the Applicant aware of any potential Material Physical Incaused by the action covered by the application? Yes	iliury to a party to the Judgment or th	e Basin that	may be
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
If yes, what are the proposed mitigation measures, if any,	that might reasonably be imposed to	ensure that	the
action does not result in Material Physical Injury to a party	to the Judgment or the Basin?	ŧ	
			<u> </u>
			

July 2009

SAID TRANSFER SHALL BE CONDITIONED UPON:

- (1) Transferee shall exercise said right on behalf of Transferor under the terms of the Judgment, the Peace Agreement, the Peace II Agreement, and the Management Zone 1 Subsidence Management Plan for the period described above. The first water produced in any year shall be that produced pursuant to carry-over rights defined in the Judgment. After production of its carry-over rights, if any, the next (or first if no carry-over rights) water produced by Transferee from the Chino Basin shall be that produced hereunder.
- (2) Transferee shall put all waters utilized pursuant to said Transfer to reasonable beneficial use.
- (3) Transferee shall pay all Watermaster assessments on account of the water production hereby Transferred.
- (4) Any Transferee not already a party must Intervene and become a party to the Judgment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ATTACHED Yes	□ No 风
Al R. Spy	Stepher Dung
Seller / Transferor Representative Signature	Buyer / Transferee Representative Signature
Daryl R. Grigsby	Stephen Dunn
Seller / Transferor Representative Name (Printed)	Buyer / Transferee Representative Name (Printed)
TO BE COMPLETED BY WATERMASTER STAFF:	
DATE OF WATERMASTER NOTICE:	
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM APPROPRIATIVE POOL:	
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM NON-AGRICULTURAL PO	OOL:
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM AGRICULTURAL POOL:	
HEARING DATE, IF ANY:	
DATE OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPROVAL:	

July 2009

DATE OF BOARD APPROVAL:

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

NOTICE

OF

APPLICATION(S)

RECEIVED FOR

WATER TRANSACTIONS - ACTIVITIES

Date of Notice:

January 27, 2011

This notice is to advise interested persons that the attached application(s) will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION(S) RECEIVED

Date of Application:

January 20, 2011

Date of this notice:

January 27, 2011

Please take notice that the following Application has been received by Watermaster:

Notice of Sale or Transfer – On January 20, 2011, Watermaster received Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4, and 5 with Southern California Edison (SCE) as the Transferor and the City of Ontario (as an Overlying Non-Agricultural party) as Transferee in the amount of 27.959 acre-feet of safe yield as a permanent transfer. Also to be transferred are all of SCE's post-June 30, 2007 stored, carry-over and unpumped groundwater.

This Application will first be considered by each of the respective pool committees on the following dates:

Appropriative Pool:

February 3, 2011

Non-Agricultural Pool:

February 3, 2011

Agricultural Pool:

February 10, 2011

This Application will be scheduled for consideration by the Advisory Committee no earlier than thirty days from the date of this notice and a minimum of twenty-one calendar days after the last pool committee reviews it.

After consideration by the Advisory Committee, the Application will be considered by the Board.

Unless the Application is amended, parties to the Judgment may file Contests to the Application with Watermaster within seven calendar days of when the last pool committee considers it. Any Contest must be in writing and state the basis of the Contest.

Watermaster address:

Chino Basin Watermaster 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Tel: (909) 484-3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890

NOTICE OF TRANSFER OF WATER

Notification Dated: January 27, 2011

A party to the Judgment has submitted a proposed transfer of water for Watermaster approval. Unless contrary evidence is presented to Watermaster that overcomes the rebuttable presumption provided in Section 5.3(b)(iii) of the Peace Agreement, Watermaster must find that there is "no material physical injury" and approve the transfer. Watermaster staff is not aware of any evidence to suggest that this transfer would cause material physical injury and hereby provides this notice to advise interested persons that this transfer will come before the Watermaster Board on or after 30 days from the date of this notice. The attached staff report will be included in the meeting package at the time the transfer begins the Watermaster process (comes before Watermaster).

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: (909) 484.3888 Fax: (909) 484-3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

DATE:

January 27, 2011

TO:

Watermaster Interested Parties

SUBJECT:

Summary and Analysis of Application for Water Transaction

SUMMARY

There does not appear to be a potential material physical injury to a party or to the basin from the proposed transaction as presented.

Issue -

Notice of Sale or Transfer – On January 20, 2011, Watermaster received Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4, and 5 with Southern California Edison (SCE) as the Transferor and the City of Ontario (as an Overlying Non-Agricultural party) as Transferee in the amount of 27.959 acrefeet of safe yield as a <u>permanent</u> transfer. Also to be transferred are all of SCE's post-June 30, 2007 stored, carry-over and unpumped groundwater. This Staff Report provides a summary and analysis of the proposed transfer.

Recommendation -

- 1. Continue monitoring as planned in the Optimum Basin Management Program.
- 2. Use all new or revised information when analyzing the hydrologic balance and report to Watermaster if a potential for material physical injury is discovered, and
- 3. Approve the transaction as presented.

Fiscal Impact -

[X] None

Reduces assessments under the 85/15 rule

[] Reduce desalter replenishment costs

BACKGROUND

The Court approved the Peace Agreement, the Implementation Plan and the goals and objectives identified in the OBMP Phase I Report on July 13, 2000, and ordered Watermaster to proceed in a manner consistent with the Peace Agreement. Under the Peace Agreement, Watermaster approval is required for applications to store, recapture, recharge or transfer water, as well as for applications for credits or reimbursements and storage and recovery programs.

Where there is no material physical injury, Watermaster must approve the transaction. Where the request for Watermaster approval is submitted by a party to the Judgment, there is a rebuttable presumption that most of the transactions do not result in Material Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin (Storage and Recovery Programs do not have this presumption).

The following application for water transaction is attached with the notice of application.

Notice of Sale or Transfer – On January 20, 2011, Watermaster received Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4, and 5 with Southern California Edison (SCE) as the Transferor and the City of Ontario (as an Overlying Non-Agricultural party) as Transferee in the amount of 27.959 acrefeet of safe yield as a <u>permanent</u> transfer. Also to be transferred are all of SCE's post-June 30, 2007 stored, carry-over and unpumped groundwater. This Staff Report provides a summary and analysis of the proposed transfer.

Notice of the water transaction identified above was mailed on January 27, 2011 along with the materials submitted by the requestors.

DISCUSSION

Under Exhibit G, paragraph 6, of the Judgment: "Rights herein decreed are appurtenant to that land and are only assignable with the land for overlying use thereon; <u>provided however</u>, . . . (b) the members of the Pool shall have the right to Transfer or lease their quantified production rights within the Pool or to Watermaster in conformance with the procedures described in the Peace Agreement between the Parties therein, dated June 29, 2000 for the term of the Peace Agreement." The Peace Agreement and Peace II Agreement thus modified the strict appurtenancy requirement to allow Transfers of the water rights under certain conditions.

In the 1978 Judgment, SCE was adjudicated rights of 982.500 acre-feet within the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool. In fiscal year 1998-99, a portion of SCE was sold to the Etiwanda Generating Facility (which became Reliant Energy, and is now known as Genon Energy), leaving SCE with 27.959 acre-feet of safe yield. The City of Ontario Intervened into the Judgment as an Overlying Non-Agricultural party in Fiscal Year 2008-09.

SCE and the City of Ontario (as Non-Ag) have submitted Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4, and 5. The Application indicates that the amount of water rights to be <u>permanently</u> transferred is 27.959 acrefeet of safe yield. Also included are all of SCE's post-June 30, 2007 stored, carry-over and unpumped groundwater. The City of Ontario will utilize the groundwater extracted solely for uses authorized in the Judgment including providing water service to properties in Ontario.

Water transactions occur each year and are included as production by the respective entity (if produced) in any relevant analyses conducted by Wildermuth Environmental pursuant to the Peace Agreement and the Rules & Regulations. There is no indication additional analysis regarding this transaction is necessary at this time. As part of the OBMP Implementation Plan, continued measurement of water levels and the installation of extensometers are planned. Based on no real change in the available data, we cannot conclude that the proposed water transaction will cause material physical injury to a party or to the Basin.

CITY OF



ONTARIO

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL UTILITIES COMPANY

PAUL S. LEON MAYOR

DEBRA DORST-PORADA MAYOR PRO TEM

> ALAN D. WAPNER SHEILA MAUTZ JIM W. BOWMAN COUNCIL MEMBERS



CHRIS HUGHES
CITY MANAGER

MARY E. WIRTES, MMC

JAMES R. MILHISER TREASURER

MOHAMED EL-AMAMY
UTILITIES GENERAL MANAGER

Mr. Kenneth R. Manning, CEO Chino Basin Watermaster 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

RE: APPLICATION FOR PERMANENT TRANSFER OF OPERATING SAFE YIELD AND WATER IN STORAGE

Dear Mr. Manning:

Pursuant to an executed water purchase agreement with Southern California Edison (SCE) of the Non-Agricultrual Pool (Transferor) and the City of Ontario of Non-Agricultural Pool (Transferee), enclosed are the following executed documents for the permanent transfer of operating safe yield and stored water:

- Letter from SCE requesting the permanent transfer of operating safe yield and stored and carry-over water to City of Ontario of the Non-Agricultural Pool,
- Consolidated Water Transfer Forms 3, 4 & 5,
- Water Transfer Information form.

Please schedule the proposed application for consideration at the next available Watermaster meetings.

Sincerely,

Mohamed El-Amamy

Utilities General Manager

Enclosures

H:Slopez\MUA - Administration\2011\CBWM Safe Yield-Water Storage.docx 1/20/11 - 10:35

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



January 19, 2011

Mr. Kenneth R. Manning, CEO Chino Basin Watermaster 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

RE:

Proposed Permanent Transfer of Operating Safe Yield and Water in Storage

Dear Mr. Manning:

This is to notify the Chino Basin Watermaster that Southern California Edison ("Transferor") of the Non-Agricultural Pool is hereby requesting approval of the permanent transfer to the City of Ontario ("Transferee") of the Non-Agricultural Pool of all of Transferor's rights to stored, carry-over, and unpumped groundwater in the Chino Basin, excepting such amounts that accrued to Transferor prior to June 30, 2007. The Watermaster records currently show that Transferor's balances in such accounts for the period between June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2010 are approximately 27.400 AF of carry-over water and approximately 54.398 AF of stored water. In addition, Transferor requests approval of the permanent transfer to Transferee (Non-Ag) of Transferor's 27.959 AFY of safe yield annual non-agricultural overlying groundwater production rights adjudicated to Transferor or its predecessor-ininterest in the Judgment rendered in the Case of "Chino Basin Municipal Water District vs. City of Chino et al" RCV 51010 (formerly Case No. SCV 164327) (as noted in Footnote D to Appendix F-2 of the 2008-2009 Chino Basin Watermaster Annual Report, Transferor previously sold a portion of its adjudicated AFY of safe yield non-agricultural overlying water right in 1998-1999, but retained 27.959 AFY). Please note this transfer is pursuant to an executed water purchase agreement between Transferor and Transferee (Non-Ag).

Executed original Watermaster forms and all supporting documents will be provided. Please place the proposed transfers on the agenda for the next Watermaster meetings.

Sincerely,

Gring

CC

Mohamed El-Amamy, Utilities General Manager

City of Ontario

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

CONSOLIDATED WATER TRANSFER FORMS:

FORM 3: APPLICATION FOR SALE OR TRANSFER OF RIGHT TO PRODUCE WATER FROM STORAGE FORM 4: APPLICATION OR AMENDMENT TO APPLICATION TO RECAPTURE WATER IN STORAGE FORM 5: APPLICATION TO TRANSFER ANNUAL PRODUCTION RIGHT OR SAFE YIELD

FISCAL YEAR 2010 - 2011

DATE REQUESTED:January 20, 2011	*PERMANENT transfer of 27.959 AF of OSY & Approx. 27.400 AF of carryover water & 54.398 AF of stored water. Permanent transfer includes 10-11 rights.
TRANSFER FROM (SELLER / TRANSFEROR):	TRANSFER TO (BUYER / TRANSFEREE):
Southern California Edison Name of Party	Ontario City (Non-Ag) Name of Party
9500 Cleveland Avenue, Suite 100 Street Address	1425 S. Bon View Ave Street Address
Rancho Cucamonga CA 91730 City State Zip Code	Ontario CA 91761 City State Zip Code
909-944-4409 Telephone	909-395-2605 Telephone
909-942-8117 Facsimile	909-395-2601 Facsimile
Have any other transfers been approved by Watermas between these parties covering the same fiscal year?	ter Yes □ No ☑
PURPOSE OF TRANSFER: ☐ Pump when other sources of supply are curtaile ☐ Pump to meet current or future demand over ar ☐ Pump as necessary to stabilize future assessm ☐ Other, explain	nd above production right ent amounts
WATER IS TO BE TRANSFERRED FROM: ☐ Annual Production Right (Appropriative Pool) of Storage ☐ Annual Production Right / Operating Safe Yield ☐ Other, explain PERMANENT transfer of 27.959 approx. 54.398 AF of stored wat	first, then any additional from Storage AF of OSY & approx. 27.400 AF of carryover water &
WATER IS TO BE TRANSFERRED TO: ☐ Annual Production Right / Operating Safe Yield ☐ Storage (rare) ☐ Other, explain PERMANENT transfer of 27.959	AF of OSY & approx. 27.400 AF of carryover water &

Consolidated Forms 3, 4 & 5 cont.

IS THE 85/15 RULE EXPECTED TO APPLY	? (If yes, all answers below must be "yes.")	Yes 🗖 No 🗹
Is the Buyer an 85/15 Party?	•	Yes 🗖 No 🖺
Is the purpose of the transfer to meet a curre	nt demand over and above production right?	Yes 🗖 No 🗆
Is the water being placed into the Buyer's An	nual Account?	Yes ☐ No ☐
IF WATER IS TO BE TRANSFERRED FROM	M STORAGE:	
Varies	Indefinite (permanent transfer)	
Projected Rate of Recapture	Projected Duration of Recapture	
METHOD OF RECAPTURE (e.g. pumping,	exchange, etc.):	
Pumping		
PLACE OF USE OF WATER TO BE RECAF	PTURED:	
. Management Zones 2 and 3		
LOCATION OF RECAPTURE FACILITIES (IF DIFFERENT FROM REGULAR PRODUCTION	I FACILITIES):
		•
TWA	A Proprior to the second secon	_
WATER QUALITY AND WATER LEVELS		
Are the Parties aware of any water quality iss If yes, please explain: Water quality in the ar along with several nearby VOC plumes. Pro- regulations or pumped from wells located in	rea is characterized by high nitrate and perchlorate duction water is either treated or blended to meet	e concentrations water quality
What are the existing water levels in the area	as that are likely to be affected?	
Static level varies from 272 feet to 52	24 feet	
MATERIAL PHYSICAL INJURY		
Are any of the recapture wells located within	Management Zone 1? Yes ☐ No ☑	
Is the Applicant aware of any potential Mater be caused by the action covered by the appli	rial Physical Injury to a party to the Judgment or t ication? Yes ☐ No ☑	he Basin that ma
If yes, what are the proposed mitigation mea	asures, if any, that might reasonably be imposed al Injury to a party to the Judgment or the Basin?	to ensure that
N/A		
N/A		

July 2009

SAID TRANSFER SHALL BE CONDITIONED UPON:

- (1) Transferee shall exercise said right on behalf of Transferor under the terms of the Judgment, the Peace Agreement, the Peace II Agreement, and the Management Zone 1 Subsidence Management Plan for the period described above. The first water produced in any year shall be that produced pursuant to carry-over rights defined in the Judgment. After production of its carry-over rights, if any, the next (or first if no carry-over rights) water produced by Transferee from the Chino Basin shall be that produced hereunder.
- (2) Transferee shall put all waters utilized pursuant to said Transfer to reasonable beneficial use.
- (3) Transferee shall pay all Watermaster assessments on account of the water production hereby Transferred.

(4) Any Transferee not already a party must Intervene	and become a party to the Judgment.
Seller / Transferor Representative Signature R. W. Krieger, Vice President Seller / Transferor Representative Name (Printed)	Yes No M Buyer I ransferee Representative Signature Mohamed El-Amamy Buyer / Transferee Representative Name (Printed)
	*
TO BE COMPLETED BY WATERMASTER STAFF:	
DATE OF WATERMASTER NOTICE:	
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM APPROPRIATIVE I	POOL:
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM NON-AGRICULTUI	RAL POOL:
DATE OF APPROVAL FROM AGRICULTURAL P	OOL:
HEARING DATE, IF ANY:	

DATE OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPROVAL:

DATE OF BOARD APPROVAL:

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



II. BUSINESS ITEM

A. TURNER BASIN EXCAVATION COST SHARING AGREEMENT





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 10, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Cost Sharing Agreement between Watermaster and Inland Empire Utilities Agency

for Turner Basins

SUMMARY

Issue - Consider and make recommendation regarding Agreement between Watermaster and IEUA to share costs associated with CEQA analysis and grading plans for expansion of recharge opportunities at Turner basins.

Recommendation – Watermaster's share of Agreement is not to exceed \$24,069.

Financial Impact - Staff recommends approval of Agreement.

Staff Analysis

As part of its ongoing effort to implement the Recharge Master Plan, Watermaster continues to seek out opportunities to expand its wet water recharge capabilities in the Chino Basin. An opportunity currently exists to expand recharge at the Turner basins, through re-excavation of one of the basins on the west side of Archibald Avenue, and deepening of one of the basins on the east side of Archibald Avenue, in a cost effective manner.

The grading and excavation costs associated with the project will be funded by the City of Ontario and the San Bernardino Associated Governments ("SANBAG") as part of the Milliken Avenue Grade Separation Project. It is anticipated that up to 175,000 cubic yards of dirt will be removed. However, the San Bernardino County Flood Control District ("SBCFCD") requires that California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") documentation be completed prior to issuance of a permit to excavate on the Site. The Parties anticipate that any mitigation requirements identified in the CEQA documentation will be incorporated into the conditions for SBCFCD's permit.

Pursuant to their joint responsibilities regarding implementation of the Chino Basin Facilities Improvement Project and the Recharge Master Plan, Watermaster and the Inland Empire Utilities Agency ("IEUA") have agreed to split the costs associated with the CEQA review and grading plans for the project.

The purpose of this Agreement is to establish the administrative methodology for calculating cost-sharing for preparation of CEQA documentation, including preparation of an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, and preparation of rough grading plans for the Project. IEUA has obtained a proposal from Tom Dodson and Associates for the preparation of an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, including supporting technical studies and required filing fees. The estimated cost is \$28,064. In addition to the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, a traffic study may be required in order to satisfy CEQA requirements, and the Agreement provides for a \$15,000 contingency to cover the costs of a traffic study, if required. The estimated cost for preparation of grading plans is \$5,074. IEUA plans to use internal staff to prepare the grading plans and to hire an outside survey firm to set control markers. Thus, Watermaster's share under the Agreement is \$24,069. In addition, the agreement could be potentially changed to add additional 15 percent contingency to the total cost for unforeseen conditions prior to the first pool meeting in February.

IEUA anticipates that the CEQA documentation for the Project will be adopted by the IEUA Board of Directors in April 2011.

Staff recommends approval of the cost-sharing agreement.

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Moved to recommend approval for the Cost Sharing Agreement between Watermaster and Inland Empire Utilities Agency for Turner Basins Subject to Establishment of Fiscal Year Ending 2011 Funding for the Project

February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – Moved to approve the Cost Sharing Agreement between Watermaster and Inland Empire Utilities Agency for Turner Basins, subject to any changes which the Chair determines appropriate

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee –

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -

COST SHARING AGREEMENT

SUPPORT SERVICES FOR TURNER BASINS/GUASTI PARK RECHARGE SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND GRADING PLAN

This Cost Sharing Agreement ("<u>Agreement</u>") is entered into as of February _____, 2011, by and among CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER ("<u>Watermaster</u>") and INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY ("<u>IEUA</u>") (each a "<u>Party</u>" and collectively, the "<u>Parties</u>") for the sole purpose of establishing an allocation of costs for support services required to complete soil excavation and rough grading at the Turner Basins/Guasti Park Recharge Site (the "Site").

