# **CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER**



# **NOTICE OF MEETINGS**

# Thursday, November 9, 2023

9:00 a.m. – Appropriative Pool Committee Meeting 11:00 a.m. – Non-Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting 1:30 p.m. – Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting

Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER APPROPRIATIVE POOL COMMITTEE MEETING

9:00 a.m. November 9, 2023 *Mr. Chris Diggs, Chair Mr. Chris Berch, Vice-Chair* **At The Offices Of Chino Basin Watermaster** 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

(Call can be taken remotely via Zoom at this link)

# AGENDA

# CALL TO ORDER

# ROLL CALL

# AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and non-controversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

### A. MINUTES

Approve as presented: Minutes of the Appropriative Pool Committee Meeting held on October 12, 2023 (*Page 1*)

### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Receive and file as presented: Monthly Financial Report for the Reporting Period Ended September 30, 2023. (*Page 16*)

# C. 2022/23 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE GROUND-LEVEL MONITORING PROGRAM

Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Watermaster Board to approve the 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Program (GLMP), and direct staff to file a copy with the Court. (*Page 33*)

### D. CALENDAR YEAR 2024 APPROPRIATIVE POOL COMMITTEE VOLUME VOTE

Approve the Calendar Year 2024 Appropriative Pool Committee Volume Vote as presented, subject to Watermaster Board approval of the Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package at the November 16, 2023 meeting. (*Page 95*)

# II. BUSINESS ITEMS

#### **A. WATERMASTER REAPPOINTMENT** Recommend future Watermaster appointment to the Advisory Committee. (*Page 103*)

### **B. FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 ASSESSMENT PACKAGE** Review Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package as presented and offer advice to Watermaster. (*Page 110*)

C. RESOLUTION 2023-07 TO LEVY REPLENISHMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023/24, BASED ON PRODUCTION YEAR 2022/23 Review Resolution 2023-07 as presented and offer advice to Watermaster. (Page 156)

# III. <u>REPORTS/UPDATES</u>

# A. WATERMASTER LEGAL COUNSEL

- 1. December 1, 2023 Court Hearing (OBMP Semi-Annual Status Report and 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update)
- 2. Court of Appeal Case No. E079052 (City of Chino, MVIC, MVWD, City of Ontario appeal re OAP Expenses and Attorney Fees)
- 3. Court of Appeal Consolidated Cases No. E080457 and E082127 (City of Ontario appeal re 2021-22 and 2022-23 Assessment Packages)
- 4. Court of Appeal Case No. E080533 (Cities of Chino, Ontario appeal re 2022-23 Watermaster budget expenses to support CEQA analysis)
- 5. Kaiser Permanente Lawsuit

### **B. ENGINEER**

- 1. Water Quality Committee
- 2. 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation
- 3. Storage and Recovery Master Plan

# C. GENERAL MANAGER

- 1. OBMPU CEQA Process
- 2. Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan
- 3. December Meeting Schedule
- 4. Other

# IV. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

# V. OTHER BUSINESS

# VI. <u>CONFIDENTIAL SESSION – POSSIBLE ACTION</u>

A Confidential Session may be held during the Pool Committee meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

### VII. FUTURE MEETINGS AT WATERMASTER

Thu 9:00 a.m.	Appropriative Pool Committee
Thu 11:00 a.m.	Non-Agricultural Pool Committee
Thu 1:30 p.m.	Agricultural Pool Committee
Wed 1:00 p.m.	Storage and Recovery Master Plan Committee
Thu 9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee
Thu 11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board*
Tue 9:00 a.m.	Groundwater Recharge Coordinating Committee (GRCC)
	Thu9:00 a.m.Thu11:00 a.m.Thu1:30 p.m.Wed1:00 p.m.Thu9:00 a.m.Thu11:00 a.m.Tue9:00 a.m.

\* The Watermaster Board meeting is being advanced by a week due to the Thanksgiving Holiday. Watermaster will be dark in December and can assist with any special meetings as requested. All regularly scheduled meetings will resume in January 2024.

### ADJOURNMENT

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEETING

11:00 a.m. November 9, 2023 *Mr. Brian Geye, Chair Mr. Bob Bowcock, Vice-Chair*  **At The Offices Of Chino Basin Watermaster** 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

# <u>AGENDA</u>

# CALL TO ORDER

# ROLL CALL

# AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

# I. BUSINESS ITEMS - ROUTINE

# A. MINUTES

Receive and File: Minutes of the Non-Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting held on October 12, 2023 (*Page 6*)

### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Receive and file as presented: Monthly Financial Report for the Reporting Period Ended September 30, 2023. (*Page 16*)

### C. 2022/23 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE GROUND-LEVEL MONITORING PROGRAM

Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Watermaster Board to approve the 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Program (GLMP), and direct staff to file a copy with the Court. (*Page 33*)

### D. CALENDAR YEAR 2024 NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE VOLUME VOTE

Receive and file the Calendar Year 2024 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote as presented, subject to Watermaster Board approval of the Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package at the November 16, 2023 meeting. (*Page 99*)

### II. BUSINESS ITEMS

### A. WATERMASTER REAPPOINTMENT

Recommend future Watermaster appointment to the Advisory Committee. (Page 103)

#### B. FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 ASSESSMENT PACKAGE Review Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package as presented and offer advice to Watermaster. (Page 110)

C. RESOLUTION 2023-07 TO LEVY REPLENISHMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023/24, BASED ON PRODUCTION YEAR 2022/23

Review Resolution 2023-07 as presented and offer advice to Watermaster. (Page 156)

### D. MEMBER STATUS CHANGES

- 1. Any proposed transfer of Safe Yield by a Member.
- 2. Any transfer of Safe Yield that has actually closed or been completed.
- 3. Any change in name or corporate identity of a Member (such as results from a merger or filing of a change of name certificate).

Agenda Non-Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting Page 2 of 2

4. Any change in the name of a representative or alternate representative of a Member, or a change in e-mail address for either such person.

# III. <u>REPORTS/UPDATES</u>

# A. WATERMASTER LEGAL COUNSEL

- 1. December 1, 2023 Court Hearing (OBMP Semi-Annual Status Report and 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update)
- 2. Court of Appeal Case No. E079052 (City of Chino, MVIC, MVWD, City of Ontario appeal re OAP Expenses and Attorney Fees)
- 3. Court of Appeal Consolidated Cases No. E080457 and E082127 (City of Ontario appeal re 2021-22 and 2022-23 Assessment Packages)
- 4. Court of Appeal Case No. E080533 (Cities of Chino, Ontario appeal re 2022-23 Watermaster budget expenses to support CEQA analysis)
- 5. Kaiser Permanente Lawsuit

### **B. ENGINEER**

- 1. Water Quality Committee
- 2. 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation
- 3. Storage and Recovery Master Plan

#### C. GENERAL MANAGER

- 1. OBMPU CEQA Process
- 2. Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan
- 3. December Meeting Schedule
- 4. Other

### IV. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

### V. OTHER BUSINESS

### VI. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

A Confidential Session may be held during the Pool Committee meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

- 1. Non-Ag Budget Transfer
- 2. OBMPU CEQA Review
- 3. Exhibit G Section 9 Transfer Rate

#### VII. FUTURE MEETINGS AT WATERMASTER

11/09/23Thu9:00 a.m.Appropriative Pool Committee11/09/23Thu11:00 a.m.Non-Agricultural Pool Committee11/09/23Thu1:30 p.m.Agricultural Pool Committee11/15/23Wed1:00 p.m.Storage and Recovery Master Plan Committee11/16/23Thu9:00 a.m.Advisory Committee11/16/23Thu11:00 a.m.Watermaster Board\*12/05/23Tue9:00 a.m.Groundwater Recharge Coordinating Committee (GRCC)

\* The Watermaster Board meeting is being advanced by a week due to the Thanksgiving Holiday. Watermaster will be dark in December and can assist with any special meetings as requested. All regularly scheduled meetings will resume in January 2024.

#### ADJOURNMENT

## CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEETING

1:30 p.m. November 9, 2023 Mr. Bob Feenstra, Chair Mr. Jeff Pierson. Vice-Chair At The Offices Of **Chino Basin Watermaster** 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

# AGENDA

### **CALL TO ORDER**

# ROLL CALL

# AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR** Ι.

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and non-controversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

#### A. MINUTES

Approve as presented: Minutes of the Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting held on October 12, 2023. (Page 9)

#### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Receive and file as presented: Monthly Financial Report for the Reporting Period Ended September 30, 2023. (Page 16)

### C. 2022/23 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE GROUND-LEVEL MONITORING PROGRAM

Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Watermaster Board to approve the 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Program (GLMP), and direct staff to file a copy with the Court. (Page 33)

### **II. BUSINESS ITEMS**

### A. WATERMASTER REAPPOINTMENT

Recommend future Watermaster appointment to the Advisory Committee. (Page 103)

- B. FISCAL YEAR 2023/24 ASSESSMENT PACKAGE Review Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package as presented and offer advice to Watermaster. (Page 110)
- C. RESOLUTION 2023-07 TO LEVY REPLENISHMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023/24, BASED ON PRODUCTION YEAR 2022/23

Review Resolution 2023-07 as presented and offer advice to Watermaster. (Page 156)

# III. <u>REPORTS/UPDATES</u>

# A. WATERMASTER LEGAL COUNSEL

- 1. December 1, 2023 Court Hearing (OBMP Semi-Annual Status Report and 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update)
- 2. Court of Appeal Case No. E079052 (City of Chino, MVIC, MVWD, City of Ontario appeal re OAP Expenses and Attorney Fees)
- 3. Court of Appeal Consolidated Cases No. E080457 and E082127 (City of Ontario appeal re 2021-22 and 2022-23 Assessment Packages)
- 4. Court of Appeal Case No. E080533 (Cities of Chino, Ontario appeal re 2022-23 Watermaster budget expenses to support CEQA analysis)
- 5. Kaiser Permanente Lawsuit

### **B. ENGINEER**

- 1. Water Quality Committee
- 2. 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation
- 3. Storage and Recovery Master Plan

# C. GENERAL MANAGER

- 1. OBMPU CEQA Process
- 2. Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan
- 3. December Meeting Schedule
- 4. Other

# IV. POOL DISCUSSION

- 1. Chairman's Update
- 2. Pool Member Comments

# V. OTHER BUSINESS

### VI. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

A Confidential Session may be held during the Pool Committee meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

- 1. Reorganization
- 2. Strategic Planning

### VII. FUTURE MEETINGS AT WATERMASTER

11/09/23	Thu 9:00 a.m.	Appropriative Pool Committee
11/09/23	Thu 11:00 a.m.	Non-Agricultural Pool Committee
11/09/23	Thu 1:30 p.m.	Agricultural Pool Committee
11/15/23	Wed 1:00 p.m.	Storage and Recovery Master Plan Committee
11/16/23	Thu 9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee
11/16/23	Thu 11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board*
12/05/23	Tue 9:00 a.m.	Groundwater Recharge Coordinating Committee (GRCC)

\* The Watermaster Board meeting is being advanced by a week due to the Thanksgiving Holiday. Watermaster will be dark in December and can assist with any special meetings as requested. All regularly scheduled meetings will resume in January 2024.

### ADJOURNMENT

# DRAFT MINUTES CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER APPROPRIATIVE POOL COMMITTEE MEETING

October 12, 2023

The Appropriative Pool Committee meeting was held at the Chino Basin Watermaster offices located at 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA, and via Zoom (conference call and web meeting) on October 12, 2023.

#### APPROPRIATIVE POOL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Chris Berch, Vice-Chair Amanda Coker Ron Craig Oscar Ramos for Marty Zvirbulis Cris Fealy Justin Scott-Coe Justin Scott-Coe Oscar Ramos for Marty Zvirbulis Brian Lee Jurupa Community Services District Cucamonga Valley Water District City of Chino Hills Fontana Union Water Company Fontana Water Company Monte Vista Irrigation Company Monte Vista Water District Nicholson Family Trust San Antonio Water Company

#### APPROPRIATIVE POOL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Dave Crosley Courtney Jones Nicole deMoet for Braden Yu Ben Lewis John Lopez Nicole deMoet for Braden Yu City of Chino City of Ontario City of Upland Golden State Water Company Santa Ana River Water Company West End Consolidated Water Company

#### APPROPRIATIVE POOL COMMITTEE LEGAL COUNSEL PRESENT ON ZOOM

John Schatz

John J. Schatz, Attorney at Law

#### WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Jim Curatalo Manny Martinez Mike Gardner

### WATERMASTER STAFF PRESENT

Peter Kavounas Edgar Tellez Foster Anna Nelson Justin Nakano Frank Yoo Alexandria Moore Ruby Favela Quintero Kelli Hills Alonso Jurado Jordan Garcia Erik Vides Appropriative Pool – Minor Representative Monte Vista Water District Western Municipal Water District

General Manager Water Resources Mgmt. & Planning Dir. Director of Administration Water Resources Technical Manager Data Services and Judgment Reporting Mgr. Executive Assistant I/Board Clerk Administrative Analyst Office Specialist/Receptionist Water Resources Associate Senior Field Operations Specialist Field Operations Specialist

#### WATERMASTER CONSULTANTS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Laura Yraceburu Andy Malone Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP West Yost

#### WATERMASTER CONSULTANTS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Garrett Rapp

West Yost

#### **OTHERS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**

Melissa Cansino Jiwon Seung Bryan Smith

#### **OTHERS PRESENT ON ZOOM**

Marilyn Levin Tom O'Neill Natalie Avila Eduardo Espinoza Peter Dopulos Shawnda Grady Derek Hoffman Jesse Pompa Kevin O'Toole Bill Wyat David De Jesus Mallory Gandara City of Pomona Cucamonga Valley Water District Jurupa Community Services District

Agricultural Pool – State of CA Chino Basin Desalter Authority City of Chino Cucamonga Valley Water District Egoscue Law Group, Inc. Ellison, Schneider, & Harris, LLP Fennemore Law Jurupa Community Services District Orange County Water District Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton Three Valleys Municipal Water District Western Municipal Water District

#### CALL TO ORDER

Vice-Chair Berch called the Appropriative Pool Committee meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

#### ROLL CALL

(0:00:14) Ms. Moore conducted the roll call and announced that a quorum was present.

#### AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

None

#### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and noncontroversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

#### A. MINUTES

Approve as presented: Minutes of the Appropriative Pool Committee Meeting held on September 14, 2023

#### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Receive and file as presented: Monthly Financial Reports for the Reporting Periods Ended July 31, 2023 and August 31, 2023.

#### C. APPLICATION: RECHARGE - FONTANA WATER COMPANY

Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Board to approve Fontana Water Company's application for Recharge and Direct Watermaster staff to account for the same.

(0:02:31)

Motion by Ms. Amanda Coker, seconded by Mr. Justin Scott-Coe, there being no dissent, the item passed unanimously.

Moved to approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

#### II. BUSINESS ITEMS

None

# III. <u>REPORTS/UPDATES</u>

# A. WATERMASTER LEGAL COUNSEL

- 1. Court of Appeal Case No. E079052 (City of Chino, MVIC, MVWD, City of Ontario appeal re OAP Expenses and Attorney Fees)
- 2. Court of Appeal Consolidated Case Nos. E080457 and E082127 (City of Ontario appeal re 2021-22 and 2022-23 Assessment Packages)
- 3. Court of Appeal Case No. E080533 (Cities of Chino, Ontario appeal re 2022-23 Watermaster budget expenses to support CEQA analysis)
- 4. Kaiser Permanente Lawsuit

(0:03:04) Ms. Yraceburu gave a report on behalf of Mr. Herrema.

# **B. ENGINEER**

- 1. GLMC Update
- 2. Long Term Planning Activities
- 3. Mitigation Plan for the Temporary Loss of Hydraulic Control
- 4. Annual Streamflow Monitoring Report for Water Rights Permit 21225
- 5. Watermaster Model Application and Required Demonstrations
- 6. Annual Plumes Status Report

(0:07:00) Mr. Malone gave a report on items 1 and 6, Mr. Rapp gave a report on item 2 and 4, and Mr. Tellez Foster on item 3. A discussion ensued.

# C. GENERAL MANAGER

- 1. Court Tour of Chino Basin
- 2. OBMPU CEQA Process
- 3. Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package
- 4. Other

(0:36:55) Mr. Kavounas gave an overview of the Chino Basin Tour with Judge Ochoa and stated the recording and transcripts are available on Watermaster website. Mr. Kavounas introduced Mr. Tellez Foster to give a report on item 2. On item 3, Mr. Kavounas reminded everyone of the upcoming Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package workshops.

# IV. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

(0:42:02) Vice-Chair Berch mentioned the WMWD and MWD RFP for Storage and Recovery Program, and that they are looking for proposals in the next few months to see how parties might collaborate.

# V. OTHER BUSINESS

None

# VI. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

A Confidential Session may be held during the Pool Committee meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

The Pool convened into confidential session at 10:10 a.m. to discuss the following AP Business.

(1:09:19) Confidential session concluded at 11:26 a.m. with the reportable action as shown below:

 Motion: To approve AG legal bill as follows: \$10,950.00 for general counsel – October 2023 Invoice (September billing) Motion made by Amanda Coker, (CVWD), and seconded by Cris Fealy, (FWC): Passed with 63.111% volume votes in favor.

# Page 3

# ADJOURNMENT

Vice-Chair Berch adjourned the Appropriative Pool Committee meeting at 10:04 a.m.

Secretary: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Attachment:

1. 20231012 Appropriative Pool Committee Meeting (Reportable Action from Confidential Session as provided by Pool Leadership)

From:	Cansino, Melissa
To:	Alexandria Moore
Cc:	Chris Berch; Diggs, Chris; Anna Nelson
Subject:	October 12, 2023 AP Closed Session Reportable Action- Motion to approve AG legal bill Oct. 2023
Date:	Thursday, October 12, 2023 10:59:47 AM

Hello Alex,

I'm reaching out to let you know that the AP held a closed session meeting today from 9:50 am to 10:10 am. I realized I forgot to retrieve the sign-in sheet for your records. Can you please check if it's still at the location where the AP closed session was held?

During the meeting, a motion was made and approved as follows:

Motion: To approve AG legal bill as follows:

• \$10,950.00 for general counsel - October 2023 Invoice (September billing)

Amanda Coker, representing CVWD, moved the motion, which was seconded by Cris Fealy, representing Fontana Water. The motion passed with a 63.111% affirmative vote.

	Enter V or A	V in Each Call				
Barris	Dracent (V/AD	Mate (V DI	Animad	Aug II Makes	0	Total Vac
Party RhusTriton Brandr Inc	Present (1/14)	vote (1/14)	Assigned 1 c.c.e	AV88 V0 025	Quorum	Totartes
CalMat Co (Appropriation)			0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
Chino Hills City Of	Y	Y	36 689	36 689	36 689	36.68
Chino. City Of	Y	N	57.077	57.077	57.077	0.00
Cucamone a Valley Water District	Y	Y	95,130	95 130	95,130	95.13
Fontana Union Water Company	Y	Y	58.285	58,285	58.285	58.28
Fontana Water Company	Y	Y	75.523	75.523	75.523	75.52
Fontana, City Of	N		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
Golden State Water Company	N		10.820	0.000	0.000	0.00
Jurupa Community Services District	Y	Y	95.731	95.731	95.731	95.73
Marygold Mutual Water Company	N		12.236	0.000	0.000	0.00
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	Y	N	6.170	6.170	6.170	0.00
Monte Vista Water District	Y	N	90.372	90.372	90.372	0.00
NCL Co, LLC	N		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
Nagara Bottling, LLC	N		11.167	0.000	0.000	0.00
Nicholson Family Trust	Y	Ŷ	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.03
Norco, City Of	N		1.840	0.000	0.000	0.00
Ontario, City Of	Ŷ	N	199.137	199.137	199.137	0.00
Pomona, City Of	Ŷ	Y	169.803	169.803	169.803	169.80
San Antonio Water Company	4		16.409	16.409	16.409	16.40
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	N N	v	0.131	0.000	12.540	13.64
Santa Ana River Water Company		, T	24.712	26 712	24 71 2	24.24
West End Consolidated Water Co.			94.715	9 640	9.640	9.64
West End Consolidated water Co	N		5.975	0.000	0.040	0.04
These range in all a bearta			1,000.000	956.262	956.262	603.50
CALCULATE QUORUM	4	LCULATE	<u>"YES" VOTES</u> 63.111%	D	ACCEL	
RESET AL	L RES	ET VOTES	<u>*NO* VOTES</u> 36.889%	PI	ASSEL	

Thank you, Melissa Cansino City of Pomona ~ Water Resources Department (909) 620-2236 <u>Melissa.Cansino@pomonaca.gov</u>

#### DRAFT MINUTES CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEETING

October 12, 2023

The Non-Agricultural Pool Committee meeting was held at the Chino Basin Watermaster offices located at 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA, and via Zoom (conference call and web meeting) on October 12, 2023.

NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Brian Geye, Chair

California Speedway Corporation

#### NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Alexis Mascarinas

City of Ontario

#### WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Mike Gardner

Western Municipal Water District

# WATERMASTER STAFF PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Peter Kavounas Edgar Tellez Foster Anna Nelson Justin Nakano Frank Yoo Alexandria Moore Ruby Favela Quintero Kelli Hills Alonso Jurado Jordan Garcia Erik Vides General Manager Water Resources Mgmt. & Planning Dir. Director of Administration Water Resources Technical Manager Data Services and Judgment Reporting Mgr. Executive Assistant I/Board Clerk Administrative Analyst Office Specialist/Receptionist Water Resources Associate Senior Field Operations Specialist Field Operations Specialist

### WATERMASTER CONSULTANTS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Laura Yraceburu Andy Malone Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP West Yost

### WATERMASTER CONSULTANTS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Garrett Rapp

West Yost

### OTHERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Peter Dopulos Tariq Awan Egoscue Law Group, Inc. Agricultural Pool – State of CA

### NON-AGRICULTURAL POOL LEGAL COUNSEL PRESENT ON ZOOM

Allen Hubsch

Law Office of Allen W. Hubsch

# CALL TO ORDER

Chair Geye called the Non-Agricultural Pool Committee meeting to order at 11:00 a.m.

# ROLL CALL

(00:00:21) Ms. Moore conducted the roll call.

#### AGENDA – ADDITIONS/REORDER

None

# I. BUSINESS ITEMS - ROUTINE

#### A. MINUTES

Receive and File: Minutes of the Non-Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting held on September 14, 2023

(00:01:51)

Motion by Chair Geye, seconded by Ms. Mascarinas. The Chair called for dissent, and, none being noted, the motion was deemed passed by unanimous vote of those present. **Moved to receive and file Business Item I.A. as presented.** 

#### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Receive and file as presented:

Monthly Financial Reports for the Reporting Periods Ended July 31, 2023 and August 31, 2023.

(00:02:12)

Motion by Chair Geye, seconded by Ms. Mascarinas. The Chair called for dissent, and, none being noted, the motion was deemed passed by unanimous vote of those present. Moved to receive and file Business Item I.B. without approval as presented.

#### C. APPLICATION: RECHARGE - FONTANA WATER COMPANY

Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Board to approve Fontana Water Company's application for Recharge and Direct Watermaster staff to account for the same.

(00:02:35)

Motion by Chair Geye, seconded by Ms. Mascarinas. The Chair called for dissent, and, none being noted, the motion was deemed passed by unanimous vote of those present.

Moved to approve staff recommendation of Business Item I.C., and to direct the Pool representatives to support at the Advisory Committee and Watermaster Board meetings subject to changes which they deem necessary.

### II. BUSINESS ITEMS

# A. MEMBER STATUS CHANGES

- 1. Any proposed transfer of Safe Yield by a Member.
- 2. Any transfer of Safe Yield that has actually closed or been completed.
- 3. Any change in name or corporate identity of a Member (such as results from a merger or filing of a change of name certificate).
- 4. Any change in the name of a representative or alternate representative of a Member, or a change in e-mail address for either such person.

There were no changes to note.

### III. <u>REPORTS/UPDATES</u>

### A. WATERMASTER LEGAL COUNSEL

- 1. Court of Appeal Case No. E079052 (City of Chino, MVIC, MVWD, City of Ontario appeal re OAP Expenses and Attorney Fees)
- 2. Court of Appeal Consolidated Case Nos. E080457 and E082127 (City of Ontario appeal re 2021-22 and 2022-23 Assessment Packages)
- 3. Court of Appeal Case No. E080533 (Cities of Chino, Ontario appeal re 2022-23 Watermaster budget expenses to support CEQA analysis)
- 4. Kaiser Permanente Lawsuit

(00:03:23) Ms. Yraceburu gave a report on behalf of Mr. Herrema.

# Page 7

Draft Minutes Non-Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting Page 3 of 3

# **B. ENGINEER**

- 1. GLMC Update
- 2. Long Term Planning Activities
- 3. Mitigation Plan for the Temporary Loss of Hydraulic Control
- 4. Annual Streamflow Monitoring Report for Water Rights Permit 21225
- 5. Watermaster Model Application and Required Demonstrations
- 6. Annual Plumes Status Report

(00:07:08) Mr. Malone gave a report on items 1 and 6, Mr. Rapp gave a report on item 2 and 4, and Mr. Tellez Foster on item 3. A discussion ensued.

# C. GENERAL MANAGER

- 1. Court Tour of Chino Basin
- 2. OBMPU CEQA Process
- 3. Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package
- 4. Other

(00:19:53) Mr. Kavounas gave an overview of the Chino Basin Tour with Judge Ochoa and stated the recording and transcripts are available on Watermaster website. Mr. Kavounas introduced Mr. Tellez Foster to give a report on item 2. On item 3, Mr. Kavounas reminded everyone of the upcoming Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package workshops.

# IV. POOL MEMBER COMMENTS

None

# V. OTHER BUSINESS

None

### VI. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

A Confidential Session may be held during the Pool Committee meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

None

### ADJOURNMENT

Chair Geye adjourned the Non-Agricultural Pool Committee meeting at 11:30 a.m.

Secretary: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

#### DRAFT MINUTES CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEETING

October 12, 2023

The Agricultural Pool Committee meeting was held at the Chino Basin Watermaster offices located at 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA, and via Zoom (conference call and web meeting) on October 12, 2023.

#### AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Bob Feenstra, Chair Gino Filippi for Ron LaBrucherie Steven Raughley Jimmy Medrano Dairy Crops County of San Bernardino State of California – CDCR

#### AGRICULTURAL POOL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Jeff Pierson, Vice-Chair Ruben Llamas Nathan deBoom Henry DeHaan John Huitsing Geoffrey Venden Heuvel for Ron Pietersma Tariq Awan Diana Frederick for Leon Kazandjian

#### WATERMASTER STAFF PRESENT

Peter Kavounas Edgar Tellez Foster Anna Nelson Justin Nakano Frank Yoo Alexandria Moore Ruby Favela Kelli Hills Alonso Jurado Jordan Garcia Erik Vides Crops Crops Dairy Dairy Dairy Dairy State of California – CDCR State of California – DOJ

General Manager Water Resources Mgmt. and Planning Dir. Director of Administration Water Resources Technical Manager Data Services and Judgment Reporting Mgr. Executive Assistant I/Board Clerk Administrative Analyst Office Specialist/Receptionist Water Resource Associate Senior Field Operations Specialist Field Operations Specialist

#### WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Mike Gardner

Western Municipal Water District

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP

#### WATERMASTER CONSULTANTS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER

Laura Yraceburu Andy Malone

#### WATERMASTER CONSULTANTS PRESENT ON ZOOM

Garrett Rapp

#### **OTHERS PRESENT AT WATERMASTER**

Tracy Egoscue Richard Rees

#### **OTHERS PRESENT ON ZOOM**

Carol Boyd Marilyn Levin West Yost

Egoscue Law Group, Inc. WSP USA

West Yost

State of California – DOJ State of California – DOJ

# CALL TO ORDER

Chair Feenstra called the Agricultural Pool Committee meeting to order at 1:36 p.m.

## ROLL CALL

(0:00:07) Ms. Moore conducted the roll call and announced that a quorum was present.

#### **AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER**

(0:01:31) Chair Feenstra gave a special recognition for Mr. Hedinga of Sierra Farms who recently passed away.

(0:03:35) Ms. Egoscue requested the recording secretary to call Mr. Geoffrey Vandel Heuvel instead of Mr. Ron Pietersma, Mr. Gino Fillipi instead of Mr. Ron LaBrucherie, and Ms. Diana Frederick instead of Mr. Leon Kazandjian for roll call.

(0:04:03) Ms. Egoscue suggested that account ending 8471 monies to move to account ending 8470 \$10,993.67.

(0:05:41)

Motion by Vice-Chair Jeff Pierson, seconded by Mr. Nathan deBoom, and passed by unanimous roll call vote as attached to these minutes.

*Moved to approve the Agenda – Addition/Reorder as shown above.* 

#### I. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and noncontroversial and will be acted upon by one motion in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion on these items prior to voting unless any members, staff, or the public requests specific items be discussed and/or removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

#### A. MINUTES

Approve as presented: Minutes of the Agricultural Pool Committee Meeting held on September 14, 2023.

#### **B. FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Receive and file as presented: Monthly Financial Reports for the Reporting Periods Ended July 31, 2023 and August 31, 2023.

#### C. APPLICATION: RECHARGE - FONTANA WATER COMPANY

Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Board to approve Fontana Water Company's application for Recharge and Direct Watermaster staff to account for the same.

(0:10:04)

Motion by Mr. Nathan deBoom, seconded by Mr. Ruben Llamas, and passed by unanimous roll call vote as attached to these minutes.

#### Moved to approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

#### II. BUSINESS ITEMS

# A. OLD BUSINESS

1. Estimates of Fiscal Year 2022/23 Storm Flows

(0:11:55) Mr. Kavounas prefaced and introduced Mr. Rapp to give a report.

# III. <u>REPORTS/UPDATES</u>

# A. WATERMASTER LEGAL COUNSEL

- 1. Court of Appeal Case No. E079052 (City of Chino, MVIC, MVWD, City of Ontario appeal re OAP Expenses and Attorney Fees)
- 2. Court of Appeal Consolidated Case Nos. E080457 and E082127 (City of Ontario appeal re 2021-22 and 2022-23 Assessment Packages)
- 3. Court of Appeal Case No. E080533 (Cities of Chino, Ontario appeal re 2022-23 Watermaster budget expenses to support CEQA analysis)
- 4. Kaiser Permanente Lawsuit

(0:21:07) Ms. Yraceburu gave a report on behalf of Mr. Herrema. A discussion ensued.

# **B. ENGINEER**

- 1. GLMC Update
- 2. Long Term Planning Activities
- 3. Mitigation Plan for the Temporary Loss of Hydraulic Control
- 4. Annual Streamflow Monitoring Report for Water Rights Permit 21225
- 5. Watermaster Model Application and Required Demonstrations
- 6. Annual Plumes Status Report

(0:32:02) Mr. Malone gave a report on items 1 and 6, Mr. Rapp gave a report on item 2 and 4, and Mr. Tellez Foster on item 3. A discussion ensued.

### C. GENERAL MANAGER

- 1. Court Tour of Chino Basin
- 2. OBMPU CEQA Process
- 3. Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package
- 4. Other

(1:05:16) Mr. Kavounas gave an overview of the Chino Basin Tour with Judge Ochoa and stated the recording and transcripts are available on Watermaster website. Mr. Kavounas introduced Mr. Tellez Foster to give a report on item 2. On item 3, Mr. Kavounas reminded everyone of the upcoming Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package workshops. A discussion ensued.

### IV. POOL DISCUSSION

- 1. Chairman's Update
- 2. Pool Member Comments

(1:19:40) Chair Feenstra announced the new process for invoices indicating that Ms. Favela Quintero will cut checks to be reviewed by Ms. Nelson, with oversight by Watermaster's accounting consultant, Mr. Scott Nelsen of Eide Bailly.

### V. OTHER BUSINESS

None

### IV. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

A Confidential Session may be held during the Pool Committee meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action.

The Pool convened into confidential session at 3:02 p.m. to discuss the following:

- 1. Court of Appeal Matters
- 2. Judge Tour
- 3. Strategic Planning

Confidential session concluded at 3:39 p.m. with no reportable action.

#### ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:39 p.m. as shown in Attachment 3 below.

Secretary: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved:

Attachments:

- 1. 20231012 Roll Call Vote Outcome for Agenda Additions/Reorder
- 2. 20231012 Roll Call Vote Outcome for Consent Calendar
- 3. 20231012 Email from Pool Counsel adjourning the meeting.

#### 20231012 Roll Call Vote Outcome

Member	Alternate	Agenda - Additions/Reorder
Gino Filippi for LaBrucherie, Jr., Ron		Yes
Pierson, Jeff, Vice-Chair*		Yes
deBoom, Nathan*		Yes
DeHaan, Henry*		Yes
Huitsing, John*		Yes
Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel for Pietersma, Ron*		Yes
Llamas, Ruben*		Yes
Raughley, Steven		Yes
Awan, Tariq*		Yes
Diana Frederick for Kazandjian, Leon*		Yes
Medrano, Jimmy*		Yes
Feenstra, Bob - Chair		Yes
	OUTCOME:	Passed Unanimously by those present

\*Participated via Zoom

#### 20231012 Roll Call Vote Outcome

Member	Alternate	Consent Calendar
Gino Filippi for LaBrucherie, Jr., Ron		Yes
Pierson, Jeff, Vice-Chair*		Yes
deBoom, Nathan*		Yes
DeHaan, Henry*		Yes
Huitsing, John*		Yes
Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel for Pietersma, Ron*		Yes
Llamas, Ruben*		Yes
Raughley, Steven		Yes
Awan, Tariq*		Yes
Diana Frederick for Kazandjian, Leon*		Yes
Medrano, Jimmy*		Yes
Feenstra, Bob - Chair		Yes
	OUTCOME:	Passed Unanimously by those present

\*Participated via Zoom

From:	Tracy Egoscue
То:	Alexandria Moore
Subject:	Ag Pool Closed Session
Date:	Thursday, October 12, 2023 3:40:36 PM

The Confidential Session for the Ag Pool ended at 3:39pm with no reportable action.

Thank you.

Tracy J. Egoscue (she/her/hers) Egoscue Law Group, Inc. 562.988.5978 office 562.981.4866 cell tracy@egoscuelaw.com www.egoscuelaw.com

"CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Do not read this e-mail if you are not the intended recipient. This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately advise us by reply e-mail, by forwarding this to tracy@egoscuelaw.com or by calling (562) 988-5978, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving them in any manner. Thank you."

--



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

# STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 2023

TO: Watermaster Committees and Board

SUBJECT: Monthly Financial Reports (For the reporting period ended September 30, 2023) (Consent Calendar Item I.B.)

#### SUMMARY

<u>Issue</u>: Record of Monthly Financial Reports for the reporting period ended September 30, 2023) [Normal Course of Business]

<u>Recommendation</u>: Receive and file Monthly Financials Reports for the reporting period ended September 30, 2023) as presented.

Financial Impact: None.

Future Consideration

Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Receive and File Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Receive and File Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Receive and File Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Receive and File Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023: Receive and File

ACTIONS:

Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

A monthly reporting packet is provided to keep all members apprised of Watermaster revenues, expenditures, and other financial activity. Monthly reports include the following:

- 1. Cash Disbursements Summarized report of all payments made during the reporting month.
- 2. Credit Card Expense Detail Detail report of all credit card activity during the reporting month.
- 3. Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses & Changes in Net Assets Detail report of all revenue and expense activity for the fiscal YTD, summarized by pool category.
- 4. Treasurer's Report Summary of Watermaster investments holdings and anticipated earnings as of month end.
- 5. Budget to Actual Report Detail report of actual revenue and expense activity, shown for reporting month and YTD, comparatively to the adopted budget.
- Monthly Variance Report & Supplemental Schedules Supporting schedule providing explanation for major budget variances. Also provides several additional tables detailing pool fund balance, salaries expense, legal expense, and engineering expense.

#### DISCUSSION

Detailed explanation of major variances and other additional information can be found on the "Monthly Variance Report & Supplemental Schedules."

Watermaster staff is happy to provide additional explanation or respond to any questions on these reports.

#### ATTACHMENTS

1. Monthly Financial Reports (September 30, 2023)



# Chino Basin Watermaster Cash Disbursements September 2023

Date	Number	Vendor Name	Description	ļ	Amount
09/06/2023	24301	ACWA JOINT POWERS INSURANCE AUTHORITY	0700257	\$	529.97
09/06/2023	24302	EIDE BAILLY LLP	EI01549824	•	2.536.75
09/06/2023	24303	EMPOWER LAB	2874		500.00
09/06/2023	24304	READY REFRESH	0023230253		107.03
09/06/2023	24305	STANDARD INSURANCE CO.	Policy # 00-649299-0009		996.57
09/06/2023	24306	UNION 76	7076-2245-3035-5049		194.34
09/06/2023	24307	UNITED HEALTHCARE	052583832657		1,085.51
09/06/2023	24308	USAFACT, INC.			114.27
09/06/2023	24309	VISION SERVICE PLAN	818618769		146.38
09/06/2023	ACH-9-06-23	CALPERS	CalPERS ACH payment 09.06.23		13,201.69
09/06/2023	ACH 9-15-23	ADP, LLC	ADP Tax Service for 08/05/23-639489531		282.85
09/06/2023	ACH 9-15-23	ADP, LLC	ADP Tax Service for 08/19/23-639489531		540.65
09/06/2023	ACH-9-06-23	HEALTH EQUITY	Health Equity Invoice		42.89
09/08/2023	24310	TOM DODSON & ASSOCIATES	CB271 23-7		19,072.30
09/08/2023	24311	WEST YOST			174,498.75
09/12/2023	24312	ACWA JOINT POWERS INSURANCE AUTHORITY	0700528		494.03
09/12/2023	24313	APPLIED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	35827		4,250.00
09/12/2023	24314	C.J. BROWN & COMPANY, CPAs	August 2023 Services		6,440.00
09/12/2023	24315	CALIFORNIA BANK & TRUST	Account ending 6198		5,257.49
09/12/2023	24316		400/8188		187.62
09/12/2023	24317		Reimbursement - Judge Tour Dry-Run Van rental		290.57
09/12/2023	24318	LAW UFFICE UF ALLEN W. HUBSCH			3,410.00
09/12/2023	24319		348242		100.00
09/12/2023	24320	SKILLPATH SEMINARS	8138308		698.00
09/12/2023	24321		A		4,202.37
09/12/2023	24322		ACCL: 1000007001		2,800.02
09/12/2023	24323		0013 Poimhurooment CA Weter Summit / Judge Tour Dry Pun		3,000.00
09/12/2023	24324 24325		Reinibursement - CA Water Summit / Judge Tour Dry-Run		1 355 00
09/12/2023	24323				8 157 59
09/12/2023	ΔΩΗ-9-12-23	ΗΕΔΙ ΤΗ ΕΩΙΙΙΤΥ	Health Fauity Invoice		70 00
03/12/2023	24327		8467		13 450 00
09/15/2023	24328	BOWCOCK BOBERT			750.00
09/15/2023	24329	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK			130,232,23
09/15/2023	24330	BURRTEC WASTE INDUSTRIES, INC.	Customer 136525395		160.73
09/15/2023	24331	CORELOGIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS	Invoice 82187173		125.00
09/15/2023	24332	CURATALO, JAMES			1,000.00
09/15/2023	24333	ELIE, STEVEN			250.00
09/15/2023	24334	FILIPPI, GINO			375.00
09/15/2023	24335	GEYE, BRIAN			1,000.00
09/15/2023	24336	KUHN, BOB			750.00
09/15/2023	24337	PIERSON, JEFFREY			750.00
09/15/2023	24338	SPECTRUM ENTERPRISE	6053		1,106.73
09/15/2023	24339	VERIZON WIRELESS	Acct: 470810953-00002		325.35
09/19/2023	ACH-9-19-23	HEALTH EQUITY	Health Equity Invoice		55.92
09/22/2023	ACH-9-22-23	HEALTH EQUITY	Health Equity Invoice		107.75
09/26/2023	24340	APTUS COURT REPORTING			895.00
09/26/2023	24341	BLUERIDGE SOFTWARE, INC.	Invoice 11034		629.82
09/26/2023	24342	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT			15,235.30
09/26/2023	24343	EMPUWERLAB	Invoice 2905		3,000.00
09/26/2023	24344	FRUNTIER COMMUNICATIONS	909-484-3890-050914-5		228.50
09/26/2023	24345	GREAT AMERICA LEASING CORP.	Inv. 3488/808		1,/94.74
09/26/2023	24346		111802		161.40
09/26/2023	24347		0000 0000 0016 0051		2,250.00
09/20/2023	24348		0000-3030-0010-30301		501.13
00/26/2023	24349	FILINET DUWES BLUBAL FINANUIAL SVUS.	111V. 31002/0021		37.92
03/20/2023 00/26/2022	24000		111VULE 00440 0033320353	¢	32.00
03/20/2023	24001	NEAD F NEI NEON	0023230233	φ	43.00



# Chino Basin Watermaster Cash Disbursements September 2023

Date	Number	Vendor Name	Description	Amount
09/26/2023	24352	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON	6023	\$ 115.33
09/26/2023	24353	SPECTRUM ENTERPRISE	6053	1,106.73
09/26/2023	24354	STANDARD INSURANCE CO.	Policy # 00-649299-0009	762.72
09/26/2023	24355	VC3, INC.	Invoice. 159596	1,413.75
09/26/2023	24356	VERIZON WIRELESS	Acct: 642073270-00002	38.01
09/26/2023	ACH-9-26-23	HEALTH EQUITY	Health Equity Invoice	958.36
09/29/2023	24357	ALEXANDRIA MOORE	Reimbursement for Admin lunch with CVWD & IEUA	117.39
09/29/2023	24358	OFFICE & ERGONOMIC SOLUTIONS, INC.	Proposal #4355 Deposit Payment	1,509.21
09/29/2023	24359	TOM DODSON & ASSOCIATES	CB271 23-8	10,035.00

Total for Month \$ 446,156.83



# Chino Basin Watermaster Credit Card Expense Detail September 2023

Date	Number	Vendor Name	Description	Amount
09/01/2023	24315	CALIFORNIA BANK & TRUST		
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(251.60)
		6111 · Membership Dues	Amazon Membership	(189.44)
		6054 · Computer Software	Visio Plan 2	(14.74)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Water Quality Meeting - Jersey Mike's	(46.75)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(15.84)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(487.43)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(26.45)
		6111 · Membership Dues	Adobe membership	(235.60)
		6112 · Subscriptions/Publications	Yearly Fee - Doodle	(81.91)
		6112 · Subscriptions/Publications	Doodle transaction fee	(2.46)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Meeting - Coco's Bakery A/P	(45.00)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Meeting -Coco's Bakery	(45.28)
		6312 · Meeting Expenses	Meeting - S. Elie, P. Kavounas	(23.05)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Meeting - J. Bosler, P. Kavounas	(28.45)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Meeting - OPS	(124.89)
		6173 · Airfare/Mileage	Data Conference Airfare - ETF	(430.15)
		6191 · Conferences - General	CA Data Water Summit Conference Hotel - F. Yoo	(566.11)
		6111 · Membership Dues	SHRM - membership A. Nelson	(239.65)
		6061.2 · Bamboo HR Consultant	BambooHR	(219.35)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Payroll Lunch Meeting	(58.44)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Retirement meeting	(51.07)
		6154 · Uniforms	Lands End- Jordan Garcia uniform	(126.15)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(126.89)
		6312 · Meeting Expenses	CA Groundwater Coalition Board Meeting	(73.60)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Retirement gift	(238.64)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(360.57)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(298.16)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(9.47)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(702.65)
		6312 · Meeting Expenses	Board Orientation Meeting	(22.18)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(12.96)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(12.69)
		6031.7 · Other Office Supplies	Misc. Office Supplies	(28.99)
		6141 · Meeting Expenses	Retirement meeting	(60.88)
				(5,257.49)

Total for Month \$ (5,257.49)



# Chino Basin Watermaster Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses & Changes in Net Assets For the Period of July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023

			TOTAL	POOL ADMINISTR	ATION & SPECIAL	. PROJECTS			
	JUDGMENT ADMIN.	OPTIMUM BASIN MGMT.	JUDGMENT ADMIN & OBMP	AP POOL	OAP POOL	ONAP POOL	GROUND WATER REPLENISH.	GRAND TOTALS	ADOPTED BUDGET 2023-2024
Administrative Revenues:									
Administrative Assessments	\$-\$	- \$	-	\$-\$	- \$	-	\$-\$	- \$	9,314,915
Interest Revenue	-	50,548	50,548	209	7,093	302	9,004	67,157	312,500
Mutual Agency Project Revenue	186,412	-	186,412	-	-	-	-	186,412	186,412
Miscellaneous Income		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Administrative Revenues	186,412	50,548	236,960	209	7,093	302	9,004	253,569	9,813,827
Administrative & Project Expenditures:									
Watermaster Administration	912,675	-	912,675	-	-	-	-	912,675	2,993,430
Watermaster Board-Advisory Committee	49,174	-	49,174	-	-	-	-	49,174	366,923
Optimum Basin Mgmt Administration	-	247,203	247,203	-	-	-	-	247,203	1,215,309
OBMP Project Costs	-	482,280	482,280	-	-	-	-	482,280	5,409,723
Pool Legal Services	-	-	-	-	16,750	5,352	-	22,102	98,642
Pool Meeting Compensation	-	-	-	-	4,125	1,125	-	5,250	12,820
Pool Special Projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,994
Pool Administration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	329,067
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,665,475
Agricultural Expense Transfer <sup>1</sup>	-	-	-	20,875	(20,875)	-	-	-	-
Total Administrative Expenses	961,849	729,482	1,691,331	20,875	-	6,477	-	1,718,683	12,102,382
Net Ordinary Income	(775,437)	(678,934)	(1,454,371)	(20,666)	7,093	(6,175)	9,004	(1,465,114)	(2,288,555)
Other Income/(Expense)									
Renlenishment Water Assessments	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
RTS Charges from IFUA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refund-Basin 0&M Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refund-Recharge Debt Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Other Income/(Expense)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Transfers To//From) Reserves	\$ (775.437) \$	(678 934) \$	(1 454 371)	\$ (20,666) \$	7 093 \$	(6 175)	\$ 9,004 \$	(1 465 114) \$	(2 288 555)
	¢ (110/101/ ¢	(010,001) +		¢ (20,000) ¢	1,000 ¢	(0/110/	÷ 0,001 ¢	(1/100/111/ 4	
	Net Assets, July 1, 2023	3	9,768,099	41,205	1,343,226	57,841	1,715,286	12,925,657	
	Net Assets, End of Peri	iod	8,313,728	20,539	1,350,319	51,666	1,724,290	11,460,543	
	Ag Pool Appaparents (	Outotonding <sup>2</sup>			(721 122)				
	Ag Pool Fund Balance	Juisianunny		¢	(731,123) 619 196				
	Ay I OUI I UNU DAIAIICE			ş	013,130				

<sup>1</sup> Fund balance transfer as agreed to in the Peace Agreement.

<sup>2</sup>Outstanding balance of Agricultural Pool Special Assessments

**ATTACHMENT 1** 



# Chino Basin Watermaster Treasurer's Report September 2023

	Туре	Monthly Yield	Cost	Market	% Total
Cash & Investments					
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) *	Investment	3.53%	\$ 7,484,062	\$ 7,381,588	61.6%
CA CLASS Prime Fund **	Investment	5.48%	4,043,981	\$ 4,043,559	33.7%
Bank of America	Checking		563,422	563,422	4.7%
Bank of America	Payroll		-	-	0.0%
Total Cash & Investments			\$ 12,091,465	\$ 11,988,569	100.0%

\* The LAIF Market Value factor is updated quarterly in September, December, March, and June.

\*\* The CLASS Prime Fund Net Asset Value factor is updated monthly.

#### **Certification**

I certify that (1) all investment actions executed since the last report have been made in full compliance with Chino Basin Watermaster's Investment Policy, and (2) Funds on hand are sufficient to meet all foreseen and planned administrative and project expenditures for the next six months.

#### Anna Nelson, Director of Administration

Prepared By: Scott Nelsen, CGFM (Eide Bailly CPAs)



# **Chino Basin Watermaster** Budget to Actual For the Period July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023

		September 2024	YTD Actual	FY 24 Adopted	\$ Over / (Under)	% of Budget
1	Administration Poyonuo			Budget	Budget	
1	Administration Revenue	¢ ¢	106 / 12	¢ 106/112	¢	1000/
2	Admin Accordments Appropriative Pool	ა - ა	100,412		ው - /0.006.165/	100%
3 1	Admin Assessments-Appropriative Foor	-	-	0,000,100	(0,000,100)	0%
4 5	Admin Assessments-Agricultural Pool	-	-	420,750	(420,750)	U 70
J	Tetal Administration Bevorus		100 /12	0 501 227	(0.214.015)	11/A
0		-	100,412	9,001,327	(5,314,515)	∠ 70
1	Uther Revenue					
8	Appropriative Pool-Replenishment	-	-	-	-	N/A
9	Non-Ag Pool-Replenishment	-	-	-	-	N/A
10	Interest income	20,747	67,157	312,500	(245,343)	21%
11	Miscellaneous Income	-	-	-	-	N/A
12	lotal other Revenue	20,747	67,157	312,500	(245,343)	21%
13	Total Revenue	20,747	253,569	9,813,827	(9,560,258)	3%
14	Judgment Administration Expense					
15	Judgment Administration	59,368	99,823	721,698	(621,875)	14%
16	Admin. Salary/Benefit Costs	210,278	475,863	1,413,610	(937,747)	34%
17	Office Building Expense	17,998	57,635	208,510	(150,875)	28%
18	Office Supplies & Equip.	5,531	16,222	49,438	(33,216)	33%
19	Postage & Printing Costs	3,289	6,564	33,806	(27,242)	19%
20	Information Services	16,680	35,169	199,818	(164,649)	18%
21	Contract Services	9,388	17,311	60,200	(42,889)	29%
22	Watermaster Legal Services	85,534	166,127	565,964	(399,837)	29%
23	Insurance	-	46,256	50,468	(4,212)	92%
24	Dues and Subscriptions	763	17,133	40,027	(22,894)	43%
25	Watermaster Administrative Expenses	3,390	4,162	7,550	(3,388)	55%
26	Field Supplies	128	320	3,200	(2,880)	10%
27	Travel & Transportation	3,038	6,933	29,570	(22,637)	23%
28	Training, Conferences, Seminars	4,934	6,084	50,400	(44,316)	12%
29	Advisory Committee Expenses	8,449	13,835	105,823	(91,988)	13%
30	Watermaster Board Expenses	31,660	35,339	261,100	(225,761)	14%
31	ONAP - WM & Administration	3,401	4,173	108,194	(104,021)	4%
32	OAP - WM & Administration	6,021	7,454	108,700	(101,246)	7%
33	Appropriative Pool- WM & Administration	7,540	12,596	112,173	(99,577)	11%
34	Allocated G&A Expenditures	(31,270)	(67,151)	(440,829)	373,678	15%
35	Total Judgment Administration Expense	446,119	961,849	3,689,420	(2,727,570)	26%
36	Optimum Basin Management Plan (OBMP)					
37	Optimum Basin Management Plan	130,829	247,203	1,215,309	(968,107)	20%
38	Groundwater Level Monitoring	39,992	76,647	459,625	(382,978)	17%
39	Program Element (PE)2- Comp Recharge	24,345	58,390	1,672,577	(1,614,186)	3%
40	PE3&5-Water Supply/Desalte	850	1,484	105,677	(104,193)	1%
41	PE4- Management Plan	33,240	54,124	817,643	(763,519)	7%
42	PE6&7-CoopEfforts/SaltMgmt	63,843	99,115	1,117,623	(1,018,509)	9%
43	PE8&9-StorageMgmt/Conj Use	64,469	125,369	795,750	(670,381)	16%
44	Recharge Improvements	-	-	1,665,475	(1,665,475)	0%
45	Administration Expenses Allocated-OBMP	18,500	35,449	222,160	(186,711)	16%
46	Administration Expenses Allocated-PE 1-9	12,770	31,702	218,669	(186,967)	14%
47	Total OBMP Expense	388,837	729,482	8,290,508	(7,561,025)	9%
48	Pool Administration					
49	Appropriative Pool-Legal Services	-	-	-	-	N/A
50	OAP Legal & Technical Services	13,450	16,750	41,676	(24,926)	40%
51	OAP Meeting Compensation	2,625	4,125	11,945	(7,820)	35%
52	OAP Expense - Special Projects	-	-	10,994	(10,994)	0%
53	ONAP - Legal Services	3,410	5,352	56,966	(51,614)	9%
53	ONAP - Meeting Compensation	1,125	1,125	875	250	129%
54	Total Pool Administration	20,610	27,352	122,455	(95,103)	22%
56	Other Expense					
57	Groundwater Replenishment	-	-	-	-	N/A
58	Refund-Recharge Debt-Approp.		-	-	-	N/A
59	Total Other Expense	-	-	-	-	N/A
60	Total Expenses	855.566	1,718.683	12,102,382	(10.383.699)	14%
61	Increase / (Decrease) to Record	\$ ( <u>924 910</u> )	(1 /65 114)	\$ (2.200 EEE)	\$ 922 444	
01	increase / (Decrease) to Reserves	Page 2:	(1,465,114)	<del>ə</del> (2,288,555)	<del>ə</del> 823,441	



# **Budget to Actual**

The Budget to Actual report summarizes the operating and non-operating revenues and expenses of Chino Basin Watermaster for the fiscal year-to-date (YTD). Columns are included for current monthly and YTD activity shown comparatively to the FY 24 adopted budget. The final two columns indicate the amount over or under budget, and the YTD percentage of total budget used. As of September 30<sup>th</sup>, the target budget percentage is generally 25%.

# Revenues

**Lines 1-6 Administration Revenue** – Includes local agency subsidies and administrative assessment for the appropriative, agricultural and non-agricultural pools. Below is a summary of notable account variances at month end:

- Line 2 Local Agency Subsidies is at 100% of budget due to annual administrative assessment received from Metropolitan Water District.
- Lines 3-5 Administrative Assessments for the three pools have no activity YTD due to timing of annual assessments. Assessments for all pools are prepared in November of each year.

**Lines 7-12 Other Revenue** – Includes pool replenishment assessments, interest income and other miscellaneous income.

# Expenses

**Lines 14-35 Judgment Administration Expense** – Includes Watermaster general administrative expenses, contract services, insurance, office and other administrative expenses. Below is a summary of notable account variances at month end:

- Line 23 Insurance includes general liability insurance, directors and officers liability, municipalities coverage, environmental pollution liability and other various insurance policies. YTD is at 92% of budget due to timing of annual renewals for the directors' and officers' policy and municipalities coverage.
- Line 24 Dues and Subscriptions is at 43% of budget due to timing of annual dues for ACWA and CA Groundwater Coalition.

**Lines 36-47 Optimum Basin Management Plan (OBMP) Expense** – Includes legal, engineering, groundwater level monitoring, allocated administrative expenses, and other expenses.

**Lines 48-54 Pool Administration Expenses** – Includes expense activity relating to pool specific fund balances. These include legal services for each pool, Ag pool meeting compensation, and Ag pool special projects.

**Lines 56-59 Other Expense** – Includes groundwater replenishment, and various refunds as appropriate. YTD there has been no activity.



# **Pool Services Fund Accounting**

Each Pool has a fund account created to pay their own legal service invoices. The legal services invoices are funded and paid using the fund accounts (8467 for the Overlying Agricultural Pool (OAP), 8567 for the Overlying Non-Agricultural Pool (ONAP), and 8367 for the Appropriate Pool (AP)). Along with the legal services fund account for the OAP (8467), the OAP also has two other fund accounts for Ag Pool Meeting Attendance expenses (8470), and Special Projects expenses (8471). The ONAP also have a meeting compensation fund account (8511) Additionally, the OAP has a reserve fund that is held by Watermaster and spent at the direction of the OAP. These fund accounts are replenished at the direction of each Pool, and the legal service invoices are approved by the Pool leadership and when paid by Watermaster, are deducted from the existing fund account balances. If the fund account for any pool reaches zero, no further payments can be paid from the fund and a replenishment action must be initiated by the pool.

The following tables detail the fund balance accounts as of August 31,2023 (continued next page):

Fund Balance For Non-Agricultural Pool Account 8567 - Legal Services			Fund Balance For Appropriative Pool Account 8367 - Legal Services		
Beginning Balance July 1, 2023:	\$	56,965.90	Beginning Balance July 1, 2023:	\$	(12,415.36)
Additions.		302 16	Additions.		209.49
Pool Invoices issued		-	Outstanding invoice payments received		-
Subtotal Additions:		302.16	Subtotal Additions:	_	209.49
Reductions:			Reductions:		
Invoices paid July 2023 - September 2023		(5,352.00)	Invoices paid July 2023 - September 2023		-
Subtotal Reductions:		(5,352.00)	Subtotal Reductions:		-
Available Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023	\$	51,916.06	Available Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023	\$	(12,205.87) *
			*Negative due to accrued portion of legal services for June 2023		
Fund Balance For Non-Agricultural Pool Account 8511 - Meeting Compensation					
Beginning Balance July 1, 2020:	\$	875.00			
Subtotal Additions:		-			
Reductions:					
Compensation paid July 2023 - September 2023 Subtotal Reductions:	_	(1,125.00) (1,125.00)			
Available Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023	\$	(250.00)			



# Pool Services Fund Accounting – Cont.

Fund Balance for Agricultural Pool Account 8467 - Legal Services		Agricultural Pool Reserve Funds As shown on the Combining Schedules	_	
Beginning Balance July 1, 2023: Additions:	\$ 41,675.63	Beginning Balance July 1, 2023: Additions:	\$	612,103.32
Ag Pool Legal invoices issued FY23/24	-	YTD Interest earned on Ag Pool Funds FY 24		7,093.10
Total Additions:	 -	Transfer of Funds from AP to Special Fund for Legal Service Invoices		16,750.00
		Total Additions:		23,843.10
Reductions:		Reductions:		
Invoices paid July 2023 - September 2023	 (16,750.00)	Invoices paid July 2023 - September 2023		(16,750.00)
Subtotal Reductions:	 (16,750.00)	Total Reductions		(16,750.00)
Available Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023	\$ 24,925.63	Agricultural Pool Reserve Funds Balance as of Aug. 31, 2023:	\$	619,196.42
Fund Balance For Agricultural Pool Account 8470 - Meeting Compensation		Fund Balance For Agricultural Pool Account 8471 - Special Projects	_	
Beginning Balance July 1, 2023:	\$ 950.98	Beginning Balance July 1, 2023:	\$	10,993.67
Additions:		Additions:		
FY 2023/24 Budget - Not yet invoiced	-	FY 2023/24 Budget - Not yet invoiced		-
Budget Transfers <sup>1</sup>	 10,993.67	Subtotal Additions:		-
Subtotal Additions:	10,993.67			
	 	Reductions:		
Reductions:		Invoices paid July 2023 - September 2023		-
Compensation paid July 2023 - September 2023	 (4,125.00)	Budget Transfers <sup>1</sup>		(10,993.67)
	(			(10 002 C7)

7,819.65

\$

Available Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023

<sup>1</sup>Per action taken at September pool committee meeting.

<sup>1</sup>Per action taken at September pool committee meeting.

Available Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023

\$



# Watermaster Salary Expenses

The following table details the Year-To-Date (YTD) Actual Watermaster burdened salary costs compared to the FY 24 adopted budget. The "\$ Over Budget" and the "% of Budget" columns are a comparison of the YTD actual to the annual budget. As of September 30th, the target budget percentage is generally 25%.

	Year to Date	FY 23-24	\$ Over /	% of
	Actual	Budget	(Under) Budget	Budget
WM Salary Expense				
5901.1 · Judgment Admin - Doc. Review	12,888	82,794	(69,906)	15.6%
5901.3 · Judgment Admin - Field Work	2,314	7,760	(5,446)	29.8%
5901.5 · Judgment Admin - General	18,920	60,129	(41,209)	31.5%
5901.7 · Judgment Admin - Meeting	1,870	2,633	(763)	71.0%
5901.9 · Judgment Admin - Reporting	-	31,033	(31,033)	0.0%
5910 · Judgment Admin - Court Coord./Attendance	7,550	19,098	(11,548)	39.5%
5911 · Judgment Admin - Exhibit G	-	2,370	(2,370)	0.0%
5921 · Judgment Admin - Production Monitoring	2,892	11,322	(8,430)	25.5%
5931 · Judgment Admin - Recharge Applications	-	4,634	(4,634)	0.0%
5941 · Judgment Admin - Reporting	-	1,316	(1,316)	0.0%
5951 · Judgment Admin - Rules & Regs	-	12,726	(12,726)	0.0%
5961 · Judgment Admin - Safe Yield	612	26,330	(25,718)	2.3%
5971 · Judgment Admin - Storage Agreements	-	4,739	(4,739)	0.0%
5981 · Judgment Admin - Water Accounting/Datab	34,213	109,793	(75,580)	31.2%
5991 · Judgment Admin - Water Transactions	979	8,688	(7,709)	11.3%
6011.1 · WM Staff Salaries - Overtime	-	-	-	0.0%
6011.4 · 457(f) NQDC Plan	7,074	55,467	(48,393)	12.8%
6011.10 · Admin - Accounting	75,480	367,685	(292,205)	20.5%
6011.15 · Admin - Building Admin	1,399	18,359	(16,960)	7.6%
6011.20 · Admin - Conference/Seminars	7,407	57,083	(49,676)	13.0%
6011.25 · Admin - Document Review	531	6,846	(6,315)	7.8%
6011.50 · Admin - General	141,302	569,850	(428,548)	24.8%
6011.60 · Admin - HR	33,474	43,489	(10,015)	77.0%
6011.70 · Admin - IT	14,391	53,975	(39,584)	26.7%
6011.80 · Admin - Meeting	12,862	90,440	(77,578)	14.2%
6011.90 · Admin - Team Building	2,008	41,304	(39,296)	4.9%
6011.95 · Admin - Training (Give/Receive)	5,469	34,312	(28,843)	15.9%
6017 Temporary Services	-	24,000	(24,000)	0.0%
6201 Advisory Committee	11,956	55,149	(43,193)	21.7%
6301 · Watermaster Board	15,858	61,818	(45,960)	25.7%
8301 · Appropriative Pool	7,144	53,761	(46,617)	13.3%
8401 Agricultural Pool	3,305	51,549	(48,244)	6.4%
8501 · Non-Agricultural Pool	1,806	50,443	(48,637)	3.6%
6901.1 · UBMP - Document Review	22,876	89,136	(66,260)	25.7%
6901.3 · UBMP - Field Work	257	7,003	(6,746)	3.7%
6901.5 · UBMP - General	16,283	124,049	(107,766)	13.1%
6901.7 · UBMP - Meeting	9,414	57,589	(48,175)	10.3%
6901.9 · UBMP - Reporting	3,220	2,370	850	130.1%
7104.1 · PET - Monitoring Program	31,198	1/1,515	(140,317)	18.2%
7201 PE28 E Water Supply/Decelter	11,025	37,923	(40,300)	20.1%
7301 1 DEE Dog Supply Weter Bram	-	4,/51	(4,791)	0.0%
7301.1 · PE3 - Reg. Supply Water Frgm.	- 27	2,033	(2,033)	0.0%
7401 · FE4 - MZI Subsidence Mynic Flan	1 000	13,033	(13,020)	0.2 %
7501 · FEO - COOP. Flograms/Sait Mynnt.	1,990	0,UZ7 6 502	(0,037)	24.0 %
7501.1 · FE 7 - Sait Nutrient Mynit. Flan 7601. BE98.0. Storage Martt /Beegvory	409	0,362	(0,123)	10.070
Subtotal W/M Staff Costa	E22 /2/	2 576 797	(9,840)	12.3% 200/
60184 1 . Administrative Loovo	322,434	<b>2,310,101</b> 6 700	(2,004,303)	2070
60105 . Vacation	- ספד חד	0,/99	(0,739)	0.0%
60105 ' Vacation	1 10/	119,130	(40,394)	ປປ.4 % 100 00/
ouros.r · comp rime 60196 - Sick Loovo	1,194	-	1,194 /דכר סד/	100.0% E 20/
00100 ' SICK LEAVE 60107 - Holidove	4,390	ŏ3,123	(10,121)	0.0%
Subtotal WM Paid Leaves	-	200.052	(100 700)	0.0%
Jupitial WW Solom Costo	/0,320 509 760	203,032	(132,720)	31 7/0 21 E0/



# Engineering

The following table details the Year-To-Date (YTD) Actual Engineering costs compared to the FY 24 adopted budget. The "\$ Over Budget" and the "% of Budget" columns are a comparison of the YTD actual to the annual budget. As of September 30th, the target budget percentage is generally 25%.

	Year to Date Actual	FY 23-24 Budget	\$ Over / (Under) Budget	% of Budget
Engineering Services Costs				
5901.8 · Judgment Admin - Meetings-Engineering Services	\$-	\$ 45,097	\$ (45,097)	0.0%
5906.1 · Judgment Admin - Watermaster Model Update	-	41,235	(41,235)	0.0%
5906.71 · Judgment Admin - Data Requests-CBWM Staff	8,413	126,204	(117,792)	6.7%
5906.72 · Judgment Admin - Data Requests-Non-CBWM Staff	146	42,832	(42,686)	0.3%
5925 · Judgment Admin - Ag Production & Estimation	7,165	34,376	(27,212)	20.8%
5935 · Judgment Admin - Mat'l Physical Injury Requests	929	36,072	(35,143)	2.6%
5945 · Judgment Admin - WM Annual Report Preparation	932	15,416	(14,484)	6.0%
5965 · Judgment Admin - Support Data Collection & Mgmt Process	-	36,336	(36,336)	0.0%
6206 · Advisory Committee Meetings-WY Staff	1,414	23,466	(22,052)	6.0%
6306 · Watermaster Board Meetings-WY Staff	3,494	23,466	(19,972)	14.9%
8306 · Appropriative Pool Meetings-WY Staff	4,468	23,467	(18,999)	19.0%
8406 · Agricultural Pool Meetings-WY Staff	3,166	23,466	(20,300)	13.5%
8506 · Non-Agricultural Pool Meetings-WY Staff	1,384	23,466	(22,082)	5.9%
6901.8 · OBMP - Meetings-WY Staff	11,143	45,096	(33,953)	24.7%
6901.95 · OBMP - Reporting-WY Staff	13,008	57,316	(44,308)	22.7%
6906 · OBMP Engineering Services - Other	11,740	46,992	(35,252)	25.0%
6906.26 · 2020 OBMP Update	1,791	24,016	(22,225)	7.5%
7104.3 · Grdwtr Level-Engineering	43,833	256,445	(212,612)	17.1%
7104.8 · Grdwtr Level-Contracted Services	-	10,000	(10,000)	0.0%
7104.9 · Grdwtr Level-Capital Equipment	-	9,915	(9,915)	0.0%
7202 · PE2-Comp Recharge-Engineering Services	1,642	29,084	(27,443)	5.6%
7202.2 · PE2-Comp Recharge-Engineering Services	12,849	202,362	(189,513)	6.3%
7208 · SB88 Specs-Compliance-50% IEUA	-	54,012	(54,012)	0.0%
7210 · OBMP - 2023 RMPU	32,275	94,328	(62,054)	34.2%
7220 · Integrated Model Mtg./Tech. Review-50% IEUA	-	24,618	(24,618)	0.0%
7302 · PE3&5-PBHSP Monitoring Program	850	69,121	(68,271)	1.2%
7303 · PE3&5-Engineering - Other	635	15,632	(14,998)	4.1%
7306 · PE3&5-Engineering - Outside Professionals	-	6,500	(6,500)	0.0%
7402 · PE4-Engineering	17,196	262,544	(245,348)	6.6%
7402.10 · PE4-Northwest MZ1 Area Project	26,487	271,703	(245,217)	9.7%
7403 · PE4-Eng. Services-Contracted Services-InSar	10,365	175,000	(164,635)	5.9%
7406 · PE4-Engineering Services-Outside Professionals	-	76,552	(76,552)	0.0%
7408 · PE4-Engineering Services-Network Equipment	50	14,081	(14,031)	0.4%
7502 · PE6&7-Engineering	73,232	384,163	(310,931)	19.1%
7505 · PE6&7-Laboratory Services	6,510	49,164	(42,654)	13.2%
7508 · HC Mitigation Plan-50% IEUA (TO #6)	938	10,703	(9,765)	8.8%
7510 · PE6&7-IEUA Salinity Mgmt. Plan	651	34,631	(33,980)	1.9%
7511 · PE6&7-SAWBMP Task Force-50% IEUA	8,875	24,610	(15,735)	36.1%
7517 · Surface Water Monitoring Plan-Chino Creek - 50% IEUA	-	69,821	(69,821)	0.0%
7520 · Preparation of Water Quality Mgmt. Plan	1,326	157,692	(156,366)	0.8%
7610 · PE8&9-Support 2020 Mgmt. Plan	3,773	69,306	(65,533)	5.4%
7614 · PE8&9-Support Imp. Safe Yield Court Order	120,219	663,747	(543,528)	18.1%
7620 · OBMP - Evaluation of Extreme Future Planning Scenarios	-	51,130	(51,130)	0.0%
Total Engineering Services Costs	\$ 430,895	\$ 3,755,182	\$ (3,324,287)	11.5%

\* West Yost and Subcontractor Engineering Budget of \$2,884,956 plus Carryover Funds from FY 2022/23 of \$870,226



# Legal

The following table details the YTD Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck (BHFS) expenses costs compared to the FY 24 adopted budget. The "\$ Over Budget" and the "% of Budget" columns are a comparison of the YTD actual to the annual budget. As of September 30th, the target budget percentage is generally 25%.