RECITALS

- A. The soil removal operation on the Site will involve excavation of a new basin on the west side of Archibald Avenue and potential deepening of an existing basin on the east side of Archibald Avenue (the "Project"). It is anticipated that up to 175,000 cubic yards of dirt will be removed during the Project.
- B. The grading and excavation costs will be funded by the City of Ontario and the San Bernardino Associated Governments ("SANBAG") (collectively "Project Contractor") as part of the Milliken Avenue Grade Separation Project.
- C. The San Bernardino County Flood Control District ("SBCFCD") requires that California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") documentation be completed prior to issuance of a permit to excavate on the Site. The Parties anticipate that any mitigation requirements identified in the CEQA documentation will be incorporated into the conditions for SBCFCD's permit.
- D. The Parties enter into this Agreement to establish the administrative methodology for calculating cost-sharing for preparation of CEQA documentation, including preparation of an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, and preparation of rough grading plans for the Project (collectively "Support Services").
- E. IEUA has obtained a proposal from Tom Dodson and Associates for the preparation of an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, including supporting technical studies and required filing fees. The estimated cost is \$28,064. The cost estimate is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- F. In addition to the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, a traffic study may be required in order to satisfy CEQA requirements. The Parties desire to provide for a \$15,000 contingency to cover the costs of a traffic study, if required.
- G. The Parties anticipate that the CEQA documentation for the Project will be adopted by the IEUA Board of Directors in April 2011.

H. The estimated cost for preparation of grading plans is \$5,074, as set forth in Exhibit "B." IEUA plans to use internal staff to prepare the grading plans and to engage an outside survey firm to set control markers. A quotation from the proposed survey firm, Calvada, is attached as Exhibit "C."

AGREEMENT

- 1. <u>Maximum Allowable Costs</u>. The Parties agree to pay their portion of the total Support Services costs, which shall not exceed \$55,360 ("Maximum Allowable Costs"). This amount includes the estimated cost of \$28,064 for preparation of CEQA documentation, \$5,074 for preparation of rough grading plans, \$15,000 contingency to cover a traffic study, if required, and up to \$7,222 (15% contingency) to cover any unanticipated project related expenditures. All contingency-related activities (traffic study and 15% contingency) will not be initiated without prior written concurrence by both IEUA and Watermaster.
- 2. <u>Cost Allocation</u>. The Parties agree to split evenly (50/50) all costs up to the Maximum Allowable Costs incurred for Support Services. The costs to be borne by each Party shall not exceed fifty percent of the Maximum Allowable Costs, or \$ 27,680, unless the Parties otherwise agree in writing.
- 3. <u>Contribution by Project Contractor</u>. The Parties agree to diligently pursue receipt of funds from the Project Contractor, to defray Support Services costs. However, if the Parties are unsuccessful in obtaining contribution from the Project Contractor, they agree to pay their portion of the Support Services costs up to the Maximum Allowable Costs.
- 4. Payment of Costs. IEUA shall pay all invoices received in connection with the Support Services. Within thirty business days of receipt of any invoice for costs incurred for Support Services, IEUA shall notify Watermaster, in writing, of the portion of costs to be paid by Watermaster pursuant to this Agreement. Within thirty business days of receipt of such notice, Watermaster shall reimburse IEUA for its portion of the costs incurred. Watermaster's total payments to IEUA for Support Services costs shall not exceed fifty percent of the Maximum Allowable Costs, or \$ 27,680.
- 5. Additional Construction Costs. Any additional construction tasks that are necessary to complete the proposed improvements on the Site, including, but not limited to, basin floor access roads, ripping and final grading, drainage chutes, diversion and flow control structures, staff gauges, SCADA, and landscaping ("Additional Construction Costs"), shall be budgeted and paid for by the Parties through their normal capital budget processes and will be coordinated with SBCFCD in a separate agreement among those three entities. The Parties further agree to pursue grant opportunities that have been identified in order to obtain supplemental funds to pay for Additional Construction Costs. The Parties will track costs incurred for additional construction costs and will, if possible, use these funds as a local match share for any grants received in the future.
- 6. <u>Notice and Communication</u>. Any notice under this Agreement shall be deemed sufficient if given by one Party to the other in writing and either delivered in person, transmitted by electronic mail or facsimile and acknowledgment of receipt is made by the

receiving party, or deposited in the United States mail in a sealed envelope, certified and with postage and postal charges prepaid, and addressed as follows:

If to Watermaster:

Chino Basin Watermaster

9641 San Bernardino Road

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

If to IEUA:

Inland Empire Utilities Agency

Attention: Chris Berch

PO Box 9020

Chino Hills, CA 91709

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement effective as of the date set forth above.

CHINO BAS	SIN WA'	TERMAS	TER
-----------	---------	--------	-----

By:

Kenneth R. Manning Chief Executive Officer

INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY

By:_____

Thomas A. Love General Manager

Exhibit "A": Cost Estimate for Preparation of CEQA Documentation Exhibit "B": Overall Cost Estimate for Preparation of Grading Plan

Exhibit "C": Calvada Cost Estimate for Surveying and Control Markers

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



II. BUSINESS ITEM

B. RFP FOR OUTSIDE AUDIT FIRM





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 10, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Request For Proposal (RFP) For Outside Audit Firm

SUMMARY

Issue - Request For Proposal (RFP) For Outside Audit Firm.

Recommendation – Staff recommends a Request For Proposal (RFP) be issued to determine interest and related costs for an audit firm to perform the field work and issue an audit opinion upon the basic financial statements for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 and future years.

Fiscal Impact – The current audit firm charges approximately \$10,000 annually for audit services. The ongoing fiscal impact could be double or even higher than the current amount of \$10,000 depending upon the results of the RFP.

BACKGROUND

Chino Basin Watermaster is required to have an annual audit every year. As part of the Chino Basin Watermaster Annual Report, several financial reports and statements are included. These reports and statements are the Independent Auditors' Report; Management's Discussion and Analysis; Statement of Net Assets; Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets; Statement of Cash Flows; Notes to the Basic Financial Statements; and Supplemental Information. The audit firm's responsibility is to express an opinion on the basic financial statements based upon their audit. The audit firm conducts their audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that the audit firm plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

DISCUSSION

From fiscal year end June 30, 1999 until June 30, 2005, the annual audit was performed by Conrad and Associates, located in Irvine, California. During 2005, Conrad and Associates was merged into the firm of Mayer Hoffman McCann. The Mayer Hoffman McCann office (located in Irvine, California) has performed the annual audit from June 30, 2006 through June 30, 2010. We have not had any problems or issues with our audit firm in the past twelve years since Conrad and Associates/Mayer Hoffman McCann was been performing the services. However, it is prudent on a routine basis to issue an RFP to ensure the quality and pricing of audit services remain competitive. In an effort to keep the costs relatively low, small and local audit firms will be considered as most desirable, while large national audit firms would be considered less desirable. Several factors will be taken into account when deciding upon an audit firm. Cost will not be the only deciding factor. When choosing an audit firm to conduct the audit, an organization should consider at least seven basic points: (1) the firm's qualifications and experience in doing audits for organizations similar to Chino Basin Watermaster; (2) the firm's overall and local reputation; (3) the firm's quality-control systems; (4) the firm's peer review statement; (5) any conflicts that may compromise the firm's independence; (6) the firm's resources to conduct the audit; and (7) the final product that the auditor will provide to Chino Basin Watermaster.

With regards to the RFP, the following schedule will be recommended:

Issue RFP for Audit Firms: March 1, 2010

Closing Date for RFP Responses: April 15, 2010

Award Audit Services Contract: June 15, 2010

Begin Audit Field Work: August 15, 2010

Issue FY 2010-2011 Financial Reports: November 1, 2010

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool - Approved Unanimously

February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – Moved to Approve Watermaster to Send out an RFP for Outside Audit Firm, subject to any changes which the Chair determines appropriate

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -



II. BUSINESS ITEM

C. WELL LOCATION OF WELLS I-19, I-20, AND I-21





9641 San Bemardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 10, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Chino Creek Well Field Locations for I-19, I-20, and I-21

SUMMARY

Issue — The Chino Desalter Authority (CDA) has requested that Watermaster approve the locations of proposed Well I-19, Well I-20, and Well I-21 of the Chino Creek Well Field (CCWF). Watermaster's primary concerns for the CCWF are the achievement of hydraulic control and the potential for land subsidence and ground fissuring.

Watermaster's Engineer (Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.) has reviewed the well locations and has prepared (1) an opinion that these well locations, as proposed, are consistent with the Optimum Basin Management Program (OBMP) and the Peace II project description and (2) a provisional finding of no material physical injury (see attached letter report).

Recommendation — Approve the CDA's proposed locations of Well I-19, Well I-20, and Well I-21.

Financial Impact — None.

BACKGROUND

There are two main objectives of the CCWF: (1) to develop a supply of raw groundwater for an expansion of the Chino Desalter facilities and (2) to achieve and maintain hydraulic control of groundwater outflow from the Chino Basin. Achievement and maintenance of hydraulic control is a requirement of the Basin Plan as updated in 2004 and the Peace II Agreement as approved by the Court in December 2007. Also of concern to Watermaster and the affected Parties is the potential for land subsidence and ground fissuring associated with pumping from and drawdown caused by the CCWF.

Wells I-19, I-20, and I-21 are three of six proposed production wells that will comprise the CCWF. Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. recently completed a groundwater modeling study of the Peace II project description with updated well locations for the CCWF and pumping rates for all the Chino Desalter wells (see attached letter report). In this study, the CCWF wells were simulated to be screened exclusively across the shallow aquifer system which, in this region, is approximately 30-200 feet below ground

surface (ft-bgs). The study demonstrated that this design and configuration of the CCWF was capable of (1) achieving and maintaining hydraulic control and (2) not causing excessive drawdown in the deeper confined aquifers that could lead to high rates and magnitudes of land subsidence. If the CCWF wells are screened across the shallow aquifer system only, as the CDA has indicated, then the potential for material physical injury associated with not achieving hydraulic control or with pumping-induced land subsidence and ground fissuring is minimal.

This opinion of no material physical injury is preliminary. New information on the aquifer system and well performance will be derived as the CCWF is constructed and tested. Watermaster will perform additional analyses and provide a final opinion on material physical injury after the CCWF is installed and a final operation plan is developed by the CDA.

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – Approved Watermaster Staff Recommendation Unanimously February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – Moved to approve Chino Creek Well Field Locations for I-19, I-20, and I-21, subject to any changes which the Chair determines appropriate

February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool -

February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee -

February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board -



January 31, 2011

Chino Basin Watermaster Attention: Ken Manning 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Re: Final Locations of the Chino Creek Well Field

Dear Mr. Manning,

As you are aware, the proposed Chino Creek Well Field (CCWF) is comprised of six wells located in the southwestern portion of the Chino Basin (see Figure 1). These wells will be owned and operated by the Chino Desalter Authority (CDA). The primary objective of CCWF is to pump raw groundwater to supply an expansion of the CDA's Chino Desalter facilities. Secondary objectives of the CCWF are to satisfy certain requirements and orders of the Regional Board, which include (1) achieve and maintain "Hydraulic Control" of groundwater outflow from the Chino-North management zone and (2) capture and treat the Chino Airport groundwater contaminant plume.

Hydraulic Control is a requirement of the Regional Board's current Basin Plan (CRWQCB, 2008). Hydraulic Control is achieved when groundwater discharge from the Chino-North management zone to the Prado Basin management zone is eliminated or reduced to *de minimis* levels. Currently, groundwater-elevation data indicate Hydraulic Control is not being achieved in the vicinity of the CCWF (see Figure 1 where groundwater is flowing south past the proposed CCWF and into Prado Basin). Pumping from the CCWF is meant to lower groundwater elevations in this area to the point where this groundwater discharge to Prado Basin is eliminated or reduced to *de minimis* levels and Hydraulic Control is achieved.

Remediation of TCE contamination in groundwater in the vicinity of the CCWF (also shown in Figure 1) is a Regional Board requirement derived from a Cleanup and Abatement Order issued in 1990 to the County of San Bernardino, Department of Airports, Chino Airport (CRWQCB, Order 90-134). There are ongoing negotiations between the CDA, the County of San Bernardino, and the Regional Board with the potential outcome that the CCWF will be the means to remediate the TCE contamination associated with the Chino Airport.

Computer-Simulation Modeling of Peace II and the Chino Creek Well Field

Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster) owns and maintains a predictive computer-simulation model that is capable of simulating groundwater flow and groundwater quality under certain management programs that are being implemented in the Chino Basin. This model is described in the 2007 CBWM Groundwater Model Documentation and Evaluation of the Peace II Project Description (WEI, 2007). The Peace II Project Description (Peace II) is a basin-wide

management program that includes regional recharge and pumping plans. A key element of Peace II is the construction and operation of the CCWF. The most recent predictive model results for the Peace II alternative were published in the 2009 Production Optimization and Evaluation of the Peace II Project Description (WEI, 2009).

The locations of the six CCWF wells have been finalized and have been (or are in the process of being) secured and/or purchased. Figure 1 shows that the final well locations are somewhat different than the locations assumed in the Peace II modeling effort (WEI, 2009). The CDA has requested that Watermaster re-simulate Peace II with the final CCWF well locations to ensure that objectives of the CCWF will still be satisfied—specifically, that hydraulic control is achieved and the Chino Airport plume is captured. This letter report documents the results and conclusions of the re-simulation of the Peace II alternative with the final CCWF well locations.

Modeling Assumptions and Results

The model simulation ran from 2006 to 2030. The CCWF wells were assumed to pump only from Layer 1 of the model (*i.e.* the shallow, unconfined aquifer system). Actual pumping data for all wells in the Chino Basin was used in the modeling for 2006-2009. Projected pumping estimates for all other wells (except the CCWF and the existing Chino Desalter wells) are as reported in Appendix A of WEI, 2009. Projected pumping estimates for the CCWF and the existing Chino Desalter wells are shown in Table 1 [Note: these projected pumping rates were reviewed and approved by representatives of the Chino Desalter Authority (Tim Mim Mack, pers. comm. 2010)]. Pumping at the CCWF is assumed to begin in 2014.

The model results indicate that by 2020, pumping from the Chino Desalter well field will lower groundwater levels to the point where groundwater discharge from Chino-North to Prado Basin is virtually eliminated. Figure 2 shows the model-predicted groundwater elevations and flow directions in 2020 for the shallow aquifer system (the model's Layer 1). Note, in particular, the reversal of hydraulic gradient in the vicinity of the CCWF (i.e. northward flow direction). These groundwater elevations would be a measurable demonstration of Hydraulic Control.

The model results also indicate that pumping of the Chino Desalter wells, including the CCWF, will significantly reduce the extent and concentration of TCE in groundwater that was associated with the Chino Airport. Figure 3 shows that by 2030, TCE concentrations have been reduced to less than 5 ug/L everywhere, and that virtually all of the contaminant plume will be captured by the CCWF wells. [Note: These model results assume there are no active sources of TCE at or beneath the Chino Airport. If such sources exist, the estimated length of time required for pumpand-treat mitigation would be longer than is currently indicated by these model results.]

Preliminary Opinion on Material Physical Injury

Watermaster's primary concerns for material physical injury associated with the CCWF are the inability to achieve and maintain Hydraulic Control and the potential for land subsidence and ground fissuring. The results of this modeling effort have demonstrated that the proposed configuration and operation of the CCWF is capable of achieving and maintaining Hydraulic Control. In addition, because the CCWF wells are proposed to be screened across the shallow

aquifer system only, Watermaster does not anticipate that CCWF operation will cause excessive drawdown in the deeper confined aquifers that could lead to significant land subsidence. For these reasons, Watermaster can offer a preliminary opinion of no material physical injury for the proposed location and operation of the CCWF.

Limitations and Recommendations

The opinion of no material physical injury is preliminary because of uncertainties associated with the aquifer system, and how those uncertainties may impact well performance and drawdown within the shallow aquifer system.

The accuracy of the model results presented herein is dependent on how accurately the model reflects the geometry and hydraulic properties of the aquifer system. When the model was constructed and calibrated in 2007, there was not much information on the shallow aquifer system in the vicinity of the CCWF (e.g. borehole data, water-level data, pumping test results, etc.). As the wells of the CCWF are drilled, constructed, and tested, more will be known about the geometry and hydraulic properties of the aquifer system. In fact, Wells I-16 and I-18 have been drilled and are currently being constructed. The data that have been derived from these early efforts indicate that the shallow aquifer system is thinner and less permeable than is assumed in the model. The new information should be used to revise the model. Subsequently, the model could be used to develop important guidance criteria, such as: how the CCWF should be operated to achieve and maintain Hydraulic Control; or if Hydraulic Control can not be achieved by the CCWF, where new wells should be located to achieve Hydraulic Control. The model could also be used to estimate the rate of groundwater discharge into Prado Basin under various scenarios of CCWF operation, which would be important information to the Regional Board in its determination of what constitutes de minimis discharge of groundwater from the Chino-North into the Prado Basin management zone.

Since new information on the aquifer system and well performance will be derived as the CCWF is constructed and tested, Watermaster should perform the additional analyses as described above, and provide a final opinion on material physical injury after the CCWF is installed and a final operation plan is developed by the CDA.

Sincerely,

Andrew E. Malone, PG Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.

EML

References

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region. (1990). Cleanup and Abatement Order No. 90-134 for County of San Bernardino, Department of Airports. Chino Airport.

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region. (2008). Water Quality Control Plan Santa Ana River Basin (Region 8) 1995. Updated February 2008.

Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (2007). 2007 CBWM Groundwater Model Documentation and Evaluation of the Peace II Project Description. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.

Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (2009). 2009 Production Optimization and Evaluation of the Peace II Project Description. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.

Table 1
Assumptions Used in Computer-Simulation Modeling of the Chino Desalter Well Fields

	Operational Assump			Model-Assumed	
Well	Current Capacity	Operating Factor		Pumping Rates	
	gpm	% Used	gpm	acre-ft/yr	acre-ft/quarter
CDA I-1	407	95%	387	624	156
CDA I-2	225	95%	214	345	86
CDA I-3	670	95%	637	1,027	257
CDA I-4	240	95%	228	368	92
CDA I-5	1,241	70%	872	1,406	352
CDA I-6	358	70%	252	406	101
CDA I-7	226	70%	159	256	64
CDA I-8	975	70%	685	1,105	276
CDA I-9	1,149	70%	807	1,302	325
CDA I-10	1,197	70%	841	1,356	339
CDA I-11	818	70%	575	927	232
CDA I-13	1,800	70%	1,265	2,040	510
CDA I-14	2,200	70%	1,546	2,493	623
CDA I-15	2,468	70%	1,734	2,797	699
CDA I-16	330	70%	232	374	93
CDA I-17	910	70%	639	1,031	258
CDA I-18	1,200	70%	843	1,360	340
CDA I-19	1,250	70%	878	1,416	354
CDA I-20	1,250	70%	878	1,416	354
CDA I-21	1,260	70%	885	1,428	357
CDA II-1	2,062	70%	1,449	2,337	584
CDA II-2	1,902	70%	1,336	2,155	539
CDA II-3	2,021	70%	1,420	2,290	573
CDA II-4	1,963	70%	1,379	2,224	556
CDA II-6	1,923	70%	1,351	2,179	545
CDA II-7	1,419	70%	997	1,608	402
CDA II-8	1,518	70%	1,066	1,720	430
CDA II-9A	1,775	70%	1,247	2,011	503
Totals	34,757	71%	24,800	40,000	10,000

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

www.wildermuthenvironmenfal.com

Chino East


Chino North

> 0 and ≤ 5 > 5 and ≤ 10 > 10 and ≤ 20 > 20 and ≤ 50 > 50 and ≤ 100 > 100 and ≤ 200 > 200 and ≤ 500

7/gn

Chino South

Prado Basin





www.wiidermuthenvironmental.com

Layer 1 -- 2020

Chino South

Prado Basin





- Existing Chino Desalter Well
- Proposed Production Wells of the Chino Creek Well Field
- Streams, Rivers, and Channels
- Management Zone Boundaries TCE Concentration in Groundwater as Estimated from

Computer-Simulation Modeling (2030) 7/gn

> 0 and \$ 5 > 5 and \$ 10 > 10 and \$ 20 > 20 and \$ 50 > 50 and \$ 100 > 100 and \$ 200 > 200 and \$ 500

If such sources exist, the length of time required for pump-and-treat mitigation would be longer than currently modeled. there are no active sources of TCE at or beneath the Chino Airport. Note: These model results assume



TCE Concentration in Groundwater
Estimated for 2030 The Chino Creek Well Field and

Author: AEM
Date: 20110118
File: Figure_3.mxd

N.0.89.66



III. REPORTS / UPDATES

B. ENGINEERING REPORT

3. Watermaster Staff Approval of Chino Creek Well Field Well I-18 Design





January 31, 2011

Chino Basin Watermaster Attention: Ken Manning 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Re: Recommended Design for Well I-18 and Consistency with the OBMP/Peace II

Ken.