	Yea	r to Date		FY 23-24 Budget	\$ Over / (Under) Budget	% of Pudget
6070 . Watermaster Lanal Services	P	Cluar		Бийуес	(Under) Budget	Бийуес
6071, RHES Legal Court Coordination	¢	00 267	¢	171 260	¢ (72.002)	<b>57 / 0</b> /
6072 BHES Legal - Court Coordination	φ	30,307	φ	02 000	φ (72,033) (02,000)	0.0%
6072 BHFS Legal Personnal Matters		- 24 210		52,500 10,920	(92,900)	0.070
6074 PHES Legal Interagency leaves		34,310		10,020	23,490 (42 704)	0.00/
6077 PHES Legal - Intel agency issues		- 1 205		43,704	(43,704)	0.0%
6079 PHES Legal Missellansous (Nets 1)		24.054		13,730	(12,525)	0.070 1070/
Tetel 6070 Wetermester Legal Services		160 026		233,330	(200,390)	10.7 %
Total 6070 · Watermaster Legal Services		130,030		303,304	(407,120)	20.1%
6275 · BHFS Legal - Advisory Committee		466		26,708	(26,242)	1.7%
6375 · BHFS Legal - Board Meeting		11,200		85,272	(74,072)	13.1%
6375.1 · BHFS Legal - Board Workshop(s)		-		18,499	(18,499)	0.0%
8375 · BHFS Legal - Appropriative Pool		983		33,385	(32,402)	2.9%
8475 · BHFS Legal - Agricultural Pool		983		33,385	(32,402)	2.9%
8575 · BHFS Legal - Non-Ag Pool		983		33,385	(32,402)	2.9%
Total BHFS Legal Services		14,616		230,634	(216,018)	<b>6.3</b> %
6907.3 · WM Legal Counsel						
6907.31 · Archibald South Plume		-		12,085	(12,085)	0.0%
6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume		-		12,085	(12,085)	0.0%
6907.33 · Desalter/Hydraulic Control		-		37,200	(37,200)	0.0%
6907.34 · Santa Ana River Water Rights		-		20,595	(20,595)	0.0%
6907.36 · Santa Ana River Habitat		-		30,090	(30,090)	0.0%
6907.38 · Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board		259		30,090	(29,831)	0.9%
6907.39 · Recharge Master Plan		16,813		30,495	(13,682)	55.1%
6907.40 · Storage Agreements		-		16,960	(16,960)	0.0%
6907.41 · Prado Basin Habitat Sustainability		-		9,900	(9,900)	0.0%
6907.44 · SGMA Compliance		-		9,900	(9,900)	0.0%
6907.45 OBMP Update		66,152		172,880	(106,728)	38.3%
6907.47 · 2020 Safe Yield Reset		3,905		33,920	(30,015)	11.5%
6907.48 · Ely Basin Investigation		-		126,040	(126,040)	0.0%
6907.90 · WM Legal Counsel - Unanticipated		-		37,395	(37,395)	0.0%
Total 6907 · WM Legal Counsel		87,129		579,635	(492,506)	15.0%
Total Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber, Schreck Costs	\$	260,580	\$	1.376.233	\$ (1.115.653)	18.9%


#### **Chino Basin Watermaster** Monthly Variance Report & Supplemental Schedules For the period July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023

#### Optimum Basin Management Plan (OBMP)

The following table details the Year-To-Date (YTD) Actual OBMP costs compared to the FY 24 adopted budget. The "\$ Over Budget" and the "% of Budget" columns are a comparison of the YTD actual to the annual budget. As of September 30th, the target budget percentage is generally 25%.

	Year to Date	FY 23-24	\$ Over /	% of
	Actual	Budget	(Under) Budget	Budget
6900 · Optimum Basin Mgmt Plan				
6901.1 · OBMP - Document Review-WM Staff	\$ 22,876	\$ 89,136	\$ (66,260)	25.7%
6901.3 · OBMP - Field Work-WM Staff	257	7,003	(6,746)	3.7%
6901.5 · OBMP - General-WM Staff	16,283	124,049	(107,766)	13.1%
6901.7 · OBMP - Meeting-WM Staff	9,414	57,589	(48,175)	16.3%
6901.8 · OBMP - Meeting-West Yost	11,143	45,096	(33,953)	24.7%
6901.9 · OBMP - Reporting-WM Staff	3,226	2,370	856	136.1%
6901.95 · OBMP - Reporting-West Yost	13,008	57,316	(44,308)	22.7%
Total 6901 · OBMP WM and West Yost Staff	76,207	382,559	(306,352)	19.9%
6903 · OBMP - SAWPA				
6903 · OBMP - SAWPA Group	24,071	24,071	0	100.0%
Total 6903 · OBMP - SAWPA	24,071	24,071	0	100.0%
6906 · OBMP Engineering Services				
6906.1 · OBMP - Watermaster Model Update	17,158	41,235	(24,077)	41.6%
6906.15 · Integrated Model Mtgs IEUA Costs	-	-	-	0.0%
6906.21 · State of the Basin Report	-	-	-	0.0%
6906.26 · 2020 OBMP Update	1,791	24,016	(22,225)	7.5%
6906.71 · OBMP - Data Requests - CBWM Staff	-	-	-	0.0%
6906.72 · OBMP - Data Requests - Non CBWM	-	-	-	0.0%
6906 · OBMP Engineering Services - Other	11,740	46,992	(35,252)	25.0%
Total 6906 · OBMP Engineering Services	30,688	112,243	(81,555)	27.3%
6907 · OBMP Legal Fees				
6907.31 · Archibald South Plume	-	12,085	(12,085)	0.0%
6907.32 · Chino Airport Plume	-	12,085	(12,085)	0.0%
6907.33 Desalter/Hydraulic Control	-	37,200	(37,200)	0.0%
6907.34 · Santa Ana River Water Rights	-	20,595	(20,595)	0.0%
6907.36 · Santa Ana River Habitat	-	30,090	(30,090)	0.0%
6907.38 · Reg. Water Quality Cntrl Board	259	30,090	(29,831)	0.9%
6907.39 Recharge Master Plan	16,813	30,495	(13,682)	55.1%
6907.40 · Storage Agreements	-	16,960	(16,960)	0.0%
6907.41 · Prado Basin Habitat Sustainability	-	9,900	(9,900)	0.0%
6907.44 · SGMA Compliance	-	9,900	(9,900)	0.0%
6907.45 · OBMP Update	66,152	172,880	(106,728)	38.3%
6907.47 · 2020 Safe Yield Reset	3,905	33,920	(30,015)	11.5%
6907.48 · Ely Basin Investigation	-	126,040	(126,040)	0.0%
6907.90 · WM Legal Counsel - Unanticipated	-	37,395	(37,395)	0.0%
Total 6907 · OBMP Legal Fees	87,129	579,635	(492,506)	15.0%
6908 · OBMP Updates				
6908.1 · 2020 OBMP Update-Dodson & Assoc.	29,107	107,578	(78,470)	27.1%
Total 6908 · OBMP Updates	29,107	107,578	(78,470)	27.1%
6909 · OBMP Other Expenses				
6909.1 · OBMP Meetings	-	1.500	(1,500)	0.0%
6909.3 · Other OBMP Expenses	-	2.724	(2.724)	0.0%
6909.6 · OBMP Expenses - Miscellaneous	-	5.000	(5.000)	0.0%
Total 6909 · OBMP Other Expenses	-	9,224	(9,224)	0.0%
Fotal 6900 · Ontimum Basin Momt Plan	\$ 247 203	\$ 1 215 309	\$ (968 107)	20 3%



**Chino Basin Watermaster** Monthly Variance Report & Supplemental Schedules For the period July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023

### Judgment Administration

The following table details the Year-To-Date (YTD) Actual Judgment Administration costs compared to the FY 24 adopted budget. The "\$ Over Budget" and the "% of Budget" columns are a comparison of the YTD actual to the annual budget. As of September 30th, the target budget percentage is generally 25%.

	Year to Date			FY 23-24		\$ Over /	% of
		Actual		Budget	(Un	der) Budget	Budget
5901 · Admin-WM Staff							
5901.1 · Admin-Doc. Review-WM Staff	\$	12,888	\$	82,794	\$	(69,906)	15.6%
5901.3 · Admin-Field Work-WM Staff		2,314		7,760		(5,446)	29.8%
5901.5 · Admin-General-WM Staff		18,920		60,129		(41,209)	31.5%
5901.7 · Admin-Meeting-WM Staff		1,870		2,633		(763)	71.0%
5901.8 · Admin-Meeting - West Yost		-		45,097		(45,097)	0.0%
5901.9 · Admin-Reporting-WM Staff		-		31,033		(31,033)	0.0%
Total 5901 · Admin-WM Staff		35,992		229,446		(193,454)	15.7%
5900 · Judgment Admin Other Expenses							
5906.71 · Admin-Data Req-CBWM Staff		8,413		126,204		(117,792)	6.7%
5906.72 · Admin-Data Req-Non CBWM Staff		146		42,832		(42,686)	0.3%
5910 · Court Coordination/Attend-WM		7,550		19,098		(11,548)	39.5%
5911 · Exhibit G-WM Staff		-		2,370		(2,370)	0.0%
5921 · Production Monitoring-WM Staff		2,892		11,322		(8,430)	25.5%
5925 · Ag Prod & Estimation-West Yost		7,165		34,376		(27,212)	20.8%
5931 · Recharge Applications-WM Staff		-		4,634		(4,634)	0.0%
5935 · Admin-Mat'l Phy Inj Requests		929		36,072		(35,143)	2.6%
5941 · Reporting-WM Staff		-		1,316		(1,316)	0.0%
5945 · WM Annual Report Prep-West Yost		932		15,416		(14,484)	6.0%
5951 · Rules & Regs-WM Staff		-		12,726		(12,726)	0.0%
5961 · Safe Yield-WM Staff		612		26,330		(25,718)	2.3%
5965 · Support Data Collect-West Yost		-		36,336		(36,336)	0.0%
5971 · Storage Agreements-WM Staff		-		4,739		(4,739)	0.0%
5981 · Water Acct/Database-WM Staff		34,213		109,793		(75,580)	31.2%
5991 · Water Transactions-WM Staff		979		8,688		(7,709)	11.3%
Total 5900 $\cdot$ Judgment Admin Other Expenses		63,830		492,252		(428,422)	13.0%
Fotal 5900 · Judgment Administration	\$	99,823	\$	721,698	\$	(621,875)	13.8%



#### **Chino Basin Watermaster** Monthly Variance Report & Supplemental Schedules For the period July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023

### "Carry Over" Funding:

During the month of July 2023, the "Carry Over" funding was calculated. The Total "Carry Over" funding amount of \$2,277,561.54 has been posted to the general ledger accounts. The total amount consisted of \$870,226.24 from Engineering Services, \$816,709.78 from Capital Improvement Projects, \$464,627.66 from OBMP Activities, \$111,461.18 from Pool Funding Accounts, and \$14,536.68 from Administration Services. More detailed information is provided in the table below.

Carry Over Budget Detail - FY 23/24											
Description		Amount	Account	Fiscal Year	Туре						
Other Office Equipment - Boardroom Upgrades	\$	10,037.93	6038	FY 2020/21	ADMIN						
Board Workshop Expenses - Misc.		4,498.75	6375.2	FY 2021/22	ADMIN						
Meter Installation - New Meter Installation		175,400.00	7540	FY 2018/19	OBMP						
Meter Installation - Calibration and Testing		181,650.00	7545	FY 2018/19	OBMP						
2022 OBMP Update - Dodson & Asso.		107,577.66	6908.1	FY 2022/23	OBMP						
Watermaster Model Update		34,206.75	5906.1	FY 2022/23	ENG						
Groundwater Level Monitoring Program		2,700.00	7104.3	FY 2022/23	ENG						
PE2 - Comprehensive Recharge - Eng. Services		27,943.64	7202.2	FY 2020/21	ENG						
PE2 - Comprehensive Recharge - Eng. Services		18,441.85	7202.2	FY 2021/22	ENG						
PE2 - Comprehensive Recharge - Eng. Services		72,788.26	7202.2	FY 2022/23	ENG						
SB88-Specs-Ensure Compliance-50% IEUA		54,012.38	7208	FY 2020/21	ENG						
OBMP - 2023 RMPU		60,000.00	7210	FY 2022/23	ENG						
Integrated Model - Meetings - 50% IEUA Costs		24,617.63	7220	FY 2021/22	ENG						
PBHSP - Monitoring, Data Analysis, Reporting		21,000.00	7302	FY 2022/23	ENG						
OBMP - Engineering Services		65,208.75	7402	FY 2022/23	ENG						
PE4 - Northwest MZ-1 Area Project		23,805.91	7402.1	FY 2021/22	ENG						
PE4 - Northwest MZ-1 Area Project		126,194.09	7402.1	FY 2022/23	ENG						
PE4/MZ-1: InSAR - Outside Pro		85,000.00	7403	FY 2022/23	ENG						
Ground Level Monitoring - Capital Equipment		5,000.00	7408	FY 2022/23	ENG						
PE6-7: Coop Efforts/Salt Management:		40,000.00	7502	FY 2022/23	ENG						
Groundwater Quality Monitoring Program		16,194.00	7505	FY 2022/23	ENG						
Hydraulic Control Mitigation Plan Update-50% IEUA		9,687.25	7508	FY 2021/22	ENG						
Hydraulic Control Mitigation Plan Update-50% IEUA		1,016.00	7508	FY 2022/23	ENG						
IEUA - Update Recycle Water Permit - Salinity		19,752.23	7510	FY 2021/22	ENG						
PE8&9 - Support Imp. 2020 Storage Mgmt. Plan		42,657.50	7610	FY 2020/21	ENG						
Support Implementation of the Safe Yield Court Order:		120,000.00	7614	FY 2022/23	ENG						
Upper Santa Ana River HCP (TO #7)		15,062.88	7690.7	FY 2014/15	PROJ						
Upper Santa Ana River HCP (TO #7)		5,000.00	7690.7	FY 2015/16	PROJ						
Lower Day Basin RMPU (TO #2)		238,646.90	7690.8	FY 2016/17	PROJ						
Jurupa Basin Berm & Trash Boom		358,000.00	7690.23	FY 2022/23	PROJ						
Funds on Hold for Projects/Refund		200,000.00	7690.9	FY 2017/18	PROJ						
Agricultural Pool - Legal Services		41,675.63	8467	FY 2022/23	AP						
Agricultural Pool - Mtg. Attendance Compensation		950.98	8470	FY 2022/23	OAP						
Agricultural Pool - Special Project Funding		10,993.67	8471	FY 2021/22	OAP						
Non-Agricultural Pool - Meeting Compensation		875.00	8511	FY 2022/23	ONAP						
Non-Agricultural Pool - Legal Services		56,965.90	8567	FY 2022/23	ONAP						
Balance at 7/31/23	\$	2,277,561.54									



## CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

#### STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 09, 2023

TO: AP/ONAP/OAP Committee Members

SUBJECT: 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Program (Consent Calendar Item I.C.)

#### SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: Watermaster is required annually to file a Ground-Level Monitoring report with the Court. The 2022/23 Annual Report has been drafted and reviewed by the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee. [Discretionary Function]

<u>Recommendation</u>: Recommend to the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Watermaster Board to approve the 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Program (GLMP), and direct staff to file a copy with the Court.

<u>Financial Impact</u>: Approval of the report does not result in additional expenses. All the recommendations in the 2022/23 Annual Report for the ongoing monitoring program are included in the approved FY 2023/24 amended budget.

Future Consideration

Appropriative Pool – November 09, 2023: Advice and Assistance Non-Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Advice and Assistance Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Advice and Assistance Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Advice and Assistance Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023: Approve and file with the Court

ACTIONS:

Appropriative Pool – November 09, 2023: Non-Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

In 1999, the OBMP Phase I Report identified pumping-induced drawdown and resultant aquifer-system compaction as the most likely cause of land subsidence and ground fissuring that had been observed in Management Zone 1 (MZ-1). Program Element 4 of the OBMP, "Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan for Management Zone 1," called for the development and implementation of a long-term Subsidence Management Plan to minimize or abate the occurrence of subsidence and ground fissuring.

From 2001 to 2005, Watermaster developed, coordinated, and conducted a comprehensive investigation under the guidance of the MZ-1 Technical Committee (now called the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee or GLMC) to understand the causes of the subsidence and fissuring in the southwestern portion of MZ-1. The investigation provided enough information for Watermaster to develop Guidance Criteria for the producers in the investigation area that, if followed, would minimize the potential for subsidence and fissuring during the completion of the Subsidence Management Plan. The Guidance Criteria formed the basis for the Subsidence Management Plan, which was developed by the GLMC and approved by Watermaster in October 2007. The Court Order on November 15, 2007 approved the Subsidence Management Plan and ordered its implementation. The Subsidence Management Plan was updated in 2015 to include a recommendation to develop a Subsidence Management Plan specific to the northwestern portion of the Chino Basin where gradual and persistent subsidence is an ongoing concern.

The Subsidence Management Plan states that Watermaster will produce an annual report, which includes the results of ongoing monitoring efforts, interpretations of the data, recommendations for future monitoring efforts, and recommendations for adjustments to the Subsidence Management Plan, if any. The Court's 2007 Order directed Watermaster to file the annual reports with the Court.

#### DISCUSSION

The final 2022/23 Annual Report of the GLMP (Attachment 1) includes results and interpretations for data that were collected during FY 2022/23 and includes recommendations for Watermaster's Ground-Level Monitoring Program for FY 2023/24.

The GLMC met on March 2, 2023 to review and discuss the recent monitoring results and to develop a scope of work and budget for FY 2023/24. Subsequently, an overview of the monitoring results and the proposed scope of work and budget for FY 2023/24 were presented to the Pool Committees in April 2023 and at Watermaster's budget workshops.

The GLMC was provided with the draft annual report on September 22, 2023 for review and comment. The GLMC met on October 4, 2023 to review and discuss the draft annual report with Watermaster Staff and Engineer. The GLMC submitted comments during the comment window which were addressed in the final report attached.

#### ATTACHMENTS

1. 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Program Click on this <u>link</u> to access the report.

Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program



FINAL REPORT | NOVEMBER 2023

# 2022/23 Annual Report for the Ground-Level Monitoring Program

PREPARED FOR

### **Ground-Level Monitoring Committee**



PREPARED BY



## 2022/23 Annual Report for the **Ground-Level Monitoring Program**

**Prepared for** 

### **Ground-Level Monitoring Committee**

Project No. 941-80-23-25

Prepared By: Andrea Arevalo

Date

November 2, 2023

Prepared by: Lauren Salberg

November 2, 2023

Date



QA/QC Review: Andy Malone, PG

November 2, 2023

Date



FINAL REPORT | NOVEMBER 2023

### Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.1.1 Subsidence and Fissuring in the Chino Basin	1-1
1.1.2 The Optimum Basin Management Program	1-1
1.1.3 Interim Management Plan and the MZ-1 Summary Report	1-2
1.1.4 MZ-1 Subsidence Management Plan	1-5
1.1.5 2015 Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan	
1.1.6 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee	1-/
1.2 Report Organization	1-7
2.0 Ground-Level Monitoring Program	2-1
2.1 Ground-Level Monitoring Program	2-1
2.1.1 Setup and Maintenance of the Monitoring Facilities Network	2-1
2.1.2 Monitoring Activities	2-2
2.1.2.1 Monitoring of Pumping, Recharge, and Piezometric Levels	2-2
2.1.2.2 Monitoring Vertical Aquifer-System Deformation	2-2
2.1.2.3 Monitoring Vertical Ground Motion	2-2
2.1.2.4 Monitoring of Horizontal Ground Motion	2-4
2.2 Land-Subsidence Investigations	2-4
2.2.1 Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1	2-4
2.2.2 Northeast Area Subsidence Investigation	2-7
3.0 Results and Interpretations	3-1
3.1 Managed Area	3-1
3.1.1 History of Stress and Strain in the Aquifer-System	3-1
3.1.2 Recent Stress and Strain in the Aquifer-System	3-1
3.1.2.1 Groundwater Pumping and Hydraulic Heads	3-2
3.1.2.2 Aquifer-System Deformation	3-3
3.2 Southeast Area	3-4
3.3 Other Areas of Subsidence Concern	3-4
4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	4-1
4.1 Conclusions and Recommendations	4-1
4.2 Recommended Scope and Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/23	4-2
4.3 Changes to the Subsidence Management Plan	4-2
5.0 Glossary	5-1
6.0 References	6-1

i

### Table of Contents

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Managed Wells Screened in the Deep Aquifer and Subject to the Guidance Criteria <sup>(a)</sup>	. 1-4
Table 2-1. Benchmark Monuments Surveyed in Ground-Level Survey Areas	. 2-4
Table 2-2. Horizontal Benchmark Survey	. 2-4
Table 3-1. Groundwater Pumping in the Managed Area – FY 2012 through 2023	. 3-5

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1. Historical Land Surface Deformation in Management Zone 1: 1987-1999	. 1-8
Figure 1-2. MZ-1 Managed Area and the Managed Wells	. 1-9
Figure 2-1. Pumping and Recharge Facilities – Western Chino Basin: 2022/23	. 2-8
Figure 2-2. Ground-Level Monitoring Network – Western Chino Basin	. 2-9
Figure 3-1. History of Land Subsidence in the Managed Area	. 3-6
Figure 3-2. Stress and Strain within the Managed Area	. 3-7
Figure 3-3. Stress Strain Diagram – Ayala Park Extensometer	. 3-8
Figure 3-4. Stress and Strain within the Southeast Area	. 3-9

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Recommended Scope of Work and Budget of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee for Fiscal Year 2023/24
- Appendix B: Responses to GLMC Comments

### Table of Contents

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND INITIALISMS

af	Acre-feet
Ayala Park	Rubin S. Ayala Park
Ayala Park Extensometer	Extensometer at Ayala Park
BMA	Baseline Management Alternative
CCX	Chino Creek Extensometer Facility
DHX	Daniels Horizontal Extensometer
EDM	Electronic distance measurement
ft	Feet
ft-amsl	Feet above mean sea level
ft-btoc	Feet below top of casing
ft-bgs	Feet below ground surface
ft/yr	Feet per year
FY	Fiscal Year
GLMC	Ground-Level Monitoring Committee
GLMP	Ground-Level Monitoring Program
IMP	Management Zone 1 Interim Monitoring Program
InSAR	Interferometric synthetic aperture radar
ISMA	Initial Subsidence Management Alternative
MVWD	Monte Vista Water District
MZ-1	Chino Basin Optimum Basin Management Plan Management Zone 1
MZ-1 Plan	Management Zone 1 Subsidence Management Plan
OBMP	Optimum Basin Management Plan
PA	Piezometer A (Ayala Park extensometer facility)
PC	Piezometer C (Ayala Park extensometer facility)
PFAS	Per – and polyfluoroalkyl substances
РХ	Pomona Extensometer Facility
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SMA-2	Second Subsidence-Management Alternative
Subsidence Management Plan	2015 Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan
ТСР	1,2,3-trichloropropane
USGS	United States Geological Survey
Watermaster	Chino Basin Watermaster
WEI	Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.
Work Plan	Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This section describes:

- Background information on the history of land subsidence and ground fissuring in the Chino Basin.
- Information on the formation of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee (GLMC) and its responsibilities.
- A description of the development and implementation of the Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan (Subsidence Management Plan).
- The organization of this annual report.

#### **1.1 Background**

In general, land subsidence is the sinking or settlement of the Earth's surface due to the rearrangement of subsurface materials. In the United States, over 17,000 square miles in 45 states have experienced land subsidence (United States Geologic Survey [USGS], 1999). In many instances, land subsidence is accompanied by adverse impacts at the ground surface, such as sinkholes, earth fissures, encroachment of adjacent water bodies, modified drainage patterns, and others. In populated regions, these subsidence-related impacts can result in severe damage to man-made infrastructure and costly remediation measures. Over 80 percent of the documented cases of land subsidence in the United States have been caused by groundwater extractions from the underlying aquifer-system (USGS, 1999).

For purposes of clarification in this document, subsidence refers to the inelastic deformation (i.e., sinking) of the land surface. The term *inelastic* typically refers to the permanent, non-recoverable deformation of the land surface or the aquifer-system. The term *elastic* typically refers to fully reversible deformation of the land surface or the aquifer-system. A glossary of terms and definitions discussed in this report, as well as other terms related to basic hydrogeology and land subsidence is included in Section 5.0.

#### 1.1.1 Subsidence and Fissuring in the Chino Basin

One of the earliest indications of land subsidence in the Chino Basin was the appearance of ground fissures within the City of Chino. These fissures appeared as early as 1973, but an accelerated occurrence of ground fissuring ensued after 1991 and resulted in damage to existing infrastructure. Figure 1-1 shows the locations of these fissures and the land subsidence that contemporaneously occurred in this area. Several scientific studies of the area attributed the fissuring phenomenon to differential land subsidence caused by pumping of the underlying aquifer-system and the consequent drainage and compaction of aquitard sediments (Fife et al., 1976; Kleinfelder, 1993, 1996; Geomatrix, 1994; GEOSCIENCE, 2002).

#### 1.1.2 The Optimum Basin Management Program

In 1999, the *Optimum Basin Management Program Phase I Report* (OBMP Phase I Report) identified the pumping-induced decline of hydraulic heads and subsequent aquifer-system compaction as the most likely cause of the land subsidence and ground fissuring observed in the Chino Basin OBMP Management Zone 1 (MZ-1; Wildermuth Environmental Inc. [WEI], 1999). Program Element 4 of the OBMP Implementation Plan, *Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan for Management Zone 1*, called for the development and implementation of an interim management plan for MZ-1 that would:



- Minimize subsidence and fissuring in the short-term
- Collect the information necessary to understand the extent, rate, and mechanisms of subsidence and fissuring
- Abate future subsidence and fissuring or reduce it to tolerable levels

The OBMP called for an aquifer-system and land subsidence investigation in the southwestern region of MZ-1 to support the development of a management plan for MZ-1 (items 2 and 3 above). This investigation was titled the *MZ-1 Interim Monitoring Program* (WEI, 2003) and is described below.

The OBMP Phase I Report also identified that land subsidence was occurring in other parts of the basin besides in the City of Chino. Program Element 1 of the OBMP Implementation Plan, *Develop and Implement a Comprehensive Monitoring Program*, called for the collection of basin-wide data to characterize land subsidence, including ground-level surveys and remote-sensing (specifically, interferometric synthetic aperture radar [InSAR]), and for the development of an ongoing monitoring program based on the analysis of the collected data.

#### 1.1.3 Interim Management Plan and the MZ-1 Summary Report

From 2001 to 2005, the Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster) developed, coordinated, and conducted the Interim Management Plan (IMP) under the guidance of the MZ-1 Technical Committee. The MZ-1 Technical Committee was comprised of representatives from all major MZ-1 producers and their technical consultants, including the Agricultural Pool; the Cities of Chino, Chino Hills, Ontario, Pomona, and Upland; the Monte Vista Water District (MVWD); the Golden State Water Company; and the California Institution for Men.

The IMP consisted of three main monitoring elements to analyze land subsidence: ground-level surveys, InSAR, and aquifer-system monitoring. The ground-level surveys and InSAR analyses were used to characterize vertical ground motion. Aquifer-system monitoring of hydraulic and mechanical changes within the aquifer system was used to characterize the causes of the ground motion.

The monitoring program was implemented in two phases: the Reconnaissance Phase and the Comprehensive Phase. The Reconnaissance Phase consisted of constructing 11 piezometers screened at various depths at Rubin S. Ayala Park (Ayala Park) in the City of Chino and installing pressure-transducers with integrated data loggers (transducers) in nearby pumping and monitoring wells to measure hydraulic head. Following installation of the monitoring network, several months of aquifer-system monitoring and testing were conducted. Testing included aquifer-system stress tests conducted at pumping wells in the area.

The Comprehensive Phase consisted of constructing a dual-borehole pipe extensometer at Ayala Park (Ayala Park Extensometer) near the area of historical fissuring. Figure 1-2 shows the location of the Ayala Park Extensometer. Following installation of the Ayala Park Extensometer, two aquifer-system stress tests were conducted followed by passive aquifer-system monitoring.

During implementation of the IMP, Watermaster's Engineer made the data available to the MZ-1 Technical Committee and prepared quarterly progress reports for the MZ-1 Technical Committee, the



Watermaster Pools and Board, and the Court.<sup>1</sup> The progress reports contained data and analyses from the IMP and summarized the MZ-1 Technical Committee meetings.

The main conclusions derived from the IMP were:

- Groundwater pumping from the deep and confined aquifer-system in the southwestern region of MZ-1 causes the greatest stress to the aquifer-system. In other words, pumping of the deep aquifer-system causes a hydraulic head decline that is much greater in magnitude and lateral extent than the hydraulic head decline caused by pumping of the shallow aquifer-system.
- Hydraulic head decline due to pumping from the deep aquifer-system can cause inelastic compaction of the aquifer-system sediments, which results in land subsidence. The initiation of inelastic compaction within the aquifer-system was identified during the investigation when hydraulic heads in the deep aquifer-system at the Ayala Park PA-7 piezometer fell below a depth of about 250 feet (ft).
- The state of aquifer-system deformation in southern MZ-1 was essentially elastic during the Reconnaissance Phase of the IMP. Very little inelastic compaction was occurring in this area, which contrasted with the recent past when about 2.2 ft of land subsidence occurred from about 1987 to 1995 and resulted in ground fissuring.
- During the development of the IMP, a previously unknown barrier to groundwater flow was identified, shown on Figures 1-1. The barrier was named the "Riley Barrier" after Francis S. Riley, a retired USGS geologist who first detected the barrier during the IMP. This barrier is located within the deep aquifer-system and is aligned with the historical zone of ground fissuring. Pumping from the deep aquifer-system was limited to the area west of the barrier, and the resulting hydraulic head decline did not propagate eastward across the barrier. Thus, compaction occurred within the deep aquifer-system on the west side of the barrier but not on the east side, which caused concentrated differential subsidence across the barrier and created the potential for ground fissuring.
- The InSAR and ground-level surveys indicated that subsidence in Central MZ-1 had occurred in the past and was continuing to occur. InSAR also suggested that the groundwater barrier (Riley Barrier) extends northward into Central MZ-1 as shown in Figure 1-1. These observations suggested that the conditions that very likely caused ground fissuring near Ayala Park in the 1990s were also present in Central MZ-1. However, there was not enough historical hydraulic head data in this area to confirm this relationship. The IMP recommended that, if subsidence continued or increased in Central MZ-1, the mechanisms causing land subsidence should be studied in more detail.

The IMP provided enough information for Watermaster to develop Guidance Criteria for the Parties that pump from the southwestern region of MZ-1, that if followed, would minimize the potential for subsidence and fissuring in the investigation area. The methods, results, and conclusions of the IMP, including the Guidance Criteria, were described in detail in the *MZ-1 Summary Report* (WEI, 2006).

The Guidance Criteria consisted of:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> San Bernardino County Superior Court, which retains continuing jurisdiction over the Chino Basin Judgment.



• A list of "Managed Wells" subject to the Guidance Criteria. Table 1-1 is a list of the Managed Wells that are subject to the Guidance Criteria. Figure 1-2 is a map that shows the locations of the Managed Wells. These wells have well screens that penetrate the deep aquifer-system.

Table 1-1. Managed Wells Screened in the Deep Aquifer and Subject to the Guidance Criteria <sup>(a)</sup>										
Well Name	CBWM ID	Owner	2023 Status	Well Screen Interval(s) ft-bgs						
CIM-11A <sup>(b)</sup>	3602461	California Institution for Men	Active <sup>(c)</sup>	174-187; 240-283; 405-465						
C-7	3600461	City of China	Abandoned <sup>(d)</sup>	180-780						
C-15	600670	City of Chino	Abandoned	270-400; 626-820						
CH-1B	600487		Inactive <sup>(e)</sup>	440-470; 490-610; 720-900; 940- 1,180						
CH-7C	600687		Abandoned	550-950						
CH-7D	600498	City of Chino Hills	Destroyed	320-400; 410-450; 490-810; 850-930						
CH-15B	600488		Active	360-440; 480-900						
CH-16	600489		Inactive	430-940						
CH-17	600499		Inactive	300-460; 500-680						
CH-19	600500		Inactive	300-460; 460-760; 800-1,000						

(a) The MZ-1 Subsidence Management Plan identified the Managed Wells that are subject to the Guidance Criteria for the Managed Area that, if followed, would minimize the potential for subsidence and fissuring.

(b) The original casing was perforated from 135-148, 174-187, 240-283, 405-465, 484-512, and 518-540 feet below ground surface (ft-bgs). This casing collapsed below 471 ft-bgs in 2011. A liner was installed to 470 ft-bgs with a screen interval from 155 to 470 ft-bgs.

(c) Active = Well is currently being used for water supply.

(d) Abandoned = Unable to pump the well without major modifications.

(e) Inactive = Well can pump groundwater with little or no modifications.

- The spatial extent of the "Managed Area." Figures 1-1 and 1-2 show the boundary of the Managed Area where the Guidance Criteria apply. Within the boundaries of the Managed Area, both existing (Table 1-1) and newly constructed wells are subject to being classified as Managed Wells. This area was delineated based on the observed and/or predicted effects of pumping on hydraulic heads and aquifer-system deformation. The Managed Well designations were based on the effects measured at the Ayala Park Extensometer during the IMP or well construction and borehole lithology.
- A piezometric "Guidance Level." The Guidance Level is a specified depth to water, as measured in feet below the top of casing (ft-btoc) at the Ayala Park PA-7 piezometer. The initial Guidance Level was established as 245 ft-btoc. It was defined as the threshold hydraulic head at the onset of inelastic compaction of the aquifer-system as recorded by the extensometer minus five feet. The five-foot reduction was meant to be a safety factor to ensure that inelastic compaction does not occur. The Guidance Level can be updated by Watermaster based on the periodic review of monitoring data.
- Criteria for recommending pumping curtailment. If the hydraulic head in PA-7 falls below the Guidance Level, Watermaster recommends that the MZ-1 Parties curtail their pumping



from designated Managed Wells as required to maintain hydraulic heads above the Guidance Level.

- Monitoring/reporting of hydraulic heads at PA-7. Watermaster was to provide the MZ-1 Parties with real-time hydraulic head data from PA-7.
- Reporting of pumping operations at Managed Wells. The MZ-1 Parties were requested to
  maintain and provide Watermaster with accurate records of operations at the Managed
  Wells, including pumping rates and on-off dates and times. The MZ-1 Parties were
  requested to promptly notify Watermaster of all operational changes made to maintain the
  hydraulic head at PA-7 above the Guidance Level.
- Request for ongoing monitoring at other monitoring wells. Watermaster recommended that the MZ-1 Parties allow it to continue to monitor hydraulic heads at the Managed Wells.
- Process for adapting the Guidance Criteria. Watermaster and Watermaster's Engineer were to evaluate the data collected as part of the MZ-1 Monitoring Program (now called the Ground-Level Monitoring Program or GLMP) after each fiscal year and determine if modifications, additions, and/or deletions to the Guidance Criteria were necessary. Changes to the Guidance Criteria could include additions or deletions to the list of Managed Wells, re-delineation of the Managed Area, raising or lowering of the Guidance Level, or additions and/or deletions to the Guidance Criteria, including the need to have periods of hydraulic head recovery.
- Acknowledgement of uncertainty. Watermaster cautioned that some subsidence and fissuring could occur in the future, even if the Guidance Criteria were followed.
   Watermaster made no warranties that faithful adherence to the Guidance Criteria would eliminate subsidence or fissuring.

#### 1.1.4 MZ-1 Subsidence Management Plan

The Guidance Criteria formed the basis for the *MZ-1 Subsidence Management Plan* ([MZ-1 Plan]; WEI, 2007), which was developed by the MZ-1 Technical Committee and approved by the Watermaster Board in October 2007. In November 2007, the Court approved the MZ-1 Plan and ordered its implementation.

To minimize the potential for future subsidence and fissuring in the Managed Area, the MZ-1 Plan codified the Guidance Level and recommended that the MZ-1 Parties manage their groundwater pumping such that the hydraulic heads at PA-7 remain above the Guidance Level.

The MZ-1 Plan called for ongoing monitoring, data analysis, annual reporting, and adjustments to the MZ-1 Plan as warranted by the data. Implementation of the MZ-1 Plan began in 2008. The MZ-1 Plan called for the continued scope and frequency of monitoring implemented during the IMP within the Managed Area and expanded monitoring of the aquifer-system and land subsidence in other areas of the Chino Basin where the IMP indicated concern for future subsidence and ground fissuring. Figure 1-1 shows the location of these so-called Areas of Subsidence Concern: Central MZ-1, Northwest MZ-1, Northeast Area, and Southeast Area. The expanded monitoring efforts outside the Managed Area are consistent with the requirements of the OBMP Program Element 1 and its implementation plan contained in the Peace Agreement.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Source: http://www.cbwm.org/docs/legaldocs/Peace Agreement.pdf.



Potential future efforts listed in the MZ-1 Plan included: (i) more intensive monitoring of horizontal strain across the zone of historical ground fissuring to assist in developing management strategies related to fissuring; (ii) injection feasibility studies within the Managed Area; (iii) additional pumping tests to refine the Guidance Criteria; (iv) computer-simulation modeling of groundwater flow and subsidence; and (v) the development of alternative pumping plans for the MZ-1 Parties affected by the MZ-1 Plan. The MZ-1 Technical Committee (now called the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee or GLMC) discusses these potential future efforts, and if deemed prudent and necessary, they are recommended to Watermaster for implementation in future fiscal years.

#### 1.1.5 2015 Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan

The MZ-1 Plan stated that if data from existing monitoring efforts in the Areas of Subsidence Concern indicate the potential for adverse impacts due to subsidence, Watermaster would revise it to avoid those adverse impacts. The 2014 Annual Report of the GLMC recommended that the MZ-1 Plan be updated to better describe Watermaster's land subsidence efforts and obligations, including areas outside of MZ-1. As such, the update included a name change to the 2015 Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan ([Subsidence Management Plan]; WEI 2015a) and a recommendation to develop a subsidence management plan for Northwest MZ-1.

Watermaster had been monitoring vertical ground motion in Northwest MZ-1 via InSAR during the development of the MZ-1 Plan. Land subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 was first identified as a concern in 2006 in the MZ-1 Summary Report and again in 2007 in the MZ-1 Plan. Of particular concern, the subsidence across the San Jose Fault in Northwest MZ-1 has occurred in a pattern of concentrated differential subsidence—the same pattern of differential subsidence that occurred in the Managed Area during the time of ground fissuring. Ground fissuring is the main subsidence-related threat to infrastructure. The issue of differential subsidence, and the potential for ground fissuring in Northwest MZ-1, has been discussed at prior GLMC meetings, and the subsidence has been documented and described as a concern in Watermaster's State of the Basin Reports, the annual reports of the GLMC, and in the *Initial Hydrologic Conceptual Model and Monitoring and Testing Program for the Northwest MZ-1 Area* (WEI, 2017a). Watermaster increased monitoring efforts in Northwest MZ-1 beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2012/13 to include ground elevation surveys and electronic distance measurements (EDM) to monitor ground motion and the potential for fissuring.

In 2015, Watermaster's Engineer developed the *Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1 Area* ([Work Plan]; WEI 2015b). The Work Plan is characterized as an ongoing Watermaster effort and includes a description of a multi-year scope-of-work, a cost estimate, and an implementation schedule. The Work Plan was included in the Subsidence Management Plan as Appendix B. Implementation of the Work Plan began in July 2015.

The updated Subsidence Management Plan also addressed the need for hydraulic head "recovery periods" in the Managed Area by recommending that all deep aquifer-system pumping cease for a continuous six-month period between October 1 and March 31 of each year within the Managed Area. And, the Subsidence Management Plan recommends that every fifth year, all deep aquifer-system pumping cease for a continuous period until the hydraulic head at PA-7 reaches "full recovery" of 90 ft-btoc. These periodic cessations of pumping are intended to allow for sufficient hydraulic head recovery at PA-7 to recognize inelastic compaction, if any, at the Ayala Park Extensometer.



#### 1.1.6 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee

Pursuant to the Subsidence Management Plan, Watermaster prepares an annual report containing the results of ongoing monitoring efforts, interpretations of the data, and recommended adjustments to the Subsidence Management Plan, if any. This Annual Report of the GLMC includes the results and interpretations for the data collected between March 2022 through March 2023, as well as recommendations for Watermaster's GLMP for FY 2023/24.

#### **1.2 Report Organization**

This report is organized into the following six sections:

- Section 1.0 Introduction. This section provides background information on the history of land subsidence and ground fissuring in Chino Basin, information on the formation of the GLMC and its responsibilities, and a description of the development and implementation of the Subsidence Management Plan, which calls for annual reporting.
- Section 2.0 Ground-Level Monitoring Program. This section describes the monitoring and testing activities performed by Watermaster for its GLMP between March 2022 and March 2023.
- Section 3.0 Results and Interpretations. This section discusses and interprets the monitoring data collected between March 2022 and March 2023, including basin stresses (groundwater pumping and recharge) and responses (changes in hydraulic heads, aquifer-system deformation, and ground motion).
- Section 4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations. This section summarizes the main conclusions derived from the monitoring program between March 2022 and March 2023 and describes recommended activities for the GLMP for FY 2023/24.
- Section 5.0 Glossary. This section is a glossary of the terms and definitions utilized within this report and in discussions at GLMC meetings.
- Section 6.0 References. This section lists the publications and reports cited in this report.







#### 2.0 GROUND-LEVEL MONITORING PROGRAM

This section describes the activities performed by Watermaster for the GLMP between March 2022 and March 2023.

Figure 2-1 shows the groundwater pumping and recharge facilities in the western Chino Basin that impart pumping and recharge stresses to the aquifer-system. Figure 2-2 shows the locations of the monitoring facilities in Watermaster's ground-level monitoring network, including: wells equipped with a transducer; extensometers that measure vertical aquifer-system deformation; and benchmark monuments that are used to perform ground elevation and EDM surveys to measure vertical and horizontal deformation of the ground surface.

#### 2.1 Ground-Level Monitoring Program

Watermaster conducts its GLMP in the Managed Area and other Areas of Subsidence Concern pursuant to the Subsidence Management Plan and the recommendations of the GLMC. The GLMP activities performed between March 2022 and March 2023 are described below.

#### 2.1.1 Setup and Maintenance of the Monitoring Facilities Network

The Chino Basin extensometer facilities are key monitoring facilities for the GLMP. They require regular and as needed maintenance and calibration to remain in good working order and to ensure the recording of accurate measurements. During the reporting period, the following activities were performed at the Chino Basin extensometer facilities:

- Performed routine monthly maintenance at the Ayala Park, Chino Creek, and Pomona Extensometer (PX) Facilities. Noteworthy activities performed during the reporting period included:
  - Replaced the 12 volt deep-cycle battery for both PX Facility vaults to ensure power to the datalogger and continuous data collection.
  - Replaced the sump pump in the PA vault at Ayala Park to ensure that infiltrating irrigation or storm waters that periodically flood the vault are evacuated.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Replaced corroded door at the Ayala Park Extensometer Facility.
  - Repaired two CR1000 dataloggers at the PC vault and Ayala Park Extensometer Facility.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Replaced two direct read cables and two transducers at the PC vault.
- The following activities were performed in attempts to improve the accuracy of extensometer data that is being collected at the PX Facility:
  - Installed a dial gauge to manually measure aquifer-system deformation at the PX facility.
  - o Adjusted the counterweights and extensometer cable at PX2-3.
  - Updated the software code for the datalogger at the at the PX facility.



#### 2.1.2 Monitoring Activities

Changes in hydraulic heads are caused by the stresses of groundwater pumping and recharge. Changes in hydraulic head is the mechanism behind aquifer-system deformation, which in turn causes vertical and horizontal ground motion. Because of this cause-and-effect relationship, the Watermaster monitors groundwater pumping, recharge, hydraulic heads, aquifer-system deformation, and vertical and horizontal ground motion across the western portion of the Chino Basin. All data collected as part of the GLMP are compiled, checked, and stored in Watermaster databases.

The following sub-sections describe Watermaster's monitoring activities between March 2022 and March 2023, as called for in the Subsidence Management Plan and in accordance with the Watermasterapproved scope of work for the GLMP.

#### 2.1.2.1 Monitoring of Pumping, Recharge, and Piezometric Levels

Watermaster staff collects and compiles groundwater pumping data on a quarterly basis from well owners in the Managed Area and Areas of Subsidence Concern. Figure 2-1 shows the well locations where groundwater was pumped between March 2022 and March 2023.

Watermaster staff collects data from the Inland Empire Utilities Agency on the volumes of imported water, stormwater, and recycled water that are artificially recharged at spreading basins, and the volumes of recycled water for direct use within the Chino Basin. Figure 2-1 shows the locations of the spreading basins.

The Watermaster Engineer collects hydraulic head data once every 15 minutes using transducers at 77 wells located within the Managed Area and the other Areas of Subsidence Concern. Figure 2-2 shows the locations of these wells. Watermaster staff and well owners also manually measure hydraulic heads at other wells in western Chino Basin, typically on a monthly time-step.

#### 2.1.2.2 Monitoring Vertical Aquifer-System Deformation

The Watermaster Engineer collects data on the vertical component of aquifer-system deformation at the Ayala Park, Chino Creek, and Pomona extensometer facilities once every 15 minutes. The Pomona Extensometer facility does not appear to be measuring and recording accurate data for aquifer-system deformation. Adjustments and testing of this monitoring facility are ongoing to improve the accuracy of the measurements.

#### 2.1.2.3 Monitoring Vertical Ground Motion

The Watermaster monitors vertical ground motion via ground-level surveys using InSAR and traditional leveling techniques.

For InSAR, the Watermaster has historically retained General Atomics (formerly Neva Ridge Technologies, Inc.) to acquire and post-process land-surface displacement data from the TerraSAR-X satellite operated by the German Aerospace Center. The width of the TerraSAR-X data frame covers the western half of the



Chino Basin only.<sup>3</sup> Typically each year, seven synthetic aperture radar (SAR) scenes are collected between March to March. The scenes are used to create 12 interferograms<sup>4</sup> to estimate short- and long-term vertical ground motion.<sup>5</sup>

This year, General Atomics informed the Watermaster Engineer that it is discontinuing its InSAR services, and as such, it declined to perform InSAR services for the GLMC for Fiscal Year 2022/23 and beyond.

The GLMC recommended that monitoring of ground motion via InSAR using TerraSAR X data is a critical component of the GLMP; therefore, Watermaster staff and West Yost developed a solution to continue the InSAR time series over the Chino Basin at the same high resolution and high accuracy:

- West Yost hires Sean Yarborough (the General Atomics staff that has performed InSAR monitoring for the Watermaster in the past) as a part-time employee to perform the InSAR services going forward.
- West Yost purchases and sets up all the necessary software and hardware to enable Mr. Yarborough to perform the work.
- West Yost purchases the raw SAR imagery directly from Airbus, the vendor that acquires the TerraSAR-X satellite data from the German Aerospace Center.

This solution is being implemented and is allowing the work to continue in the same high-quality fashion and will be more cost efficient in the long run. However, there have been significant start up efforts, such as establishing the relationships with the satellite vendor (German Space Agency), purchasing and configuring the necessary software/hardware, and collecting, importing, and checking all raw historical data from General Atomics.

Mr. Yarborough is currently preparing the InSAR deliverable for 2022-23; however, for the reasons stated above, the InSAR monitoring data cannot be prepared in time for inclusion in this annual report. Hence, many of the figures that are typically included in the annual report will be deferred to the subsequent annual report for FY 2023-24. That said, in early 2024, the Watermaster Engineer will share the InSAR monitoring results of vertical ground motion for 2022-23 with the GLMC.

For the ground-level surveys, Watermaster retained Guida Surveying, Inc. to conduct traditional leveling surveys at selected benchmark monuments in the western part of the Chino Basin. Table 2-2 below shows

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> All historical InSAR data that were collected and analyzed by Watermaster from 1993 to 2010 indicate that very little vertical ground motion occurred in the eastern half of the Chino Basin. In 2012, the GLMC decided to acquire and analyze InSAR only in the western portion of the Chino Basin as a cost-saving strategy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Two or more SAR scenes are used to generate grids of surface deformation (interferograms) over a given period. Typically, surfaces within a pixel will move up or down together as would be expected in recovery/subsidence scenarios. However, surfaces within the area of a pixel can move randomly and cause decorrelation in the radar signal. Examples of random motion within a pixel area are vegetation growing, urbanization, erosion of the ground surface, harvesting crops, plowing fields, and others. The magnitude of this decorrelation in the signal is measured mathematically and called incoherence. Based on the magnitude of decorrelation in an area, pixels will be rejected as "incoherent."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Several factors can influence the accuracy of ground motion results as estimated by InSAR, such as satellite orbital uncertainties and atmospheric interference. On average, accuracy of ground motion results as estimated by InSAR are +/- 0.02 ft.



#### 2022/23 Annual Report for the GLMP

the date of the most recent benchmark monument survey within the ground-level survey area. The locations of the ground-level survey areas are shown in Figure 2-2.

Table 2-1. Benchmark Monuments Surveyed in Ground-Level Survey Areas							
Ground-Level Survey Area	Date of Most Recent Survey						
Managed Area <sup>(a)</sup>	January 2018						
Central Area <sup>(a)</sup>	January 2018						
Northwest Area	June 2023						
San Jose Fault Zone Area	June 2023						
Southeast Area <sup>(a)</sup>	May 2022						
Northeast Area <sup>(a)</sup>	April 2020						
(a) The entire benchmark monument survey network for the ground-level survey area was not surveyed in 2023 based on the GLMC scope							

and budget recommendations for FY 2022/23.

#### 2.1.2.4 Monitoring of Horizontal Ground Motion

Watermaster measures horizontal ground motion between benchmarks across areas that are susceptible to ground fissuring via EDMs. The date of the most recent horizontal benchmark survey within the ground-level survey area are shown in Table 2-3. Horizontal benchmark surveys were not performed in 2022-23.

Table 2-2. Horizontal Benchmark Survey								
Ground-Level Survey Area	Date of Most Recent Survey							
Fissure Zone Area <sup>(a)</sup>	February 2018							
San Jose Fault Zone Area <sup>(a)</sup>	May 2021							
(a) EDMs across the Fissure Zone Area and San Jose Fault Zone Area were not conducted in 2022 based on GLMC scope and budget recommendations for FY 2021/22.								

#### 2.2 Land-Subsidence Investigations

The Watermaster performs land subsidence investigations pursuant to the Subsidence Management Plan and/or recommendations from the GLMC that are approved in the annual Watermaster budget. The goals of these investigations are to refine the Guidance Criteria or assist in the development of subsidence management plans to minimize or abate land subsidence and maximize the prudent extraction of groundwater.

This section describes the land subsidence investigations conducted between March 2022 and March 2023 that are called for in the Subsidence Management Plan.

#### 2.2.1 Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1

In 2015, the GLMC developed the final Work Plan to develop a subsidence-management plan for Northwest MZ-1, which describes a multi-year effort with cost estimates to execute the Work Plan. The

Page 52



Work Plan was included in the Subsidence Management Plan as Appendix B.<sup>6</sup> The background and objectives of the Work Plan are described in Section 1.1.5. The Watermaster began implementation of the Work Plan in July 2015. The Work Plan has evolved over time as new data and information has been collected and evaluated by the GLMC. The following describes the Work Plan tasks and status of each task:

**Task 1. Describe Initial Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model and Monitoring and Testing Program** – A final report was submitted to the GLMC and Watermaster in December 2017 that summarized the current state of knowledge of the hydrogeology of Northwest MZ-1, the data gaps needed to be filled to fully describe the occurrence and mechanisms of aquifer-system deformation and the pre-consolidation stress, and a strategy to fill the data gaps.

**Task 2. Implement the Initial Monitoring and Testing Program** – The Watermaster's Engineer worked with the Watermaster, MVWD, City of Pomona, and SCADA Integrations, Inc. to identify and equip a set of wells with supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) monitoring capabilities and/or transducers. Through several field visits and technical meetings with the well owners, a protocol was developed to install monitoring equipment and collect pumping and piezometric data. For the City of Pomona, nine wells were equipped with transducers. For MVWD, seven wells were equipped with transducers, two wells with sonar units, and two wells with air-line units. Hydraulic heads are recorded once every 15 minutes. Nine of the 11 MVWD wells were connected to the MVWD's existing SCADA system. The hydraulic head data from these wells are currently being collected and analyzed as part of the Northwest MZ-1 monitoring and testing program. These data will be used in future efforts to recalibrate the Chino Valley Model (MODFLOW model of Chino Basin) and the 1D Models at PX and MVWD-28.

Task 3. Develop and Evaluate the Baseline Management Alternative (BMA) and Task 4. Develop and Evaluate the Initial Subsidence-Management Alternative – A final technical memorandum was submitted to the GLMC and Watermaster in December 2017 that described the construction, calibration, and use of a numerical one-dimensional aquifer-system compaction model (1D compaction model) at MVWD-28. The objective of this memo was also to explore the future occurrence of subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 under various basin-operation scenarios of groundwater pumping and artificial recharge and to identify potential subsidence mitigation strategies.

**Task 5. Design and Install the Pomona Extensometer (PX) Facility** – The Watermaster's Engineer completed construction of two dual-nested piezometers located in Montvue Park, Pomona, CA in August 2019. Each PX piezometer was equipped with transducers and cable extensometers in June and July 2020 and has been collecting preliminary depth-specific hydraulic head and aquifer-system deformation since December 2020.

The piezometers at the PX facility are providing accurate, depth-specific head data. These data will be used in future efforts to verify or recalibrate the 1D Models at PX. Unfortunately, the extensometers at PX are not recording reasonably accurate data for vertical aquifer-system deformation. The Watermaster Engineer is uncertain of the precise causes for the malfunction at PX extensometers and is proceeding with a stepwise methodology to test and improve the monitoring devices. This methodology was shared with the GLMC at the December 13, 2022 GLMC meeting. The Watermaster Engineer will provide updates on progress to improve the PX measurements at all future GLMC meetings until the problems are resolved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Source: <u>http://www.cbwm.org/pages/reports/engineering/</u>



**Task 6. Design and Conduct Aquifer-System Stress Tests (if necessary)** – The objective of this task is to perform controlled aquifer-system stress tests at pumping wells in Northwest MZ-1 and to monitor the depth-specific hydraulic head and aquifer-system deformation response at PX. This information, along with hydraulic head data collected as part of Task 2 will be used to help identify the subsidence mechanisms and the pre-consolidation stress(es) in Northwest MZ-1. The Watermaster Engineer has not yet identified specific questions that need to be answered with the controlled aquifer-system stress tests. It is recommended a period of "passive" data collection and assessment of the data over time to determine if a controlled aquifer-system stress test is recommended in the future.

Task 7/8. Update the Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model/Construct and Calibrate Subsidence Modeling Tools – The objectives of these tasks are: (i) to update the hydrogeologic conceptual model of Northwest MZ-1 based on new lithologic information from PX and an improved understanding of hydraulic head data across Northwest MZ-1; (ii) describe the subsidence mechanisms and the pre-consolidation head by aquifer-system layer in Northwest MZ-1; and (iii) develop modeling tools that can be used to explore the future occurrence of subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 under various basin-operation scenarios of groundwater production and artificial recharge and to identify potential subsidence mitigation strategies.

A new 1D compaction model was constructed and calibrated using the hydrogeologic information collected at the Pomona Extensometer. The 1D model at MVWD-28 was also updated and recalibrated using current information. This work was reviewed by the GLMC, and additional 1D model calibration refinements and sensitivity analyses were performed based on GLMC recommendations. In December 2022, the GLMC approved 1D model calibrations and deemed them sufficient for simulation of future land subsidence under prospective plans for pumping and recharge (see Task 9 below).

**Task 9. Refine and Evaluate Subsidence-Management Alternatives** – This task is beginning in FY 2023-24. The task will help answer the question: *What are potential methods to manage the land subsidence in Northwest MZ-1?* 

The 1D compaction models at MVWD-28 and PX will be used to characterize the mechanical response of the aquifer-system to an initial Subsidence Management Alternative (SMA-1). The assumptions of the SMA-1, including the groundwater production and replenishment plans of the Chino Basin parties, will be described and reviewed by the GLMC before running the 1D Models. A draft technical memorandum will be prepared that summarizes the evaluation of the SMA-1, particularly, the ability of SMA-1 to raise and hold piezometric levels above the estimated pre-consolidation stresses. The draft memorandum may also include recommendations for (i) preliminary "guidance criteria" for subsidence management in Northwest MZ-1 and (ii) a subsequent Subsidence Management Alternative (SMA-2) if SMA-1 is not successful at raising and holding hydraulic heads above the estimated pre-consolidation stresses. A GLMC meeting will be held to review the model results and evaluation of the SMA-1, review any preliminary "guidance criteria" for subsidence management, review the recommended SMA-2, and to receive feedback on the draft technical memorandum.

After the recommended SMA-2 is reviewed by the GLMC, the Watermaster's MODFLOW model will be updated to run SMA-2 and will be used to estimate the hydraulic head response to SMA-2 at the MVWD-28 and PX locations. The projected hydraulic heads generated from the MODFLOW model using SMA-2 will be extracted from the MODFLOW model results at the MVWD-28 and PX locations and will be used as input files for both 1D compaction models. The 1D compaction models will then be run to characterize the mechanical response of the aquifer-system to SMA-2 at both the MVWD-28 and PX locations.



A draft technical memorandum will be prepared that summarizes the evaluation of SMA-2, particularly, the ability of SMA-2 to raise and hold piezometric levels above the estimated pre-consolidation stresses. The draft technical memorandum may also include recommendations for: revised "guidance criteria" for subsidence management and (ii) a subsequent Subsidence-Management Alternative (SMA-3), if SMA-2 is not successful at raising and holding hydraulic heads above the estimated pre-consolidation stresses. The assumptions of the SMA-3, including the groundwater production and replenishment plans of the Chino Basin parties, will be described and reviewed by the GLMC. A GLMC meeting will be held to review the model results and evaluation of the SMA-2, review any revised "guidance criteria" for subsidence management, review the recommended SMA-3, and to receive feedback on the technical memorandum. This task is anticipated to be completed in FY 2024/25. If necessary and recommended by the GLMC, additional subsidence management alternative scenarios may be run.

**Task 10. Update the Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan** – The objective of this task is to incorporate a preferred subsidence-management alternative for Northwest MZ-1 into the Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan will require review and input by the GLMC and the Watermaster Pools, Advisory Committee, and Board. The Watermaster will apprise the Court of revisions to the Subsidence Management Plan as part of its OBMP implementation status reporting. The updated Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan is anticipated to be completed by the end of FY 2025/26.

#### 2.2.2 Northeast Area Subsidence Investigation

In the Northeast Area, the long- and short-term InSAR estimates indicate that persistent downward ground motion has occurred in a concentrated area in the vicinity of Whispering Lakes Golf Course, south of the Ontario Airport between Vineyard Avenue and Archibald Avenue. The western and eastern edges of this subsiding area exhibit steep subsidence gradients (i.e., differential subsidence").

In FY 2021/22, the GLMC conducted a reconnaissance-level subsidence investigation of the Northeast Area focusing on the Whispering Lakes Subsidence Feature. This investigation included collection, review, and analysis of available borehole and lithologic data, pumping and recharge data, hydraulic head measurements, and InSAR estimates of vertical ground motion. Figures and charts were prepared for the 2021-22 Annual Report of the GLMC to support the data analysis, interpretations, and recommendations for future investigations and monitoring.

In 2022-23, efforts were made to collect hydrogeologic data, but without success. Additional efforts to collect hydrogeologic data in this area are occurring in 2023-24.



- Active Groundwater Pumping Wells April 1, 2022 to March 31, 2023 Private California Institution for Men Chino Basin Desalter Authority City of Chino City of Chino Hills City of Ontario City of Ontario City of Pomona City of Upland Cucamonga Valley Water District Golden State Water Company Jurupa Community Services District Monte Vista Water District
  - Areas of Subsidence Concern
    - Flood Control and Conservation Basins





Figure 2-1

Pumping and Recharge Facilities Western Chino Basin: 2022/23

Chino Basin Watermaster Ground-Level Monitoring Committee 2022/23 Annual Report



#### **Ground-Level Monitoring Network Facilities**

- Flood Control and Conservation Basins

#### Figure 2-2

Western Chino Basin

**Chino Basin Watermaster** Ground-Level Monitoring Committee 2022/23 Annual Report



#### **3.0 RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS**

This section describes the results and interpretations derived from the GLMP for the Managed Area and the other Areas of Subsidence Concern—particularly for the March 2022 to March 2023 reporting period. As described in Section 2, because of the lack of InSAR data caused by the vendor declining to perform InSAR services, some of the figures and analyses that are typically included in the annual report could not be prepared and will be deferred to the subsequent annual report for FY 2023-24. This annual report includes only the results and interpretations for data analyses that are not dependent upon InSAR.

#### 3.1 Managed Area

The Managed Area is the primary focus of the Subsidence Management Plan. The discussion below describes the results and interpretations of the monitoring program in the Managed Area and, where appropriate, relative to the Guidance Criteria in the Subsidence Management Plan.

#### 3.1.1 History of Stress and Strain in the Aquifer-System

Figure 3-1 illustrates the long-term history of groundwater pumping, hydraulic heads, and vertical ground motion in the Managed Area. Also shown is the volume of the direct use of recycled water in the Managed Area, which is an alternative water supply that can result in decreased groundwater pumping from the area. Recycled water is often used for irrigation purposes and can contribute to groundwater recharge to the shallow aquifer-system as well. General observations and interpretations from this chart are:

- Pumping from the shallow aquifer-system between the 1930s and about 1977 caused hydraulic heads to decline by about 150 ft. From 1978 to 1990, hydraulic heads recovered by about 50 ft.
- Pumping from the confined, deep aquifer-system during the 1990s caused the hydraulic heads to a decline, coinciding with high rates of land subsidence. About 2.5 ft of subsidence occurred from 1987 to 1999, and ground fissures opened within the City of Chino in the early 1990s.
- Since the early 2000s, groundwater pumping decreased, hydraulic heads in the deep aquifer-system recovered, and the rate of land subsidence declined significantly across the Managed Area. The decreases in groundwater pumping were mainly due to poor groundwater quality locally and the availability of alternative water supplies, such as recycled water and treated groundwater from the Chino Basin Desalter Authority.
- Since 2005, hydraulic heads at PA-7 have not declined below the Guidance Level, and very little inelastic compaction was recorded in the Managed Area. These observations demonstrate the effectiveness of the Subsidence Management Plan in the management of land subsidence in the Managed Area.

#### 3.1.2 Recent Stress and Strain in the Aquifer-System

This section discusses the last 11 years of groundwater pumping, changes in hydraulic heads, and vertical ground motion in the Managed Area under the Subsidence Management Plan.



#### 3.1.2.1 Groundwater Pumping and Hydraulic Heads

Table 3-1 summarizes groundwater pumping by well within the Managed Area for fiscal year 2012 through March 2023. Groundwater pumping in the Managed Area declined from about 5,680 acre-feet (af) in fiscal year 2012 to almost negligible volumes in 2023. A total of about 47 af of groundwater pumping occurred in the Managed Area from July 1, 2022 to March 31, 2023—54 percent of the groundwater pumping was from wells screened across the deep aquifer-system.

Figure 3-2 displays the hydraulic stresses and mechanical strains that have occurred within the shallow and deep aquifer-systems in the Managed Area over the period January 2011 through March 2023. The figure includes three time-series charts: quarterly groundwater pumping (hydraulic stress to the aquifer-systems); the resultant head changes (hydraulic responses to pumping); and aquifer-system deformation as measured at the Ayala Park Extensometers (mechanical strain that occurred within the aquifer-system sediments in response to the head changes). The following are observations and interpretations regarding pumping and head changes:

- From 2011 to 2018, there was a seasonal pattern of pumping in the Managed Area increased pumping during the spring to fall and decreased pumping during the winter. Since 2018, very little pumping has occurred in the Managed Area.
- Hydraulic heads respond differently to the pumping stresses in the shallow and deep aquifer-systems. Pumping from the deep confined aquifer-system causes a hydraulic head decline that is much greater in magnitude than the hydraulic head decline caused by pumping from the shallow aquifer-system, despite that more groundwater pumping has occurred from the shallow aquifer-system.
- The hydraulic head at PA-7 (deep aquifer-system) has fluctuated from a low of approximately 190 ft-btoc in August 2013 to a high of about 55 ft-btoc in January and May 2021 and has not declined below the Guidance Level of 245 ft-btoc.
- The recovery of hydraulic heads in the deep aquifer-system to above 90 ft-btoc in December 2022 represented "full recovery" of hydraulic head at PA-7 as defined in the Subsidence Management Plan.
- Since the first instance of full recovery in 2011, the hydraulic head at PA-7 recovered to 90 ft-btoc or greater in 2012, 2016, 2018, 2019, and 2022 which complies with the recommendation in the Subsidence Management Plan for full recovery within the deep aquifer-system at least once every five years.<sup>7</sup>
- Since 2018, hydraulic heads at PA-10 and PA-7 have increased to relatively high levels as a result of very little to almost zero pumping from the shallow and deep aquifer-systems. On April 1, 2023, heads were at about 51 ft-btoc in PA-10 and about 62 ft-btoc in PA-7.

Page 59

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Page 2-2 in the Subsidence Management Plan, Section 2.1.1.3—Recovery Periods: "Every fifth year, Watermaster recommends that all deep aquifer-system pumping cease for a continuous period until water-level recovery reaches 90 ft-btoc at PA-7. The cessation of pumping is intended to allow for sufficient water level recovery at PA-7 to recognize inelastic compaction, if any, at the Ayala Park Extensometer and at other locations where groundwater-level and ground-level data are being collected."



#### 3.1.2.2 Aquifer-System Deformation

Figure 3-2 also includes a time-series chart of vertical deformation of the aquifer-system as measured at the Ayala Park Extensometers for the period January 2011 through March 2023. The following are observations and interpretations regarding aquifer-system deformation in response to the pumping and head changes:

- There has been seasonal compression and expansion of the aquifer-system in response to the seasonal decline and recovery of hydraulic heads, which indicates that the vertical deformation of the aquifer-system was mainly elastic during this period.
- However, between April 6, 2011 and May 3, 2018 (dates of full recovery at PA-7 to 90 ft-btoc), the Deep Extensometer recorded about 0.034 ft of aquifer-system compression, which indicates that this compression was largely permanent compaction that occurred within the depth interval of 30-1,400 ft-bgs.<sup>8</sup>
- From May 3, 2018 to November 28, 2019 (dates of full recovery at PA-7), there was very little pumping in the Managed Area, and the Deep Extensometer recorded about 0.035 ft of aquifer-system expansion, indicating that the entire thickness of the aquifer system (shallow and deep) was experiencing elastic expansion.
- From November 28, 2019 to December 15, 2022 (dates of full recovery at PA-7), the Deep Extensometer recorded insignificant changes, indicating that the vertical deformation of the deep aquifer-system was entirely elastic.