The production wells for the Chino Creek Well Field (CCWF) are now being drilled and constructed under the direction of Geoscience Support Services, Inc. (GSS) — the hydrogeology consultant for the Chino Desalter Authority (CDA). Well I-18 is the second of the CCWF wells to be constructed. GSS has documented the borehole/testing data and has recommended a well design (see attached letter). The key information from this letter is summarized below:

Well I-18					
Borehole depth	455 ft-bgs				
Depth to groundwater	~40 ft-bgs				
Proposed screened interval	100-176, 196-312 ft-bgs				
Proposed filter-pack interval	77-352 ft-bgs				
TDS	640-700 mg/L				
Nitrate (as nitrate)	150-160 mg/L				

I have met with Russell Kyle of GSS and Jack Safely of Western Municipal Water Disrict to review the borehole/testing data and the proposed well design for Well I-18. Mr. Kyle and Mr. Safely (representing the CDA) are asking that Watermaster staff approve the proposed well design before well construction begins (specifically, the screened and filter-packed intervals). Not mentioned in the attached well design letter is an assurance from Mr. Safely that the deep well screens (196-312 ft-bgs) will be sealed off within the casing.

Per the OBMP and the Peace II Agreement, Watermaster's primary concerns for the CCWF are that (1) hydraulic control is achieved in this area and (2) the occurrence of pumping-induced land subsidence is minimized to tolerable levels. After review of the data and the well design letter, along with Mr Safely's assurance stated above, it is our opinion that the CDA's recommended design for Well I-18 is consistent with objectives OBMP and the Peace II Agreement.

Sincerely,

Andrew E. Malone, PG Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.



January 21, 2011

Mr. Jack S. Safely Water Resources Manager Western Municipal Water District 450 E. Alessandro Boulevard Riverside, CA 92508

Re:

Chino Desalter Authority Well I-18

Recommended Casing, Screen, and Filter Pack Design

Dear Jack:

This letter summarizes a casing, screen, and filter pack design for the Chino Basin Desalter Authority (CDA) Well I-18 located in the southern portion of the Chino I Desalter facility property at 6905 Kimball Avenue in the City of Chino, California. The well design specified herein is based on observation and classification of lithologic samples collected during pilot borehole drilling, geophysical borehole logs obtained from the pilot borehole, mechanical grading analysis of selected soil sample intervals, and the results of isolated aquifer zone testing.

The pilot borehole for I-18 was drilled to a total depth of 455 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The formation materials consists of interbedded sand, silt, and clay to a depth of approximately 338 ft bgs, and predominantly of fine- to coarse grained sand and fine to coarse gravel from 338 to 455 ft bgs.

Isolated Aquifer Zone Testing

Isolated aquifer zone testing was conducted in the pilot borehole for I-18 during the period from December 20, 2010 to January 7, 2011. The discharge rate and general geochemical parameters measured during testing of the zones are presented in Table 1.

GEOSCIENCE SUPPORT SERVICES INCORPORATED

Ground Water Resources Development P.O. Box 220, Claremont, CA 91711

T: 909-451-6650 F: 909-451-6638

Table 1. Aquifer Zone Testing Results for CDA Well I-18
Discharge and Geochemical Parameters Measured at the Time of Sample Collection

Zone No.	Depth of Zone [ft bgs]	Static Water Level [ft bgs]	Pumping Water Level [ft bgs]	Average Discharge Rate [gpm]	Field pH [units]	Field TDS [mg/L]	Field Water Temp. [ºC]
1*	293-313		-		7	-	
2	222-242	105	179	53	8.2	503	21.4
3	108-128	40	74	47	7.4	640	22.1

^{*} Zone 1 could not be completed due to low yield and an inability to maintain an adequate seal.

Summary of Isolated Aquifer Zone Testing and Ground Water Quality

The depth to static ground water level measured in Zone 2 was 105 ft bgs, considerably deeper than the water level measured in Zone 3 (i.e., 40 ft bgs). This suggests that Zones 2 and 3 were likely completed within separate aquifer systems. Average discharge rates ranged from approximately 47 to 53 gallons per minute (gpm) with pumping water levels measured towards the end of pumping ranging from 74 to 179 ft bgs.

Table 2 summarizes isolated aguifer zone test water quality results for selected constituents as reported by Clinical Laboratory of San Bernardino, Inc., of Grand Terrace, California. The total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations for Zones 2 and 3 were reported to be 700 and 640 milligrams per liter (mg/L), respectively, above the 500 mg/L recommended lower limit of the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) secondary maximum contaminant level (MCL). Nitrate concentrations in Zones 2 and 3 were reported at concentrations of 150 and 160 mg/L as nitrate, above the CDPH primary MCL of 45 mg/L as nitrate. Several volatile organic compounds (VOC's) were detected in both Zones 2 and 3. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane was detected in Zones 2 and 3 at concentrations of 4.7 and 9.1 micrograms per liter (µg/L), respectively, above the 0.005 µg/L CDPH notification level for unregulated chemicals. 1,1-Dichlorethylene (1,1-DCE) was detected in Zone 3 at a concentration of 15 µg/L, above the CDPH primary MCL of 6 µg/L. cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE) was detected in Zone 3 at a concentration of 24 μg/L, above the CDPH primary MCL of 6 μg/L. 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) was detected in Zone 3 at a concentration of 1.2 μg/L, above the CDPH primary MCL of 0.5 μg/L. Trichloroethylene (TCE) was detected in Zones 2 and 3 at concentrations of 40 and 450 µg/L, respectively, above the CDPH primary MCL of 5 µg/L. Toluene was detected at low concentrations in both zones but is likely a contaminant associated with the zone testing process.

Table 2. Aquifer Zone Testing Results for CDA Well I-18
Summary of Water Quality Analytical Data

		Zone 2	Zone 3	Drinking Water Standards	
Depth Interval	[ft bgs]	222-242	108-128		
Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	[mg/L]	94	240	NA ⁶	
Aluminum	[µg/L]	<50	<50	200 ² / 1,000 ¹	
Arsenic	[µg/L]	3.4	<2.0	10 ¹	
Bicarbonate (as CaCO ₃)	[mg/L]	110	290	NA ⁶	
Boron	[µg/L]	280	<100	1,000 ³	
Calcium	[mg/L]	86	180	NA ⁶	
Carbonate (as CaCO ₃)	[mg/L]	<5.0	<5.0	NA ⁶	
Chloride	[mg/L]	150	100	250-500 ²	
Chromium, Hexavalent	[µg/L]	2.3	3.6	50 ^{1,4}	
Chromium, Total	[µg/L]	<10	<10	50 ¹	
Color	[Color Units]	<3.0	<3.0	15 ²	
Fluoride	[mg/L]	0.33	0.16	2.0 ¹	
Iron	[µg/L]	<100	<100	300 ²	
Mánganese	[µg/L]	<20	<20	50 ²	
Nitrate (as NO ₃)	[mg/L]	150	160	45 ¹	
Odor	[TON]	1	1	3 ²	
Perchlorate	[µg/L]	<1.0	<1.0	6.0 ¹	
pH	[pH Units]	8.0	7.5	6.5-8.5 ⁵	
Sodium	[mg/L]	120	36	NA ⁶	
Sulfate (as SO ₄)	[mg/L]	93	160	250-500 ²	
Surfactants (MBAS)	[mg/L]	0.18	<0.10	0.5 ²	
Total Dissolved Solids, TDS	[mg/L]	700	640	500-1,000 ²	
Total Hardness	[mg/L]	220	600	NA ⁶	
Total Silica	[mg/L]	23	34	NA ⁶	
Turbidity	[NTU]	<0.1	0.1	5 ²	
Vanadium	[µg/L]	9.4	6.6	50 ³	
1, 2, 3-Trichloropropane	[μg/L]	4.7	9.1	0.005 ³	
Volatile Organic Compounds Except as Noted Below (EPA Method 524.2)	[µg/L]	ND	ND	Varies with Chemical ¹	
Trichlorofluoromethane (FREON 11)	[µg/L]	<5.0	10	150 ¹	
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	[µg/L]	1.0	15	6 ¹	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (t-1,2-DCE)	[µg/L]	0.74	3.4	10 ¹	
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	[µg/L]	<0.50	0.50	5 ¹	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE)	(µg/L)	4.3	24	6 ¹	
Chloroform	[μg/L]	9.5	20	80 ^{1,7}	

		Zone 2	Zone 3	Drinking Water Standards	
epth Interval	[ft bgs]	222-242	108-128		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	[µg/L]	<0.50	1.2	0.51	
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	[µg/L]	40	450	5 ¹	
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	[µg/L]	<0.50	1.4	5 ¹	
Toluene	[µg/L]	2.3	1.4	150 ¹	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	[µg/L]	<0.50	1.8	5 ¹	
Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)	[µg/L]	9.5	20	80 ¹	

California Department of Public Health (CDPH) primary maximum contaminant level (MCL).

Proposed Well Design

Based on our review of the water quality data, geophysical borehole logs, lithologic samples, and mechanical grading (i.e., sieve) analyses, the following casing and screen schedule is proposed:

Table 3. Proposed Casing and Screen Schedule CDA Well I-18

Interval	Borehole Diameter	Casing Diameter	Wall Thickness	Screen Slot Size	Material Type
[ft bgs]	[in.]	[in.]	[in.]	[in.]	
+0.5 - 52	48	36 OD	3/8	÷.	Conductor Casing (ASTM A139 Grade B Mild Steel)
+1 - 85 (2 ea.)	Annulus	3 in.	Sch. 40		Gravel Feed Tubes (ASTM A53 Grade B Mild Steel)
+1 - 98	Annulus	2 in.	Sch. 40	-	Sounding Tube* (ASTM A778 304L Stainless Steel)
0 - 75	32	E 17-27	-	-	Sand-Cement Seal
75 - 77	32			-	Fine Sand Layer
77 - 352	32(52-95) 28(95-352)	-	-		1/4 in. x 16 Custom Blend Filter Pack
+1 - 100	32(52-95) 28(95-352)	18 5/8 OD (18 ID)	5/16	4	Blank Casing (ASTM A778 304L Stainless Steel)
100 - 176	28	18 5/8 OD (18 ID)	5/16	3/32 (0.094)	Ful-Flo Louvered Screen (ASTM A778 304L Stainless Steel)
176 - 196	28	18 5/8 OD (18 ID)	5/16		Blank Casing (ASTM A778 304L Stainless Steel)

CDPH secondary MCL.

CDPH notification level for unregulated chemicals.

Chromium-6 (hexavalent chromium) is regulated by CDPH under the 50 µg/L total chromium MCL.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) secondary standard for pH.

Not Applicable - no current MCL.

Chloroform is regulated under the TTHM MCL of 80 μ g/L.

ND Not detected above laboratory detection limits.

BOLD Equal to or above current CDPH MCLs or notification levels.

Interval	Borehole Diameter	Casing Diameter	Wall Thickness	Screen Slot Size	Material Type	
[ft bgs] [in.] [i	[in.]	[in.]	[in.]			
196 - 312	28	18 5/8 OD (18 ID)	5/16	3/32 (0.094)	Ful-Flo Louvered Screen (ASTM A778 304L Stainless Steel)	
312 - 332	28	18 5/8 OD (18 ID)	5/16		Blank Casing with End Plate (ASTM A778 304L Stainless Steel)	
332 - 352	28		-	-	Gravel-Filled Borehole Below Casing and End Plate	

The total recommended screen length is 192 ft and the total length of the blank casing is 141ft, for a total casing and screen length of 333 ft.

* The 2 ft slot at the sounding tube connection is recommended be located from 96 to 98 ft bgs.

Figure 1 shows a diagram of the proposed casing and screen schedule for I-18 as well as associated borehole diameters and depths, and construction materials.

Reaming (Enlarging) Pilot Borehole and Caliper Log

The 17 1/2-inch diameter pilot borehole shall be enlarged to 32 inches in diameter from 52 ft bgs to a depth of 95 ft bgs and to 28 inches in diameter from 95 ft bgs to a depth of 352 ft bgs. The above design will allow for 20 ft of extra borehole in case a small amount of fill accumulates during the casing and screen installation. A caliper log shall be run in the reamed borehole no more than six hours prior to the start of installation of the casing and screen.

<u>Installation of Sounding Tube and Gravel Feed Pipes</u>

During casing and screen installation, a 2-inch diameter Schedule (Sch.) 40 304L stainless steel sounding tube will be installed from approximately 1 ft above ground surface, connecting to the 18-inch inside diameter (ID) blank casing at a depth of 96 to 98 ft bgs, terminating in a 3-inch by 3-inch by 2 ft long square box. The transition from the 18-inch ID casing to the sounding tube box connection shall be smooth, without rough edges or burrs. Two 3-inch diameter Sch. 40 mild steel gravel feed pipes shall be installed from approximately 1 ft above ground surface to a depth of 85 ft bgs. The surface orientation of the well appurtenances shall be as shown on Figure 2.

Filter Pack and Slot Size Selection

Mechanical grading analyses (i.e., sieve analyses) were performed on five formation samples from between 110 and 410 ft bgs. However, only those sieve samples that fall within the recommended screened interval are shown in Figure 3. Based on the results of the sieve analyses, the proposed filter pack is a ¼-in. x 16 Custom Blend as shown below in Table 4. The proposed slot size for the screened

interval is 3/32 (0.094) inches, which will theoretically allow approximately 17% of the filter pack material to pass (see Figure 3 and Table 5).

Table 4. Proposed Filter Pack Design – ¼-in. x 16 Custom Blend CDA Well I-18

U.S. Standard Sieve No.	Sieve Opening	Sieve Opening	Cumulative Percen Passing	
	[in.]	[mm]		
3/8"	0.375	9.53	100	
1/4"	0.250	6.35	90	
4	0.187	4.75	70	
8	0.094	2.38	17	
10	0.079	2.00	10	
12	0.066	1.68	7	
16	0.047	1.19	2	
20	0.033	0.84	0.1	

A sieve analysis test report from the filter pack supplier that represents the proposed filter pack gradation shall be submitted to GEOSCIENCE and shall be approved for use prior to delivery of any filter pack material to the site. Additionally, once the filter pack is delivered to the site, GEOSCIENCE personnel will sample and sieve a representative amount from each load to verify the gradation. All filter pack shall be delivered to the site in one cubic yard super sacks at least 24 hours prior to installation of the casing and screen.

Placement of Filter Pack and Cement Seal

The filter pack material shall be pumped through a tremie pipe from the bottom of the 28-inch and 32-inch diameter reamed borehole (at 352 ft bgs) to 77 ft bgs while adding one to two gallons of 12.5% liquid sodium hypochlorite per cubic yard of filter pack material, as per the technical specifications. No more than two pieces of tremie pipe (approximately 60 to 62 ft) shall be pulled at any one time during the gravel packing process. Once the filter pack has been placed to 77 ft bgs, a 2-ft layer of fine sand shall be placed above the filter pack from 75 to 77 ft bgs.

An annular seal, consisting of 10.3-sack sand-cement grout, shall be pumped through a tremie pipe and shall be placed from 75 ft bgs to the ground surface. The end of the tremie shall remain submerged in the cement slurry at all times during pumping of the cement. To verify the cement set up time, samples of the cement slurry will be collected from each truckload as it is being pumped. The samples will be placed in a bucket of water (that is placed out of direct sunlight) or suspended in the circulation reservoir that is filled with water, in order to simulate down-hole conditions for monitoring the set-up

time. No further work should take place in the well for a minimum of 24 hours following completion of pumping the cement seal.

Production Potential

Based on geophysical borehole logs for Well I-18, the aquifer system was subdivided into two aquifer intervals that occur within the anticipated well screen interval, and for which each successful isolated aquifer zone test was considered generally representative. Estimates of the hydraulic conductivity for these aquifers were made based on pumping characteristics observed during isolated aquifer zone testing. In particular, specific capacity values (i.e., pumping rate per foot of drawdown) measured during pumping of Zones 2 and 3, in addition to assumptions regarding aquifer storativity and the well efficiency of each zone test, were utilized to estimate the aquifer transmissivity of each zone test interval. That aquifer transmissivity was divided by the thickness of permeable material within each isolated aquifer zone to estimate representative hydraulic conductivity for each of the aquifer intervals.

A range of estimated production rates were calculated for Well I-18 utilizing the Thiem Equation for steady-state radial ground water flow. The following table summarizes the estimated production rates from each aquifer, and the total estimated production from the completed well:

Table 6. Estimated Well Production CDA Well I-18

Aquifer Number	Aquifer Depth Interval	Thickness of Permeable Materials ¹	Hydraulic Conductivity ²	Aquifer Flow Contribution Assuming Drawdowi of 45 ft ³	
	[ft bgs]	[ft]	[gpd/ft ²]	[gpm]	
1	101-163	29	300	173	
2	203-312	59	200	235	
	Estin	nated Well Production Assumi	ng Drawdown of 45 ft:	408	

¹ Interpreted from geophysical and lithologic borehole logs. Fine materials (i.e., silt and clay) were not included.

Time. t = 1 day

Zone efficiency, E = 50%

3 Calculated utilizing the Thiem equation for steady-state radial ground water flow and the following assumptions: Cone of Depression Radial Distance, $r_0 = 1,000$ ft

Effective Well Radius, r_e = 1 ft

Well efficiency, E = 70%

² Calculated utilizing the Theis equation, pumping characteristics observed during isolated aquifer zone testing, and the following assumptions: Storativity of Aquifer 1 (101-163 ft bgs), S = 0.1 (unconfined aquifer) Storativity of Aquifer 2 (203-312 ft bgs), S = 0.001 (confined aquifer) Effective Well Radius, r_e = 1 ft

Based on the above calculations and assumptions, the production rate for CDA Well I-18, as designed herein, is estimated to be approximately 400 gpm. It should be noted that various assumptions were made to prepare these estimates and that actual production rates may differ.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Russell Kyle, PG, CHG

Senior Geohydrologist

Encl.

cc. Daynan Rigg - R.W. Beck


In KI

Curtis McCalla - South West Pump & Drilling

Brian Jeffers - South West Pump & Drilling

Dennis E. Williams, Ph.D., PG, CHG

President







Figure 3

Western Municipal Water District CDA Well I-18



Well Design Parameters and Recommended Filter Pack Western Municipal Water District CDA Well I-18

Design Criteria	Depth [ft]	Formula (D = Filter Pack) (d = Aquifer)	Value	Recommended Value
Pack/Aquifer Ratio (Finest Zone)	110-120	${ m D}_{50}/{ m d}_{50}$	4.6	4 to 20
Terzaghi Migration Factor (Finest Zone)	110-120	D ₁₅ /d ₈₅	1.1	less than 4
Terzaghi Permeability Factor (Coarsest Zone)	230-240	D ₁₅ /d ₁₅	5.3	greater than 4
Screen Slot [in.]	-	_	0.094	
Percent Filter Pack Passing Screen Slot	-	-	17.0	15% to 25%
Uniformity Coefficient of Filter Pack	-	$C_u = D_{60}/D_{10}$	2,2	
Sorting Factor -		$Sf = C_{uf}/C_{ua} = (D_{60}/D_{10}) / (d_{60}/d_{10})$	0.57	<u>-</u>

1/4 in. x 16 Custom Blend

U.S. Standard	Opening	Opening	Cumulative %	Cumulative %
Sieve Size	[in.]	[mm]	Retained	Passing
3/8"	0.375	9.53	0.0	100.0
1/4''	0.250	6.35	10.0	90.0
4	0.187	4.75	30.0	70.0
8	0.094	2.38	83.0	17.0
10	0.079	2.00	90.0	10.0
12	0.066	1,68	93.0	7.0
16	0.047	1.19	98.0	2.0
20	0.033	0.84	99.9	0.1

THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION



III. REPORTS / UPDATES

C. FINANCIAL REPORT

1. Expected Cost of Completion Mid-Year





9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, Ca 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

KENNETH R. MANNING Chief Executive Officer

STAFF REPORT

DATE:

February 3, 2011

TO:

Committee Members

SUBJECT:

Projected Expenses as of June 30, 2011 (6-month actual and 6-month projection) -

Financial Report C-1

SUMMARY

Issue - Projection of expenses of Watermaster for the Period of July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011.

Recommendation – Staff does not recommend any budget fund transfers between accounts or special assessments at this time. Upon completion of the 3rd quarter expense projections, which will be presented in April, staff will recommend budget fund transfers between accounts and/or special assessments, as needed. Staff recommends Financial Report C-1 be received and filed as presented.

Fiscal Impact – Funds disbursed were included in the FY 2010-2011 Watermaster Budget.

BACKGROUND

An Actual vs. Budget Report for the period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 as well as the Projected vs. Budget for the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 is provided to keep all members apprised of the actual and projected total expenses for the current fiscal year. The expense section is categorized into four distinct sections. Those sections are: General and Administrative Expenses; Optimal Basin Management Program Expenses; Project Expenses; and Other Income/Expenses.

DISCUSSION

The Actual vs. Budget report has been created from QuickBooks Enterprise Solutions 9.0, the Watermaster accounting system. The Actual vs. Budget report provided, balances to the supporting documentation in the Watermaster accounting system, as well as the supporting bank statements.

In preparing the projections for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011, several assumptions were developed. Those assumptions are as follows:

STAFFING:

- 1. The CEO is retiring effective March 1, 2011
- 2. Vacation, sick and comp balances due the CEO will be paid on March 1, 2011
- 3. No ongoing CEO salary or benefit costs for the months of March-May, 2011
- 4. Savings from CEO's position will fund search and consulting expenses for hiring new CEO
- 5. New CEO starts June 1, 2011
- 6. Additional expense of \$25K has been projected for staff related costs

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE:

- 1. Assume current levels of expenses for the first 6 months will continue into the next 6 months
- 2. Continue monitoring of G&A expenses
- 3. No additional purchases of office computers or upgrades of software until 2011-2012
- 4. Estimate overall savings in G&A of \$29K

OBMP AND LEGAL SERVICES:

- 1. Wildermuth projections of OBMP Engineering Services under the budgeted amount by \$33K
- 2. Continued similar cost patterns for legal services in remainder of fiscal year
- 3. Increased negotiations in the last half of the fiscal year regarding the Ontario Airport Plume will result in additional costs of \$80K
- 4. Increased negotiations in the last half of the fiscal year regarding the Chino Airport Plume will result in additional costs of \$35K
- 5. Desalter Negotiation expenses projected at actual as of December 31, 2010 plus an addition \$40K
- 6. Miscellaneous legal expenses for pools, advisory, board and others increase by \$40K
- 7. Regional Board Fine of \$62K was not part of the budget for the fiscal year and remains unfunded by a special assessment
- 8. Contingency of \$145K would be part of a budget transfer request in April 2011

	Seco	ond Quarter	(50%) of Budge	et	100% Pr	ojected vs. B	udget
	Jul '10 - Dec 10	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over Budge
0 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan							
6901 · WM Staff Salaries	96,142.03	103,310.00	-7,167.97	93.06%	192,284.00	206,620.00	-14,336.0
6903 · SARW Group	25,778.00	25,778.00	0.00	100.0%	25,778.00	25,778.00	0.0
6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	168,219.52	175,168.00	-6,948.48	96.03%	316,998.00	350,336.00	-33,338.0
6907 · OBMP Legal Fees			100				
6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel							
6907.30 · Peace II - CEQA	4,018.00	25,000.00	-20,982.00	16.07%	8,036.00	50,000.00	-41,964.0
6907.31 · Ontario Airport Plume	2,525.85	7,500.00	-4,974.15	33.68%	85,052.00	15,000.00	70,052.0
6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume	54,319.77	7,500.00	46,819.77	724.26%	108,640.00	15,000.00	93,640.0
6907.33 · Desalter Negotiations	146,720.25	0.00	146,720.25	100.0%	186,720.00	0.00	186,720.0
6907.34 · Santa Ana River Water Rights	10,595.47	12,500.00	-1,904.53	84.76%	21,190.00	25,000.00	-3,810.0
6907.35 · Paragraph 31 Motion	51,684.93	0.00	51,684.93	100.0%	103,370.00	0.00	103,370.0
6907.36 · Santa Ana River Critical Habitat	8,005.91	0.00	8,005.91	100.0%	16,012.00	0.00	16,012.0
6907.37 · Water Auction	1,183.50	20,000.00	-18,816.50	5.92%	2,368.00	40,000.00	-37,632.0
6907.38 · Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	3,591.00	7,500.00	-3,909.00	47.88%	7,182.00	15,000.00	-7,818.0
6907.39 · Recharge Master Plan	3,724.65	20,000.00	-16,275.35	18.62%	7,450.00	40,000.00	-32,550.0
6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel - Other	101,108.58	125,000.00	-23,891.42	80.89%	242,218.00	250,000.00	-7,782.0
Total 6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel	387,477.91	225,000.00	162,477.91	172.21%	788,238.00	450,000.00	338,238.0
6907.4 · WM Legal Counsel - Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	145,000.00	145,000.00	0.0
Total 6907 - OBMP Legal Fees	387,477.91	225,000.00	162,477.91	172.21%	933,238.00	595,000.00	338,238.0
6909 · OBMP Other Expenses							
6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	1,420.80	0.00	1,420.80	100.0%	2,842.00	0.00	2,842.0
6909.4 · Printing	1,560.00	0.00	1,560.00	100.0%	3,120.00	0.00	3,120.0
6909.5 · Ad Hoc Litigation Committee	21.58	0.00	21.58	100.0%	1,505.00	0.00	1,505.0
6909 - OBMP Other Expenses - Other	64,525.00	10,000.00	54,525.00	645,25%	64,525.00	20,000.00	44,525.0
Total 6909 · OBMP Other Expenses	67,527.38	10,000.00	57,527.38	675.27%	71,992.00	20,000.00	51,992.0
al 6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	745,144.84	539,256.00	205,888.84	138.18%	1,540,290.00	1,197,734.00	342,556.0

PROJECT EXPENSES:

- 1. Wildermuth has provided their ECAC (Estimated Cost of Completion) Report as of June 30, 2011 which provides an estimated savings/reduction of approximately \$209K
- 2. Only the 7300 category is currently projected to be over budget by \$16K at fiscal year end
- 3. Continued monitoring of projects by Wildermuth and Watermaster staff for the remainder of the fiscal year

With the anticipated cost savings, and ongoing monitoring of expenses, the approved expense budget of \$6,894,823 will not require any additional special assessments at this time.

	6/12th (50%) of the Total Budget				100% of the Total Budget				
	Year-To-Date as of December 31, 2010		Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011						
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budge	
6010 - Salary Costs	233,911.10	234,222.00	-310.90	99.87%	492,822.80	464,944.00	27,878.80	106.09	
6020 · Office Building Expense		50,598.00		96.36%	a service and a		1		
	48,756.80		-1,841.20		97,514.00	101,196.00	-3,682.00	96.369	
6030 · Office Supplies & Equip. 6040 · Postage & Printing Costs	11,017.85 34,108.15	15,250.00 43,900.00	-4,232.15 -9,791.85	72.25% 77.7%	25,036.00	30,500.00 78,300.00	-5,464.00	82.09% 93,51%	
6050 · Information Services	75,952.98	76,100.00	-3,737.03	99.81%	73,216.00 137,638.00		-5,084.00		
6060 · Contract Services	23,130.00	52,000.00	-28,870.00	44.48%	71,260.00	142,200.00 75,000.00	-4,562.00 -3,740.00	96.799 95.019	
6080 · Insurance	15,863.00	17,575.00	-1,712.00	90.26%	17,363.00	1	-3,740.00	98.799	
6110 · Dues and Subscriptions	12,166.13	22,750.00	-10,583.87	53.48%	30,000.00	17,575.00 30,000.00	0.00	100.09	
6140 · WM Admin Expenses	515.41	1,500.00	-10,585.87	34.36%	1,030.00	3,000.00		Territoria	
	-15.66	900.00	-904.59 -915.66	-1.74%			-1,970.00	34.339	
6150 · Field Supplies			4		900.00	1,800.00	-900.00	50.09	
6170 · Travel & Transportation	13,836.19	16,580.00	-2,743.81	83.45%	29,172.00	33,160.00	-3,988.00	87.979	
6190 · Conferences & Seminars	12,139.14	16,500.00	-4,360.86	73.57%	21,639.00	23,000.00	-1,361.00	94.089	
6200 · Advisory Comm - WM Board	8,720 26	11,235.00	-2,514.74	77.62%	17,440.00	22,470.00	-5,030.00	77.629	
6300 · Watermaster Board Expenses	23,844.71	25,301.50	-1,456.79	94.24%	50,190.00	50,603.00	-413.00	99.189	
8300 - Appr PI-WM & Pool Admin	31,355.72	42,143.00	-10,787.28	74.4%	74,712.00	90,043.00	-15,331.00	82.979	
8400 - Agri Pool-WM & Pool Admin	15,508.02	14,073.50	1,434.52	110.19%	31,016.00	28,147.00	2,869.00	110.199	
8467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services	74,090.00	59,000.00	15,090.00	125.58%	148,180.00	118,000.00	30,180.00	125.589	
8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	6,375.00	6,000.00	375.00	106.25%	12,750.00	12,000.00	750.00	106.259	
8471 · Ag Pool Expense	0.00	32,500.00	-32,500.00	0.0%	30,000.00	65,000.00	-35,000.00	46.159	
8500 · Non-Ag PI-WM & Pool Admin	64,475.13	80,833.00	-16,357.87	79.76%	148,950.00	161,666.00	-12,716.00	92.139	
6500 · Education Funds Use Expens	375.00	375.00	0.00	100.0%	375.00	375.00	0.00	100.09	
9400 · Depreciation Expense	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	
9500 · Alfocated G&A Expenditures	-211,487.71	-244,064.50	32,576.79	86.65%	-430,476.00	-488,129.00	57,653.00	88.19	
6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	745,144.84	539,256.00	205,888.84	138.18%	1,540,290.00	1,197,734.00	342,556.00	128.69	
6950 · Mutual Agency Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	10,000.00	10,000.00	0.00	100.0	
9501 · G&A Expenses Allocated-OBMP	52,840.74	71,328.00	-18,487.26	74.08%	105,682.00	142,656.00	-36,974.00	74.08	
7101 · Production Monitoring	46,778.95	52,109.50	-5,330.55	89.77%	93,558.00	104,219.00	-10,661.00	89.77	
7102 - In-line Meter Installation	7,801 95	33,339.50	-25,537.55	23.4%	35,604.00	66,679.00	-31,075.00	53.49	
7103 · Grdwtr Quality Monitoring	109,729.07	110,498.00	-768.93	99.3%	202,083.00	202,996.00	-913.00	99.55	
7104 · Gdwtr Level Monitoring	103,498.86	168,141.00	-64,642. 1 4	61.56%	274,998.00	336,282.00	-61,284.00	81.789	
7105 · Sur Wtr Qual Monitoring	771.23	2,140.00	-1,368.77	36.04%	3,042.00	4,280.00	-1,238.00	71.08	
7107 · Ground Level Monitoring	217,949.52	407,810.00	-189,860.48	53.44%	786,512.00	815,620.00	-29,108.00	96.43	
7108 · Hydraulic Control Monitoring	133,021.20	246,850.00	-113,828.80	53.89%	451,759.00	493,700.00	-41,941.00	91.51	
7109 - Recharge & Well Monitoring Prog	7,123.75	8,440.00	-1,316.25	84.41%	8,440.00	8,440.00	0.00	100.0	
7200 - PE2- Comp Recharge Pgm	412,778.26	508,011.00	-95,232.74	81.25%	972,122.00	1,011,022.00	-38,900.00	96.15	
7300 - PE3&5-Water Supply/Desalte	73,363.73	73,975.50	-611.77	99.17%	106,358.00	90,111.00	16,247.00	118.03	
7400 · PE4- Mgmt Plan	12,057.01	45,977.50	-33,920.49	26.22%	88,826.00	91,955.00	-3,129.00	96.6	
7500 · PE6&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	43,941.10	73,090.00	-29,148.90	60.12%	140,180.00	146,180.00	-6,000.00	95.9	
7600 · PE8&9-StorageMgmt/Conj Use	18,759.66	31,725.00	-12,965.34	59.13%	40,149.70	64,250.00	-24,100.30	62.49	
7690 · Recharge Improvement Debt Pymt	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	90.9%	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	90.9	
7700 · Inactive Well Protection Prgm	0.00	706.00	-706.00	0.0%	0.00	1,412.00	-1,412.00	0.0	
9502 · G&A Expenses Allocated-Projects	158,646.95	172,736.50	-14,089. 5 5	91.84%	317,294.00	345,473.00	-28,179.00	91.84	
otal Expense	3,276,041.54	3,822,369.00	-546,327.46	85.71%	6,894,823.00	6,894,823.00	0.00	100.0	

Actions:

February 3, 2011 Appropriative Pool – February 3, 2011 Non-Agricultural Pool – February 10, 2011 Agricultural Pool – February 17, 2011 Advisory Committee – February 24, 2011 Watermaster Board – 6/12th (50%) of the Total Budget

100% of the Total Budget

	Voar To Date as of December 21, 2010				Figsal Year End on of June 20, 2011				
	Year-To-Date as of December 31, 2010			Fiscal Year End as of June 30, 2011					
	Actual	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budget	Projected	Budget	\$ Over(Under)	% of Budg	
<u>nse</u>	WILLIAM TO THE TOTAL OF THE TOT	(1000)		(X)	West a state of the				
6010 · Salary Costs	233,911.10	234,222.00	-310.90	99.87%	492,822.80	464,944.00	27,878.80	106.0	
6020 · Office Building Expense	48,756.80	50,598.00	-1,841.20	96.36%	97,514.00	101,196.00	-3,682.00	96,36	
6030 · Office Supplies & Equip.	11,017.85	15,250.00	-4,232.15	72.25%	25,036.00	30,500.00	-5,464.00	82.0	
6040 · Postage & Printing Costs	34,108.15	43,900.00	-9,791.85	77.7%	73,216.00	78,300.00	-5,084.00	93.5	
6050 · Information Services	75,952.98	76,100.00	-147.02	99.81%	137,638.00	142,200.00	-4,562.00	96.7	
6060 · Contract Services	23,130.00	52,000.00	-28,870.00	44.48%	71,260.00	75,000.00	-3,740.00	95.0	
6080 · Insurance	15,863.00	17,575.00	-1,712.00	90.26%	17,363.00	17,575.00	-212.00	98.7	
110 · Dues and Subscriptions	12,166.13	22,750.00	-10,583.87	53.48%	30,000.00	30,000.00	0.00	100	
140 · WM Admin Expenses	515.41	1,500.00	-984.59	34.36%	1,030.00	3,000.00	-1,970.00	34.3	
150 · Field Supplies	-15,66	900.00	-915.66	-1.74%	900.00	1,800.00	-900.00	50	
170 · Travel & Transportation	13,836.19	16,580.00	-2,743.81	83.45%	29,172.00	33,160.00	-3,988.00	87.9	
190 · Conferences & Seminars	12,139.14	16,500.00	-4,360.86	73.57%	21,639.00	23,000.00	-1,361.00	94.	
3200 · Advisory Comm - WM Board	8,720.26	11,235.00	-2,514.74	77.62%	17,440.00	22,470.00	-5,030,00	77.	
300 · Watermaster Board Expenses	23,844.71	25,301.50	-1,456.79	94.24%	50,190.00	50,603.00	-413.00	99.	
3300 · Appr PI-WM & Pool Admin	31,355.72	42,143.00	-10,787.28	74.4%	74,712.00	90,043.00	-15,331.00	82.	
3400 · Agri Pool-WM & Pool Admin	15,508.02	14,073.50	1,434,52	110.19%	31,016.00	28,147.00	2,869,00	110.	
3467 · Ag Legal & Technical Services	74,090.00	59,000.00	15,090.00	125.58%	148,180,00	118,000.00	30,180.00	125	
8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	6,375.00	6,000.00	375.00	106.25%	12,750.00	12,000.00	750.00	106	
8471 · Ag Pool Expense	0.00	32,500.00	-32,500.00	0.0%	30,000.00	65,000.00	-35,000.00	46	
8500 · Non-Ag PI-WM & Pool Admin	64,475.13	80,833,00	-16,357.87	79.76%	148,950.00	161,666.00	-12,716.00	92	
5500 - Education Funds Use Expens	375.00	375.00	0.00	100.0%	375.00	375.00	0.00	10	
9400 · Depreciation Expense	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	. 13	
9500 · Allocated G&A Expenditures	-211,487.71	-244,064,50	32,576.79	86.65%	-430,476.00	-488,129.00	57,653.00	88	
6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan	745,144.84	539,256.00	205,888.84	138.18%	1,540,290.00	1,197,734.00	342,556.00	12	
6950 · Mutual Agency Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	10,000.00				
9501 · G&A Expenses Allocated-OBMP	52,840.74	71,328.00	-18,487.26	2010/1700		10,000.00	0.00	10	
7101 - Production Monitoring				74.08%	105,682.00	142,656.00	-36,974.00	74	
	46,778.95	52,109.50	-5,330.55	89.77%	93,558.00	104,219.00	-10,661.00	89	
7102 · In-line Meter Installation	7,801.95	33,339,50	-25,537.55	23.4%	35,604.00	66,679.00	-31,075.00	5	
7103 - Grdwtr Quality Monitoring	109,729.07	110,498.00	-768.93	99.3%	202,083.00	202,996.00	-913.00	99	
7104 - Gdwtr Level Monitoring	103,498.86	168,141,00	-64,642.14	61.56%	274,998.00	336,282.00	-61,284.00	81	
7105 · Sur Wtr Qual Monitoring	771,23	2,140.00	-1,368.77	36.04%	3,042.00	4,280.00	-1,238.00	71	
7107 - Ground Level Monitoring	217,949.52	407,810.00	-189,860.48	53.44%	786,512.00	815,620.00	-29,108.00	96	
7108 · Hydraulic Control Monitoring	133,021.20	246,850.00	-113,828.80	53.89%	451,759.00	493,700.00	-41,941.00	91	
7109 · Recharge & Well Monitoring Prog	7,123.75	8,440.00	-1,316.25	84.41%	8,440.00	8,440.00	0.00	10	
7200 · PE2- Comp Recharge Pgm	412,778.26	508,011.00	-95,232.74	81.25%	972,122.00	1,011,022.00	-38,900.00	96	
7300 · PE3&5-Water Supply/Desalte	73,363.73	73,975.50	-611.77	99.17%	106,358.00	90,111.00	16,247.00	118	
7400 · PE4- Mgmt Plan	12,057.01	45,977.50	-33,920.49	26.22%	88,826.00	91,955.00	-3,129.00	9	
7500 - PE6&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	43,941.10	73,090.00	-29,148.90	60.12%	140,180.00	146,180.00	-6,000.00	9	
7600 · PE8&9-StorageMgmt/Conj Use	18,759.66	31,725.00	-12,965.34	59.13%	40,149.70	64,250.00	-24,100.30	62	
7690 · Recharge Improvement Debt Pymt	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	90.9%	637,197.50	700,964.00	-63,766.50	9	
7700 - Inactive Well Protection Prgm	0.00	706.00	-706.00	0.0%	0.00	1,412.00	-1,412.00		
9502 - G&A Expenses Allocated-Projects	158,646.95	172,736.50	-14,089.55	91.84%	317,294.00	345,473.00	-28,179.00	91	
al Expense	3,276,041.54	3,822,369.00	-546,327.46	85.71%	6,894,823.00	6,894,823.00	0,00	10	