Figure 3-3 is a stress-strain diagram of hydraulic heads measured at PA-7 (stress) versus vertical deformation of the aquifer-system sediments as measured at the Deep Extensometer (strain). This diagram provides additional information on the nature of the aquifer-system deformation (i.e., elastic versus inelastic deformation). The hysteresis loops on this figure represent cycles of hydraulic head decline-recovery and the resultant compression-expansion of the aquifer-system sediments. The diagram can be interpreted to understand the timing and magnitude of the occurrence of inelastic compaction within the depth interval of the aquifer-system that is penetrated by the Deep Extensometer. Hydraulic head decline (drawdown) is shown as increasing from bottom to top on the y-axis, and aquifer-system compression (compaction) is shown as increasing from left to right on the x-axis. The following are observations and interpretations regarding aquifer-system deformation in response to the head changes:

- From May 3, 2006 to May 3, 2018 (dates of full recovery at PA-7), the hysteresis loops progressively shifted to the right on this chart, indicating that about 0.065 ft of inelastic compaction occurred during this time-period. The rate of inelastic compaction appeared to gradually decline over this 12-year period.
- From May 3, 2018 to November 28, 2019 (dates of full recovery at PA-7), the hydraulic heads at PA-7 fluctuated between 65-120 ft-btoc. These were shallower depths to groundwater compared to the earlier period, and the hysteresis loops shifted to the left, indicating that the vertical deformation of the aquifer-system was mainly elastic expansion of the aquifer-system sediments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The analysis of full recovery and inelastic compaction at Ayala Park was included in the 2016 Annual Report (WEI, 2016).



• From November 28, 2019 to December 15, 2022 (dates of full recovery at PA-7), the hydraulic heads at PA-7 generally remained at or above 90 ft-btoc. By December 15, 2022, the hysteresis loops returned to virtually the same point as November 28, 2019, indicating that the vertical deformation of the aquifer-system was purely elastic.

#### **3.2 Southeast Area**

Historically, vertical ground motion has been measured across the Southeast Area via InSAR, traditional ground-level surveys, and the Chino Creek Extensometer Facility (CCX). As described in Section 2, InSAR results are not yet available for 2022-23 and ground-level surveys across the Southeast Area were not conducted in 2023. Hence, the interpretation of the monitoring program results in the Southeast Area are limited to data analysis from the CCX.

Figure 3-4 displays the time series of hydraulic heads and vertical aquifer-system deformation recorded at the CCX, which began collecting data in July 2012. Groundwater pumping began at the Chino Creek Well Field in 2014, but appears to have had little, if any, effect on hydraulic heads or aquifer-system deformation at the CCX through March 2022. In general, hydraulic heads at the CCX vary seasonally and have gradually increased since 2012, and a small amount of expansion of the aquifer-system has been measured by the CCX extensometers. In early 2023, hydraulic heads increased by more than 10 ft, probably in response to the wet winter of 2022-23 and a decrease in pumping, which caused additional expansion of the aquifer-system sediments as recorded by the CCX extensometers. These observations indicate that vertical deformation of the aquifer-system sediments at this location in the Southeast Area is mainly elastic expansion.

#### **3.3 Other Areas of Subsidence Concern**

Historically, vertical ground motion has been measured across Central MZ-1, Northwest MZ-1, and the Northeast Area via InSAR and traditional ground-level surveys. As described in Section 2, InSAR results are not yet available for 2022-23 and ground-level surveys across the Central MZ-1 and Northeast Area were not conducted in 2023. In addition, efforts were made in 2022-23 to collect hydrogeologic data to support the Northeast Area Subsidence Investigation, but without success. Hence, the interpretation of the monitoring program results in these other Areas of Subsidence Concern are deferred until the *2023-24 Annual Report of the GLMC*.

Table 3-1. Groundwater Pumping in the Managed Area Fiscal Year 2012 through 2023																	
	Aquifer					Fiscal '	Year, af					Fiscal Year 2023, af					
well Name	Layer	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4 <sup>(a)</sup>	By Layer	
C-4		524	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
C-6		1049	594	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
CH-1A		1137	909	738	861	649	637	369	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
CH-7A	Shallow	530	380	170	286	156	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
CH-7B		712	264	200	616	261	232	350	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
CIM-1	] [	724	1,109	1,127	878	911	908	586	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
XRef 8730 <sup>(b)</sup>	] [	3	5	5	4	3	35	29	29	29	30	7	7	7	-		
	Sub-Totals	4,679	3,260	2,240	2,644	1,980	1,879	1,334	29	29	30	7	7	7	-	21	
CH-17		758	1,444	937	1,142	567	624	571	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
CH-15B	Deep <sup>(c)</sup>	0	28	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	-		
CIM-11A		243	239	195	92	94	222	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	-		
	Sub-Totals	1,001	1,711	1,237	1,234	662	846	571	0	3	3	0	25	1	-	26	
	Totals	5,680	4,971	3,477	3,878	2,642	2,725	1,905	29	32	33	8	32	8	-	47	
"C" = City of Chino																	
"CH" = City of Chino H	lills																
"CIM" = California Ins	titution for Men																
"XRef" = Private																	
(a) Data only availab	e through March	2023.															
(b) Well screen interv	val is unknown bu	it assumed to	o be shallow b	based on typic	al well constr	ruction for otl	her private we	ells in the vici	nity.								

(c) These wells have screen intervals that extend into the shallow-aquifer system, so a portion of the production comes from the shallow aquifer-system.





**Ground-Level Monitoring Committe** 2022/23 Annual Report





\*PA-7 well-screen interval: 438-448 ft-bgs

Depth interval of the Deep Extensometer: 30-1,400 feet-bgs Page 65

Drawdown and recovery between 2/1/19 and 11/28/19



Drawdown and recovery between 12/15/22 and 3/31/23

Figure 3-3

**Stress-Strain Diagram** Ayala Park Extensometer

**Ground-Level Monitoring Committe** 2022/23 Annual Report






## 4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## **4.1 Conclusions and Recommendations**

The major conclusions and recommendations of this 2022/23 Annual Report of the GLMC are:

 At the Ayala Park Extensometer in the Managed Area, hydraulic heads within the shallow and deep aquifer-systems are near their highest levels since the inception of the GLMP in 2003, and the Ayala Park Extensometers recorded elastic compression and expansion of the aquifer-system during the current reporting period of March 2022 to March 2023. The elevated hydraulic heads were due to the virtual cessation of pumping in the Managed Area since 2018. The reduced pumping is largely due to the presence of water-quality contaminants in groundwater that constrain its use as drinking water and the availability of alternative water supplies. Hydraulic heads in the deep aquifer-system remain well above the Guidance Level, and the Ayala Park Extensometers recorded very little, if any, inelastic compaction of the aquifer-system during the current reporting period.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Continue the GLMP in the Managed Area with no changes to the monitoring network or monitoring/reporting protocols.

• At the CCX in the Southeast Area, hydraulic heads within the shallow and deep aquifer-systems are near their highest levels since monitoring began at the CCX in 2012, and the CCX extensometers recorded elastic expansion of the aquifer-system during the current reporting period of March 2022 to March 2023. The recent increases in hydraulic heads were due to the wet winter of 2022-23 and a decrease in pumping in the Southeast Area.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Continue the GLMP in the Southeast Area with no changes to the monitoring network or monitoring/reporting protocols.

Across most of the other Areas of Subsidence Concern, prior annual reports have noted long-term trends of gradual land subsidence since 1992, even during periods of stable or increasing heads. The long-term trends in land subsidence have been of particular concern in Northwest MZ-1, where subsidence occurs differentially across the San Jose Fault and differential subsidence poses a threat for ground fissuring. The long-term trends of land subsidence have been attributed to the delayed drainage and compaction of aquitards as they slowly equilibrate with lower heads in the aquifers that were caused by historical pumping. As described in Section 2, InSAR data were not available for this annual report, so interpretation of the GLMP results in these other Areas of Subsidence Concern are deferred until the 2023-24 Annual Report of the GLMC.

**RECOMMENDATION**: The GLMC should continue implementation of the *Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1 Area* to develop management strategies to avoid future occurrences of subsidence. In FY 2023/24, this will include:

- Continuing aquifer-system monitoring and data analysis in Northwest MZ-1, including hydraulic head data and aquifer-system deformation data from the PX and hydraulic head data from Pomona and MVWD wells equipped with transducers. This includes efforts to improve the accuracy of the extensometer data being measured at the PX.
- Performing and evaluating 1D Model simulations of the potential future aquifer-system compaction (i.e., land subsidence) in Northwest MZ-1 at the PX and MVWD-28 locations.
   The 1D Model simulation results will be used to develop "Guidance Criteria" for



Northwest MZ-1 (e.g., recommended groundwater-level elevations) that will abate future subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 or reduce it to tolerable levels. The 1D Models should also be used to explore potential methods to achieve the Guidance Criteria, such as voluntary modification of pumping patterns; in-lieu recharge; wet-water recharge via spreading and/or injection; conducting Storage and Recover programs; or a combination of methods.

- The future scenarios for the 1D Model simulations could be developed during Watermaster's upcoming groundwater modeling efforts associated with the 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation and the development of the Storage and Recovery Master Plan. The GLMC should participate in the scenario building exercises associated with these Watermaster efforts, so that the scenarios include various methods to achieve the Guidance Criteria. Then, the 1D Models should be used to evaluate the potential future subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 under these future scenarios, which could support the finalization of the Guidance Criteria and the Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1.
- For the Northeast Area Subsidence Investigation, efforts were made in 2022-23 to collect hydrogeologic data as recommended in the *2021-22 Annual Report of the GLMC*, but without success. In addition, the InSAR data for 2022-23 are not yet available to confirm the ongoing occurrence of land subsidence in the vicinity of the Whispering Lakes Golf Course.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Efforts should continue to collect land subsidence and hydrogeologic data in this area in 2023-24 to further identify the primary cause(s) of the differential subsidence at the Whispering Lakes Subsidence Feature. Data collection should include: InSAR data; well information and data at the nearby Philadelphia Wells; and historical land use data and practices in the vicinity of the Whispering Lakes Golf Course.

## 4.2 Recommended Scope and Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/23

A scope-of-work for the GLMP for FY 2023/24 was reviewed by the GLMC in April 2023 and approved by Watermaster on May 25, 2023. Appendix A is the technical memorandum prepared by the Watermaster Engineer, titled: *Recommended Scope and Budget of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee for FY 2023/24*.

In March 2024, the Watermaster Engineer will present the preliminary results of the GLMP through 2023 and a recommended FY 2024/25 scope and budget to the GLMC for consideration and feedback.

## 4.3 Changes to the Subsidence Management Plan

The Subsidence Management Plan calls for ongoing monitoring, data analysis, annual reporting, and adjustments to the Plan, as warranted by the data. The Subsidence Management Plan states that if data from existing monitoring efforts in the Areas of Subsidence Concern indicate the potential for adverse impacts due to subsidence, Watermaster will revise the Subsidence Management Plan pursuant to the process outlined in Section 4 of the Subsidence Management Plan. The recommendations described above to continue implementation of the *Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence-Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1 Area* are consistent with the requirements of the OBMP Program Elements 1 and 4 and its implementation plan contained in the Peace Agreement.





## 5.0 GLOSSARY

The following glossary contains the terms and definitions used in this report and generally in the discussions at GLMC meetings.

**Aquifer** – A saturated, permeable, geologic unit that can transmit significant quantities of groundwater under ordinary hydraulic gradients and is permeable enough to yield economic quantities of water to wells.

**Aquifer-system** – A heterogeneous body of interbedded permeable and poorly permeable geologic units that function as a water-yielding hydraulic unit at a regional scale. The aquifer-system may comprise one or more aquifers within which aquitards are interspersed. Confining units may separate the aquifers and impede the vertical exchange of groundwater between aquifers within the aquifer-system.

**Aquitard** – A saturated, but poorly permeable geologic unit that impedes groundwater movement and does not yield water freely to wells but may transmit appreciable water to and from adjacent aquifers and, where sufficiently thick, may constitute an important groundwater storage unit. A really, extensive aquitards may function regionally as confining units within aquifer-systems.

**Artesian** – An adjective referring to confined aquifers. Sometimes the term artesian is used to denote a portion of a confined aquifer where the altitudes of the potentiometric surface are above land surface (flowing wells and artesian wells are synonymous in this usage). But, more generally, the term indicates that the altitudes of the potentiometric surface are above the altitude of the base of the confining unit (artesian wells and flowing wells are not synonymous in this case).

**Compaction** – Compaction of the aquifer-system reflects the rearrangement of the mineral grain pore structure and largely non-recoverable reduction of the porosity under stresses greater than the pre-consolidation stress. Compaction, as used here, is synonymous with the term "virgin consolidation" used by soils engineers. The term refers to both the process and the measured change in thickness. As a practical matter, a very small amount (1 to 5 percent) of compaction is recoverable as a slight elastic rebound of the compacted material if stresses are reduced.

**Compression** – A reversible compression of sediments under increasing effective stress; it is recovered by an equal expansion when aquifer-system heads recover to their initial higher values.

**Consolidation** – In soil mechanics, consolidation is the adjustment of a saturated soil in response to increased load, involving the squeezing of water from the pores and a decrease in the void ratio or porosity of the soil. For the purposes of this report, the term "compaction" is used in preference to consolidation when referring to subsidence due to groundwater extraction.

**Confined Aquifer-system** – A system capped by a regional aquitard that strongly inhibits the vertical propagation of head changes to or from an overlying aquifer. The heads in a confined aquifer-system may be intermittently or consistently different than in the overlying aquifer.

**Deformation, Elastic** – A fully reversible deformation of a material. In this report, the term "elastic" typically refers to the reversible (recoverable) deformation of the aquifer-system sediments or the land surface.



**Deformation, Inelastic** – A non-reversible deformation of a material. In this report, the term "inelastic" typically refers to the permanent (non-recoverable) deformation of the aquifer-system sediments or the land surface.

**Differential Land Subsidence** – Markedly different magnitudes of subsidence over a short horizontal distance, which can be the cause of ground fissuring.

**Drawdown** – Decline in aquifer-system head typically due to pumping by a well.

**Expansion** – In this report, expansion refers to the expansion of sediments. A reversible expansion of sediments under decreasing effective stress.

**Extensometer** – A monitoring well housing a free-standing pipe or cable that can measure vertical deformation of the aquifer-system sediments between the bottom of the pipe and the land surface datum.

**Ground Fissures** – Elongated vertical cracks in the ground surface that can extend several tens of feet in depth.

**Hydraulic Conductivity** – A measure of the medium's capacity to transmit a particular fluid. The volume of water at the existing kinematic viscosity that will move in a porous medium in unit time under a unit hydraulic gradient through a unit area. In contrast to permeability, it is a function of the properties of the liquid, as well as the porous medium.

**Hydraulic Gradient** – Change in head over a distance along a flow line within an aquifer-system.

**Hydraulic Head** – A measure of the potential for fluid flow. The height of the free surface of a body of water above a given subsurface point.

**InSAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar Interferometry)** – A remote-sensing method (radar data collected from satellites) that measures ground-surface displacement over time.

**Linear Potentiometer** – A highly sensitive electronic device that can generate continuous measurements of displacement between two objects. Used to measure movement of the land-surface datum with respect to the top of the extensometer measuring point.

**Nested Piezometer** – A single borehole containing more than one piezometer.

**Overburden** – The weight of overlying sediments, including their contained water.

**Piezometer** – A monitoring well that measures groundwater levels, or piezometric level, at a point, or in a very limited depth interval, within an aquifer-system.

**Piezometric (Potentiometric) Surface** – An imaginary surface representing the total head of groundwater within a confined aquifer-system, defined by the level to which the water will rise in wells or piezometers that are screened within the confined aquifer-system.

Pore pressure – Water pressure within the pore space of a saturated sediment.

**Rebound** – Elastic rising of the land surface.



**Stress, Effective** – The difference between the geostatic stress and fluid pressure at a given depth in a saturated deposit, representing the portion of the applied stress that becomes effective as intergranular stress.

**Stress, Pre-consolidation** – The maximum antecedent effective stress to which a deposit has been subjected and can withstand without undergoing additional permanent deformation. Stress changes in the range less than the pre-consolidation stress produce elastic deformations of small magnitude. In fine-grained materials, stress increases beyond the pre-consolidation stress produce much larger deformations that are principally inelastic (non-recoverable). Synonymous with "virgin stress."

**Stress** – Stress (pressure) that is borne by and transmitted through the grain-to-grain contacts of a deposit, thus affecting its porosity and other physical properties. In one-dimensional compression, effective stress is the average grain-to-grain load per unit area in a plane normal to the applied stress. At any given depth, the effective stress is the weight (per unit area) of sediments and moisture above the water table plus the submerged weight (per unit area) of sediments between the water table and a specified depth plus or minus the seepage stress (hydrodynamic drag) produced by downward or upward components, respectively, of water movement through the saturated sediments above the specified depth. Effective stress may also be defined as the difference between the geostatic stress and fluid pressure at a given depth in a saturated deposit and represents the portion of the applied stress that becomes effective as intergranular stress.

**Subsidence** – Permanent or non-recoverable sinking or settlement of the land surface due to any of several processes.

**Transducer** – An electronic device that can measure piezometric levels by converting water pressure to a recordable electrical signal. Typically, the transducer is connected to a data logger, which records the measurements.

**Water Table** – The surface of a body of unconfined groundwater at which the pressure is equal to atmospheric pressure and is defined by the level to which the water will rise in wells or piezometers that are screened within the unconfined aquifer-system.



## **6.0 REFERENCES**

- Fife, D.L., Rodgers, D.A., Chase, G.W., Chapman, R.H., and E.C. Sprotte. (1976). *Geologic Hazards in Southwestern* San Bernardino County, California: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 113, 40 p.
- Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. (1994). Final Report Ground Fissuring Study, California Department of Corrections, California Institution for Men, Chino, California. Project No. 2360. San Francisco, CA.
- GEOSCIENCE, Support Services, Inc. (2002). *Preliminary Geohydrologic Analysis of Subsidence in the Western Portion of the Chino Basin*. Prepared for the City of Chino Hills. August 29, 2002.
- Kleinfelder, Inc. (1993). Geotechnical Investigation, Regional Subsidence and Related Ground Fissuring, City of Chino, California. Project No. 58-3101-01. Diamond Bar, CA.
- Kleinfelder, Inc. (1996). *Chino Basin Subsidence and Fissuring Study, Chino, California*. Project No. 58-5264-02. Diamond Bar, CA.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). (1999). *Land subsidence in the United States* (Devin Galloway, David R. Jones, S.E). Ingebritsen. USGS Circular 1182. 175 p.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (1999). *Optimum Basin Management Program. Phase I Report*. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. August 19, 1999.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2003). *Management Zone 1 (MZ-1) Interim Monitoring Program*. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. January 2003.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2006). *Optimum Basin Management Program. Management Zone 1 Interim Monitoring Program. MZ-1 Summary Report*. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. February 2006.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2007). *Chino Basin Optimum Basin Management Program. Management Zone 1 Subsidence Management Plan.* Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. October 2007.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2015a). *Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan*. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. July 23, 2015.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2015b). Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence-Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1 Area. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. July 23, 2015.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2016). 2016 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. September 2017.
- Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. (WEI). (2017a). *Initial Hydrologic Conceptual Model and Monitoring and Testing Program for the Northwest MZ-1 Area*. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster. December 2017.

# Appendix A

Recommended Scope and Budget for the Ground-Level Monitoring Program for FY 2023/24





### **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM** DATE: May 10, 2023 Project No.: 941-80-22-26 TO: Ground-Level Monitoring Committee FROM: Andy Malone and Garrett Rapp **REVIEWED BY:** Andy Malone SUBJECT: Recommended Scope of Work and Budget of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee for Fiscal Year 2023/24 (FINAL)

#### BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Pursuant to the Optimum Basin Management Program Implementation Plan and the Peace Agreement, the Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster) implements a Subsidence Management Plan (SMP) for the Chino Basin to minimize or stop the occurrence of land subsidence and ground fissuring. The Court approved the SMP and ordered its implementation in November 2007 (2007 SMP). The 2007 SMP was updated in 2015 (2015 SMP) and can be downloaded from the Watermaster website. The SMP outlines a program of monitoring, data analysis, and annual reporting. A key element of the SMP is its adaptive nature—Watermaster can adjust the SMP as warranted by the data.

The Watermaster Engineer, with the guidance of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee (GLMC), prepares annual reports which include the results of the monitoring program, interpretations of the data, recommendations for the Ground-Level Monitoring Program (GLMP) for the following fiscal year (FY), and recommendations for adjustments to the SMP, if any.

This Technical Memorandum (TM) describes the Watermaster Engineer's recommended activities for the GLMP for FY 2023/24 in the form of a proposed scope of services and budget.

Members of the GLMC are asked to:

- Review this TM prior to March 2, 2023. ٠
- Attend a meeting of the GLMC at 9:00 am on March 2, 2023 to discuss the proposed scope • of services and budget for FY 2023/24.
- Submit comments and suggested revisions on the proposed scope of services and budget for FY 2023/24 to the Watermaster by March 24, 2023.
- Attend a meeting of the GLMC at 9:00 am on March 30, 2023 to discuss comments and revisions to the proposed scope of services and budget for FY 2023/24 (if necessary).

• Submit additional comments and suggested revisions on the proposed scope of services and budget for FY 2023/24 to the Watermaster by April 7, 2023.

The final scope of services and budget that is recommended by the GLMC will be included in the Watermaster's FY 2023/24 budget. The final scope of services, budget, and schedule for FY 2023/24 will be included in Section 4 of the 2022/23 Annual Report of the GLMC.

#### **RECOMMENDED SCOPE OF SERVICES AND BUDGET – FY 2023/24**

A proposed scope of services for the GLMP for FY 2023/24 is shown in Table 1 as a line-item cost estimate. The proposed scope of services is summarized below.

#### Task 1. Setup and Maintenance of the Monitoring Network

The Chino Basin extensometer facilities are key monitoring facilities for the GLMP. They require regular and as-needed maintenance and calibration to remain in good working order and to ensure the recording of accurate measurements.

#### Task 1.1. Maintain Extensometer Facilities

This subtask includes performing monthly visits to the Ayala Park, Chino Creek, and Pomona extensometer (PX) facilities to ensure functionality and calibration of the monitoring equipment and data loggers. One additional staff member is required at the PX site due to safety concerns.

Non-routine efforts to be performed during FY 2023/24 under this subtask include:

- Monthly adjustments to the PX extensometers to improve the accuracy of the measurements of aquifer-system deformation.
- Repair of the top of the rusted casings at the Ayala Park piezometers.

#### Task 1.2. Annual Lease Fees for the Chino Creek Extensometer Site

The County of San Bernardino (County) owns the land the Chino Creek extensometer facility is located on. As such, the Watermaster entered into a lease agreement with the County in 2012 and pays the County and annual rental payment of \$1,596.

### Task 2. Aquifer-System Monitoring and Testing

This task involves the collection and compilation of hydraulic head and aquifer-system deformation data from the Ayala Park, Chino Creek, and Pomona extensometer facilities.

#### Task 2.1. Conduct Quarterly Monitoring at Extensometer Facilities

This subtask involves the routine quarterly collection and checking of data from the extensometer facilities. Quarterly data collection is necessary to ensure that the monitoring equipment is in good working order and to minimize the risk of losing data because of equipment malfunction. For this subtask, the complete extensometer and piezometer records from the Ayala Park, Chino Creek, and Pomona extensometer facilities will be loaded to HydroDaVE<sup>SM</sup> (Hydrologic Database and Visual Explanations) and checked.

#### Table 1. Work Breakdown Structure and Cost Estimates for the Ground-Level Monitoring Program: FY 2023/24

	La	bor (days)			Other D	Direct Cost	S			Totals		
Task Description	Sa Pers Day	on /s Tota	Trave	New Equip.	Equip. Rental	Outside Pro	Misc. To	otal	Totals by Task	Recommended Budget 2023/24	Approved Budget 2022/23	Net Change from 2022/23
Teal 1. Catur and Maintenance of the Manitering Network		<u> </u>	21					* <b>7</b> 000	¢ 47 700	a ¢47.700	b	a - b
1 1 Maintain Extensionator Easilities		\$39,8	21				\$	\$7,968	\$47,789	\$47,789	\$35,470	\$12,319
1.1 Maintain Extensioneter Facilities	25	622 F	00 ćc	10 ¢25(	) ¢200			4 100	622 707	¢22.707	633 380	¢11 227
1.1.1 Routine maintenance of Ayara Park, Chino Creek, and Pomona extensioneter facilities	23	) <u>32,</u> 5	09 30 12 ¢1	19 \$250	) <u>3</u> 300	¢2 500		51,199 51,199	\$33,707	\$33,707 \$12,495	\$22,380	\$11,327
1.1.2 Replacement/repair of equipment at extensionleter facilities	4	د,/د	12 - 31 ¢0	\$ \$2,500		\$2,500	<u>\$1506</u>	\$1 506	\$12,405	\$12,405	\$11,494 \$1 506	\$992
	0		30 				Ş1,390 Ç	,JJJU	\$1,590	\$1,590	\$1,590	
Task 2. MZ-1: Aquifer-System Monitoring and Testing		\$30,5	52					Ş904	\$31,456	\$31,456	\$30,687	\$768
2.1 Conduct Quarterly Monitoring at Extensioneters Facilities			40 62		¢.c.			6202	ć2 022	¢2,022	ć2.050	627
2.1.1 Download data from the Ayala Park Extensioneter facility	2	\$2,6	40 \$3	52	\$60			\$392	\$3,032	\$3,032	\$3,059	-\$27
2.1.2 Download data from the Chino Creek Extensioneter facility	2	\$2,6	40 40 ća		\$60			\$60 ¢450	\$2,700	\$2,700	\$2,778	-\$/8
2.1.3 Download data from Pomona Extensioneter facility	8	\$10,0	40 \$3 22	52	\$120	)		\$452 ¢0	\$10,492	\$10,492	\$5,853 ¢19,007	\$4,639
		, \$15,2	32				· · ·	ŞU	\$15,232	\$15,232	\$18,997	-\$3,705
Task 3. Basin Wide Ground-Level Monitoring Program (InSAR)		\$6,5	60	_			\$9	90,000	\$96,560	\$96,560	\$90,472	\$6,088
3.1 Acquire TerraSAR-X data and prepare interferograms for 2023/24	1	\$2,3	36	_		\$90,000	\$9	90,000	\$92,336	\$92,336	\$86,892	\$5,444
3.2 Check and review InSAR results	2.5	5 \$4,2	24					\$0	\$4,224	\$4,224	\$3,580	\$644
Task 4. Perform Ground-Level Surveys		\$7,7	28				\$7	76,552	\$84,280	\$84,280	\$38,241	\$46,039
4.1 Conduct Spring-2024 Elevation surveys in Northwest MZ-1	0.5	5 \$1,1	68			\$27,192	\$2	27,192	\$28,360	\$28,360	\$26,259	\$2,101
4.2 Conduct Spring-2024 Elevation Survey in the Northeast Area	0		\$0			\$50,820		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.3 Conduct Spring-2024 Elevation Survey in the Southeast Area	0		\$0	_		\$53,812		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.4 Conduct Spring-2024 Elevation and EDM Surveys in the Managed Area/Fissure Zone	0.5	5 \$1,1	68	_		\$30,080	\$3	30,080	\$31,248	\$31,248	\$0	\$31,248
4.5 Replace Destroyed Benchmarks (if needed)	0		\$0	_		\$19,280	\$1	19,280	\$19,280	\$19,280	\$5 <i>,</i> 650	\$13,630
4.6 Process, Check, and Update Database	3	\$5,3	92					\$0	\$5,392	\$5,392	\$6,332	-\$940
Task 5. Data Analysis and Reporting		\$85,4	12					\$0	\$85,412	\$85,412	\$87,888	-\$2,476
5.1 Prepare Draft 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee	20	\$36,1	36					\$0	\$36,136	\$36,136	\$34,124	\$2,012
5.2 Prepare Final 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee	8.5	5 \$15,7	32					\$0	\$15,732	\$15,732	\$19,993	-\$4,261
5.3 Compile and Analyze Data from the 2023/24 Ground-Level Monitoring Program	14	\$23,5	44					\$0	\$23,544	\$23,544	\$21,643	\$1,901
5.4 Continue Whispering Lakes Subsidence Investigation	0	\$10,0	00					\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$12,129	-\$2,129
Task 6. Develop a Subsidence-Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1		\$15,5	36					\$0	\$15,536	\$15,536	\$25,203	-\$9,667
6.1 Aquifer-System Monitoring												
6.1.1 Collect pumping and piezometric level data from agencies every three months; check and upload data to HDX	8	\$10,5	60					\$0	\$10,560	\$10,560	\$12,995	-\$2,435
6.1.2 Prepare and analyze charts and data graphics of pumping and recharge (Northwest MZ-1), piezometric levels, and aquifer-system deformation from PX	3	\$4,9	76					\$0	\$4,976	\$4,976	\$12,208	-\$7,232
Task 7. Construct and Calibrate Additional 1D Models Across Western Chino Basin		\$192.3	56					<b>\$155</b>	\$192.511	\$192.511	\$140.339	\$52.173
7.1 Prepare a draft TM summarizing the background, objectives, and methods; distribute to the GLMC	6.5	5 \$12,7	60					\$0	\$12,760	\$12,760	<i>+,</i>	+,
7.2 Prepare for and conduct a GLMC meeting to receive feedback and comments on the draft TM	a 2.5	5 \$5,0	32 \$	/8				\$78	\$5,110	\$5,110		
7.3 Verify and/or recalibrate the 1D Model at Ayala Park Extensometer location	12.	5 \$22,7	36					\$0	\$22,736	\$22,736		
7.4 Construct two additional 1D Models in the Southeast Area and Northeast Area	35	\$62,3	68					\$0	\$62,368	\$62,368	<i>.</i>	650.470
7.5 Calibrate new 1D Models to derive properties of aquifers/aquitards and estimate the pre-consolidation stress(es)	25	\$45,4	72					\$0	\$45,472	\$45,472	\$140,339	Ş52,173
7.6 Prepare a draft TM summarizing the construction/calibration of additional 1D Models; distribute to the GLMC	20	\$37,0	24					\$0	\$37,024	\$37,024		
7.7 Prepare for and conduct a GLMC meeting to receive feedback and comments on the draft TM	a 2.5	5 \$5,0	32 \$	/8				\$78	\$5,110	\$5,110		
7.8 Incorporate the GLMC comments and prepare a final technical memorandum	1	\$1,9	32					\$0	\$1,932	\$1,932		
Task 8. Meetings and Administration		\$58.8	66					\$362	\$59,228	\$59.228	\$54,559	\$4,669
8.1 Prepare for and Conduct Four Meetings of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee	a 18	\$ \$32.3	52 \$2	34				\$284	\$32.636	\$32.636	\$29,986	\$2.651
8.2 Prepare for and Conduct One As-Requested Ad-Hoc Meeting	a 3	\$5.3	92 Ś	'8	1	1		\$78	\$5,470	\$5,470	\$5,025	\$445
8.3 Perform Monthly Project Management	6	\$11.5	92			1		\$0	\$11,592	\$11,592	\$10,740	\$852
8.4 Prepare a Recommended Scope and Budget for the GLMC for FY 2023/24	5.2	5 \$9,5	30			1		\$0	\$9,530	\$9,530	\$8,808	\$722
Totals		\$436,8	31				\$17	75,941		\$612,772	\$502,860	\$109,912

Notes:

a Assumes in-person meetings.

## Task 3. Basin-Wide Ground-Level Monitoring Program (InSAR)

This task involves the annual collection and analysis of Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) scenes to estimate the vertical ground motion across the western portion of Chino Basin from March 2023 to March 2024.

#### Task 3.1. Acquire TerraSAR-X SAR Data and Prepare Interferograms for 2023/24

In this subtask, five SAR scenes that will be acquired by the TerraSAR-X satellite from March 2023 to March 2024 are purchased from the German Aerospace Center. General Atomics (formerly Neva Ridge Technologies) will use the SAR scenes to prepare 12 interferograms that describe the incremental and cumulative vertical ground motion that occurred from March 2023 to March 2024 and since 2011. The associated costs to task, acquire, purchase, and process the InSAR data is as follows:

- Task TerraSAR-X for five SAR acquisitions for the western Chino Basin (\$13,000)
- Purchase TerraSAR-X data (\$18,000)
- Prepare InSAR results, including GeoTIFFs and GIS raster datasets (\$59,000)

#### Task 3.2. Check and Review InSAR Results

In this subtask, the Watermaster Engineer reviews the InSAR results with General Atomics, performs checks for reasonableness and accuracy of the InSAR estimates of vertical ground motion across the western Chino Basin, and uploads the InSAR results to the GIS database.

#### Task 4. Perform Ground-Level Surveys

This task involves conducting elevation surveys at benchmark monuments across defined areas of western Chino Basin to estimate the vertical ground motion that occurred since the prior survey. Figure 1 shows the location of the benchmark monuments surveyed across the western Chino Basin. Electronic distance measurements (EDM surveys) are also performed periodically between monuments to estimate horizontal ground motion in areas where ground fissuring due to differential land subsidence is a concern. Table 2 documents the areas surveyed over the last six years as part of the GLMP.

Table 2. History of Ground-Level Surveys									
	Ground-Level Survey Completed (Y/N)?								
Ground-Level Survey Area	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023 <sup>(b)</sup>			
Managed Area	Y	N	N	N	N	N			
Fissure Zone Area <sup>(a)</sup>	Y	N	N	N	N	N			
Central Area	N	N	N	N	N	N			
Northwest Area	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
San Jose Fault Zone Area <sup>(a)</sup>	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			
Southeast Area	Y	N	N	N	Y	N			
Northeast Area	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N			
<ul> <li>(a) Denotes EDM survey area (measurements of horizontal strain).</li> <li>(b) The 2023 ground-level surveys are scheduled to begin in early March 2023.</li> </ul>									



The ground-level surveys recommended for FY 2023/24 include the following:

#### Task 4.1. Conduct Spring-2024 Elevation surveys in Northwest MZ-1

In this subtask, the surveyor conducts elevation and EDM surveys at the established benchmarks in Northwest MZ-1 in Spring 2024. The elevation survey will begin at the Pomona Extensometer Facility and includes benchmarks across Northwest MZ-1. The elevation survey will be referenced to a newly established elevation datum at the Pomona Extensometer.

The vertical elevation survey is recommended in FY 2023/24 because of the recent subsidence that has occurred in Northwest MZ-1 and because the survey will support the development of a subsidence management plan in Northwest MZ-1. The EDM survey is **not** recommended to be performed across the San Jose fault zone because past surveys (2013-2021) have demonstrated that the horizontal strain measured between benchmark pairs appears to behave elastically. The EDM surveys should be conducted less frequently than annual (e.g., once every five years).

# Task 4.4. Conduct Spring-2021 Elevation and EDM Surveys in the Managed Area/Fissure Zone Area

In this subtask, the surveyor conducts elevation and EDM surveys at the established benchmarks in across the Managed Area in Spring 2024. These surveys are recommended because (i) the Managed Area is the primary focus of the Subsidence Management Plan and (ii) the last survey performed in this area was during spring 2018 which, by spring 2024, will be six years between surveys.