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

IV. INFORMATION

1. Cash Disbursements for January 2010



CHINO BASIN WATERWASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of January 1, 2011 - January 25, 2011

Paid Amount	1,302.25	26.51 26.51	125.00	125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00 500.00	1,500.00	973.31 43.50 624.49 250.06 7,503.75 97.88 380.63 81.56 70.69 342.50 1,305.00 1,582.31 304.19 419.78 348.00	
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.2 · Applied Computer Technol	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6031.7 - Other Office Supplies	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 - Board Member Compensation	1012 - Bank of America Gen'I Ckg 6311 - Board Member Compensation 6311 - Board Member Compensation 6311 - Board Member Compensation 6311 - Board Member Compensation	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 2000 - Accounts Payable	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6055 - Computer Hardware 6055 - Computer Hardware 6057 - Computer Hardware 6057 - Computer Maintenance 6055 - Computer Hardware	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg
Memo	1989 Database Services - December 2010	0023230253 Office Water Bottle - December 2010	12/16/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting 12/16/2010 Watermaster Board Meeting	12/02/10 Administrative Meeting 12/10/10 CDA Conference Call 12/16/10 Advisory Committee Meeting 12/23/10 Administrative Meeting	Payroll and Taxes for 12/12/10-12/25/10 Employee 457 Deductions-12/12/10-12/25/10	workstation cable for T1 line supplies for T1 line suppplies for T1 line service on HP 8100 printer in IT office small business server software cable for IT room firewall support - 2 yrs. T1 line supplies DVD drive for GIS workstation maintenance for plotter in GIS office replacement workstation-Eroviron. Specialist office replacement monitor-Senior Engineer office back up drives	
Name	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	ARROWHEAD MOUNTAIN SPRING WATER	BOWCOCK, ROBERT	самасно, міснаєї	CITISTREET CITISTREET	COMPUTER NETWORK	DE BOOM, NATHAN
Num	14768 1989	1 4769 0023230253	14770 12/16 Board Mtg	14771 12/02 Admin Mtg 12/10 CDA Conf Call 12/16 Advisory Comm 12/23 Admin Mtg	14772 12/25/2010	14773 80063 73514 79512 79835 80046 80147 78955 79518 79218 79218 79218	14774
Date	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/15/2010	01/06/2011 12/02/2010 12/10/2010 12/16/2010 12/123/2010	01/05/2011 12/25/2010	01/05/2011 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010	01/05/2011
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTA <u>.</u>	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill Bill TOTAL	6 - Bill Pmt -Check General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bil	Bill Pmt -Check

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of January 1, 2011 - January 25, 2011

- I	Date 12/31/2010	Num 10/14 Ag Pool Mtg	Мате	Memo 10/14/10 Ag Pool Meeting	Account 8411 · Compensation	Paid Amount 25.00
				AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
12/31/2010		11/04 Ag Pool Mtg		11/04/10 Ag Pool Meeting AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8411 · Compensation 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	25.00 100.00
12/31/2010		12/21 Restated Judgm		12/21/10 Restated Judgment Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
12/31/2010		12/09 Ag Pool Mtg		AG Pool Member weeting Compensation 12/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	6470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
-						500.00
01/05/2011		14775	DIRECTV	019447404	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
01/03/2011		019447404		Monthly Service for 12/19/10-1/18/11	6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	83.99
						60,88
01/05/2011		14776	DURRINGTON, GLEN		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
12/31/2010		10/14 Ag Pool Mtg		10/14/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25.00
				AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting AttendSpecial	100.00
12/31/2010		11/04 Ag Pool Mtg		11/04/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 - Compensation	25.00
				AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100,00
						00:007
01/05/2011		14777	FEENSTRA, BOB		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
12/31/2010		10/14 Ag Pool Mtg		10/14/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
12/31/2010		10/21 Advisory Comm		10/21/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
12/31/2010		10/28 Board Mtg		10/28/10 Board Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend - Special	125.00
12/31/2010		11/04 Ag Pool Mtg		11/04/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
12/31/2010		12/09 Ag Pool Mtg		12/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
12/31/2010		12/16 Board Mtg		12/16/10 Board Meeting	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
12/31/2010		12/21 Restated Judgm		12/21/10 Restated Judgment Meeting	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	125.00
						875.00
01/05/2011		14778	HAUGHEY, TOM	12/16/10 Board Meeting	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
12/16/2010		12/16 Board Mtg		12/16/10 Board Meeting	6311 · Board Member Compensation	125.00
						125.00
01/05/2011		14779	HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	7003730910002744	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
12/31/2010		7003730910002744		misc. office supplies and meeting supplies	6031.7 . Other Office Supplies	573.63
01/05/2011		14780	HUITSING, JOHN		1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg	
12/31/2010		12/09 Ag Pool Mtg		12/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25.00
		•	-	Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00
12/31/2010		12/21 Restated Judgm		12/21/10 Restated Judgment Meeting	8411 · Compensation	25.00
				Ag Pool Member Compensation	8470 - Ag Meeting Attend -Special	100.00

Paid Amount 250.00	400.98	250.00	25.00 100.00 25.00 100.00	125.00	141.00	56.72	4,650.00	404.93	125.00 125.00 125.00 125.00
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8456 · IEUA Readyness To Serve	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 8411 · Compensation 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special 8411 · Compensation 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6026 · Security services	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.1 - Park Place Comp Solutn	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6012 · Payroll Services	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8470 · Ag Weeting Attend -Special 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special 8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special
Мето	90006768 90006768	9263 service call for Direct TV satellite	11/04/10 Ag Pool Meeting AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation 12/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting AG Pool Member Meeting Compensation	12/16/2010 Board Meeting 12/16/2010 Board Meeting	294105 Building monitoring service for 1/01/11-3/31/11	545121271001 paper towel rolls for restrooms, file folders	446 IT Services - December 2010	2010122300 Payroll processing charges - December 2010	10/14/10 Ag Pool Meeting 10/21/10 Advisory Committee Meeting 10/28/10 Board Meeting 11/04/10 Ag Pool Meeting 12/09/10 Ag Pool Meeting
Name	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	JUST IN TIME COMMUNICATIONS	Koopman, gene	KUHN, BOB	MIJAC ALARM	OFFICE DEPOT	PARK PLACE COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.	РАҮСНЕХ	PIERSON, JEFFREY
Num	14781 90006768	14782 9253	14783 11/04 Ag Pooi Mtg 12/09 Ag Pool Mtg	14784 12/16 Board Mtg	1 4785 294105	1 4786 545121271001	14787 446	1 4788 2010122300	14789 10/14 Ag Pool Mtg 10/21 Advisory Comm 10/28 Board Mtg 11/04 Ag Pool Mtg 12/09 Ag Pool Mtg
Date	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/14/2010	0 1/ 06/201 1 12/31/2010 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/16/2010	01/05/2011 01/01/2011	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TUTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt .Check Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill

Num Name 12/16 Advisory Comm
12/16 Board Mtg
14790 PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
14791 PUMP CHECK
4082
4128
800980900168851
14793 SPAM SOAP, INC
_
14794 STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE
8017352816
14795 UNION 76
686
14796 VANDEN HEUVEL, GEOFFREY
12/03 Settlmnt Call
12/10 CDA Conf Call
12/16 Board Mtg
12/17 CDA Conf Cail
14797 VANDEN HEUVEL, ROB
10/14 Ag Pool Mtg
11/04 Ag Pool Mtg
12/09 Ag Pool Mtg

Paid Amount	100.00	200.00	157.38 425.76 583.14	52.93	125,00	125.00 125.00 125.00 375.00	125.00 125.00	142.88	1,564.14	314.00	514.80
Account	8470 · Ag Meeting Attend -Special	8470 . Ag Weeding Attend -Special	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 7405 · PE4-Other Expense 6022 · Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6311 - Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 · Bank of America Gen'I Ckg 6311 · Board Member Compensation	1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6024 • Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60183 · Worker's Comp Insurance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6024 · Building Repair & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182 · Health Benefits
Мето	AG Pool Member Compensation 12/21/10 Restated Judgment Meeting	AG Pool Member Compensation	012561121521714508 012519116950792103	00-101789-0001 Vision Insurance Premiums - January 2011	12/03/10 Draft Settlement Conference Call 12/03/10 Draft Settlement Conference Call	12/03/10 Draft Settlement Conference Call 12/15/10 Administrative Meeting 12/16/10 Advisory Committee Meeting	12/16/2010 Board Meeting 12/16/2010 Board Meeting	08-K2 213849 Disposal Service for Jan. 2011	1615535-10 1615535-10	110501 office window cleaning - inside and outside	59.3034 Fourth Level 1959 Survivor Benefits
Name			VERIZON	VISION SERVICE PLAN	WHITEHEAD, MICHAEL	WILLIS, KENNETH	YOUNG, ROBERT	YUKON DISPOSAL SERVICE	STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND	WATSON WINDOW WASHING	CALPERS
Num	12/21 Restated Judgm		14798 012561121521714508 012519116950792103	14799 001017890001	14800 12/03 Settimnt Gall	14801 12/03 Settimut Conf 12/15 Admin Mtg 12/16 Advisory Comm	14802 12/16 Board Mtg	14803 08-k2 213849	14804 1615535-10	14805	14806 59-3034
Date	12/31/2010		01/05/2011 12/31/2010 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/31/2010	01/05/2011 12/03/2010	01/05/2011 12/03/2010 12/15/2010 12/16/2010	01/05/2011 12/16/2010	01/05/2011 01/05/2011	01/05/2011 01/05/2011	01/05/2011 01/05/2011	01/10/2011 01/06/2011
Туре	IIIG	TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill Bill Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of January 1, 2011 - January 25, 2011

Paid Amount		6,805,63	765.00 765.00	2,730.00	400.00	2,814,41 216.93 209.44 3,240.78	103,482.64	478,091.63 478,091.63	74,426.53 74,426.53	166,887.13 166,887.13	
Account	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 8567 - Non-Ag Legal Service	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6052.3 · Website Consulting	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 7102.8 · In-line Meter-Calib & Test	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6043.1 · Ricoh Lease Fee	1012 - Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6043.1 - Ricoh Lease Fee 6043.2 - Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee 8043.2 - Ricoh Usage & Maintenance Fee	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg
Мето	VOID: 10444071	1942411 Non-Ag Legai Services	220 Website Services - December 2010	4136 4136	35470-CZZ11 return authorization fee #35470-CZZ11	10444071 monthly lease of coplers Usage for Black Copies Usage for Color Copies	2nd of 4 annual pymnts∹initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Waler	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010
Name	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	HOGAN LOVELLS	JAMES JOHNSTON	PUMP CHECK	BANC OF AMERICA LEASING	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	AMERON	AQUA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LP	AUTO CLUB SPEEDWAY	CSI	GENON WEST LP
Num	14807	14808 1942411	14809 220	14810 4136	1 4811 35470-CZZ11	14812 10444071	14813	14814	14815	14816	14817
Date	01/10/2011	01/10/2011 12/31/2010	01/10/2011 12/31/2010	01/10/2011 12/31/2010	01/10/2011	01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011
Type	Bill Pmt -Check TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check

Paid Amount 317,570.53 317,570.53	138,847.72	719,613.83 719,613.83	235,583.08 235,583.08	9,712.77	11,219.92	7.91	100.00	28.06	9,415.59 23,937.66 33,353.25	123.79 135.86
Account 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 5105 · Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 60182.2 · Dental & Vision Ins	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1014 · Bank of America P/R Ckg	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1409 · Prepaid Life, BAD&D & LTD 60191 · Lífe & Disab.Ins Benefits
Memo Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annual pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	2nd of 4 annuai pymnts-initial pymnt Jan. 2010 Purchase of Non-Ag Pool Water	3498257 Dental Insurance Premiums - January 2011	Truck washing service truck washing 4 trucks	002483 Dental Insurance Premium - January 2011	Payroll and Taxes for 12/26/10-01/08/11 Payroll Taxes for 12/26/10-01/08/11 Direct Deposits for 12/26/10-01/08/11	Prepayment - January 2011 December 2010
Name	MOBILE COMMUNITY MGMT	ONTARIO, CITY OF*	PRAXAIR .	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY - DEPT, AIRPORTS	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY	SAFEGUARD DENTAL & VISION	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	WESTERN DENTAL SERVICES, INC.	Payroll and Taxes for 12/26/10-01/08/11	ACWA SERVICES CORPORATION
Num	14818	14819	14820	14821.	14822	1 4823 3498257	14824	14825 002483	01/14/2011	14826 00198
Date 01/10/2011	01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011 01/10/2011	01/10/2011	0 1/1 2/201 1 01/10/2011	01/12/2011 01/10/2011	01/12/2011 01/10/2011	01/14/2011	01/19/2011 12/31/2010
Type Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill Pmt-Check FOR Bill	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check Bill

Paid Amount	395.12 357.40 411.76 18.00 99.00	2,421.45	4,750.00 4,750.00 9,500.00	5,866.86	1,973.12	5,844,00	210.51	1,554.00 1,066.00 488.00 2,042.00 1,554.00 6,704.00	551.37 551.37	77.70
Account	6141.3 - Admin Meetings 6191 - Conferences - General 6312 - Meeting Expenses 6191 - Conferences - General 6031.7 - Other Office Supplies 6312 - Meeting Expenses		1012 • Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6111 • Membership Dues 1433 • Prepaid Membership Dues	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.1 · Medical Insurance	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 2000 • Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 1422 · Prepaid Rent	1012 • Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6031.7 • Other Office Supplies	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs 7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs 7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs 7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs 7103.5 · Grdwfr Qual-Lab Svcs	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6044 · Postage Meter Lease	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60194 · Other Employee insurance
Мето	Christmas luncheon for staff air fare-Ken Manning-Lunch Roundtable on Delta December Board luncheon airport parking for K. Manning annual software ilcense Ken Manning unch with Roard member		2011 Membership Dues 2011 Membership Dues-Jan/Jun 2011 Membership Dues-Jul/Dec	17741 Medical Insurance Premiums - February 2011	Payroll and Taxes for 12/26/10-01/08/11 457 Employee Deductions for 12/26/10-01/08/11	Lease Due Feb. 1, 2011 Office Lease Due February 1, 2011	55424726 1099 forms/envelopes, double window envelopes	L0045025 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs L0045822 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs L0045823 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs L0045820 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs L0043539 - Grdwtr Qual-Lab Svcs	6684246 lease charges for postage meter	111802 Employee Services - January 2011
Name			CALIFORNIA GROUNDWATER COALITION	CALPERS	CITISTREET CITISTREET	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	DELUXE BUSINESS FORMS & SUPPLIES	MWH LABORATORIES	PITNEY BOWES CREDIT CORPORATION	PRE-PAID LEGAL SERVICES, INC.
Num			14836	14837 1741	14838 01/14/2011	14839	14840 55424726	14841 L0045025 L0045822 L0045820 L0045820	14842 6684246	14843 111802
Date			0 1/ 20/20 11 01/19/2011	01/20/2011 01/19/2011	01/20/2011 01/14/2011	01/20/2011 01/19/2011	01/20/2011 01/19/2011	01/20/2011 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010	01/20/2011 01/19/2011	01/20/2011 01/19/2011
Туре		TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check General Journal	Bill Pmt-Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Cash Disbursements For The Month of January 1, 2011 - January 25, 2011

Paid Amount 77.70	14.95 346.15 57.20 445.73 18.14 18.14	6,925.15	1,300.00	136.61	1,635.32	786.46 786.46	100.00	11,888.82 592.20 1,790.55 12,585.80	90.000 909.00 30,836.97
Account	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6022 · Telephone 7305 · PE3&5-Suppiles 6909.1 · OBMP Meetings 8512 · Meeting Expenses 8312 · Meeting Expenses 8412 · Meeting Expenses	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 2000 · Accounts Payable	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6061.3 · Rauch	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 60182.4 · Retiree Medical	1012 · Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 6053 · Internet Expense	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6022 · Telephone	1012 · Bank of America Gen'l Ckg 6177 · Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance	6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel 6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel 6907.31 · Ontario Airport Plume 6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume 6907.33 · Desalter Negotiations	6907.36 · Paragrapn 31 Moton 6907.36 · Santa Ana River Habitat
Мето	monthly fee CDA calls on 12/08, 12/10, 12/16 and 12/22 12/03 call for draft settlement conference 12/02 Non-Ag Pool Meeting, 12/23 Agenda call 12/23 Appropriative Pool agenda call 12/23 Ag Pool agenda call	Payor #3493 CaPERS for 12/26/10-01/08/11	Jan-1102 Progressive billing for annual report	Company portion of retiree medical premium	64261896 64261896	0938649613 monthly service + replacement blackberry	Truck washing service truck washing 4 trucks	438447 - WM Legal Counsel 438448 - Ontario Airport Plume 438449 - Chino Airport Plume 438450 - Desalter Negotiations	438451 - Paragraph 31 Motion 438452 - Santa Ana River Habitat
Мате	PREMIERE GLOBAL SERVICES	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM	RAUCH COMMUNICATION CONSULTANTS, LLC Jan-1102 Progressi	STAULA, MARY L	VERIZON BUSINESS	VERIZON WIRELESS	W.C. DISCOUNT MOBILE AUTO DETAILING	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK	
ωnN	14844 05579145	14845 01/14/2011	14646 Jan-1102	14847	14848 64261896	14849 0938649613	14850	14851 438447 438448 438449 438450	438451 438452
Date	01/20/2011	01/14/2011	01/20/201 1 01/19/2011	01/20/2011 01/31/2011	01/20/2011 01/19/2013	01/20/2011	01/20/2011 01/19/2011	01/25/2011 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010 12/31/2010	12/31/2010
Type TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill	Bill Pmt -Check General Journal TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt .Check Bill Pmt .Check	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	Bill Pmt -Check Bill Bill Bill	Bill Bill . TOTAL

Paid Amount	171,158.01	3,678.00 450.00 3,860.00 6,277.50 7,105.00 1,168.00 281.25 2,850.00 412.50 7,008.00 6,281.25 16.03 27.15 17.00 27.15 17.00 27.15 17.00 27.15 17.00 281.25 62.50 4,676.25 800.00 645.00 4,761.00 8,225.04 4,00.00 4,761.00 8,225.04	
Account	1012 - Bank of America Gen'i Ckg 7206 - Comp Recharge-O&M	1012 - Bank of America Gen'I Ckg 6906 - OBMP Engineering Services 7103.3 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 7103.3 - Grdwtr Qual-Engineering 7104.3 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 7104.3 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 7104.3 - Grdwtr Level-Engineering 7107.2 - Grd Level-Engineering 7107.2 - Grd Level-Engineering 7107.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 7108.3 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 7108.2 - PE6&7-Engineering	
Memo	90006916 groundwater recharge O&M - 3rd quarter	2010784 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010785 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010786 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010787 - OBMP Engineering Services 2010789 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010790 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010791 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010792 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010793 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010793 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010794 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010795 - Grdwt Qual-Engineering 2010796 - Grd Level-Engineering 2010799 - Grd Level-Engineering 2010799 - Grd Level-Engineering 2010799 - Grd Level-Engineering 2010790 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010802 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010803 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010806 - Grd Level-Contract Svcs 2010807 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010808 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010808 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010801 - Hydraulic Control-Engineering 2010811 - Recharge & Well - Engineering 2010811 - Recharge & Well - Engineering 2010811 - PES&5-Engineering 2010813 - PE6&7-Engineering	
Name	INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY	WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC	
Num	1 4852 90006916	14863 2010784 2010786 2010786 2010788 2010788 2010789 2010791 2010797	
Date	01/25/2011 01/19/2011	01/26/2011 12/31/2010	
Type	Bill Pmt -Check Bill TOTAL	P107	



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

IV. <u>INFORMATION</u>

2. Semi Annual Report July through December 2010



Staff Status Report 2010-2: July to December 2010



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

Optimum Basin Management Program

Highlighted Activities

- The December storms brought enough rains to fill all of the recharge basins. The total rainfall captured in December alone was over 7,200 acre-feet (AF). This was the a record month for rainfall and recharge.
- Watermaster is participating in the Watershed Action Plan (WAP). The WAP group is working on the new MS-4 stormwater permit implementation for San Bernardino County. One of the watershed principles is the retention of stormwater and infiltration on-site and the potential participation in a regional solution to improve water supply and quality.
- Watermaster is in the process of preparing the restated Judgment at the request of the Court.
 Watermaster made the current version available to the parties for their review and comment via the website.
- The transfer of three Alcoa Monitoring Wells to Watermaster has been finalized. This is part of an on-going effort to preserve the wells for the regional groundwater monitoring program.
- The proposed Chino Creek Well Field locations for Wells I-16 and I-18 by the Chino Desalter Authority (CDA) have been approved by Watermaster. Perforations will in the shallow aquifer to

achieve hydraulic control and will not conflict with the Optimum Basin Management Plan (OBMP) goal to minimize or abate permanent subsidence.

• Watermaster and the Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) are working together for the creation of a 5th retention facility at the Turner Basin. Up to 175,000 cubic yards of dirt are anticipated to be removed for the Milliken Avenue Grade Separation Project funded by the City of Ontario and the San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG). This represents a savings of approximately \$4.5 million.



December Storm at Victoria Basin

Program Element 1: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Monitoring Program

Groundwater Level Monitoring

Watermaster initiated a comprehensive monitoring program as part of the implementation of the OBMP. The current groundwater level monitoring program is comprised of about 700 wells. At about 200 of these wells, water levels are measured by well owners, which include municipal water agencies, the California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC), the County of San Bernardino, and various private consulting firms. The measurement frequency is typically about once per month. Watermaster collects these water level data quarterly. The remaining 500 wells are private wells or dedicated monitoring wells that are mainly located in the southern portion of the Chino Basin. Watermaster staff measures water levels at these wells using manual methods once per month or with

Important Court hearings and orders

- SEPTEMBER 20—COURT OF APPEAL ORDER RE NON-AG POOL PARAGRAPH 31 MOTION OF APPEAL
- OCTOBER 8—
 WATERMASTER COURT
 HEARING, NON-AG POOL
 MOTION RE POOLING
 PLAN AMENDMENTS &
 RECHARGE MASTER PLAN
 UPDATE
- OCTOBER 11—ORDER
 APPROVING
 WATERMASTER'S
 COMPLIANCE WITH
 CONDITION SUBSEQUENT
 NUMBER 8 AND
 APPROVING PROCEDUERES
 TO BE USED TO ALLOCATE
 SURPLUS AG POOL WATER
 IN THE EVENT OF A
 DECLINE IN SAFE YIELD
- NOVEMBER 29—COURT
 OF APPEAL ORDER RE
 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
 PROGRAM
- DECEMBER 6—COURT OF APPEAL ORDER SETTING TIME AND ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENTS RE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Program Element 1: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Monitoring Program (Continued)

WINTER STORMS
LEFT MANY OF
US WITH THE
MISPERCEPTION
THAT SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA'S
RESERVOIRS
WERE FILLED THIS
WINTER.

pressure transducers that record water levels once every 15 minutes. The wells in the monitoring program within the southern portion of the Basin were preferentially selected to assist in Watermaster's monitoring programs for hydraulic control, land subsidence, and desalter impacts to private well owners. The remaining wells are monitored in support of the triennial recomputation of ambient water quality in the Chino-North management zone. The water level data are checked by Watermaster staff and uploaded to a centralized relational database.

Groundwater Quality Monitoring

Watermaster initiated a comprehensive monitoring program as part of the implementation of the OBMP. The groundwater quality monitoring program consists of the following four components:

- 1. An Annual Key Well Water Quality Monitoring Program consisting of 120 wells which are mostly privately owned agricultural wells in the southern portion of Chino Basin that are otherwise not included in an established sampling program. Twenty of these wells are sampled every year; the remaining wells are sampled every three years. The wells sampled annually are for the continuous monitoring of areas of concern associated with the southern edge of the OIA VOC plume, the southern region of the Chino Airport Plume, and the Kaiser Steel Plume which includes the two multi-port MZ-3 monitoring wells. Data obtained for the Key Well Quality Monitoring Program are used for the triennial ambient water quality analysis, hydraulic control assessment, the Biennial State of the Basin Report, and to assess the overall health of the Basin.
- Annual sampling at nine HCMP multi-port monitoring wells strategically placed between the Chino Basin Desalter well fields and the Santa Ana River. Results of the annual sampling are used to analyze the effect of desalter pumping over time by comparing water quality of the native groundwater and the Santa Ana River.
- 3. Monthly sampling at four near-river wells to characterize the Santa Ana River's influence to nearby groundwater. These shallow monitoring wells along the Santa Ana River consist of two former United States Geologic Survey (USGS) National Water Quality Assessment Program (NAWQA) wells (Archibald 1 and Archibald 2), and two wells (Well 9 and Well 11) owned by the Santa Ana River Water Company (SARWC).



(CBDC) program which relies on municipal producers and other government agencies to supply groundwater quality data on a cooperative basis. These sources include the Appropriators, Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC), Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), US Geological Survey (USGS), the Counties, and other cooperators. All water quality data are routinely collected, QA/QC'd, and loaded into Watermaster's relational database.

Groundwater-Production Monitoring

All active wells (except for minimum user wells) are now metered. Watermaster reads the agricultural production data from the meters on a quarterly basis and enters these data into Watermaster's relational database.

Surface Water Monitoring

Water Quality and Quantity in Recharge Basins. Watermaster measures the quantity of storm and supplemental water entering the recharge



Watermaster field staff collecting samples for water quality monitoring

Program Element 1: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Monitoring Program (Continued)

basins. Pressure transducers or staff gauges are used to measure water levels during recharge operations. In addition to these quantity measurements, imported water quality data for State Water Project water are obtained from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWDSC) and recycled water quality data for the RP-1 and RP-4 treatment plant effluents are obtained from IEUA. Combining the measured flow data with the respective water qualities enables the calculation of the blended water quality in each recharge basin, the "new yield" to the Chino Basin, and the adequate dilution of recycled water.

Surface Water Monitoring in the Santa Ana River (SAR). Watermaster measures the discharge of the River and selected water quality parameters to determine those reaches of the SAR that are gaining flow from the Chino Basin and/or, conversely, those reaches that are losing flow into the Chino Basin. These bi-weekly flow and water quality measurements are combined with discharge data from permanent USGS stream gauges and discharge data from publicly owned treatment works (POTWs). These data are used along with groundwater modeling to assess the extent of hydraulic control.

HCMP Annual Report

In January 2004, the RWQCB amended the Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the Santa Ana River Basin to incorporate an updated total dissolved solids (TDS) and nitrogen (N) management plan. The Basin Plan Amendment includes both "antidegradation" and "maximum benefit" objectives for TDS and nitrate-nitrogen for the Chino and Cucamonga groundwater management zones. The application of the "maximum benefit" objectives relies on Watermaster and IEUA's implementation of a specific program of projects and requirements, which are an integral part of the OBMP. On April 15, 2005, the RWQCB adopted resolution R8-2005-0064; thus approving the Surface Water Monitoring Program and Groundwater Monitoring Program in support of maximum benefit commitments in the Chino and Cucamonga Basins.

Pursuant to the Basin Plan and the Watermaster/IEUA permit to recharge recycled water, Watermaster and IEUA have conducted groundwater and surface water monitoring programs since 2004. During this reporting period, Watermaster measured 414 manual water levels at private wells throughout the Chino Basin, conducted two quarterly downloads at the 43 wells containing pressure transducers, collected 49 groundwater quality samples, 154 surface water quality samples, and 40 direct discharge stream measurements. Quarterly Surface Water Monitoring Program Reports that summarize data collection efforts were submitted to the RWQCB in July and October of 2010.



Santa Ana Sucker Habitat Survey

Chino Basin Groundwater Recharge Program

IEUA, Watermaster, CBWCD, and the SBCFCD jointly sponsor the Chino Basin Groundwater Recharge Program. This is a comprehensive water supply program to enhance water supply reliability and improve the groundwater quality in local drinking water wells throughout the Chino Basin by increasing the recharge of storm water, imported water, and recycled water. The recharge program is regulated under RWQCB Order No. R8-2007-0039 and Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R8-2007-0039.

Recharge Activities. On-going recycled water recharge occurred in the Brooks, 8th Street, Hickory, Turner, RP-3, and Ely Basins this reporting period.

Program Element 1: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Monitoring Program (Continued)

Monitoring Activities. Watermaster and IEUA collect weekly water quality samples from basins that are actively recharging recycled water and from lysimeters installed within those basins. During this reporting period, approximately 271 basin and lysimeter samples were collected and two recycled water samples were collected for alternative monitoring plans that include the application of a correction factor for Soil-Aquifer Treatment determined from each basin's start-up period. Monitoring wells located down-gradient of the recharge basins were sampled quarterly at a minimum, however, some monitoring wells were sampled more frequently during the reporting period for a total of 108 samples.

Reporting. Watermaster and IEUA completed the following required reports concerning the recharge program during the reporting period:

- 2Q-2010 Quarterly Report, submitted to the RWQCB August 2010
- 3Q-2010 Quarterly Report, submitted to the RWQCB November 2010

Land Surface Monitoring

The MZ-1 Subsidence Management Plan (MZ-1 Plan) was approved by Watermaster in October 2007, and was approved by the Court in November 2007 which ordered its implementation (see Program Element 4: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan for Management Zone 1). The MZ-1 Plan calls for a number of activities with the goal of minimizing or completely abating the future occurrence of land subsidence and ground fissuring in Chino Basin. Some of these activities include:

- Continuing the scope and frequency of monitoring within the so-called Managed Area (southwest MZ-1) that was conducted during the period when the MZ-1 Plan was being developed.
- Expanding the monitoring of the aquifer system and land subsidence into other areas of MZ-1 and Chino Basin where the data indicate concern for future subsidence and ground fissuring.
- Monitoring of horizontal strain across the historical fissure zone.
- Further evaluating the potential contribution of pumping in the central and northern portions of MZ-1 on groundwater conditions in the central and southern portions of MZ-1.
- Conducting additional testing and monitoring to refine the Guidance Criteria.
- Developing alternative pumping plans for the MZ-1 producers that are impacted by the MZ-1 Plan.
- Constructing and testing a lower-cost extensometer facility at Ayala Park.
- Evaluating and comparing ground-level surveying and Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR), and recommending future monitoring protocols for both techniques.
- Conducting an ASR (aquifer injection and recovery) feasibility study at a production well owned by the City of Chino Hills within the Managed Area.
- Providing for recovery of groundwater levels.

With regard to monitoring and testing, Watermaster began or continued the implementation of some of these activities called for in the MZ-1 Plan. During this reporting period these activities included:

 The continuation of detailed water-level monitoring at wells within the Managed Area and at wells in central MZ-1. Replacement of water-level-recording transducers at wells



MZ-1 Monument Construction & Surveying

Page 4

Program Element 1: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Monitoring Program (Continued)

where these transducers have failed after about seven years of reliable service.


- Continuation of monitoring and maintenance at the Ayala Park Extensometer Facility. This includes
 monitoring at the newly installed lower-cost pair of cable extensometers within two piezometers at Ayala
 Park to test this technology for possible application in other parts of the Basin.
- Established a continuous internet connection to the Ayala Park Extensometer facility to facilitate a "live" data read from the PA-7 piezometer on Watermaster's website.
- Collected InSAR data from radar satellites during all six months of the reporting period, which will be analyzed for land surface displacement in early 2011.
- Performed the Fall 2010 ground-level survey across the MZ-1 Managed Area.
- Began to develop a new testing and monitoring program within and east of the Managed Area with the goals
 of (1) refining the Guidance Criteria, (2) confirming the existence of the Riley Barrier, (3) testing the feasibility
 of injection in the Managed Area, (4) better understanding the potential for subsidence and fissuring east of
 the Managed Area, and (5) evaluating the effect of pumping and drawdown on the fissure zone.
- Installed new survey monuments across the historical zone of ground fissuring in the summer of 2010.
- Assisted the City of Chino Hills to perform a three day pumping test at their Well 18. Before, during, and after
 the test, Watermaster collected vertical and horizontal strain data across the historical zone of ground fissuring.
 These data are being used to locate areas to install high-resolution, horizontal strain measuring devices across
 the fissure zone to monitoring land-surface deformation during future controlled and uncontrolled pumping in
 this area.

Since 2007, after considerable monitoring activities, it was determined that the land subsidence is not just isolated to MZ-1. Hence, the Board approved the formation of the Land Subsidence Committee in December 2010 and its first meeting is scheduled in January 2011.

Program Element 2: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Recharge Program

The theoretical average stormwater recharge capacity of the Chino Basin Facilities Improvement Program (CBFIP) facilities is about 14,000 acre-feet/yr (AFY) and the theoretical supplemental water recharge capacity is 99,000 AFY. Stormwater recharge in the second half of year ending December 31, 2010 was about 9,599 acre-feet. There was no delivery of replenishment water from the MWDSC during this reporting period. Recycled water recharge during this period were about 4,300 acre-feet. The IEUA and the Watermaster recharge permit was amended in fiscal year 2009-10 to allow for underflow dilution and extended the dilution period from a running 60 months to a running 120 months. The significance of this permit amendment was to reduce the amount of imported and storm waters required for dilution. IEUA projects that dilution requirements will likely be met through 2019-20. even if no imported water are available for dilution.

The cumulative unmet replenishment obligation (CURO) was about 8,889 acre-ft on December 31, 2010. The total



Page 5

Program Element 2: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Recharge Program (Continued)

amount of supplemental water recharged in MZ1 since 2005 was over 53,000 acre-feet exceeding the average annual requirement of 6,500 acre-feet.

As part of the Recharge Master Plan Implementation, MZ-3 recharge opportunities were discussed. The projects include Wineville Basin spillway and pipeline and pump station to Jurupa Basin, Jurupa Basin and RP-3 Inlet improvements. The Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District is potentially looking to participate in the funding of these projects that would have a direct benefit to their service area.

Program Element 3: Develop and Implement Water Supply Plan for the Impaired Areas of the Basin; and Program Element 5: Develop and Implement Regional Supplemental Water Program

Construction of the Chino I Desalter Expansion and the Chino II Desalter facilities was completed in February 2006. As currently configured, the Chino I Desalter provides 2.6 million gallons per day (MGD) of treated (air stripping for VOC removal) water from Wells Nos. 1-4, 4.9 MGD of treated (ion exchange for nitrate removal) water from Well Nos. 5-15, and 6.7 MGD of treated (reverse osmosis for nitrate and TDS removal) water from Wells Nos. 5-15 for a total of 14.2 MGD (15,900 AFY). The Chino II Desalter provides 4.0 MGD of ion exchange treated water and 6.0 MGD of reverse osmosis treated water from eight additional wells for a total of 10.0 MGD (11,200 AFY).

During the reporting period, negotiations continued between the Chino Desalter Authority (CDA) and Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) to allow WMWD to join the CDA and to expand the Chino II Desalter by 10.5 MGD (11,800 AFY). The CDA approved WMWD membership in November 2008. Planning and engineering investigations related to the expansion are ongoing. Watermaster worked with the CDA parties to produce a realistic schedule approved by the RWQCB in June. Raw water will be drawn from existing CDA II wells, and possible additional new wells, if needed. In addition, a new Chino Creek Desalter Well Field, required for the hydraulic control commitment associated with Maximum Benefit, will provide additional raw water to the Chino I Desalter, enabling some existing wells to direct production to the expanded Chino II Desalter facility, if approved by the Chino Basin Desalter Authority.

Program Element 4: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan for Management Zone 1 and Management Zone 3

MZ-1 Management Plan

Because of the historical occurrence of pumping-induced land subsidence and ground fissuring in southwestern Chino Basin (southern MZ-1), the OBMP called for the development and implementation of an Interim Management Plan (IMP) for MZ-1 that would:

- Minimize subsidence and fissuring in the short-term,
- Collect information necessary to understand the extent, rate, and mechanisms of subsidence and fissuring, and
- Formulate a management plan to reduce to tolerable levels or abate future subsidence and fissuring.

From 2001-2005, Watermaster developed, coordinated, and conducted an Interim Monitoring Program (IMP) under the guidance of the MZ-1 Technical Committee, which is composed of representatives from all major MZ-1 producers and their technical consultants. The IMP was an aquifer-system and land subsidence investigation focused in the southwestern region of MZ-1 that would support the development of a long-term management plan to minimize and abate subsidence and fissuring (MZ-1 Plan). The IMP involved the construction of highly-sophisticated monitoring facilities, such as deep borehole extensometers and piezometers, the monitoring of land surface displacements through traditional ground-level surveys and remote-sensing techniques, the detailed monitoring of the aquifer system with water-level-recording transducers installed at an array of production and monitoring wells, and the purposeful stressing of the aquifer system through multiple controlled pumping tests.

The investigation methods, results, and conclusions are described in detail in the MZ-1 Summary Report, dated February 2006. The investigation provided enough information for Watermaster to develop Guidance Criteria for

Page 6

Program Element 4: Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan for Management Zone 1 and Management Zone 3 (Continued)

the MZ-1 producers in the investigation area that, if followed, would minimize the potential for subsidence and fissuring during the completion of the MZ-1 Plan. The Guidance Criteria included a listing of Managed Wells and their owners subject to the criteria, a map of the so-called Managed Area, and an initial threshold water level (Guidance Level) of 245 feet below the top of the PA-7 well casing. The MZ-1 Summary Report and the Guidance Criteria were adopted by the Watermaster Board in May 2006. The Guidance Criteria formed the basis for the MZ-1 Plan, which was approved by Watermaster in October 2007. The Court approved the MZ-1 Plan in November 2007 and ordered its implementation.

During this reporting period, Watermaster continued implementation of the MZ-1 Plan. Drawdown at the PA-7 piezometer did not fall below the Guidance Level during the reporting period, and very little, if any permanent compaction was recorded at the Ayala Park Extensometer. The ongoing monitoring program called for by the MZ-1 Plan continues to be implemented.

Program Element 6: Develop and Implement Cooperative Programs with the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (Regional Board) and Other Agencies to Improve Basin Management; and Program Element 7: Develop and Implement a Salt Management Program

Water Quality Committee Meetings

Watermaster hosted a Water Quality Committee meeting at Watermaster's offices on October 21, 2010. At the meeting, the following topics were discussed: (i) OIA VOC Plume; (ii) Chino Airport VOC Plume: (iii) Technical Advisory Committee for the ESTCP Group; (iv) CIM Monitoring Well Status; and (v) Basin Plan Amendment for HCMP.

Ontario International Airport

Watermaster continued to negotiate with the potentially responsible parties (PRPs) associated with the Ontario International Airport (OIA). The PRPs have formed a group called ABGL (Aerojet, Boeing, GE, and Lockheed). Watermaster participated in meetings with ABGL and their consultants, counsel, the Regional Board, and CDA in order to find common ground for a joint remedy for desalting and plum mitigation. Watermaster provided an updated water level and water quality database to ABGL. Watermaster uploaded the results of the June 2010 round of split samples to its database from ABGL's 12 new monitoring wells. The data were analyzed for consistency and laboratory quality control. Relative percent differences (RRDs) were calculated and showed the results to be consistent.

Chino Airport

Watermaster continued to negotiate with the County of San Bernardino, Department of Airports (County) in order to find common ground for a joint remedy for desalting and plume mitigation. Watermaster coordinated with the County and exchanged pertinent well, water level, and water quality for wells that the County has installed and for wells that Watermaster samples. Watermaster continued to pursue an alternate well location for one of the County's monitoring wells. This alternate location would still meet the County's needs, while saving Watermaster parties the cost of a new monitoring well for the HCMP. Ultimately, the County decided to retain their original well site location.

California Institute for Men

Watermaster continued to coordinate with the State on a memorandum of understanding that would allow Watermaster to continue to monitor a subset of wells on CIM.

ESTCP Study

Watermaster is participating on the Technical Advisory Committee on a study of perchlorate isotopes in the Rialto-Colton and MZ-3 Management Zones. The project is to be funded by the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP), which is a Department of Defense (DoD) program that promotes innovative, cost-

Program Element 6: Develop and Implement Cooperative Programs with the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (Regional Board) and Other Agencies to Improve Basin Management; and Program Element 7: Develop and Implement a Salt Management Program (Continued)

effective environmental technologies through demonstration and validation at DoD sites. Watermaster attended a TAC meeting and reviewed the Well Selection Memorandum: Evaluation of Perchlorate Sources in the Rialto-Colton-Fontana Area Using Chlorine and Oxygen Stable Isotope Ratio Analysis and Depth Dependent Water Quality Data (SERDP Project ER-0942).

Crown Coach

Watermaster prepared comments on a technical report by AMEC Geomatrix concerning "Effectiveness of Remedial Actions, Former Crown Coach Facility, Chino, California, Regional Board Case Number 08300684T."

Other Water Quality Issues

Watermaster obtained the Alumax Well Completion Reports from the Regional Board and forwarded these to IEUA. These wells are now paret of Watermaster's key well monitoring program.

Program Element 8: Develop and Implement a Groundwater Storage Management Program; and

Program Element 9: Develop and Implement a Storage and Recovery Program

The existing Watermaster/IEUA/MWDSC Dry-Year Yield (DYY) program continued during the reporting period. All DYY program construction projects have been completed and are currently being used for DYY "take", or removal from storage. As of December 31, 2010 there were 2,030 acre-feet in the DYY storage account and this water is projected to be withdrawn during fiscal 2010-11.

In February 2008, the DYY Expansion Project was initiated by IEUA and Watermaster to evaluate increasing the DYY storage account. The purpose of the DYY Expansion Project was to determine the facilities needed to store up to 150,000 acre-feet and to recover up to 50,000 acre-feet/year. The expansion project analysis was completed in December 2008. The expansion project evaluated the technical, financial, and institutional frame work for individual projects to move forward. Negotiations to-date related to actual projects and the amount of expansion have not resulted in any planned expansion projects.

THE DEPARTMENT
OF WATER
RESOURCES
(DWR)
INCREASED ITS
PROJECTED 2011
DELIVERIES OF
STATE WATER
PROJECT (SWP)
TO 60 PERCENT
OF
CONTRACTORS'
REQUESTS.



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

IV. <u>INFORMATION</u>

3. Newspaper Articles



New director Goytia ready to learn about water issues

Wes Woods II, Staff Writer

Created: 01/06/2011 08:59:32 PM PST

CLAREMONT - Carlos Goytia is ready to learn.

The new Three Valleys Municipal Water District director said his goals while serving on the board are simple.

"I've got a lot of reading material to dig into." Goytia said. "I believe I've just barely scratched the surface."

Goytia took the oath of office Wednesday to become Three Valleys' Division I representative.

The Pomona parks and recreation commissioner, city charter commissioner and senior groundsman with the Pomona Unified School District was sworn in to office by Pomona Mayor Elliott Rothman.

Goytia was elected to the seat Nov. 2 after defeating Luis Juarez, who had been appointed in November 2009. Juarez replaced board member Xavier Alvarez, who was sent to prison after being convicted of registering an ex-wife for health benefits with the district.

Goytia said he would need more information when asked what he would do to keep the water district's rates low.

"It would be too premature," he said. "I'm being given the milk, so to speak, as a newborn. They're giving me the milk, and as I grow with Three Valleys Municipal Water District, it won't b e long until I'm being fed the meat and potatoes of what it is. I'm going to take in as much information as possible. Just crash course it."

Three Valleys' board President Bob Kuhn and Vice President David DeJesus pledged their support to Goytia at Wednesday's meeting.

"I don't want you to be a tool,"

DeJesus said in response to a comment Goytia made at the meeting. "I want you to be a mouthpiece. I do believe you can achieve what you want to achieve. I believe you can be a great representative."

Kuhn and DeJesus were re-elected to the board in November.

Directors Frederick "Brian" Bowcock and Joseph T. Ruzicka were also sworn in Wednesday.

Three Valleys Municipal Water District is a public agency that supplements and enhances water supplies in a number of eastern Los Angeles County cities, including Claremont, Diamond Bar, La Verne, Pomona and San Dimas.

Advertisement



SAVE to 64%

Plus, get 3 FREE Gifts



To Order: www.OmahaSteaks.com/print71 or call 1-877-605-0496



THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

San Bernardino council approves sewer treatment rate hike

Josh Dulaney, Staff Writer

Created: 01/11/2011 04:04:16 PM PST

SAN BERNARDINO - Hold onto that dollar.

The City Council voted 4-3 Monday to approve a hike in sewer treatment rates.

Residential customers will see their monthly bills increase by \$1 a month this year and by \$1.50 in 2012.

Stacey Aldstadt, general manager of the Water Department, said the rate increase is needed to help fund roughly \$21 million in improvements brought on by an aging wastewater treatment plant and unfunded regulatory mandates from the state government.

"\$14million is in rehabilitation for very large pieces of equipment that we've had for a long time," Aldstadt said.

The increase is expected to generate an additional \$1.9million in operating revenue this year and an additional \$2.2million next year into the Water Department's Sewer Treatment Enterprise Fund.

Aldstadt said the average residential customer pays about \$20 a month on their sewer treatment bills.

The increase for commercial customers will vary according to consumption and their account classification.

Aldstadt said the revenue can also be used to meet the Water Department's fixed financial obligations during the tough economy and bring the department's sewer treatment debt coverage ratio into compliance with bond covenants that require net revenues to equal 110percent of debt service.

Councilman Chas Kelley said raising rates is the wrong thing to do to residents during a difficult economy.

"I don't believe

it's an appropriate time to be doing this," Kelley

He joined council members Jason Desjardins and Tobin Brinker in opposing the increase.

Councilwoman Wendy McCammack was among those who approved the rate hike.

McCammack said she voted yes because the government has forced cities to fund projects in order to meet new regulations.

"I am not happy any time costs get passed on to the taxpayer, but when the state forces our

Advertisement



SAVE to 64%

Plus, get 3 FREE Gifts



To Order: www.OmahaSteaks.com/print71 or call 1-877-605-0496

Print Powered By [Format Dynamics"

hand, sometimes we have no other option," McCammack said.

Council members Fred Shorett, Virginia Marquez and Rikke Van Johnson also voted for the increase.

The new rates could take effect as early as March

Advertisement



DIRECTV has more of what you want to watch!

Switch today! 1-888-778-0985



Offer ends 2/9/11, Gredit card required (except in MA & PA). New approved customers only (lease required), \$19.95 Handling & Belivery fee may apply. Applicable use tax adjustment may apply on the retail value of the installation. Call for details,

Print Powered By Format Dynamics

State to toughen chromium 6 standard

Jim Steinberg, Staff Writer

Created: 01/04/2011 08:30:35 PM PST

New research documenting that young children are more susceptible than the general population to health risks from exposure to carcinogens has prompted a state agency to toughen its proposed goal for the amount of chromium 6 in drinking water.

The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment now proposes that the state public health goal for chromium 6 in drinking water should be 0.02 parts per billion, instead of 0.06 parts per billion as originally proposed in an August 2009 document.

Residents of Hinkley, a community in San Bernardino County's High Desert, have been dealing with chromium 6 concerns for decades.

The public health goal is based on calculations intended to eliminate cancer risks from chromium 6 over 70 years of drinking tap water every day. That goal will be used as one of several factors in setting the legally enforceable maximum allowable amount.

The public health goal was changed because scientists who reviewed the original document said that its calculations did not sufficiently

account for chromium 6 risks in children and other special risk populations, said Sam Delson, spokesman for the agency.

The 145-page revised draft notes that human stomach acids convert ingested chromium 6 into chromium 3, which is not absorbed into the body.

But infants' stomachs are only modestly acidic for the first days to weeks after birth.

Early-in-life susceptibility to carcinogens has long been recognized

by the scientific community and clinicians as a public health concern.

Additionally, there are a variety conditions which result in reduced gastric acid production

For more than a year, California has been largely alone in wrestling with standards for chromium 6 in water.

Last month, however, following an environmental group's report on chromium 6 in municipal water supplies, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency said it would launch a series of actions to better understand the risks of chromium 6 in water.

The federal government currently has a standard of 100 parts per billion for all chromium in water, a measurement which includes both both chromium 6 and chromium 3.

The California standard for chromium, including

Advertisement



SAVE to 64%

Plus, get
3 FREE Gifts



To Order: www.OmahaSteaks.com/print71 or call 1-877-605-0496

Print Powered By Format Dynamics

both chromium 3 and chromium 6, in water is 50 parts per billion.

Delson said the public health standard is likely to be finalized in mid-2011.

Ken August, spokesman for the California Department of Pubic Health, said at that point another group will begin working to establish the maximum allowable amount of chromium 6 in drinking water.

That process will consider the public health goal, but also costs for removing chromium, the p racticality of detection and other issues, he said.

"By law, the maximum level may be established as close as possible to the public health goal," August said.

While it may take the public health group only six months to develop a recommended allowable amount, it will likely take more than three years to advance past comment periods and other aspects of a lengthy approval process, he said.

"That is good, I am glad to hear it," said Roberta Walker, a longtime resident of the Hinkley, when she heard about the new recommendation for a public health goal.

Hinkley residents won a landmark settlement against utility company Pacific Gas and Electric after chromium 6 was found in the community's drinking water.

Advertisement



DIRECTV has more of what you want to watch!

Switch today! 1-888-778-0985



Offer ends 2/9/11. Credit card required (except in MA & PA). New approved customers only (lease required): \$19.95 Handling & Delivery fee may apply. Applicable use tax adjustment may apply on the retail value of the installation, Call for details.

Print Powered By Format Dynamics

Chino Basin desalter to get manager

Neil Nisperos, Staff Writer

Created: 01/11/2011 09:58:30 PM PST

CHINO - The regional authority responsible for removing salt from Chino Basin groundwater has appointed a new top administrator as it continues with a major expansion project and faces structural reorganization.

Curtis Paxton, now the assistant general manager and chief operating officer of the Palmdale Water District, will take the reins Jan. 24 as the first general manager of the Chino Basin Desalter Authority.

"I'm really looking forward to becoming part of the Chino Basin Desalter Authority family and strengthening the relationships between the agencies," Paxton said. "I believe that the founders of CDA had great vision, and I'm excited about partnering with the board to continue to move forward as an organization."

The authority is responsible for cleaning and desalinizing Chino Basin water used by customers in Chino, Chino Hills, Ontario, Jurupa, Norco and western Riverside County. The cities and agencies that contract with the authority receive about 20 percent of their water from it.

The authority's two treatment plants will be

expanded to increase water production from 24,600 acre-feet per year to 35,200 acre-feet per year.

Officials expect the expansion work, leading to the creation of many new construction jobs. should be completed in 2014.

The \$116 million expansion cost will be shared equally among Ontario, Western Municipal Water District and the Jurupa Community Services District. The other agencies, which

elected not to help pay for the cost of the project, will not receive any of the new water produced.

"I can't speak for the individual agencies in general, but it probably has to do with having the available funds to participate and the different agencies having different water-supply needs," said Scott Burton, the assistant utilities general manager for Ontario and former desalter authority coordinator.

The agency produces 20 million to 25 million gallons of clean water daily. The completed project would produce about 35 million, officials said. Since desalination efforts began 10 years ago, about 60 percent of infrastructure for the authority has been completed.

The authority's staff has included part-time employees from the cities and water agencies that make up the desalter joint powers authority.

The changes over the new year will transition the

Advertisement



DIRECTV has more of what you want to watch!

Switch today! 1-888-778-098



Offer ends 2/9/11. Credit card required (except in MA & PA) New approved customers only (lease required), \$19.95 Handling & Delivery fee may apply. Applicable use tax adjustment may apply on the retail value of the installation, Call for details.



agency into a stand-alone organization, with its own full-time employees, said Ontario engineer Tim Mim Mack, the coordinator of the agency.

"The reason we have a new general manager is to increase organizational efficiency," Mim Mack said. "Having only part-time staff from every supporting agency is not very efficient."

Paxton said he will work with the board to develop the new organizational structure, which may still include employees from the member agencies. Until then, the desalter authority will contract staffing from the member agencies.

"The right balance of full-time versus contract employees will be determined by the board moving forward," Burton said.

Advertisement



SAVE to 64%

Plus, get
3 FREE Gifts



To Order: www.OmahaSteaks.com/print71 or call 1-877-605-0496

Print Powered By [state | Format Dynamics]

New guidelines for chromium 6

Jim Steinberg, Staff Writer

Created: 01/11/2011 06:53:57 PM PST

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency issued new recommendations for public water systems across the country to monitor and test for chromium 6.

Late last year, the EPA said it was launching a series of actions to gain a better understanding of the risks of chromium 6 following a report that listed 25 cities with a proposed health limit for chromium 6 in drinking water.

For several years, California has been working to develop the nation's first limits for chromium 6 in drinking water, although the state and federal government regulate total chromium in drinking water, a measure composed of both chromium 6 and the much less toxic chromium 3.

New research suggests that infants and those with compromised immune systems or certain illnesses might be at greater risk from small amounts of chromium 6.

"EPA's guidance today is a positive step toward fully informing the public about the levels of toxic chromium-6 in their drinking water," said Sen. Barbara Boxer, D-California, in a prepared statement.

After the report on chromium 6 surfaced in city water supplies surfaced last year, several senators including Boxer and California's other senator, Diane Feinstein, said they wanted the EPA to move quickly to set an enforceable drinking water standard for chromium 6.

Boxer said she plans to introduce legislation, with Feinstein, that would set a deadline for that standard.

Robert Hills, water treatment superintendent for

the Cucamonga Valley Water District in Rancho Cucamonga, said that the testing would cost his agency \$4,000 annually, if the district fully implements the guidelines.

But the board may not elect to follow the recommendations for multiple annual monitoring of surface water because that source is free of chromium 6 and is not vulnerable to industrial pollution, he said.

Some of the district's groundwater has some naturally occurring chromium 6, and like the surface water, is not vulnerable to industrial pollution, Hills said.

Advertisement

Get a FREE ADT-Monitored **Home Security System.**

(With \$99 customer installation and purchase of ADT alarm monitoring services. See important terms and conditions below.)

er Installation Charge: 36 Month Norvitoring Agreement required at \$35.94 per month (\$1,295.64). Form

Call Now! 1-877-835-8373





THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

Upland revokes power

Sandra Emerson, Staff Writer

Created: 01/11/2011 05:13:54 PM PST

UPLAND - The city manager is no longer has authority to settle any claims filed against the

The City Council agreed to rescind all claims and settlement authorizations of the city manager during Monday night's council meeting.

The decision was the result of recent speculations over a settlement made without council oversight by City Manager Robb Quincey in January 2010, authorizing the payment of \$50,000 in two installments to the police union attorney.

"In this case we had a situation where two \$25,000 payments were made to cover a personal expense and the council never got to see it, and (Monday's action is) to avoid that kind of thing," said Councilman Ray Musser.

Quincey, who was placed on paid leave of absence on Jan. 4, negotiated the settlement of a lawsuit filed by police Lt. John Moore alleging he was passed over for promotion because he investigated a domestic incident involving Quincey.

The city has refused to explain the exact reason Quincey was place on leave of absence.

Moore, who was a sergeant at the time, was promoted to lieutenant as a result of the settlement.

A resolution of existing dispute calls for the city to pay the lieutenant's attorney, Dieter D ammeier and his firm, Lackie, Dammeier & McGill \$50,000 in two installments of \$25,000.

At the time Quincey had the authority to approve settlements of up to \$25,000 without council approval. His maximum authority was increased

to \$100,000 the month after that settlement was made.

From now on, neither the city manager nor city staff will have authority to settle any tort claim or lawsuit that has been properly served upon the city, according to a staff report.

All claims will be given to the City Council for review during regular and special meetings.

The new rules apply to anyone serving as city manager. Finance Director Stephen Dunn is working as interim city manager.

Dammeier said the \$50,000 payment to his firm was for the lieutenant's settlement as well as another police-related settlement made around the same time.

The second settlement agreed to pay Upland police officers for the time they spend putting on a nd taking off their uniforms and equipment, or "donning and doffing."

Advertisement

Get a FREE ADT-Monitored Home Security System.

(With \$99 customer installation and purchase of ADT alarm monitoring services. See important terms and conditions below.)

Call Now! 1-877-835-8373





THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION

McClatchy Washington Bureau

Print This Article

Posted on Fri, Jan. 14, 2011

New water panel chairman wants more California projects built

Michael Doyle | McClatchy Newspapers

last updated: January 14, 2011 07:49:40 PM

WASHINGTON - Rep. Tom McClintock, R-Elk Grove, could start making waves in California water.

As new chairman of the House water and power subcommittee, McClintock can promote his pet projects while he squeezes environmentalists. Politically, this means renewed talk of an Auburn dam, stricter scrutiny of San Joaquin River restoration and more support for hydropower.

"We need to change the central objective of our federal water and power policy, to one of abundance," McClintock said in an interview. "That means building more water projects."

In theory, McClintock's position strengthens the staunch conservative's ability to pursue his agenda. The House panel writes water legislation. It oversees spending by the Bureau of Reclamation, which operates California's Central Valley Project. It holds administration officials' feet to the fire.

In practice, McClintock's ability to convert stature into action remains an open question.

The subcommittee's other members have not yet been named, including the key position of senior Democrat. The subcommittee's staff is still being formed. McClintock said Thursday that his specific legislative agenda will be made clear over time.

McClintock has yet to show how he handles the chairman's reins. Though he's an experienced politician, first winning election to the California Assembly in 1982, persistent minority party status means the 54-year-old McClintock has never chaired a legislative panel.

"This is my 25th year as a legislator," McClintock noted, "and it's my very first week to be in the majority."

One reality check could arise over potential revival of an Auburn dam.

The long-debated proposal for a dam on the American River seemed to formally die two years ago, when the state water board revoked rights for the project estimated to cost upward of \$10 billion. McClintock, though, insists the dam could live again given the proper cost-benefit analysis.

"Ultimately, it will be constructed," McClintock said. "The only question is if it's built in time to prevent the (Sacramento flooding) calamity."

Skeptics abound, including those who wonder how much time and energy need be spent on a political long shot.

"We have to talk about that," said Rep. Doris Matsui, D-Sacramento. "We have so many other challenges facing us."

As the panel's ranking Republican last Congress, McClintock could chastise Democrats without having the responsibility for negotiating compromises or passing complicated bills.

McClintock denounced a San Joaquin River restoration bill as part of a "massive land grab." He blamed the Obama administration for creating a "man-made drought" in the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys, and he blasted the Bureau of Reclamation for focusing on water recycling and environmental protection instead of dam building.

"I fear that this agency is becoming a pawn of the environmental left and its crusade to crush the economy of rural America through the Endangered Species Act," McClintock declared at one March 2009 hearing.

Now under Republican control, the House Natural Resource Committee visually displays its revamped priorities through its website. The committee's lead picture shows hard-hatted workers at an energy project. A dam illustrates McClintock's subcommittee.

"Anybody who takes over a chairmanship has to decide early on if they want to be a problem solver or if they are more interested in scoring political points," said Rep. Jim Costa, D-Fresno, a resources panel member who added that McClintock "has the potential to be constructive."

McClintock said he'll use his subcommittee chairmanship to target "superannuated and antiquated programs that can be eliminated." He did not identify examples, though he has repeatedly criticized water recycling efforts like one he says benefits San Francisco's "pampered and privileged residents."

McClintock said he'll conduct oversight hearings, suggesting that San Joaquin River and Klamath River restoration projects might be ripe targets.

McClintock further suggested that a "captive breeding program" might quickly build up the population of the Delta smelt fish so that farmers could receive more irrigation water from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

McClatchy Newspapers 2010



Top EPA water official resigns

By Ben Geman - 01/14/11 01:52 PM ET

Peter Silva, the top water quality official at the Environmental Protection Agency, is leaving EPA next month.

EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson, in a memo to staff on Friday, said Silva is stepping down as **assistant administrator for water** and will return to his home and family in California.

"During his tenure, Pete has led a wide range of important actions to help protect the water we drink and safeguard the health of millions of Americans," Jackson said in the memo. Silva began the job in late July of 2009 after winning Senate confirmation.

Silva's highest-profile action came this week, when he <u>vetoed a large mountaintop removal</u> <u>coal mining project</u> in West Virginia, which prompted cheers from green groups and outrage from industry groups and coal-state lawmakers.

Nancy Stoner, the deputy assistant administrator for the Office of Water, will fill Silva's shoes on an acting basis while EPA seeks a replacement, Jackson said. Stoner, before coming to EPA, was a water advocate with the Natural Resources Defense Council.

Jackson also announced some other personnel moves. Joel Beauvais, a former Capitol Hill staffer, is joining EPA as senior adviser in the Office of General Counsel. He comes to EPA from the Subcommittee on Energy and Environment, a panel under the House Energy and Commerce Committee.

Rep. Edward Markey (D-Mass.) headed the subcommittee when the Democrats ran the House. Beauvais was also an adviser for the Select Committee on Energy Independence and Global Warming, which Markey led. Republicans dissolved the committee upon taking control of the House this year.

Jackson also appointed Bicky Corman as deputy associate administrator for the Office of Policy. Corman, who has worked at EPA in the past, is leaving the Government of the District of Columbia, where she was general counsel for D.C.'s Department of the Environment.

She has also been an attorney for the Justice Department's environmental enforcement section and an environmental staffer for Sen. Frank Lautenberg (D-N.J.).

Source:

http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/677-e2-wire/138023-top-epa-water-official-resigns

New guidelines for chromium 6

Jim Steinberg, Staff Writer

Created: 01/11/2011 06:53:57 PM PST

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency issued new recommendations for public water systems across the country to monitor and test for chromium 6.

Late last year, the EPA said it was launching a series of actions to gain a better understanding of the risks of chromium 6 following a report that listed 25 cities with a proposed health limit for chromium 6 in drinking water.

For several years, California has been working to develop the nation's first limits for chromium 6 in drinking water, although the state and federal government regulate total chromium in drinking water, a measure composed of both chromium 6 and the much less toxic chromium 3.

New research suggests that infants and those with compromised immune systems or certain illnesses might be at greater risk from small amounts of chromium 6.

"EPA's guidance today is a positive step toward fully informing the public about the levels of toxic chromium-6 in their drinking water," said Sen. Barbara Boxer, D-California, in a prepared statement.

After the report on chromium 6 surfaced in city water supplies surfaced last year, several senators including Boxer and California's other senator, Diane Feinstein, said they wanted the EPA to move quickly to set an enforceable drinking water standard for chromium 6.

Boxer said she plans to introduce legislation, with Feinstein, that would set a deadline for that standard

Robert Hills, water treatment superintendent for

the Cucamonga Valley Water District in Rancho Cucamonga, said that the testing would cost his agency \$4,000 annually, if the district fully implements the guidelines.

But the board may not elect to follow the recommendations for multiple annual monitoring of surface water because that source is free of chromium 6 and is not vulnerable to industrial pollution, he said.

Some of the district's groundwater has some naturally occurring chromium 6, and like the surface water, is not vulnerable to industrial pollution, Hills said.

Advertisement



DIRECTV has more of what you want to watch!

Switch today!

EQUIPMENT TO BUY! NO STARTUP

Offer ends 279/11, Credit card required (except in MA & PA). New approved customers only (lease required), \$19,95 Flandling & Delivery fee may apply. Applicable use tax adjustment may apply on the retail value of the installation. Call for details



THIS PAGE

HAS
INTENTIONALLY
BEEN LEFT

BLANK
FOR PAGINATION

Chino Basin desalter to get manager

Neil Nisperos, Staff Writer

Created: 01/11/2011 09:58:30 PM PST

CHINO - The regional authority responsible for removing salt from Chino Basin groundwater has appointed a new top administrator as it continues with a major expansion project and faces structural reorganization.

Curtis Paxton, now the assistant general manager and chief operating officer of the Palmdale Water District, will take the reins Jan. 24 as the first general manager of the Chino Basin Desalter Authority.

"I'm really looking forward to becoming part of the Chino Basin Desalter Authority family and strengthening the relationships between the agencies," Paxton said. "I believe that the founders of CDA had great vision, and I'm excited about partnering with the board to continue to move forward as an organization."

The authority is responsible for cleaning and desalinizing Chino Basin water used by customers in Chino, Chino Hills, Ontario, Jurupa, Norco and western Riverside County. The cities and agencies that contract with the authority receive about 20 percent of their water from it.

The authority's two treatment plants will be

expanded to increase water production from 24,600 acre-feet per year to 35,200 acre-feet per year.

Officials expect the expansion work, leading to the creation of many new construction jobs, should be completed in 2014.

The \$116 million expansion cost will be shared equally among Ontario, Western Municipal Water District and the Jurupa Community Services District. The other agencies, which

elected not to help pay for the cost of the project, will not receive any of the new water produced.

"I can't speak for the individual agencies in general, but it probably has to do with having the available funds to participate and the different agencies having different water-supply needs," said Scott Burton, the assistant utilities general manager for Ontario and former desalter authority coordinator.

The agency produces 20 million to 25 million gallons of clean water daily. The completed project would produce about 35 million, officials said. Since desalination efforts began 10 years ago, about 60 percent of infrastructure for the authority has been completed.

The authority's staff has included part-time employees from the cities and water agencies that make up the desalter joint powers authority.

The changes over the new year will transition the

Advertisement



DIRECTV has more of what you want to watch!

Switch today! 1-888-778-0985



Offer ends 2/9/11. Credit card required (except in MA & PA). New approved customers only (lease required), \$19.95 Handling & Delivery fee may apply. Applicable use tax adjustment may apply on the retail value of the installation. Call for details.

Print Powered By Format Dynamics

agency into a stand-alone organization, with its own full-time employees, said Ontario engineer Tim Mim Mack, the coordinator of the agency.

"The reason we have a new general manager is to increase organizational efficiency," Mim Mack said. "Having only part-time staff from every supporting agency is not very efficient."

Paxton said he will work with the board to develop the new organizational structure, which may still include employees from the member agencies. Until then, the desalter authority will contract staffing from the member agencies.

"The right balance of full-time versus contract employees will be determined by the board moving forward," Burton said.



Print Powered By Format Dynamics*

Conservation call coming

James Figueroa, Staff Writer

Created: 01/18/2011 08:39:31 PM PST

Eastern Los Angeles County residents will be asked to take drastic water conservation steps in March because of the 10-day closure of a La Verne treatment plant.

The Metropolitan Water District is planning a seismic retrofit of its F.E. Weymouth Treatment Plant from March 18-27.

The Weymouth plant is a major water supplier for foothill communities from La Crescenta to Claremont.

The biggest impact will be felt in Pasadena, Rowland Heights and Diamond Bar, which rely on MWD for more than half their water.

Customers, already accustomed to drought restrictions, will be asked to curtail all outdoor watering during the shutdown and make other adjustments.

The Rowland and Walnut Valley water districts are completely reliant on MWD because there are no alternative groundwater sources nearby.

The water is distributed by Three Valleys Municipal Water District, which serves the

northeast corner of Los Angeles County and includes the Weymouth plant within its boundaries.

"It's going to have a huge impact," said Ken Hansen, Three Valleys general manager.

Additional water could come from the Miramar Water and Hydroelectric Facility, which can process 25 million gallons per day and has two 8 million gallon reservoirs.

"We're going to be able to give them a little water, but I don't know if we'll meet their typical demand," Hansen said.

Much of the impact from the shutdown is likely to hinge on March's

weather. MWD usually schedules shutdowns during the rainy season, when there is less demand.

"We had an extraordinarily wet early part of the season, that's setting a nice trend," MWD spokesman Bob Muir said.

But forecasters have predicted a dry La Ni a winter, which could put even greater pressure on the water supply.

"If we have a middle of March like the week we've had in January in the 70s and 80s, for a week or two ahead of the shutdown, that's going to be problematic," Hansen said.

Pasadena, which usually uses about 250 million

Advertisement



DIRECTV has more of what you want to watch!

Switch today! 1-888-778-0985

NO EQUIPMENT TO BUY! NO STARTUP COSTS!

Offer ends 2/9/11. Credit card required (except in MA & PA). New approved customers only (lease required), \$19.95 Handling & Delivery fee may apply. Applicable use tax adjustment may apply on the retail value of the installation. Call for details.

Print Powered By Mariat Dynamics

gallons over a 10-day period, expects to have only 150 million during the shutdown.

The city's conservation efforts will be strict, including enforcement patrols to watch for anyone watering outdoors, including drip systems and hand watering.

Water agencies are preparing big conservation campaigns in late February and early March, including advertisements and notices in bills.

Agencies are waiting until just before the shutdown to make a big public relations push to ensure the message is fresh in the public's mind.

"People might react at first and then forget about it," Muir said.

Get a FREE ADT-Monitored **Home Security System.**

(With \$99 customer installation and purchase of ADT alarm monitoring services. See important terms and conditions below.)

1599 CO Castomer Installation Charge: 36: Month Moratoring Agreement required at 535.99 per month (\$1,795.64). Form of payment must be by credit cardior electronic change to pour checking of spainplaceant. Other applies to homeowners only Local permit tees may be required. Spalification you distribute yie quired. Certain restrictions apply. Other wild for new Security Chaice - An ADT Auchanized Dealer customers only and not ampaichases from ADT Security Services. Oc. Other rate plans awaibable. Cannot be combined with any other offer. **S NOT WSA* Giff Candoffer. \$ NOS WSA*Giff Candoffer appointed by Security Choice and is not

Call Now! 1-877-835-8373





Recycled water plan on tap for the region

Wendy Leung, Staff Writer Inland Valley Daily Bulletin

Posted:01/23/2011 09:21:28 PM PST

RANCHO CUCAMONGA - The Inland Valley will soon see a lot of purple.

A \$27 million recycled water project affecting Upland, Ontario and Rancho Cucamonga is under way.

When the Inland Empire Utilities Agency finishes construction later this fall, facilities such as the San Antonio Community Hospital in Upland and Red Hill Park in Rancho Cucamonga will use recycled water for its outdoor irrigation.

Marked by purple pipes, recycled water is waste water that has been treated and purified for irrigation or industrial use.

More and more water agencies envision purple pipes on the horizon, because other sources such as imported water from Northern California can be costly and unreliable.

"Recycled water is obviously a reliable, future resource of water," said John Bosler, director of operations and engineering at the Cucamonga Valley Water District. "We're trying to increase the percentage being used. But the infrastructure takes a long time and can be costly."

In the northeast part of Rancho Cucamonga, construction of a recycled water project is complete with plans to hook up the purple pipes by April 1.

When that happens, irrigation for large water users like The Epicenter and Victoria Gardens in Rancho Cucamonga will utilize recycled water.

"Once the projects are completed, it'll free up additional water, and the need to purchase imported water will decrease," said Kathy Tiegs, who serves on the CVWD board. "I'm a definite advocate of recycled water."

Construction of the current project will include a pump station at Ontario's Vineyard Park and a 3million gallon reservoir on 19th and Sapphire streets in Rancho Cucamonga.

The project will benefit parks, schools, golf courses, center medians and other landscaped areas.

IEUA and CVWD are also contemplating building infrastructure for recycled water in Chino, Chino Hills and the Village of Heritage development in Fontana.

For questions about lane closures, traffic impact and work hours related to the construction of the recycled water project, call the IEUA hot line at 909-993-1501.

Street closures coming

Single lane closures are expected in segments of area streets during a \$27 million recycled water project.

The streets:

In Ontario, portions of Sixth Street, Glenn Avenue, Seventh Street, Del Norte Avenue, Eighth Street, Grove Avenue, Ninth Street, 11th Street and Hospital Parkway.

In Upland, portions of Hospital Parkway, Foothill Boulevard, Oakwood, 14th Street, Campus Avenue and 16th Street.

In Rancho Cucamonga, the areas near 19th and Sapphire streets, Sixth Street at Vineyard Park and 16th Street at Base Line.

Close Window

Send To Printer

Water conservation

Flowing with the times

Liset Marquez, Staff Writer

Posted: 01/22/2011 09:59:47 PM PST

ONTARIO - When city officials recently switched over to recycled water to irrigate five city parks, it marked the latest in a 15-year effort to use water more wisely.

And in the past five years, the city has increased its efforts to expand the delivery of recycled water for landscape irrigation, industrial purposes and agricultural uses.

The non-potable recycled water distribution system is completely separate from the city's drinking water distribution system and uses purple pipes to distinguish it from the potable system.

The goal is to increase the use of recycled water to 20 percent of the total water demand by the year 2035, which is the expected build out of the city, said Mohamed El-Amamy, utilities general

manager for Ontario.

It will require the city, along with cooperation of local businesses, to build 89 miles of recycled water pipelines.

Working toward that goal is the city's 5-year capital improvement program, which includes

projects to construct 14 miles of recycled water pipelines at a cost of \$14 million.

Those lines will deliver 2.7 million gallons per day of recycled water for irrigation purposes, El-Amamy said.

"(We need) to make the best use of available water resources, increase the reliability of the city's water supplies and reduce the cost of water to the city's residents and businesses," he said

The city recently completed converting the irrigation systems for five city parks to use recycled water: Anthony Mu oz, Ontario Motor Speedway, John Galvin, Grove Memorial and Vineyard parks.

It will save enough to serve 450 households, said Scott Burton, interim assistant Utilities general manager for Ontario.

The cost of converting the five parks, \$61,874, was paid for using a grant in partnership with the Chino Basin Water Conservation District, he said.

The annual savings to irrigate the five parks using recycled water instead of potable water is \$100,000, Burton said.

"Our long-term goal is we're trying to conserve, reduce our dependence, gradually, on potable water," he said.

And while the city has devoted efforts to

Advertisement



SAVE up 64%

Plus, get
3 FREE Gifts



To Order: www.OmahaSteaks.com/print71 or call 1-877-605-0496

Print Powered By Format Dynamics

increasing its recycled water resources, it is also focused on replenishing its potable water sources, Burton said.

In 2008, city officials purchased the former Sunkist factory with the intention of acquiring its water rights, said Councilman Jim Bowman, who has been heavily involved in water issues. He serves on the Chino Basin Desalter Authority, Chino Basin Watermaster Board and several other water boards.

"We bought the Sunkist plant but the real value is in the stock and shares of water," he said.

The move secured 1,851 acre-feet of water annually for the city. An acre-foot of water is generally the amount of water used by a family of four over a year.

And in order to manage water for 170,000 residents and additional 50,000 people who either work or visit Ontario on a daily basis, the city has set up a system using a room on Bon View Avenue. There, city employees can monitor all the city's water wells to make sure they aren't too low, Bowman said.

"That's a significant amount of water and it's very important to plan for the future," Bowman said.

The city began the capital improvements in 2007. The following year, it completed the first phase of the water system expansion program with the installation of 1.5 miles of recycled water pipelines at the Toyota Parts Distribution Center

on Jurupa Avenue.

Toyota uses an estimated 39 million gallons of water per year or enough water to supply the water needs of 250 households for one year.

In 2009, the city constructed lateral pipelines off a regional recycled water pipeline in order to bring recycled water to large water-use customers for landscape irrigation.

There are 178 recycled water connections in the city, making up about 5 percent of the total water demand.

About 1.9 million gallons a day is provided using recycled water for landscape irrigation and some industrial uses, he said.

There were only 10 recycled water connections before 2007, El-Amamy said.

City officials are working with a manufacturer, the largest water consumer in the city at 1 million gallons a day, to switch to recycled water, Bowman said

"We've recognized water is a tremendous value today and in the future. By going to recycled water we can extend our clean water availability," he said.

Advertisement



SAVE to 64%

Plus, get 3 FREE Gifts



To Order: www.OmahaSteaks.com/print71 or call 1-877-605-0496



Sewer rate hike eyed

Mediha Fejzagic DiMartino, Staff Writer

Posted: 01/23/2011 07:01:55 AM PST

Despite its belt-tightening measures, a local wastewater treatment agency is considering raising sewer rates this summer.

For residents, the hike will equal another \$5 poured down the drain each year.

In order to offset a decline in property tax revenue, and a 2percent drop in its customer base, Inland Empire Utilities Agency proposed a 41-cent increase in fees it charges local cities to take care of their wastewater.

New connection fees, usually paid by developers, would go up to \$4,862 - a \$96 increase.

If it garners approval from IEUA's board of directors, the proposed hike will go into effect July 1, and result in bringing in \$1.2 million in revenue in fiscal year 2011-2012.

The agency projects raising wastewater rates for several years ahead, with 90cents tacked on in fiscal year 2012-2013 followed by 30-cent increases for each of the next three years.

"The rate increase was discussed last year, and it's reasonable," said Mohamed El-Amamy, Ontario's utilities general manager, who on Thursday attended a budget workshop put on by

the IEUA.

"A delay would mean a bigger jump next year, which would be a harder sell."

For instance, the monthly sewage bill charged to Ontario residents includes IEUA's share of \$11.14, and about \$10 the city charges for its wastewater management services.

"It's tough for our residents these days," El-Amamy said. "When you add it all up - trash, water, sewer - they pay a

whole bunch of fees."

IEUA decided to raise the rates only after doing its best to contain the costs, said Thomas Love, the agency's chief executive officer.

IEUA slashed its workforce by 12 percent since 2007 and reduced overtime pay by 60 percent. It also minimized its borrowing cost, saving \$4.9 million on its variable rate bonds since 2008.

All nonessential projects were deferred, adding \$218 million in savings.

While deciding on the rate increase, IEUA projected "no significant improvement in the economy over the next two fiscal years," with an additional 1 percent decline in property tax revenue. Love said the agency is also facing a 4 percent increase in its pension fund contribution and a 10 percent increase in health insurance costs.



Print Powered By Format Dynamics"

"We all have had some loss of revenue as much as you do," said Chino Councilman Earl Elrod as Love fielded questions from the audience. "Chino dipped into its reserves, so we did not raise fees. We have to be able to sell this to the public."

According to the state Legislative Analyst Office, for any fee increase to be transferred on to the residents, local governments must mail information regarding the proposed fee to every property owner. They also have to hold a public hearing, at least 45 days in advance - a requirement under Proposition 218, which was passed in 1996.

The proposed fee is rejected if written protests are presented by a majority of the property owners.



Print Powered By Format Dynamics

Second round for Baca's perchlorate legislation

Joe Nelson, Staff Writer

Created: 01/06/2011 03:38:59 PM PST

Rep. Joe Baca, D-San Bernardino, on Thursday introduced legislation directing the secretary of the interior to conduct a study of perchlorate contamination in the Inland Empire.

Under Baca's Inland Empire Perchlorate Ground Water Plume Assessment Act, the U.S. Geological Survey would be required to conduct a study of the Rialto-Colton Basin, with emphasis on the perchlorate-contaminated plume in Rialto.

For years, traces of the rocket fuel additive, also used in the manufacture of fireworks, have shown up in the groundwater supply, spurring a growing level of concern.

"Left alone, the perchlorate-contaminated plume in Rialto could continue to travel underground contaminating more wells and compromising the health of the inland area," Baca said in a statement Thursday.

The legislation calls for the study to be paid for by discretionary funding from the USGS budget.

Perchlorate, a naturally occurring and man-made chemical, can interfere with iodide uptake into the thyroid gland, thereby affecting metabolism

and brain development in fetuses and children.

Its presence in Rialto dates back to World War II, when the U.S. military stored ammunition and other perchlorate-containing equipment in the city.

Baca sponsored the same legislation in the previous Congress, where it earned bipartisan support, said Baca spokesman John Lowery.

"We're hopeful it can carry over in that bipartisan support this year," he said.

The bill will now go before various House committees, including the Committee on Water and Power.

joe.nelson@inlandnewspapers.com, 909-386-3874

Advertisement

Get a FREE ADT-Monitored Home Security System.

(With \$99 customer installation and purchase of ADT alarm monitoring services. See important terms and conditions below.)

as ADT Security Services. Persons may in redemption. Call 1-318-403 2338 for complete restrictions and incomposit

Call Now! 1-877-835-8373





THIS PAGE

HAS

INTENTIONALLY

BEEN LEFT

BLANK

FOR PAGINATION