#### Ground-Level Surveys Not Recommended for FY 2023/24

Ground-level surveys are **not** recommended for FY 2023/24 in the other Areas of Subsidence Concern (i.e., Central, Southeast, and Northeast Areas). This recommendation is justified because:

- InSAR is proving to be an accurate, more efficient, higher-resolution method to monitor vertical ground motion across the western Chino Basin.
- Hydraulic heads and vertical ground motion in some of these areas are stable or increasing.

Ground-level surveys should be conducted in these areas less frequently than annual (*e.g.*, once every five years).

#### Task 4.5. Replace Destroyed Benchmarks (if needed)

In this subtask, the surveyor replaces benchmark monuments that have been destroyed since the last survey, if any.

#### Task 4.6. Process, Check, and Update Database

In this subtask, the Watermaster Engineer receives and catalogs the survey results provided by the surveyor, prepares the data for display as a GIS layer, and performs checks against InSAR and extensometer data for reasonableness and accuracy.

### Task 5. Data Analysis and Reporting

#### Task 5.1. Prepare Draft 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee

Prepare the text, tables, and figures for a draft 2022/23 Annual Report of the GLMC and submit the report to the GLMC by September 22, 2023 for review and comment.

#### Task 5.2. Prepare Final 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee

Update the text, tables, and figures based on the comments received from the GLMC and prepare a final 2022/23 Annual Report of the GLMC by November 3, 2023. Responses to GLMC comments will be included as an appendix to the final report. The report will be included in the agenda packet for the November 2023 Watermaster meetings for approval.

#### Task 5.3. Compile and Analyze Data from the 2023/24 Ground-Level Monitoring Program

In this subtask, monitoring data generated from the GLMP during 2023/24 is checked, mapped, charted, and analyzed as the first step in the preparation of the subsequent annual report. Some of the maps, charts, and tables are shared with the GLMC at its meetings in early 2024 during the development of a recommended scope of services and budget for FY 2024/25.

#### Task 5.4. Conduct Whispering Lakes Subsidence Investigation of the Northeast Area

In the Northeast Area, the long-term and short-term InSAR estimates indicate that persistent downward ground motion has occurred in a concentrated area south of the Ontario International Airport between Vineyard Avenue and Archibald Avenue in the vicinity of Whispering Lakes Golf Course. The western edge of this subsiding area exhibits a steep subsidence gradient or "differential subsidence."

In FY 2021/22, the Watermaster Engineer conducted a Reconnaissance-Level Investigation that included the review and analysis of readily-available borehole and lithologic data, historical air photos, pumping and recharge data, hydraulic head data, and InSAR estimates of vertical ground motion. Figures and charts were prepared and analyzed to derive interpretations and recommendations for future investigations and monitoring. The investigation and recommendations were included in the FY 2021/22 Annual Report of the GLMC. Plausible mechanisms for this subsidence feature include pumping-induced aquitard drainage and shallow soil consolidation associated with historical land uses. The investigation identified data gaps in available site-specific hydrogeologic data.

Potential next steps presented to the GLMC at its December 13, 2022 meeting included:

- Aquifer-system monitoring (*e.g.,* collecting existing hydrogeologic data; installing transducers at wells in the study area; constructing an aquifer-system monitoring facility within the subsidence feature)
- Further investigation of the historical land use practices in the vicinity of the Whispering Lakes Golf Course (e.g., agricultural disturbance and augmentation of soils; historical sewage disposal and spreading of solids; golf course construction and maintenance activities)
- Perform field studies of shallow soil consolidation (i.e., develop a dataset of site-specific shallow soil compaction that could be compared to the rates of subsidence estimated by InSAR); and

The GLMC has recommended a stepwise, process-of-elimination approach to identify the subsidence mechanism(s). The GLMC approved a \$10,000 budget for FY 2022/23 to implement the recommendations derived from the Reconnaissance-Level Investigation. This budget is being used to collect and evaluate existing data (e.g., hydrogeologic data, well information, reports, historical land use data) and install transducers at nearby pumping wells. The results of these efforts will be documented in the GLMC Annual Report for 2022/23 along with recommendations for follow-on work.

The GLMC should consider dedicating contingency budget for FY 2023/24 (\$10,000) to continue the implementation of the recommendations derived Reconnaissance-Level Investigation and future recommendations based on results of work performed in 2022/23.

## Task 6. Develop a Subsidence-Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1

The 2007 SMP called for ongoing monitoring and data analysis of the Managed Area; including annual reporting and adjustments to the SMP, as warranted by the data. The 2007 SMP also called for expanded monitoring of the aquifer-system and land subsidence in other areas of subsidence and ground fissuring concern. Figure 1 shows the location of these so-called Areas of Subsidence Concern: Central MZ-1, Northwest MZ-1, Northeast Area, and Southeast Area. The expanded monitoring efforts outside of the Managed Area are consistent with the requirements of OBMP Program Element 1 and its implementation plan contained in the Peace Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

The 2007 SMP stated that if data from existing monitoring efforts in the Areas of Subsidence Concern indicate the potential for adverse impacts due to subsidence, the Watermaster would revise the SMP to avoid those adverse impacts. The 2014 Annual Report of the GLMC recommended that the 2007 SMP be updated to better describe the Watermaster's land subsidence efforts and obligations, including areas outside of MZ-1. As such, the update included a name change to the 2015 Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan (2015 SMP) and a recommendation to develop a subsidence management plan for Northwest MZ 1.

The Watermaster had been monitoring vertical ground motion in Northwest MZ-1 via InSAR during the development of the 2007 SMP. Land subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 was first identified as a concern in 2006 in the MZ-1 Summary Report and again in 2007 in the 2007 SMP. Of particular concern was the occurrence of concentrated differential subsidence across the San Jose Fault in Northwest MZ-1—the same pattern of differential subsidence that occurred in the Managed Area during the time of ground fissuring. Ground fissuring is the main subsidence-related threat to infrastructure. The issue of differential subsidence, and the potential for ground fissuring in Northwest MZ-1, has been discussed at prior GLMC meetings, and the subsidence has been documented and described as a concern in the Watermaster's State of the Basin Reports, the annual reports of the GLMC, and in the *Initial Hydrologic Conceptual Model and Monitoring and Testing Program for the Northwest MZ-1* Area (WEI, 2017). The Watermaster increased monitoring efforts in Northwest MZ-1 beginning in FY 2012/13 to include ground elevation surveys and electronic distance measurements (EDM) to monitor ground motion and the potential for fissuring.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>http://www.cbwm.org/docs/legaldocs/Peace\_Agreement.pdf</u>.

In 2015, the Watermaster's Engineer developed the *Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1 Area* (Work Plan; WEI 2015b).<sup>2</sup> The Work Plan is characterized as an ongoing Watermaster effort and includes a description of a multi-year scope-of-work, a cost estimate, and an implementation schedule. The Work Plan was included in the 2015 SMP as Appendix B. Implementation of the Work Plan began in July 2015. On an annual basis, the GLMC analyzes the data and information generated by the implementation of the Work Plan. The results and interpretations generated from the analysis are documented in the annual report of the GLMC and used to prepare recommendations for future activities.

#### Progress to Implement Work Plan thru FY 2022/23

The progress that has been made to implement the Work Plan (through FY 2022/23) is described below:

- An initial hydrogeologic conceptual model of the Northwest MZ-1 Area was developed, and a report was published in 2017.<sup>3</sup> This report described the hydrogeology of the area, speculated on the causes of the observed land subsidence, and included a recommended monitoring program.
- A preliminary one-dimensional (1D) compaction model, based on hydrogeologic information from the MVWD-28 well site, was constructed, calibrated, and used to explore the future occurrence of subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 under various basin-operation scenarios of groundwater production and artificial recharge and to identify potential subsidence mitigation strategies. A report<sup>4</sup> was published to document the results of the modeling and included a recommendation to construct the Pomona Extensometer.
- The initial monitoring program was implemented to closely track groundwater-levels, groundwater production, recharge, and ground motion across Northwest MZ-1. This monitoring program included the construction of the Pomona Extensometer to measure and record depth-specific heads and aquifer-system deformation. Implementation of the monitoring program is ongoing.
- A new 1D model was constructed and calibrated using the hydrogeologic information collected at the Pomona Extensometer. The 1D model at MVWD-28 was also updated and recalibrated using current information. The objectives of this exercise were to: (i) describe the subsidence mechanisms and the pre-consolidation head by aquifer-system layer in Northwest MZ-1 and (ii) develop modeling tools that can be used to explore the future occurrence of subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 under various basin-operation scenarios of groundwater production and artificial recharge and to identify potential subsidence mitigation strategies. This work was reviewed by the GLMC, and additional model calibration refinements and sensitivity analyses were performed based on GLMC recommendations. In December 2022, the GLMC approved 1D Model calibrations and deemed them sufficient for simulation of future land subsidence under prospective plans for pumping and recharge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence-Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://cbwm.syncedtool.com/shares/folder/PaauzoQapiZ/?folder\_id=5150940

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>https://cbwm.syncedtool.com/shares/folder/PaauzoQapiZ/?folder\_id=5150942</u>

- In the first half of 2023, the GLMC is developing an initial "Subsidence Management Alternative" called SMA-1. SMA-1 is equivalent to the planning scenario that was simulated with the 2020 Chino Valley Model (CVM) to support the 2020 Safe Yield Recalculation (2020 SYR). The 2020 SYR was intended to represent and simulate the Parties' projected pumping, recharge, and use of storage through 2050. The results of the 2020 SYR (projected hydraulic heads by CVM layer) will be used as input data for the 1D Model simulations to predict the potential future occurrence of subsidence through 2050. The GLMC will evaluate the predicted hydraulic heads versus the predicted compaction as simulated by the 1D Models, and then make the following determinations and/or recommendations:
  - a. Determine the "acceptableness" of the predicted land subsidence.
  - b. Recommend "subsidence management strategies" for Northwest MZ-1. These recommended strategies can be considered a preliminary or draft *Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1*, and may come in the form of:
    - i. Recommended operating ranges for hydraulic heads by aquifer layer.
    - ii. Recommended groundwater management practices, such as pumping, recharge, the use of local storage, and/or the design of Storage and Recovery Programs.
  - c. Recommend the minimum recharge quantity of supplemental water in MZ-1.
  - d. Or, recommend additional work, such as developing additional SMAs, performing CVM and 1D Model simulations of the additional SMAs, and making revised determinations and/or recommendations based on the model results (*i.e.*, a. through c. above). Any additional SMAs must be approved by the GLMC before taking the next step to simulate the SMA with the CVM and the 1D Models.

Based on the expected progress through FY 2022/23, the following work is recommended for FY 2023/24 to develop the *Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1*:

#### Task 6.1. Aquifer-System Monitoring

The established monitoring program of piezometric levels and pumping at wells in Northwest MZ-1 will continue through various techniques, including: (i) SCADA-based monitoring by the Monte Vista Water District; (ii) monitoring of piezometric levels via sonar<sup>5</sup>; (iii) monitoring of piezometric levels via pressure transducers at City of Pomona production wells; and (iv) manual measurements of piezometric levels. These data, along with data collected from the PX in Task 2.1, will improve the understanding of the hydrogeology in Northwest MZ-1, will be used to develop the *Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1*, and in the future, will be used to adapt the Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan, as appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The use of sonar technology to measure piezometric levels in wells in currently being used in Monte Vista Water District wells 28 and 31.

In this subtask, all data is collected, compiled, checked, and analyzed every three months. Charts and data graphics of pumping, piezometric levels, and aquifer-system deformation will be updated to support the data collection and analysis.

#### Task 6.5. Provide Advice in the Development of the 2025 SYR Scenarios

The forthcoming 2025 SYR will involve the development of multiple projection scenarios of future hydrology, pumping, managed recharge, and use of managed storage in the Chino Basin. These projection scenarios will be simulated with an updated CVM. The CVM results will be evaluated for MPI and then used to evaluate the current Safe Yield of the Chino Basin. The GLMC should advise in the development of the 2025 SYR scenarios, so that the 1D Models can be used to simulate the land subsidence and support in the evaluation of:

- Potential subsidence-related MPI associated with the Safe Yield estimates.
- The minimum recharge quantity of supplemental water in MZ-1 as required by the Peace II Agreement.

Providing GLMC advice on the projection scenarios should be conducted in conjunction with the 2025 SYR and can be discussed at regularly scheduled GLMC meetings at no additional cost.<sup>6</sup> The model evaluations for MPI and for the minimum recharge quantity of supplemental water in MZ-1 would likely be conducted in FY 2024/25.

## Task 7. Construct and Calibrate Additional 1D Models Across Western Chino Basin

At the conclusion of FY 2022/23, the GLMC will have used the 1D Models at PX and MVWD-28 to develop recommended "subsidence management strategies" that can be considered the draft *Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1*. In this task, additional 1D Models are constructed and calibrated across other Areas of Subsidence Concern in western Chino Basin, so that Watermaster can use all the 1D Models to:

- Evaluate for MPI during the 2025 SYR evaluation.
- Refine the draft Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1.
- Evaluate for the minimum recharge quantity of supplemental water in MZ-1 as required by the Peace II Agreement.

This task will include the work to:

- Verify and/or recalibrate the 1D Model that was prepared by the GLMC in the Managed Area at the Ayala Park Extensometer.
- Construct and calibrate additional 1D Models in other Areas of Subsidence Concern, such as the Southeast Area around the Chino Desalter well fields and in the Northeast Area (City of Ontario).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This is because most of these discussions will be occurring in the 2025 SYR peer review process with the same technical consultants that participate on the GLMC.

The deliverables of this task will be the following:

- A draft TM will be prepared to describe the background/objectives of the task and the methods to complete the task. The methods will include a description of the proposed locations for the additional 1D Models and the methods to construct and calibrate the models. A GLMC meeting will be held to review the draft TM and receive GLMC feedback.
- A draft TM will be prepared that summarizes the validation, construction, and calibration of the additional 1D Models. A GLMC meeting will be held to review the draft TM, and a final TM will be prepared based on GLMC feedback.

#### Task 8. Meetings and Administration

#### Task 8.1. Prepare for and Conduct Four Meetings of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee

This subtask includes preparing for and conducting four meetings of the GLMC:

- July 2023 Implementation of the GLMP for FY 2023/24
- September 2023 Review the draft 2022/23 Annual Report of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee
- Early March 2024 Review the draft recommended scope and budget for FY 2024/25
- Late March 2024 Review the final recommended scope and budget for FY 2024/25 (if needed)

#### Task 8.2. Prepare for and Conduct One As-Requested Ad-Hoc Meeting

This subtask includes preparing for and conducting one ad-hoc meeting of the GLMC, as requested by the GLMC or Watermaster staff.

#### Task 8.3. Perform Monthly Project Management

This subtask includes monthly project administration and management, including staffing, financial and schedule reporting to Watermaster and subcontractor coordination.

#### Task 8.4. Prepare a Recommended Scope and Budget for the GLMC for FY 2024/25

This subtask includes preparing a draft and final recommended scope of services and budget for FY 2024/25 for the GLMC to support the Watermaster's budgeting process.

## **Comments and Responses to Comments**

The comments received from the GLMC as of March 31, 2023 on the "Recommended Scope of Services and Budget of the Ground-Level Monitoring Committee for Fiscal Year 2023/24 (Draft)" and the Watermaster Engineer's response to comments are documented below.

## Comments from the City of Chino (Eric Fordham)

Comment 1 – Task 1. Setup and Maintenance of the Monitoring Network.

Concur with recommended scope and budget.

Response:

n/a

#### Comment 2 – Task 2. Aquifer System Monitoring and Testing.

Concur with recommended scope and budget.

#### Response:

n/a

#### Comment 3 – Task 3.1. Acquire TerraSAR-X SAR Data and Prepare Interferograms for 2023/24

Has General Atomics agreed to continue providing their InSAR services and for how long? What would be the contingency and financial impact should they decide to discontinue their services mid-year?

#### Response:

General Atomics has decided to terminate its subcontractor agreement with the Watermaster and will no longer provide InSAR services. General Atomics is in the process of transmitting to the Watermaster Engineer all historical SAR data and intermediate/final work products that have been generated for the GLMC since 2011.

The Watermaster Engineer is exploring other options to continue the InSAR time series of estimates of vertical ground motion across the western portion of Chino Basin using the same TerraSAR-X data and data-processing methods. Once a proposal and cost estimate has been prepared, the Watermaster Engineer will bring the proposal to the GLMC for review and comment.

#### Comment 4 – Task 4. Perform Ground-Level Surveys.

We concur with the recommendations and time frames for conducting the ground-level surveys.

#### **Response:**

n/a

# Comment 5 – Task 5.4. Conduct Whispering Lakes Subsidence Investigation and the Northeast Area.

Concur with the approach of using a process of elimination to assess potential mechanisms for the observed subsidence. The study efforts and budget should be balanced with the potential for MPI for this relatively limited area.

#### Response:

We agree with the comment. Any additional recommended work on this task will be included in the draft 2022-23 Annual Report of the GLMC for review and comment by the GLMC.

# Comment 6 – Task 6.5. Construct and Calibrate Additional 1D Models Across Western Chino Basin

Prior to constructing additional 1D models, areas where additional land subsidence evaluation could potentially identify the need to mitigate or abate MPI should be screened as to the actual or perceived potential for land subsidence. The need for additional 1D compaction models may not be warranted.

#### **Response:**

The additional 1D Models would only be proposed within the "Areas of Subsidence Concern" across the western Chino Basin, which are areas defined in the Chino Basin Subsidence Management Plan. These are areas where subsidence is currently and persistently occurring, or the underlying geology makes these areas susceptible to aquifer-system compaction and permanent land subsidence.

To address this comment, a TM has been added to the scope of work to describe the background and objectives of the task and the methods to complete the task. The methods will include a description of the proposed locations for the additional 1D Models and the methods to construct and calibrate the models. A GLMC meeting will be held to review the draft TM and receive feedback from the GLMC before proceeding with the construction and calibration of the additional 1D Models.

#### Comment 7 – Meetings and Administration.

Concur with recommended scope and budget.

#### **Response:**

n/a

#### Comment 8 – Table 1

The table should identify any unspent or carry-over budget from the approved 2022/23 budget.

#### Response:

Currently, it is too early to predict unspent budget from FY 2022/23 that could be carried over to FY 2023/24.

## Comments from the State of California (Rick Rees)

Comment 1 – Task 6.5. Construct and Calibrate Additional 1D Models Across Western Chino Basin

We suggest that that Subtask 6.5, "Construct and Calibrate Additional 1D Models Across Western Chino Basin," be broken out as a separate full task because it is not a component of Task 6, "Develop a Subsidence Management Plan for Northwest MZ-1."

#### Response:

A new Task 7 has been added to the text and Table 1 for the task: "Construct and Calibrate Additional 1D Models Across Western Chino Basin."

Appendix B

Response to GLMC Comments



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA/WSP USA (RICHARD REES, PG, CHG)

#### Comment 1 – InSAR

The absence of processed InSAR data in the 2022/2023 Annual Report affirms how valuable this information is for ground-level monitoring. We look forward to seeing the processed InSAR information from West Yost next year.

#### **Response:**

We agree, and we look forward to sharing the InSAR results for 2022/23 as soon as they are available.

#### **Comment 2 – General Comment**

This comment is not related to the report but to the scope of future reports. It is our recollection that the GLMC has discussed obtaining ground level data in the eastern side of Chino Basin in the past. The committee has focused its monitoring on the western portions of the basin due do the finer grained sediments in this portion of the basin and the historical subsidence occurrence. However, as basin reoperation progresses and transfers result in more production from the east side of the basin, it seems prudent to check the eastern side of the basin for ground level changes periodically. We suggest that the committee consider adding this task to fiscal year 2024/2025 with an update every three or five years. The work could be done using InSAR data from the California Department of Water Resources or obtained separately and analyzed by Watermaster's Engineer.

#### Response:

We agree that the GLMC should discuss and consider this recommendation. The eastern portion of Chino Basin has experienced the greatest declines in head. The InSAR data available from the DWR could be used to perform the evaluation of vertical ground motion across the eastern portion of Chino Basin at relatively low cost to the Chino Basin parties.



## **MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT (JUSTIN SCOTT-COE)**

#### **Comment 1 – General Comment**

"...as expressed by the District in prior correspondence and agreed to by Watermaster, the GLMC serves as a gathering of stakeholder representatives for the provision of advice to Watermaster. The GLMC has neither decision-making authority nor ability to generate or approve a report, adopt a budget, or take any other formal action. Therefore, this Report should be renamed to avoid its representation as the collective perspective of GLMC participants.

#### Response:

We agree with the comment on the role of the GLMC. The report has been retitled: 2022/23 Annual Report for the Ground-Level Monitoring Program

#### Comment 2 – Section 1.1.5

How much historical ground movement has been experienced in Central MZ-1? How much is estimated in Northwest MZ-1.

#### **Response:**

In Central MZ-1, approximately 1.8 ft of land subsidence has been measured by InSAR and ground-level surveys from 1987-2022. Most of this subsidence occurred in the late 1980s and early 1990s and was associated with the high rates of subsidence that was occurring within the Managed Area in the City of Chino. 1D compaction models have not been constructed and calibrated in Central MZ-1 to provide estimates of land subsidence that occurred prior to 1987.

In Northwest MZ-1, approximately 1.3 ft of land subsidence has been measured by InSAR from 1992-2022. The calibration of the 1D compaction models in Northwest MZ-1 estimated that a maximum of about 8 feet of land subsidence occurred prior to 1992 (i.e., 1930-1992).

#### Comment 3 – Section 2.2.1

Why is the extensometer data for the Pomona location absent from the report?

#### **Response:**

As discussed and demonstrated at the December 13, 2022 GLMC meeting, the PX extensometers are not recording accurate data. For this reason, the PX data are not currently included in this year's annual report.

The Watermaster Engineer is uncertain of the precise causes for the malfunction at PX and is proceeding with a stepwise methodology to test and improve the monitoring devices. This methodology was shared with the GLMC at the December 13, 2022 GLMC meeting. We will provide updates on progress to improve the PX measurements at all subsequent GLMC meetings.

#### Comment 4 – Section 2.2.1

WEST YOST



How was the lithologic data interpreted for the model? How was it logged in the field? For example, how was a sandy silt or sandy clay represented in the model?

#### **Response:**

There is very little discussion in this annual report on the construction of the 1D Models at PX and MVWD-28. The discussions on construction of the 1D Models are in the following report which is located on the Watermaster's website:

#### <u>Construction and Calibration of One-Dimensional Compaction Models in the Northwest MZ-1 Area of</u> <u>the Chino Basin</u>

In summary, the borehole sediments from the PX borehole were logged in the field using the Unified Soil Classification System. If the predominant grain size of a sediment sample was sand and/or gravel, then the sediment sample was considered a "sand layer" in the 1D Model. If the predominant grain size of a sediment sample was clay and/or silt, then the sediment sample was considered a "clay layer" in the 1D Model. The borehole geophysical logs were used to verify the assignments of "sand" and "clay" layers in the 1D Models.

#### Comment 5 – Section 2.2.2 – Northwest MZ-1 Efforts

Additional description should be added here describing 2022/23 efforts, issues, and remedies for next fiscal year. Collecting monitoring data is critical to understanding issues in Northwest MZ-1 and comparing with modeling estimates.

#### **Response:**

Section 2.2.1 on Northwest MZ-1 efforts was revised and augmented to address this comment. Text was added to better describe: (i) the efforts to improve the measurement/recording of aquifer-system deformation at the PX extensometers and (ii) the 1D modeling efforts to develop recommendations for "guidance criteria" for subsidence management in Northwest MZ-1.

Monitoring of vertical ground motion in Northwest MZ-1 via InSAR, ground-level surveys, and GPS are described in Section 2.1. We agree that monitoring data are critical for "ground-truthing" the model projections and improving the models over time through periodic recalibrations.

#### Comment 6 – Section 4.1

The District continues to be concerned that, while the 1D model shows 7 to 9 feet of historical land subsidence, no physical evidence of fissuring or infrastructure damage has been observed in Northwest MZ-1. Monitoring efforts are critical to understanding the issue and ground-truthing any model estimates.

#### Response:

We agree that there have been no published reports of subsidence-related damage to surface infrastructure. However, there are published historical leveling surveys that support the 1D model estimates of historical subsidence at these magnitudes (see Figure 1 in this TM and the Initial Hydrologic



Conceptual Model and Monitoring and Testing Program for the Northwest MZ-1 Area [WEI, 2017]). In

addition, 1D Models indicate that the historical subsidence occurred over multiple decades since the early 1900s. It is possible that damage occurred (e.g., fissuring, broken pipes, etc.) but was repaired and never attributed to the gradual process of land subsidence across Northwest MZ-1. As an example, the City of Pomona had to rehabilitate its only two wells that are located within the main area of subsidence in Northwest MZ-1 (Well 27 and Well 30). Video logs of those wells showed that the well casings were compressed, damaged, and required repair. The damage to the well casings could have been caused by the compaction of the aquifer system but was never directly attributed.

We agree that monitoring data are critical for "ground-truthing" the model projections and improving the models over time through periodic recalibrations.

#### Comment 7 – Section 4.1 – Conceptual Model

Based on the fissures in Central MZ-1, would it be expected that fissures should have been expressed? Is subsidence solely attributed to groundwater extraction? In what way might the San Jose Fault play on the sense of motion for the various blocks? As the San Jose Fault is characterized as left lateral strike slip fault with northern dip angle and slight normal component, how can we rule that out as contributing to small levels of ground level change over time?

#### **Response:**

**Fissuring**. See response to Comment 6 above regarding the potential occurrence of historical ground fissuring. In short, ground-surface extension and/or fissuring may have occurred to some degree in Northwest MZ-1 since the early 1900s but was repaired and never attributed to the gradual process of land subsidence.

**Tectonics.** Years ago, tectonic displacement of the ground surface was recognized by the GLMC as a potential cause of the observed ground motion across the western Chino Basin. As a result, the GLMC recommended to prepare a map of seismicity versus InSAR results of vertical ground motion. These maps have been prepared in every GLMC annual report over the last several years. The maps show no seismicity in the vicinity of the San Jose Fault that suggests a relationship between tectonics and the observed vertical ground motion. The map was not prepared for this year's annual report because of the absence of InSAR data in this annual report, but the map will be included in next year's annual report.

#### Comment 8 – Section 4.1 – Extensometer Data

It is unclear why issues with the extensometer data in Northwest MZ-1 are not explored more fully in the report. The District recommends that any ongoing issues as well as remediations and next steps should be included in this report, as the extensometers are part of the monitoring program and under normal circumstances would be included. To ultimately establish confidence in the subsidence monitoring data and conceptual model, the GLMC needs to understand what issues were present initially and how they were remedied. Monitoring data is critical to validating the conceptual model, 1-D model, and mechanisms of subsidence in Northwest MZ-1.

#### **Response:**

WEST YOST



As discussed and demonstrated at the December 13, 2022 GLMC meeting, the PX extensometers are not recording accurate data. For this reason, the PX data is not currently included in this year's annual report.

The Watermaster Engineer is uncertain of the precise causes for the malfunction at PX and is proceeding with a stepwise methodology to test and improve the monitoring devices. This methodology was shared with the GLMC at the December 13, 2022 GLMC meeting. We will provide updates on progress to improve the PX measurements at all subsequent GLMC meetings. Section 2.2.1 on Northwest MZ-1 efforts was revised and augmented to describe this process.

We agree that monitoring data are critical to improve conceptual understanding of the subsidence, to "ground-truth" the model projections, and to improve the models over time through periodic recalibrations. Also note that monitoring of vertical ground motion in Northwest MZ-1 also includes techniques such as InSAR, ground-level surveys, and GPS as described in Section 2.1.

#### Comment 9 – Section 4.1 – Guidance Criteria

Given the lack of monitoring data, the proposal of draft or preliminary Guidance Criteria in Northwest MZ-1 is premature. Finalization of Guidance Criteria absent monitoring data to confirm key questions regarding conceptual understanding of subsidence mechanisms is premature. The District recommends removing suggestion of finalization of Guidance Criteria on page 58. Without complete data and an understanding of what the extensometer data is showing, preliminary (or otherwise) guidance criteria should be postponed until a better understanding of the observed data is achieved. Recommendations should come from recent and most accurate verified data.

#### **Response:**

The 1D Models were constructed and calibrated with measure data, including: detailed descriptions of the underlying geologic formations, the time-history of depth-specific hydraulic heads, and the time-history of vertical ground motion as measured by InSAR and ground-level surveys in Northwest MZ-1. The GLMC recommended, and the Watermaster Engineer performed, extensive calibration exercises and sensitivity analyses for the 1D Models. We believe the 1D Models are sufficiently calibrated for use as projection tools to explore the potential future occurrence of aquifer-system compaction in Northwest MZ-1, and importantly, to use these projections to develop recommended "guidance criteria" for depth-specific hydraulic heads in Northwest MZ-1 to reduce or abate the future occurrence of subsidence. We intend to classify the "guidance criteria" as "preliminary" with the understanding that the 1D Models can be used in the future to explore groundwater-management methods to achieve the recommended hydraulic heads and then refine the "guidance criteria" if necessary. Additional data collected in the future (e.g., heads, ground motion, etc.) will be used to verify and/or improve the 1D Models.



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

## STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 9, 2023

- TO: AP Committee Members
- SUBJECT: Calendar Year 2024 Appropriative Pool Committee Volume Vote (Consent Calendar Item I.D.)

#### SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: Volume Vote calculations for the new calendar year are performed annually and Parties are allocated a voting percentage.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Approve the Calendar Year 2024 Appropriative Pool Committee Volume Vote as presented, subject to Watermaster Board approval of the Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package at its November 16, 2023 meeting.

Financial Impact: None.

Future Consideration Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Approval.

<u>ACTIONS:</u> Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

Following the approval of the Assessment Package each year, Volume Vote calculations for the new calendar year are performed and Parties are allocated a voting percentage. The 2023/24 Assessment Package is scheduled for Watermaster Board approval on November 16, 2023, and thus the Appropriative Pool Committee's Calendar Year 2024 Volume Vote is predicated on that approval.

Pursuant to the Appropriative Pool Pooling Plan, the total voting power on the Pool Committee is 1,000 votes. Of these, 500 votes are allocated based on each Party's percentage of Operating Safe Yield. The remaining 500 votes are allocated proportionally based on production during the preceding year.

#### DISCUSSION

Most Water Activity Reports have now been received, and the Volume Vote has been calculated. Once the Assessment Package is approved by the Board, the Volume Vote is then officialized for use during the coming calendar year.

The 2024 Appropriative Pool Committee Volume Vote allocation has been completed and is provided for review and use (Attachment 1). The current year (2023) Volume Vote is also attached for reference (Attachment 2).

#### ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Calendar Year 2024 Appropriative Pool Committee Volume Vote
- 2. Current Year 2023 Appropriative Pool Committee Volume Vote





# Chino Basin Watermaster 2024 Appropriative Pool Volume Vote

Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

	Assessable Production			Share of Sa	afe Yield	TOTAL VOLUME VOTE	
	Acre-Ft	Percentage	Votes	Acre-Ft	Votes	Non-Minor	Minor
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	276.6	0.414%	2.071	0.0	0.000		2.071
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.000		0.000
Chino Hills, City Of	2,176.9	3.259%	16.297	1,572.5	19.255	35.552	
Chino, City Of	3,112.5	4.660%	23.302	3,004.2	36.785	60.087	
Cucamonga Valley Water District	13,514.7	20.235%	101.176	2,695.5	33.005	134.181	
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	4,760.0	58.285	58.285	
Fontana Water Company	8,721.0	13.058%	65.289	0.8	0.010	65.299	
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.000		0.000
Golden State Water Company	921.7	1.380%	6.900	306.3	3.750		10.650
Jurupa Community Services District	7,157.8	10.717%	53.586	1,535.0	18.795	72.381	
Marygold Mutual Water Company	559.7	0.838%	4.190	488.0	5.975		10.165
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	503.9	6.170		6.170
Monte Vista Water District	5,165.5	7.734%	38.671	3,592.2	43.985	82.656	
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.000		0.000
Niagara Bottling, LLC	1,401.4	2.098%	10.492	0.0	0.000		10.492
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.000%	0.000	2.9	0.035		0.035
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.000%	0.000	150.3	1.840		1.840
Ontario, City Of	12,566.1	18.815%	94.075	8,469.8	103.710	197.785	
Pomona, City Of	10,197.4	15.268%	76.341	8,352.2	102.270	178.611	
San Antonio Water Company	459.0	0.687%	3.436	1,122.1	13.740		17.176
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	17.6	0.026%	0.132	0.0	0.000		0.132
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	969.0	11.865		11.865
Upland, City Of	540.0	0.809%	4.043	2,124.2	26.010	30.053	
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.000%	0.000	705.6	8.640		8.640
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.000%	0.000	479.8	5.875		5.875
TOTAL	66,788.0	100.000%	500.000	40,834.0	500.000	914.889	85.111
						1,000.000	



# Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Appropriative Pool Volume Vote

Assessment Year 2022-2023 (Production Year 2021-2022)

	Assessable Production			Share of Sa	afe Yield	TOTAL VOLUME VOTE		
	Acre-Ft	Percentage	Votes	Acre-Ft	Votes	Non-Minor	Minor	
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	251.6	0.334%	1.668	0.0	0.000		1.668	
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.000		0.000	
Chino Hills, City Of	2,628.9	3.487%	17.434	1,572.5	19.255	36.689		
Chino, City Of	3,059.9	4.058%	20.292	3,004.2	36.785	57.077		
Cucamonga Valley Water District	9,368.3	12.425%	62.125	2,695.5	33.005	95.130		
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	4,760.0	58.285	58.285		
Fontana Water Company	11,387.1	15.103%	75.513	0.8	0.010	75.523		
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.000		0.000	
Golden State Water Company	1,066.1	1.414%	7.070	306.3	3.750		10.820	
Jurupa Community Services District	11,601.7	15.387%	76.936	1,535.0	18.795	95.731		
Marygold Mutual Water Company	944.2	1.252%	6.261	488.0	5.975		12.236	
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	503.9	6.170		6.170	
Monte Vista Water District	6,994.9	9.277%	46.387	3,592.2	43.985	90.372		
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.000		0.000	
Niagara Bottling, LLC	1,684.0	2.233%	11.167	0.0	0.000		11.167	
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.000%	0.000	2.9	0.035		0.035	
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.000%	0.000	150.3	1.840		1.840	
Ontario, City Of	14,390.0	19.085%	95.427	8,469.8	103.710	199.137		
Pomona, City Of	10,183.8	13.507%	67.533	8,352.2	102.270	169.803		
San Antonio Water Company	402.5	0.534%	2.669	1,122.1	13.740		16.409	
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	19.8	0.026%	0.131	0.0	0.000		0.131	
Santa Ana River Water Company	103.2	0.137%	0.684	969.0	11.865		12.549	
Upland, City Of	1,312.4	1.741%	8.703	2,124.2	26.010	34.713		
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.000%	0.000	705.6	8.640		8.640	
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.000%	0.000	479.8	5.875		5.875	
TOTAL	75,398.2	100.000%	500.000	40,834.0	500.000	912.459	87.541	
				1.		1,000.0	0.000	



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

## STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 9, 2023

- TO: ONAP Committee Members
- SUBJECT: Calendar Year 2024 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote (Routine Business Item I.D.)

#### SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: Following the approval of the Assessment Package each year, Volume Vote calculations for the new Calendar Year are performed and Parties are allocated a voting percentage.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Receive and file the Calendar Year 2024 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote as presented, subject to Watermaster Board approval of the Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package at its November 16, 2023 meeting.

Financial Impact: None.

<u>Future Consideration</u> Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Receive and file.

<u>ACTIONS:</u> Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

The Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote is updated, and Parties are allocated a voting percentage following the approval of the Assessment Package each year. The 2023/2024 Assessment Package is scheduled for Watermaster Board approval on November 16, 2023, and thus the Calendar Year 2024 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote is predicated on that approval.

The total voting power on the Pool Committee is 1,484 votes. Of these, 742 votes are to be allocated based on one vote for every ten acre-feet or fraction thereof of Safe Yield. The remaining 742 votes are allocated proportionally based on production during the preceding year.

#### DISCUSSION

Occasionally due to water transfers, if each Party is given one vote for every ten acre-feet or fraction thereof of Safe Yield, the total for that portion of the Volume Vote is 743 rather than 742. The logical solution to address this is to reduce the 743 votes down to 742 votes on a pro-rata basis; this is the same methodology that has been used in recent years. Please note that this approach has a very minor effect on each Party's vote.

The 2024 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote allocation has been completed and is provided for review and use (Attachment 1). The current year (2023) Volume Vote is also attached for reference (Attachment 2).

#### ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Calendar Year 2024 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Volume Vote Basis
- 2. Current Year 2023 Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee Vote Basis





## Chino Basin Watermaster 2024 Non-Ag Pool Volume Vote

Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

	Assessable Production			Shai	TOTAL		
	Acre-Ft	Percentage	Votes	Acre- Ft	WV Realloc	Votes	VOLUME VOTE
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	25.8	0.862%	6.396	18.8	0.0	2.000	8.396
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
California Speedway Corporation	274.2	9.154%	67.926	1,000.0	2.1	101.000	168.926
California Steel Industries, Inc.	1,057.5	35.310%	262.002	1,615.1	3.4	162.000	424.002
CalMat Co.	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	1,151.3	38.442%	285.243	3,920.6	8.4	393.000	678.243
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	75.5	2.520%	18.701	133.9	0.3	14.000	32.701
General Electric Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	299.2	9.989%	74.116	464.2	1.0	47.000	121.116
Linde Inc.	0.0	0.000%	0.000	1.0	0.0	1.000	1.000
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	15.9	0.532%	3.944	50.0	0.1	6.000	9.944
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	1.8	0.061%	0.455	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.455
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	93.7	3.129%	23.216	104.1	0.2	11.000	34.216
ТАМСО	0.0	0.000%	0.000	42.6	0.1	5.000	5.000
West Venture Development Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	2,994.9	100.000%	742.000	7,350.3	15.7	742.000	1,484.000


## Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Non-Ag Pool Volume Vote

Assessment Year 2022-2023 (Production Year 2021-2022)

	Asse	ssable Producti	on	Shar	TOTAL		
	Acre-Ft	Percentage	Votes	Acre- Ft	WV Realloc	Votes	VOLUME VOTE
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	27.3	0.905%	6.716	18.8	0.0	2.000	8.716
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
California Speedway Corporation	402.9	13.369%	99.201	1,000.0	2.1	101.000	200.201
California Steel Industries, Inc.	671.4	22.281%	165.323	1,615.1	3.4	162.000	327.323
CalMat Co.	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	1,370.8	45.489%	337.530	3,920.6	8.4	393.000	730.530
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	75.1	2.492%	18.488	133.9	0.3	14.000	32.488
General Electric Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	336.9	11.179%	82.946	464.2	1.0	47.000	129.946
Linde Inc.	0.0	0.000%	0.000	1.0	0.0	1.000	1.000
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	17.6	0.584%	4.334	50.0	0.1	6.000	10.334
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	15.7	0.522%	3.874	0.0	0.0	0.000	3.874
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	93.7	3.110%	23.074	104.1	0.2	11.000	34.074
ТАМСО	2.1	0.069%	0.513	42.6	0.1	5.000	5.513
West Venture Development Company	0.0	0.000%	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	3,013.4	100.000%	742.000	7,350.3	15.7	742.000	1,484.000



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

#### STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 9, 2023

TO: AP/ONAP/OAP Committee Members

SUBJECT: Watermaster Reappointment (Business Item II.A.)

SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: The current Watermaster appointment expires February 10, 2024. To ensure that there is no lapse in Watermaster appointment, a motion needs to be filed with the Court recommending the appointment of Watermaster beyond that date. [Advisory Committee Approval Required]

<u>Recommendation:</u> Recommend future Watermaster appointment to the Advisory Committee.

Financial Impact: None

Future ConsiderationAppropriative Pool – November 09, 2023: Advice and assistanceNon-Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Advice and assistanceAgricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Advice and assistanceAdvisory Committee – November 16, 2023: ApprovalWatermaster Board – November 16, 2023: Adopt AC recommendation

ACTIONS:

Appropriative Pool – November 09, 2023: Non-Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Agricultural Pool – November 09, 2023: Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

Pursuant to the 1978 Judgment, Chino Basin Municipal Water District (CBMWD) (now Inland Empire Utilities Agency), served as the initial Watermaster. Following the interim appointment of the California Department of Water Resources as Watermaster in April 1997, on February 19, 1998, the Court appointed the Nine-Member Board as Watermaster. In its Order establishing the nine-member Board, the Court directed the Board to develop and submit an OBMP for approval on or before July 2000. (February 19, 1998 Ruling, at 4.)

Having completed the OBMP, Watermaster filed a Motion to re-appoint the Board with the Court on August 30, 2000. On September 28, 2000, the Court appointed the Board to a five-year term as Watermaster. In its September 28, 2000 Order, the Court expressly conditioned the re-appointment, requiring Watermaster to: file a report on the status of its efforts to resolve the terms and conditions applicable to the purchase of desalted water and to secure a recession of Western Municipal Water District's conditional execution of the Peace Agreement, to adopt revised Rules and Regulations subject to Court approval, to submit reports, including schedule and budget information, in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Order, and to cooperate with independent assessment and verification of the data in the reports by the Special Referee and her technical expert.

The September 28, 2000 Order further stated that "a primary concern of the Court in any future application for re-appointment ... will be the parties' continued commitment to provide for future desalters and preserve safe yield in accordance with the OBMP" and that "any future application for re-appointment ... may be conditioned on the development of a detailed plan to reach the OBMP goal of 40,000 acre-feet per year of desalting capacity" to be installed by 2020. (September 28, 2000 Order, at 7.) Finally, the Court noted certain additional factors—installation of production meters; completion of basin monitoring systems; completion of a Recharge Master Plan and installation of appropriate recharge facilities; and expansion of Desalter I and installation of Desalter II—that it would consider when the Board sought re-appointment.

On December 31, 2005, Watermaster filed a Motion to Re-appoint the Nine-Member Board, stating that Watermaster had complied with all five of the express conditions for re-appointment included in the 2000 Order, and described compliance measures with each. (December 31, 2005 Motion, at 3:7-4:3.) The motion also addressed the additional factors for re-appointment from the Court's September 2000 Order, stating that Watermaster had completed, or was in the process of completing, installation of meters for active wells, detailed the monitoring programs that had been initiated during the Board's previous term, described the completion of the Recharge Master Plan Phase II Report and summarized its contents. (Id., at 6:20-27.) The December 31, 2005 Motion stated that it had been unanimously approved by all Pool Committees, the Advisory Committee, and the Board before filing, and that Watermaster knew of no opposition to the re-appointment. (Id., at 13-18.)

On January 20, 2006, the Special Referee filed her Comments and Recommendations Concerning Motion to Re-appoint the Nine-Member Board for a Further Five-Year Term, in which she agreed that Watermaster had completed most, though not all of the requirements imposed by the Court for re-appointment of the nine-member board. Of particular concern to the Special Referee was that the State of the Basin Report did not include a detailed discussion of how the 40 mgd target for desalter capacity would be achieved, what the effects of desalter operation would be, or whether and how replenishment obligations would be met. (January 20, 2006 Special Referee's Comments and Recommendations, at 8.) The Special Referee suggested that if the Court decided to re-appoint the Board, it should require certain assurances from the Board at 3-, 6-, and 12-month intervals, and recommended that the Court consider the Motion to be for a re-appointment of a 2-year interval. (Id., at 12-15.)

On January 30, 2006, Watermaster filed its Comments and Opposition to Special Referee Report, joined by the City of Ontario and the Cucamonga Valley Water District. Watermaster's filing objected to the Special Referee's understatement of the actual accomplishments of Watermaster, and her focus on Watermaster's few failures to strictly comply with the Court's 2000 Order, noting consistent consensusbased implementation of OBMP initiatives, the securing of funding for the desalters, the finalization of the

Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program



Recharge Master Plan, the completion and Court approval of the Watermaster Rules and Regulations, as well as other successes. Finally, Watermaster and the joining parties stressed that their Motion had requested re-appointment for five years, and that the Court could not properly construe the motion as one for a 2-year term. (Id., at 10.)

In a February 9, 2006, Order, the Court granted Watermaster's December 2005 Motion, and re-appointed the Board for a 5-year term. The Court commended Watermaster and the parties on their "remarkable achievements" in implementing the OBMP. (February 2006 Order, at 3.) The Court required that Watermaster to continue to make progress toward future desalting up to the 40,000 acre-feet annually described in the OBMP. (Id., at 4.) The Court was also interested in how Watermaster would address issues expressly reserved to Watermaster pursuant to the Peace Agreement and Watermaster's Rules and Regulations. (Ibid.) Finally, the Court directed Watermaster, its staff, and legal counsel to ensure that future reports were timely, transparent, and responsive to the question of whether Watermaster is implementing the Peace Agreement and OBMP in a manner consistent with the Judgment and Court Orders. (Ibid.)

On December 17, 2010, Watermaster filed a Motion for Re-Appointment of the Nine-Member Board. The December 17, 2010 Motion noted that the 2006 Order re-appointing the Board had not established any further criteria for re-appointment, but had described general concerns that Watermaster was to address. Because Watermaster had addressed all of the concerns from the 2006 Order, and Watermaster knew of no objection to re-appointment, it requested that the Board be re-appointed for an additional five-year term. The Court issued an Order on January 26, 2011 re-appointing the Board. The 2011 Order did not include any conditions, and granted the 2011 Motion appointing the Board to a new five-year term expiring on February 10, 2016.

On December 15, 2015, Watermaster filed a Motion for Re-Appointment of the Nine-Member Board. The motion to re-appoint the Board for a 3-year term was approved by the Board after the Advisory Committee approved a motion to re-appoint the Watermaster nine-member Board for a three-year term on November 19, 2015. During its November 19, 2015 meeting the Watermaster Board approved a second motion as follows:

Direct Watermaster staff to initiate an evaluation of Watermaster business operations including stakeholder input, Pool interaction, the role of the Board and other areas determined by the Parties, with the intent of looking for opportunities to maximize effective implementation of the Judgment, OBMP and related Court Orders.

On December 28, 2018, Watermaster filed a Motion for Re-Appointment of the Nine-Member Board for a further five-year term. The motion was approved by the Board after the Advisory Committee approved the motion to re-appoint Watermaster nine-member Board for a five-year term on November 15, 2018.

#### DISCUSSION

A recommendation regarding Watermaster re-appointment needs to be made to the Court to avoid a lapse in coverage. The Advisory Committee's decision will be presented to the Board to be filed with the Court.

In response to the Board motion described in the Background section above, the results of the requested evaluation along with some identified opportunities for improvement are included in Attachment 1.

While the 2018 Advisory Committee motion did not include a request for an evaluation of Watermaster business operations, staff decided that it is good practice, and a report is included as Attachment 1.

#### ATTACHMENTS

1. Evaluation of Watermaster Business Operations 2018-2023. Memorandum from Edgar Tellez Foster to the Watermaster Board.

Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program Memorandum

To: Watermaster Board

From: Edgar Tellez Foster, Acting General Manager

Date: November 3, 2023

Subject: Evaluation of Watermaster Business Operations 2018-2023

This memorandum summarizes the results of an evaluation of the Watermaster function for the period between 2018 and 2023. A similar evaluation was made in 2018 at the direction of the Board and has been repeated as it is seen to be a valuable exercise. In 2015 the Watermaster Board's direction was:

Moved to recommend reappointing the Watermaster nine-member Board for a five-year term. In addition, continue to direct Watermaster staff to initiate an evaluation of Watermaster business operations including: stakeholder input, Pool interaction, the role of the Board and other areas determined by the parties with the intent of looking for other opportunities to maximize effective implementation of the Judgment, OBMP, and related court orders.

Watermaster staff has evaluated the suggested areas of Stakeholder Input, Pool Interaction, and Role of the Board over the last five years and is offering the results below, along with identifying future opportunities as requested.

#### Stakeholder Input

#### **Evaluation Results**

[1] There is "open door" access to Board Members, the GM, Counsel, Engineer, and staff;

[2] General Manager proactively reaches out to Pool leadership and meets on a regular basis to identify and discuss Pool-specific issues;

[3] Multiple open meetings each month: regularly scheduled and special Pool & Advisory Committees, and Board;

[4] Pools have opportunity to provide input on Semi-annual OBMP Implementation Status Reports and Annual Watermaster report before finalizing and filing with the Court;

[5] All comments on Watermaster reports and financial documents are routinely captured, responded to, and shared;

[6] Watermaster reports and financial documents are presented in open, public meetings;

[7] User friendly and secure website allows access to Watermaster reports, Court filings, meeting agendas and minutes, among other information;

[8] Assessment Package Experts (APEx) Group, an informal advisory group of stakeholders to provide feedback on how to improve the Assessment Package format, was assembled and gave advice and assistance on revisions of five years' prior reports;

[9] The 2000 OBMP was updated through a series of meetings promoting dialogue and participation from all Chino Basin stakeholders. Held 9 listening sessions in addition to Pool and Advisory Committee meeting discussion.

[10] Updated the Storage Management Plan through a series of open meetings where parties provided input and shaped the final product;

[11] Conducted workshops to gather input regarding the update to the Chino Valley Model resulting in the inclusion of uncertainty analysis for future Safe Yield Recalculations;

[12] Gathered feedback on the proposed project description for the recirculation of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the OBMP Update;

[13] Convened meetings to address the impending Local Storage Limitation; these resulted in the implementation of the Local Storage Limitation Solution.

[14] Watermaster hosted an average of 60 meetings per year, including regular monthly meetings for Pool Committees, Advisory Committee, and Board, as well as special meetings for OBMP Update, OBMP Implementation Plan Negotiations, Storage Management Plan, RIPCOmm, PBHSC, GLMC, DYY, Safe Yield Reset, Budget, and Assessment Package Workshops. Watermaster also facilitates Special ad-hoc meetings.

#### <u>Future Opportunities for Stakeholder Input in Maximizing Effective Implementation of the Judgment,</u> <u>OBMP, and related Court Orders</u>

- Peace Agreement Amendment Negotiations/Extension
- DYYP Extension
- Water Quality Committee and development of the Water Quality Management Program
- Development of the Storage and Recovery Master Plan
- Update to the Storage Management Plan
- 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation

#### Pool Interaction

#### Evaluation Results

[1] Staff and consultants attend all Pool Committee meetings and report on actions and activities;

[2] Staff is actively ensuring that parties' representation is up to date, to make sure Pool and Advisory Committees can function properly;

[3] Hosted, for the convenience of the parties, a series of meetings aimed at exploring Peace Agreement Amendment negotiations;

[4] Staff and consultants facilitate communication among Pools outside the monthly Committee meetings.

#### <u>Future Opportunities for Pool Interaction in Maximizing Effective Implementation of the Judgment,</u> <u>OBMP, and related Court Orders</u>

- Monthly meetings among Pool officers
- Quarterly meetings among Pool officers and Board Officers
- Educational programs on topics identified collectively by the Pools
- Reconvening the Peace agreement amendment / extension negotiations meetings

### Role of the Board

#### **Evaluation Results**

The Board is and has been actively interested in effective implementation of the Restated Judgment and the OBMP:

[1] Continuously asking for regular reports on Restated Judgment and OBMP implementation;

[2] Holds the GM accountable for timely, transparent, and complete compliance with all requirements;

[3] Strongly encourages consensus-based implementation of OBMP objectives;

[4] Consistently approved transactions, applications, budgets, and Assessment Packages;

[5] Revised 5 Assessment Packages following the April 17, 2018 Court Order resetting the Safe Yield in 2019;

[6] Directed staff to conduct an open process to update the OBMP and at the conclusion of the process, adopted the 2020 OBMP in October, 2020;

[7] Updated the Storage Management Plan; the updated plan was approved in May 2020;

[8] Directed staff and consultants to perform the Local Storage Limitation Solution analysis, support IEUA to certify and amendment to the EIR and increase the environmentally reviewed storage volume from 600kaf to 700kaf through 2030;

[9] Directed Staff and consultants to track issues that affect parties and basin management;

[10] In response to the Judge's request, provided a full day tour of the Basin;

[11] Request and receive frequent updates on water quality concerns;

[12] Request and receive frequent updates on grant funding opportunities;

[13] Updated the Recharge Master Plan in 2023;

[14] Requested the analysis of recharge projects and improvements beyond the scope of the Recharge Master Plan Update of 2023;

[15] Concluded the effort of the 2015 Safe Yield Recalculation;

[16] Conducted the Safe Yield Recalculation in 2020;

[17] Directed staff to conduct supplemental studies about the response of the Basin to climate extremes and to understand the reliability of the water resources in the Chino Basin;

[18] Directed staff to design and implement educational sessions to provide knowledge about the Chino Basin and its management for Board Members and stakeholders; this resulted in four day-long workshops in 2022 and 10 Chino Basin Academy sessions in 2023.

#### <u>Future Opportunities for the Watermaster Board to perform its role in Maximizing Effective</u> <u>Implementation of the Judgment, OBMP, and related Court Orders</u>

- Remain aware and attentive to the topic of storage management
- Continue with the implementation of educational programs, including interactive sessions and facility tours.

#### Other Areas determined by the parties

No other areas have been identified by parties for evaluation.

It is my pleasure to offer this report to the Board and I encourage Board Members to contact me directly in case of questions, or if further information is needed.



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

#### STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 9, 2023

TO: AP/ONAP/OAP Committee Members

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package (Business Item II.B.)

SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: The Chino Basin Watermaster Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package, based on Production Year 2022/23, needs to be approved. [Within WM Duties and Powers]

<u>Recommendation</u>: Review Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package as presented and offer advice to Watermaster.

<u>Financial Impact</u>: Collection of assessments according to the Assessment Package creates the funds that are used during the current fiscal year for budgeted expenses and the purchase of water (if available) for replenishment obligations.

Future Consideration

Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Advice and assistance Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advice and assistance Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advice and assistance Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Advice and assistance Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023: Approval

ACTIONS:

Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

Watermaster issues an Assessment Package annually based on production during the previous production year (July 1 through June 30). Production information is generally collected quarterly, and other necessary information is collected annually. Assessments create funds that are used during the current fiscal year for budgeted expenses. Assessments are based on the approved budget allocated across the total assessable production in the Basin.

#### DISCUSSION

The Parties of the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool and the Appropriative Pool were each sent a copy of their Water Activity Report in August 2023 that summarized their water activity for the previous year, including production, Dry Year Yield (DYY), land use conversion, transfers, voluntary agreements, and assignments. Each Party was asked to verify the data gathered and summarized by Watermaster. The Water Activity Reports were received back, and any necessary corrections were made.

Each Appropriative Pool Party's Water Activity Report was accompanied by a "Transfer from Storage to Satisfy Desalter Replenishment Obligation (DRO)" form, and summaries of DRO and Local Storage Accounts' balances. Using the form, the Parties submitted their preference on how they would like their share of DRO to be satisfied with stored water. Those transfers were then executed in September 2023 and the Parties' storage account balances were adjusted accordingly.

Assessments generate funds to cover the current year FY 2023/24 approved budget, in addition to reserves according to existing reserve policies. The Assessment Package does not factor in unspent monies as those are returned to Parties as a credit on the assessment invoicing. The FY 2022/23 Reserve excess cash to be refunded is \$1,284,138.96; Recharge Basin O&M excess cash to be refunded is \$258,043.76; the Debt Payment excess cash to be refunded is \$0; and the Recharge Improvement Projects excess cash to be refunded is \$0.

Continuing from the prior year, the total Operating Safe Yield (OSY) of the Appropriative Pool is 40,834 acre-feet, and Land Use Conversion has priority ahead of Early Transfer in calculating the Agricultural Pool Safe Yield Reallocation.

The Assessment Package is based on the FY 2023/24 Approved Budget totaling \$8,466,150 and identifies total assessable production for all Pools as 86,865.2 acre-feet, resulting in assessments of \$42.39/acre-foot for Judgment Administration and \$55.08/acre-foot for OBMP & Program Elements 1-9, excluding recharge debt service, recharge improvement project expenses, "Pomona Credit" assessments, and assessments for replenishment and CURO water.

For the production year 2022/23, there is a replenishment obligation of 28.4 acre-feet for overproduction, and 212.9 acre-feet for DRO. The new replenishment rate is \$872 per acre-foot, which is MWD's 2023 Tier 1 Untreated rate at \$855 plus OCWD's \$2 connection fee plus TVMWD's \$15 surcharge.

In September 2023, Watermaster received an RTS invoice from IEUA in the amount of \$46,060.40. The RTS is being assessed for water purchased during FY 2016/17 and FY 2017/18 through IEUA. A portion of the RTS is the sixth of ten annual installments for the 5,767.037 acre-feet of water purchased during FY 2016/17. The other portion is the fifth of ten annual installments for the 1,145.9 acre-feet of water purchased during FY 2017/18. The 85/15 Rule is applied where applicable for the RTS charges.

The additional assessments approved as part of the budget, allocated amongst the Appropriators based on their percentage of OSY, are the Pomona Credit assessment of \$66,667.00, recharge debt payment assessment of \$746,765, and recharge improvement project assessment of \$102,000. Other approved assessments will be invoiced based on formulas separate from the Assessment Package.

The total DRO for production year 2022/23 is 26,580.0 acre-feet. This includes the 10,000 acre-feet of DRO Contribution and 16,580.0 acre-feet of Remaining DRO. In August and September 2023, the Appropriative Pool Parties were given an opportunity to transfer water to satisfy their share of DRO. The Parties have submitted their requests and the DRO was satisfied with a combination of stored water, annual water rights, and Exhibit "G" Form A transfers. These transfers resulted in 212.9 acre-feet of the residual DRO to be assessed.

The storage loss rate applied to water held in storage accounts continues to be 0.07%. This rate is reflected in the Assessment Package and has been applied to the beginning balances of locally stored water accounts.

In cases where the ending balance of a storage account has increased from the beginning balance on July 1, 2023, a new storage agreement will be required. Parties with increased storage balances as of the approval of the Assessment Package have already submitted storage applications to Watermaster; the application submitted by the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool was approved by the Watermaster Board on June 22, 2023, and the application submitted by the Appropriative Pool was approved by the Watermaster Board on August 24, 2023. Following the approval of the FY 2023/24 Assessment Package, a new storage agreement will be sent for signature to those Parties with increased balances.

Watermaster held two Assessment Package Workshops: one on October 24, 2023, and the other on October 31, 2023. The purpose of the workshops was to provide the Parties with information pertaining to the Assessment Package and opportunities to raise questions, concerns, and provide feedback.

The FY 2023/24 Assessment Package is being presented to the Pool Committees for advice and assistance. It will then be presented to the Advisory Committee for advice and assistance, and to the Watermaster Board for approval on November 16, 2023. If approved by the Board, invoices will be emailed to the Parties immediately following the Board's approval.

In addition to the line items detailed within the FY 2023/24 Assessment Package, additional credits and charges will be added to assessment invoices as directed by specific action of the Pool(s), or by action of Watermaster per past practice; these items are not dependent on the Board's approval of the Assessment Package. The following items will be added to this year's assessment invoicing:

- 1. Refund of the excess FY 2021/22 Recharge Basin O&M: \$258,043.76
- 2. Refund of the excess FY 2022/23 Reserve: \$1,284,138.96

In addition to the items listed above, charges for Pool Administration/Legal Services will be included on the FY 2023/24 Assessment invoices as approved by each Pool Committee.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package (DRAFT)



# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

# DRAFT

2023/2024 ASSESSMENT PACKAGE (PRODUCTION YEAR 2022/2023)

PRINTED OCTOBER 26, 2023



#### **Table of Contents**

Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

Table of Contents	0
Pool 1	
Water Production Overview	1
Pool 2	
Assessment Fee Summary	2
Water Production Overview	
Water Production Summary	4
Local Storage Accounts Summary	5
Water Transaction Summary	6
Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation (CURO)	7
Pool 3	
Assessment Fee Summary	8
Water Production Overview	9
Water Production Summary	10
Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account Summary	11
Local Supplemental Storage Account Summary	12
Other Storage and Replenishment Accounts	13
Water Transaction Summary	14
Land Use Conversion Summary	15
Agricultural Pool Reallocation Summary	16
Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation (CURO)	17
Desalter Replenishment Accounting	
Desalter Replenishment Obligation Contribution (DROC)	19
Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation (RDRO)	20
Desalter Replenishment Summary	21
Pool All	
Assessment Calculation	22
Water Transaction Detail	23
Analysis of the 85/15 Rule Application to Water Transfers	24
Watermaster Replenishment Calculation	25
Readiness to Serve (RTS) Charges	
Assessment Package Notes	27
Assessment Package References and Definitions	



### **Water Production Overview**

#### AGRICULTURAL POOL SUMMARY IN ACRE FEET

Agricultural Pool Safe Yield	82,800.0
Agricultural Total Pool Production	(17,082.2)
	65,717.8
Safe Yield Reduction (Backfill)	(9,000.0)
Total Conversions	(33,725.6)
	(42,725.6)
Early Transfer:	22,992.2

Well County	Physical Production	Voluntary Agreements	Total Ag Pool Production
Los Angeles County	135.0	0.0	135.0
Riverside County	1,914.5	0.0	1,914.5
San Bernardino County	9,293.0	5,739.7	15,032.7
	11, <mark>34</mark> 2.5	5,739.7	17,082.2



### **Assessment Fee Summary**

		Non-Agricultural Pool		Replenis Assessi	hment ments				
	AF Production	\$42.39 AF/Admin	\$55.08 AF/OBMP	AF Over Annual Right	\$872.00 Per AF	CURO Adjmnt	RTS Charges	Other Adjmnts	Total Assmnts Due
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	25.8	1,094.43	1,422.06	8.9	7,767.78	887.71	505.72	0.00	11,677.70
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Aqua Capital Management LP	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	382.93	0.00	382.93
California Speedway Corporation	274.2	11,621.94	15,101.12	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26,723.06
California Steel Industries, Inc.	1,057.5	44,827.98	58,247.82	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	103,075.80
CalMat Co.	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	1,151.3	48,804.45	63,414.71	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	112,219.16
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	75.5	3,199.64	4,157.49	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,357.13
General Electric Company	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.41
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	299.2	12,681.10	16,477. <mark>35</mark>	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29,158.45
Linde Inc.	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Monte Vista Water District (Non- Ag)	15.9	674.89	876.93	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,551.82
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	1.8	77.79	101.07	1.8	1,600.12	2,344.59	253.36	0.00	4,376.93
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	93.7	3,972.28	5,161.44	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,133.72
ТАМСО	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	242.28	0.00	242.28
West Venture Development Company	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2,994.9	126,954.50	164,959.99	10.7	9,367.90	3,232.30	1,384.70	0.00	305,899.38
	<b>2A</b>	2B	2C	2D	2E	2F	2G	<b>2H</b>	21

Notes:



### **Water Production Overview**

	Physical Production	Assignments	Other Adjustments	Actual FY Production (Assmnt Pkg Column 4H)
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	25.8	0.0	0.0	25.8
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aqua Capital Management LP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
California Speedway Corporation	274.2	0.0	0.0	274.2
California Steel Industries, Inc.	1,057.5	0.0	0.0	1,057.5
CalMat Co.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	0.0	1,151.3	0.0	1,151.3
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	0.0	75.5	0.0	75.5
General Electric Company	808.6	0.0	(808.6)	0.0
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	0.0	299.2	0.0	299.2
Linde Inc.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	0.0	15.9	0.0	15.9
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	0.0	93.7	0.0	93.7
ТАМСО	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
West Venture Development Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2,167.9	1,635.6	(808.6)	2,994.9
	3A	3B	3C	3D

Notes:

Other Adj: 1) General Electric Company extracted and subsequently injected 808.570 AF of water during the fiscal year.



# **Water Production Summary**

	Percent of Safe	Carryover	Prior Year	Assigned Share	Water	Other Adjust-	Annual	Actual Fiscal	Net Over	Unc	der Production Balar	ices
	Yield	Beginning Balance	Adjustments	of Safe Yield (AF)	Transaction Activity	ments	Production Right	Year Production	Production	Total Under- Produced	Carryover: Next Year Begin Bal	To Excess Carryover Account
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	0.256%	0.0	0.0	18.8	(1.9)	0.0	16.9	25.8	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aqua Capital Management LP	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
California Speedway Corporation	13.605%	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0	(100.0)	0.0	1,900.0	274.2	0.0	1,625.8	1,000.0	625.8
California Steel Industries, Inc.	21.974%	1,615.1	0.0	1,615.1	(161.5)	0.0	3,068.8	1,057.5	0.0	2,011.2	1,615.1	396.1
CalMat Co.	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	53.338%	2,396.5	0.0	3,920.6	(5,165.7)	0.0	1,151.3	1,151.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	1.821%	133.9	0.0	133.9	(13.4)	0.0	254.4	75.5	0.0	178.9	133.9	45.0
General Electric Company	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	6.316%	464.2	0.0	464.2	(46.4)	0.0	882.1	299.2	0.0	582.9	464.2	118.7
Linde Inc.	0.014%	1.0	0.0	1.0	(0.1)	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.0	0.9
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	0.680%	50.0	0.0	50.0	(5.0)	0.0	95.0	15.9	0.0	79.1	50.0	29.1
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	1.417%	0.0	0.0	104.1	(10.4)	0.0	93.7	93.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ТАМСО	0.579%	42.6	0.0	42.6	(4.3)	0.0	81.0	0.0	0.0	81.0	42.6	38.4
West Venture Development Company	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	100.00%	5,703.3	0.0	7,350.3	(5,508.7)	0.0	7,545.0	2,994.9	10.7	4,560.8	3,306.9	1,253.9
	4A	4B	4C	4D	4E	4F	4G	4H	41	4J	4K	4L

#### Notes:

1) City of Ontario (Non-Ag) dedicated 2,396.5 AF of Carryover water, and 2,377.2 AF of Annual Share of Operating Safe Yield, to satisfy City of Ontario's 2023/24 DRO pursuant to an Exhibit "G" Section 10 Form A.



### Local Storage Accounts Summary

	Local	Excess Car	ry Over Stora	age Account	(ECO)	Local	Combined			
	Beginning Balance	0.07% Storage Loss	Transfers To / (From)	From Under- Production	Ending Balance	Beginning Balance	0.07% Storage Loss	Transfers To / (From)	Ending Balance	Ending Balance
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aqua Capital Management LP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
California Speedway Corporation	2,394.3	(1.7)	0.0	625.8	3,018.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,018.5
California Steel Industries, Inc.	3,292.2	(2.3)	0.0	396.1	3,686.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,686.0
CalMat Co.	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
County of San Bernardino (Non- Ag)	297.0	(0.2)	0.0	45.0	341.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	341.8
General Electric Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	1,800.7	(1.3)	0.0	118.7	1,918.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,918.1
Linde Inc.	65.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	66.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.0
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	145.2	(0.1)	0.0	29.1	174.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	174.2
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ТАМСО	294.3	(0.2)	0.0	38.4	332.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	332.4
West Venture Development Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	8,293.9	(5.8)	0.0	1,253.9	9,542.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9,542.0
	<b>5</b> A	5B	5C	5D	5E	5F	5G	5H	51	5J

Notes: 1) POOL 2



### **Water Transaction Summary**

				Water Tra	nsactions	
	Percent of Safe Yield	Assigned Share of Safe Yield (AF)	10% of Operating Safe Yield ("Haircut")	Transfers (To) / From ECO Account	General Transfers / Exhibit G Water Sales	Total Water Transactions
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	0.256%	18.8	(1.9)	0.0	0.0	(1.9)
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aqua Capital Management LP	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
California Speedway Corporation	13.605%	1,000.0	(100.0)	0.0	0.0	(100.0)
California Steel Industries, Inc.	21.974%	1,615.1	(161.5)	0.0	0.0	(161.5)
CalMat Co.	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	53.338%	3,920.6	(392.1)	0.0	(4,773.7)	(5,165.7)
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	1.821%	133.9	(13.4)	0.0	0.0	(13.4)
General Electric Company	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership	6.316%	464.2	(46.4)	0.0	0.0	(46.4)
Linde Inc.	0.014%	1.0	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	(0.1)
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	0.680%	50.0	(5.0)	0.0	0.0	(5.0)
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	1.417%	104.1	(10.4)	0.0	0.0	(10.4)
ТАМСО	0.579%	42.6	(4.3)	0.0	0.0	(4.3)
West Venture Development Company	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	100.000%	7,350.3	(735.0)	0.0	(4,773.7)	(5,508.7)
	6A	6B	6C	6D	6E	6F

Notes:

1) City of Ontario (Non-Ag) dedicated 2,396.5 AF of Carryover water, and 2,377.2 AF of Annual Share of Operating Safe Yield, to satisfy City of Ontario's 2023/24 DRO pursuant to an Exhibit "G" Section 10 Form A.



Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023) Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation (CURO)

Remaining Replenishment Obligation:	AF	Replenishment R	ates	
Appropriative - 100	1,533.2	2023 Rate	\$872.00	
Appropriative - 15/85	32.4	2022 Rate	\$811.00	
Non-Agricultural - 100	70.8			
	1,636.4			
Pool 2 Non-Agricultural				
Company		Outstanding Obligation (AF)	Fund Balance (\$)	Outstanding Obligation (\$)
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.		19.2	\$15,881.72	\$887.71
ANG II (Multi) LLC		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Aqua Capital Management LP		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
California Speedway Corporation		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
California Steel Industries, Inc.		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
CalMat Co.		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
CCG Ontario, LLC		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
General Electric Company		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnership		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Linde Inc.		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.		51.6	\$42,662.82	\$2,344.59
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
ТАМСО		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
West Venture Development Company		0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Pool 2 Non-Agricultural Total		70.8	\$58,544.54	\$3,232.30
		7A	7B	7C

Notes:

1) The 2023 replenishment rate includes MWD's Full Service Untreated Tier 1 volumic cost of \$855/AF, a \$15/AF surcharge from Three Valleys Municipal Water District, and a \$2/AF connection fee from Orange County Water District.



# **Assessment Fee Summary**

	AF	Appropria	ative Pool	Ag F	ool SY Reallo	ocation	Repleni	shment Asse	essments	85/15	Activity		ASSESSMENTS DUE							
	Production and Exchanges	\$42.39 AF/Admin	\$55.08 AF/OBMP	AF Total Realloc- ation	\$724,055 \$11.02 AF/Admin	\$940,831 \$14.32 AF/OBMP	\$130.80 AF/15%	\$741.20 AF/85%	\$872.00 AF/100%	15% Producer Credits	15% Pro-rated Debits	CURO Adjmt	Total Production Based	Pomona Credit	Recharge Debt Payment	Recharge Imprvmnt Project	RTS Charges	Other Adjmts	DRO	Total Due
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	276.6	11,724.40	15,234.25	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26,958.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,886.74	0.00	0.00	36,845.39
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chino Hills, City Of	2,176.9	92,280.19	119,905.47	2,510.0	27,654.64	35,934.21	92.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	34,273.03	9.01	310,148.95	2,567.35	28,757.92	3,928.02	1.37	0.00	0.00	345,403.61
Chino, City Of	3,112.5	131,940.02	171,437.99	11,814.1	130,163.73	169,133.66	132.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	49,002.76	12.89	651,823.16	4,904.69	54,939.50	7,504.14	0.07	0.00	0.00	719,171.56
Cucamonga Valley Water District	13,514.7	572,888.98	744,390.78	2,710.2	29,859.64	38,799.37	573.61	0.00	0.00	(126,965.64)	212,772.01	55.95	1,472,374.70	4,400.69	49,293.96	6,733.02	15.90	0.00	0.00	1,532,818.27
Desalter Authority	39,815.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.00	0.00	3,729.3	41,088.39	53,389.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	94,478.29	7,771.37	87,050.40	11,890.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	201,190.20
Fontana Water Company	8,721.0	369,684.55	480,354.44	834.6	9,195.77	11,948.90	370.15	0.00	0.00	(708,741.18)	137,301.51	36.11	300,150.25	1.33	14.94	2.04	12.03	0.00	0.00	300,180.58
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Golden State Water Company	921.7	39,072.09	50,768.83	239.9	2,643.58	3,435.05	39.12	0.00	0.00	(20,010.37)	14,511.45	3.82	90,463.57	500.00	5,600.74	765.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	97,329.98
Jurupa Community Services District	7,157.8	303,417.06	394,248.93	16,765.9	184,720.46	240,024.22	303.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	112,689.65	29.63	1,235,433.75	2,506.01	28,070.90	3,834.18	7.64	0.00	0.00	1,269,852.48
Marygold Mutual Water Company	559.7	23,727.59	30,830.75	382.3	4,212.11	5,473.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	64,243.63	796.67	8,923.84	1,218.90	1,005.25	0.00	0.00	76,188.29
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.00	0.00	394.8	4,349.58	5,651.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,001.39	822.67	9,215.08	1,258.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	21,297.82
Monte Vista Water District	5,165.5	218,963.93	284,513.65	2,920.0	32,171.13	41,802.90	219.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	81,323.60	21.39	659,015.84	5,864.70	65,692.92	8,972.94	6.40	0.00	0.00	739,552.80
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Niagara Bottling, LLC	1,401.4	59,406.28	77,190.32	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	68,399.52	204,996.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	31,471.59	19,986.10	83,374.62	439,828.43
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.00	0.00	2.2	24.67	32.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.72	4.67	52.27	7.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	120.80
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.00	0.00	117.7	1,297.12	1,685.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,982.58	245.33	2,748.10	375.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,351.37
Ontario, City Of	12,566.1	532,677.78	692,141.83	12,521.7	137,959.52	179,263.44	533.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	197,837.50	52.03	1,740,465.45	13,828.07	154,894.00	21,156.84	14.80	0.00	0.00	1,930,359.16
Pomona, City Of	10,197.4	432,267.19	561,672.02	6,543.7	72,095.89	93,680.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,159,715.90	(53,030.93)	152,743.31	20,863.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,280,291.36
San Antonio Water Company	459.0	19,454.98	25,279.08	879.1	9,686.11	12,586.05	19.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,225.61	1.90	74,253.21	1,832.01	20,521.10	2,802.96	0.74	0.00	0.00	99,410.02
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	17.6	747.63	971.45	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.75	13,072.54	0.00	0.00	277.67	1,275.23	16,345.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	356.08	216.18	2,308.18	19,225.71
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.00	0.00	759.2	8,364.31	10,868.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	19,232.82	1,582.01	17,720.73	2,420.46	1,268.28	0.00	0.00	42,224.30
Upland, City Of	540.0	22,892.68	29,745.90	1,664.2	18,335.92	23,825.53	22.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,502.38	2.24	103,327.57	3,468.02	38,846.72	5,306.04	1.82	0.00	0.00	150,950.17
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.00	0.00	552.8	6,090.82	7,914.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,005.18	1,152.01	12,904.10	1,762.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	29,823.85
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.00	0.00	375.9	4,141.62	5,381.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,523.21	783.34	8,774.49	1,198.50	626.34	0.00	0.00	20,905.88
	106,603.1	2,831,145.35	3,678,685.69	65,717.8	724,055.00	940,831.00	2,306.93	13,072.54	0.00	(855,717.19)	855,717.17	69,899.72	8,259,996.21	0.01	746,765.02	102,000.00	44,675.70	20,202.28	185,682.80	9,359,322.02
	8A	8B	8C	8D	8E	8F	8G	8H	81	8J	8K	8L	8M	8N	80	8P	8Q	8R	8S	8T

Notes:

1) IEUA is collecting the sixth of ten annual RTS charges for water purchased in FY 2016/17, and fifth of ten annual RTS charges for water purchased in FY 2017/18. 2) "Other Adjustments" (Column [8R]) includes adjustments from replenishment purchase for DRO. If water was not available for purchase in the previous year, this adjustment is based on the previous year's obligation, multipled by the current replenishment rate, minus the fund balance, similar to the CURO.





### **Water Production Overview**

	Physical Production	Voluntary Agreements (w/ Ag)	Assignments (w/ Non-Ag)	Other Adjustments	Actual FY Production (Assmnt Pkg Column 10l)
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	276.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	276.6
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	2,218.1	(41.2)	0.0	0.0	2,176.9
Chino, City Of	5,568.9	(2,380.9)	(75.5)	0.0	3,112.5
Cucamonga Valley Water District	13,514.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	13,514.7
Desalter Authority	39,844.0	0.0	0.0	(29.0)	39,815.0
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Water Company	8,721.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8,721.0
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Golden State Water Company	921.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	921.7
Jurupa Community Services District	7,521.6	0.0	(392.9)	29.0	7,157.8
Marygold Mutual Water Company	559.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	559.7
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Water District	5,293.0	(101.7)	(15.9)	(9.9)	5,165.5
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	1,401.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,401.4
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ontario, City Of	<mark>16,</mark> 933.4	(3,216.0)	(1,151.3)	0.0	12,566.1
Pomona, City Of	10,197.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10,197.4
San Antonio Water Company	459.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	459.0
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Upland, City Of	807.9	0.0	0.0	(267.8)	540.0
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	114,256.1	(5,739.7)	(1,635.6)	(277.7)	106,603.1
Less Desalter Authority Production					(39,815.0)
Total Less Desalter Authority Production				-	66,788.0
	9A	9B	9C	9D	9E

Notes:

Other Adjustments:

1) CDA provided 28.968 AF to JCSD for irrigation at Orchard Park.

2) Monte Vista Water District received credit of 9.899 AF after evaporative losses due to Pump-to-Waste activities in which the water was recaptured into a recharge basin.

3) City of Upland received credit of 267.804 AF after evaporative losses due to Pump-to-Waste activities in which the water was recaptured into a recharge basin.



# Water Production Summary

	Percent of	Carryover	Prior Year	Assigned	Net Ag Pool	Water	Other	Annual	Actual	Storage and	Total	Net Over-Production		Under Production Ba		ances
	Operating Safe Yield	Beginning Balance	Adjustments	Share of Operating Safe Yield	Reallocation	Transaction Activity	Adjustments	Production Right	Fiscal Year Production	Recovery Program(s)	Production and Exchanges	85/15%	100%	Total Under- Produced	Carryover: Next Year Begin Bal	To Excess Carryover Account
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	276.6	0.0	276.6	276.6	0.0	276.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	3.851%	1,572.5	0.0	1,572.5	2,510.0	0.0	0.0	5,655.1	2,176.9	0.0	2,176.9	0.0	0.0	3,478.1	1,572.5	1,905.6
Chino, City Of	7.357%	3,004.2	0.0	3,004.2	11,814.1	0.0	0.0	17,822.4	3,112.5	0.0	3,112.5	0.0	0.0	14,709.9	3,004.2	11,705.7
Cucamonga Valley Water District	6.601%	0.0	0.0	2,695.5	2,710.2	14,687.3	0.0	20,092.9	13,514.7	0.0	13,514.7	0.0	0.0	6,578.1	2,695.5	3,882.7
Desalter Authority	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39,815.0	0.0	39,815.0	0.0	39,815.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Union Water Company	11.657%	0.0	0.0	4,760.0	3,729.3	(8,489.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Water Company	0.002%	0.8	0.0	0.8	834.6	20,003.5	0.0	20,839.8	8,721.0	0.0	8,721.0	0.0	0.0	12,118.7	0.8	12,117.9
Fontana, City Of	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Golden State Water Company	0.750%	167.5	0.0	306.3	239.9	366.4	0.0	1,080.1	921.7	0.0	921.7	0.0	0.0	158.3	158.3	0.0
Jurupa Community Services District	3.759%	1,535.0	0.0	1,535.0	16,765.9	0.0	0.0	19,835.8	7,157.8	0.0	7,157.8	0.0	0.0	12,678.0	1,535.0	11,143.1
Marygold Mutual Water Company	1.195%	285.6	0.0	488.0	382.3	0.0	0.0	1,155.9	559.7	0.0	559.7	0.0	0.0	596.1	488.0	108.2
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	1.234%	503.9	0.0	503.9	394.8	0.0	0.0	1,402.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,402.6	503.9	898.7
Monte Vista Water District	8.797%	2,941.0	0.0	3,592.2	2,920.0	0.0	0.0	9,453.1	5,165.5	0.0	5,165.5	0.0	0.0	4,287.6	3,592.2	695.5
NCL Co, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,000.0	0.0	4,000.0	1,401.4	0.0	1,401.4	0.0	0.0	2,598.6	0.0	2,598.6
Nicholson Family Trust	0.007%	1.6	0.0	2.9	2.2	(4.5)	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.2	0.0
Norco, City Of	0.368%	150.3	0.0	150.3	117.7	0.0	0.0	418.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	418.3	150.3	268.0
Ontario, City Of	20.742%	8,469.8	0.0	8,469.8	12,521.7	0.0	0.0	29,461.3	12,566.1	0.0	12,566.1	0.0	0.0	16,895.1	8,469.8	8,425.4
Pomona, City Of	20.454%	8,352.2	0.0	8,352.2	6,543.7	0.0	0.0	23,248.0	10,197.4	0.0	10,197.4	0.0	0.0	13,050.7	8,352.2	4,698.5
San Antonio Water Company	2.748%	1,122.1	0.0	1,122.1	879.1	0.0	0.0	3,123.4	459.0	0.0	459.0	0.0	0.0	2,664.4	1,122.1	1,542.3
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting P	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	0.0	17.6	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Santa Ana River Water Company	2.373%	969.0	0.0	969.0	759.2	0.0	0.0	2,697.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,697.2	969.0	1,728.2
Upland, City Of	5.202%	2,124.2	0.0	2,124.2	1,664.2	408.3	0.0	6,320.9	540.0	0.0	540.0	0.0	0.0	5,780.9	2,124.2	3,656.7
West End Consolidated Water Co	1.728%	705.6	0.0	705.6	552.8	(66.4)	0.0	1,897.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,897.6	705.6	1,192.0
West Valley Water District	1.175%	479.8	0.0	479.8	375.9	0.0	0.0	1,335.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,335.5	479.8	855.7
	100.00%	32,384.9	0.0	40,834.0	65,717.8	31,181.8	0.0	170,118.5	106,603.1	0.0	106,603.1	17.6	39,815.0	103,348.0	35,925.4	67,422.7
Less Desalter Authority Production									(39,815.0)		(39,815.0)	-	(39,815.0)			
Total Less Desalter Authority Production	10A	10B	10C	10D	10E	10F	10G	10H	66,788.0 101	10J	66,788.0 10K	10L	0.0 10M	10N	100	10P

Notes:

1) BlueTriton Brands, Inc. transferred 276.6 AF out of their ECO account to offset their Production Year 2022/23 overproduction obligation.



### Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account Summary

		E	Excess Carry Ove	er Account (ECO	)	
	Beginning Balance	0.07% Storage Loss	Transfers To / (From)	From Supplemental Storage	From Under- Production	Ending Balance
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	1,154.1	(0.8)	(318.1)	0.0	0.0	835.2
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Chino Hills, City Of	14,545.1	(10.2)	0.0	0.0	1,905.6	16,440.5
Chino, City Of	127,116.0	(89.0)	(23,642.6)	0.0	11,705.7	115,090.2
Cucamonga Valley Water District	8,757.5	(6.1)	(3,020.1)	0.0	3,882.7	9,613.9
Desalter Authority	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Water Company	4,901.8	(3.4)	(1,346.0)	0.0	12,117.9	15,670.2
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Golden State Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jurupa Community Services District	39,778.5	(27.8)	(2,316.1)	0.0	11,143.1	48,577.6
Marygold Mutual Water Company	317.2	(0.2)	(258.8)	0.0	108.2	166.3
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	11,534.1	(8.1)	(180.5)	0.0	898.7	12,244.2
Monte Vista Water District	3,636.7	(2.5)	(2,074.2)	0.0	695.5	2,255.4
NCL Co, LLC	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	316.0	(0.2)	0.0	0.0	2,598.6	2,914.4
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norco, City Of	2,795.2	(2.0)	(53.8)	0.0	268.0	3,007.4
Ontario, City Of	47,726.5	(33.4)	(649.1)	0.0	8,425.4	55,469.4
Pomona, City Of	26,548.7	(18.6)	(4,522.1)	0.0	4,698.5	26,706.5
San Antonio Water Company	5,289.2	(3.7)	(873.8)	0.0	1,542.3	5,953.9
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Santa Ana River Water Company	5,836.4	(4.1)	(347.1)	0.0	1,728.2	7,213.4
Upland, City Of	22,320.5	(15.6)	(10,842.0)	0.0	3,656.7	15,119.6
West End Consolidated Water Co	5,722.0	(4.0)	(961.1)	0.0	1,192.0	5,949.0
West Valley Water District	8,663.8	(6.1)	(171.9)	0.0	855.7	9,341.6
	336,963.7	(235.9)	(51,577.3)	0.0	67,422.7	352,573.2
	11A	11B	11C	11D	11E	11F

Notes:

1) BlueTriton Brands, Inc. transferred 276.6 AF out of their ECO account to offset their Production Year 2022/23 overproduction obligation.



# Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023) Local Supplemental Storage Account Summary

		Rechar	ged Recycled A	ccount			Quantifie	d (Pre 7/1/2000)	Account			New (F	Post 7/1/2000) A	ccount		Combined
	Beginning Balance	0.07% Storage Loss	Transfers To / (From)	Transfer to ECO Account	Ending Balance	Beginning Balance	0.07% Storage Loss	Transfers To / (From)	Transfer to ECO Account	Ending Balance	Beginning Balance	0.07% Storage Loss	Transfers To / (From)	Transfer to ECO Account	Ending Balance	Ending Balance
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	13,930.3	(9.8)	1,242.2	0.0	15,162.8	3,786.1	(2.7)	(949.9)	0.0	2,833.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17,996.3
Chino, City Of	8,496.7	(5.9)	1,586.7	0.0	10,077.5	1,050.3	(0.7)	0.0	0.0	1,049.6	1,923.9	(1.3)	0.0	0.0	1,922.6	13,049.6
Cucamonga Valley Water District	44,993.4	(31.5)	3,355.9	0.0	48,317.8	10,678.4	(7.5)	0.0	0.0	10,670.9	892.0	(0.6)	293.4	0.0	1,184.8	60,173.5
Desalter Authority	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Water Company	1,624.6	(1.1)	0.0	0.0	1,623.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	309.6	(0.2)	22.3	0.0	331.8	1,955.2
Fontana, City Of	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.9
Golden State Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,121.7	(0.8)	(248.0)	0.0	872.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	872.9
Jurupa Community Services District	4,825.7	(3.4)	0.0	0.0	4,822.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,822.3
Marygold Mutual Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,442.4	(3.8)	0.0	0.0	5,438.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,438.6
Monte Vista Water District	0.0	0.0	585.9	0.0	585.9	3,371.8	(2.4)	0.0	0.0	3,369.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,955.3
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	96.2	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	96.2	96.2
Ontario, City Of	53,146.7	(37.2)	6,523.0	0.0	59,632.5	8,038.8	(5.6)	0.0	0.0	8,033.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67,665.7
Pomona, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10,896.8	(7.6)	0.0	0.0	10,889.2	1,557.7	(1.1)	0.0	0.0	1,556.6	12,445.7
San Antonio Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,648.4	(3.3)	916.2	0.0	5,561.4	5,561.4
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	480.4	(0.3)	0.0	0.0	480.1	480.1
Upland, City Of	15,054.4	(10.5)	1,315.0	0.0	16,359.0	5,795.1	(4.1)	0.0	0.0	5,791.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22,150.0
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	451.9	(0.3)	0.0	0.0	451.6	451.6
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	307.3	(0.2)	0.0	0.0	307.1	307.1
	142,115.7	(99.5)	14,608.7	0.0	156,624.9	50,181.3	(35.1)	(1,197.9)	0.0	48,948.3	10,667.5	(7.5)	1,232.0	0.0	11,892.0	217,465.2
	12A	12B	12C	12D	12E	12F	12G	12H	<b>12</b>	12J	12K	12L	12M	12N	120	12P

Notes: 1)



### **Other Storage and Replenishment Accounts**

DESALTER REPLENISHMENT	Beginning Balance	Water Purchases	Transfers To	Transfers From	Ending Balance
CONTROLLED OVERDRAFT AND OFFSETS					
Re-Op Offset Pre-Peace II / CDA	1,286.7		0.0	0.0	1,286.7
Re-Op Offset Peace II Expansion	62,500.0		0.0	(12,500.0)	50,000.0
Non-Ag OBMP Special Assessment	0.0		735.0	(735.0)	0.0
Non-Ag Dedication	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
	63,786.7		735.0	(13,235.0)	51,286.7
DEDICATED REPLENISHMENT					
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cucamonga Valley Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.0	1,705.1	(1,705.1)	0.0
Fontana Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Golden State Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jurupa Community Services District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Marygold Mutual Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.0	1.0	(1.0)	0.0
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ontario, City Of	0.0	0.0	4,773.7	(4,773.7)	0.0
Pomona, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Antonio Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Upland, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	6,479.8	(6,479.8)	0.0
	13A	13B	13C	13D	13E
STORAGE AND RECOVERY	Beginning Balance	Storage Loss	Transfers To	Transfers From	Ending Balance
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT					
Dry Year Yield / Conjuctive Use Program	0.0	0.0	7,939.1	0.0	7,939.1
	13F	13G	13H	131	13J

#### Notes:

1) A new DYY cycle of "puts" began May 2023.



### **Water Transaction Summary**

			Water Transactions	S	
	Assigned Rights	General Transfer	Transfers (To) / From ECO Account	Transfers (To) Desalter Replenishment	Total Water Transactions
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.0	0.0	276.6	0.0	276.6
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino, City Of	(21,500.0)	0.0	21,500.0	0.0	0.0
Cucamonga Valley Water District	7,903.0	6,784.2	0.0	0.0	14,687.3
Desalter Authority	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	(6,784.2)	0.0	(1,705.1)	(8,489.3)
Fontana Water Company	20,003.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	20,003.5
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Golden State Water Company	366.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	366.4
Jurupa Community Services District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Marygold Mutual Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Monte Vista Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	4,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,000.0
Nicholson Family Trust	(3.5)	0.0	0.0	(1.0)	(4.5)
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ontario, City Of	0.0	4,773.7	0.0	(4,773.7)	0.0
Pomona, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Antonio Water Company	(403.0)	0.0	403.0	0.0	0.0
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Upland, City Of	(9,591.7)	0.0	10,000.0	0.0	408.3
West End Consolidated Water Co	(774.7)	0.0	708.3	0.0	(66.4)
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	4,773.7	32,887.9	(6,479.8)	31,181.8
	<b>14A</b>	14B	14C	14D	14E

Notes:



#### Land Use Conversion Summary

	Prior	Prior Conversion @ 1.3 af/ac Total Prior to Peace Agrmt			Conversion	@ 2.0 af/ac	Total Land Use Conversion	
	Conversion	Acres	Acre-Feet	Converted AF	Acres	Acre-Feet	Acre-Feet	
Chino Hills, City Of	0.0	670.266	871.3	871.3	203.334	406.7	1,278.0	
Chino, City Of	196.2	1,434.750	1,865.2	2,061.4	3,699.522	7,399.0	9,460.5	
Cucamonga Valley Water District	0.0	460.280	598.4	598.4	0.000	0.0	598.4	
Fontana Water Company	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	417.000	834.0	834.0	
Jurupa Community Services District	0.0	2,756.920	3,584.0	3,584.0	5,989.648	11,979.3	15,563.3	
Monte Vista Water District	0.0	48.150	62.6	62.6	21.510	43.0	105.6	
Ontario, City Of	209.4	527.044	685.2	894.6	2,495.660	4,991.3	5,885.9	
	405.6	5,897.410	7,666.6	8,072.3	12,826.674	25,653.3	33,725.6	
	15A	15B	15C	15D	15E	15F	15G	



Notes:

POOL 3



### **Agricultural Pool Reallocation Summary**

		Reallocation of Agricutural Pool Safe Yield								
	% Share of Operating Safe Yield	Safe Yield Reduction <sup>1</sup>	Land Use Conversions	Early Transfer	Total AG Pool Reallocation					
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Chino Hills, City Of	3.851%	346.6	1,278.0	885.4	2,510.0					
Chino, City Of	7.357%	662.1	9,460.5	1,691.5	11,814.1					
Cucamonga Valley Water District	6.601%	594.1	598.4	1,517.7	2,710.2					
Desalter Authority	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Fontana Union Water Company	11.657%	1,049.1	0.0	2,680.2	3,729.3					
Fontana Water Company	0.002%	0.2	834.0	0.5	834.6					
Fontana, City Of	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Golden State Water Company	0.750%	67.5	0.0	172.4	239.9					
Jurupa Community Services District	3.759%	338.3	15,563.3	864.3	16,765.9					
Marygold Mutual Water Company	1.195%	107.6	0.0	274.8	382.3					
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	1.234%	111.1	0.0	283.7	394.8					
Monte Vista Water District	8.797%	791.7	105.6	2,022.6	2,920.0					
NCL Co, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Nicholson Family Trust	0.007%	0.6	0.0	1.6	2.2					
Norco, City Of	0.368%	33.1	0.0	84.6	117.7					
Ontario, City Of	20.742%	1,866.8	5,885.9	4,769.0	12,521.7					
Pomona, City Of	20.454%	1,840.9	0.0	4,702.8	6,543.7					
San Antonio Water Company	2.748%	247.3	0.0	631.8	879.1					
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Santa Ana River Water Company	2.373%	213.6	0.0	545.6	759.2					
Upland, City Of	5.202%	468.2	0.0	1,196.1	1,664.2					
West End Consolidated Water Co	1.728%	155.5	0.0	397.3	552.8					
West Valley Water District	1.175%	105.8	0.0	270.2	375.9					
Agricultural Pool Safe Yield82,800.0Agricultural Pool Production(17,082.2)Safe Yield Reduction1(9,000.0)Land Use Conversions(33,725.6)Early Transfer [16D]22,992.2	100%	9,000.0 16B	33,725.6 16C	22,992.2 16D	65,717.8 16E					

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Paragraph 10, Subdivision (a)(1) of Exhibit "H" of the Judgment states "to supplement, in the particular year, water available from Operating Safe Yield to compensate for any reduction in the Safe Yield by reason of recalculation thereof after the tenth year of operation hereunder."





# Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation (CURO)

Remaining Replenishment Obligation:	AF	Replenishmen	t Rates
Appropriative - 100	1,533.2	2023 Rate	\$872.00
Appropriative - 15/85	32.4	2022 Rate	\$811.00
Non-Agricultural - 100	70.8		
	1,636.4		

Pool 3 Appropriative	<b>•</b> · · · · ·									
Company	Outstanding Obligation (AF)	Fund Balance (\$)	Outstanding Obligation (\$)	AF Production and Exchanges	85/15 Producers	Percent	15%	85%	100%	Total
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	276.6	******	0.000%	X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X	\$0.00	\$0.00
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	*******	0.000%	*****	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00	\$0.00
Chino Hills, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	2,176.9	2,176.9	4.005%	\$9.01	\$0.00	$\times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times$	\$9.01
Chino, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	3,112.5	3,112.5	5.727%	\$12.89	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$12.89
Cucamonga Valley Water District	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	13,514.7	13,514.7	24.865%	\$55.95	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$55.95
Desalter Authority	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	39,815.0	******	0.000%	x x x x x x x x x x x x	X X X X X X X X X X X	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
Fontana Water Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	8,721.0	8,721.0	16.045%	\$36.11	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$36.11
Fontana, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	******	0.000%	x x x x x x x x x x x x	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00	\$0.00
Golden State Water Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	921.7	921.7	1.696%	\$3.82	\$0.00	$\times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times$	\$3.82
Jurupa Community Services District	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	7,157.8	7,157.8	13.169%	\$29.63	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$29.63
Marygold Mutual Water Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	559.7	X X X X X X X X X X X	0.000%	x x x x x x x x x x x x	X X X X X X X X X X X	\$0.00	\$0.00
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	$\times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times$	\$0.00
Monte Vista Water District	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	5,165.5	5,165.5	9.504%	\$21.39	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$21.39
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	x x x x x x x x x x x x	0.000%	x x x x x x x x x x x x	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00	\$0.00
Niagara Bottling, LLC	1,533.2	\$1,268,563.09	\$68,399.52	1,401.4	x x x x x x x x x x x x	0.000%	x x x x x x x x x x x x	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$68,399.52	\$68,399.52
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
Norco, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
Ontario, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	12,566.1	12,566.1	23.119%	\$52.03	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$52.03
Pomona, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	<b>\$</b> 0.00	10,197.4	x x x x x x x x x x x x	0.000%	x x x x x x x x x x x x	X X X X X X X X X X X	\$0.00	\$0.00
San Antonio Water Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	459.0	459.0	0.844%	\$1.90	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$1.90
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	32.4	\$26,735.17	\$1,500.19	17.6	17.6	0.032%	\$0.07	\$1,275.16	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$1,275.23
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
Upland, City Of	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	540.0	540.0	0.994%	\$2.24	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$2.24
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
West Valley Water District	0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0.0	0.000%	\$0.00	\$0.00	x x x x x x x x x x x x	\$0.00
Pool 3 Appropriative Total	1,565.6	\$1,295,298.26	\$69,899.71	106,603.1	54,352.9	100.000%	\$225.04	\$1,275.16	\$68,399.52	\$69,899.72
	17A	17B	17C	17D	17E	17F	17G	17H	171	17J

Notes:

1) The 2023 replenishment rate includes MWD's Full Service Untreated Tier 1 volumic cost of \$855/AF, a \$15/AF surcharge from Three Valleys Municipal Water District, and a \$2/AF connection fee from Orange County Water District.



POOL 3



#### **Desalter Replenishment Accounting<sup>1</sup>**

		<b>Desalter Production</b>		Desalter Replenishment									
Production Year	Pre-Peace II Desalter	Peace II Desalter Expansion	Total	Desalter (aka Kaiser) Account	Paragraph 31 Settlement Agreements	"Leave Behind" Losses PIIA,	Safe Yield Contributed by Parties PIIA,	Controlled Allocation to	Overdraft / Re-Op, PI	A, 6.2(a)(vi)	Appropriative Pool DRO Contribution	Non-Ag OBMP Assessment (10% Haircut) <sup>6</sup>	Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation⁴· <sup>7</sup>
	Production	Production <sup>2</sup>		PIIA, 6.2 (a)(i)	Dedication <sup>3</sup> PIIA, 6.2(a)(ii)	6.2(a)(IV)	6.2(a)(v)	Desalters <sup>4</sup> , <sup>8</sup>	All Desalters⁵	Balance	PIIA, 6.2(b)(ii)	PIIA, 6.2(b)(i)	PIIA, 6.2(b)(iii)
2000 / 2001	7,989.0	0.0	7,989.0	3,994.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,994.5
2001 / 2002	9,457.8	0.0	9,457.8	4,728.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,728.9
2002 / 2003	10,438.5	0.0	10,438.5	5,219.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,219.3
2003 / 2004	10,605.0	0.0	10,605.0	5,302.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,302.5
2004 / 2005	9,853.6	0.0	9,853.6	4,926.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,926.8
2005 / 2006	16,475.8	0.0	16,475.8	11,579.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	400,000.0	0.0	0.0	4,896.7
2006 / 2007	26,356.2	0.0	26,356.2	608.4	4,273.1	0.0	0.0	21,474.7	0.0	378,525.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2007 / 2008	26,972.1	0.0	26,972.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26,972.1	0.0	351,553.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
2008 / 2009	32,920.5	0.0	32,920.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61,989.1	0.0	289,564.1	0.0	0.0	(29,068.6)
2009 / 2010	28,516.7	0.0	28,516.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28,516.7	0.0	261,047.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010 / 2011	29,318.7	0.0	29,318.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29,318.7	0.0	231,728.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011 / 2012	28,378.9	0.0	28,378.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28,378.9	0.0	203,349.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012 / 2013	27,061.7	0.0	27,061.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27,061.7	0.0	176,288.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
2013 / 2014	29,228.0	14.6	29,242.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	163,788.1	10,000.0	0.0	6,742.6
2014 / 2015	29,541.3	448.7	29,990.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	151,288.1	10,000.0	0.0	7,490.0
2015 / 2016	27,008.8	1,154.1	28,162.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	138,788.1	10,000.0	0.0	5,662.9
2016 / 2017	26,725.6	1,527.2	28,252.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	126,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	5,017.8
2017 / 2018	28,589.8	1,462.5	30,052.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	113,788.1	10,000.0	735.0	6,817.3
2018 / 2019	25,502.9	5,696.3	31,199.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	101,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	7,964.2
2019 / 2020	27,593.6	8,003.4	35,597.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	88,788.1	10,000.0	735.0	12,362.0
2020 / 2021	31,944.8	8,169.7	40,114.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	76,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	16,879.4
2021 / 2022	28,678.0	11,847.4	40,525.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	63,788.1	10,000.0	735.0	17,290.4
2022 / 2023	30,223.8	9,591.2	39,815.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	51,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	16,580.0
2023 / 2024	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	38,788.1	10,000.0	735.0	16,765.0
2024 / 2025	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12,500.0	26,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	16,765.0
2025 / 2026	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0	21,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	24,265.0
2026 / 2027	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0	16,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	24,265.0
2027 / 2028	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0	11,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	24,265.0
2028 / 2029	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0	6,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	24,265.0
2029 / 2030	30,000.0	10,000.0	40,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0	1,288.1	10,000.0	735.0	24,265.0
	759,381.2	117,915.1	877,296.3	36,359.6	4,2 <mark>73.</mark> 1	0.0	0.0	223,711.9	175,000.0		170,000.0	10,290.5	257,661.5
	18A	18B	18C	18D	18E	18F	18G	18H	181	18J	18K	18L	18M

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Original table format and content: WEI, Response to Condition Subsequent Number 7, November 2008. Table has since been revised as a result of the March 15, 2019 Court Order.

<sup>2</sup> Peace II Desalter Expansion was anticipated to have an annual production of approximately 10,000 AF.

<sup>3</sup> 3,956.877 acre-feet + 316.177 acre-feet added as Non-Ag dedicated stored water per Paragraph 31 Settlement Agreements. Per Agreements, the water is deemed to have been dedicated as of June 30, 2007.

<sup>4</sup> Six years of Desalter tracking (Production Year 2000-2001 through Production Year 2005/2006) may have incorrectly assumed that a significant portion of Desalter Induced Recharge. Condition Subsequent 7 included an adjustment of 29,070 AF against Desalter replenishment in Production Year 2008/2009.

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to section 7.2(e)(ii) of the Peace II Agreement, the initial schedule for the Peace II Desalter Expansion controlled overdraft of 175,000 acre-feet had been amended to be allocated to Desalter replenishment over a 17-year period, beginning in 2013/14 and ending in 2029/30. <sup>6</sup> For the first 10 years following the Peace II Agreement (2006/2007 through 2015/2016), the Non-Ag "10% Haircut" water is apportioned among the specific seven members of the Appropriative Pool, per PIIA 9.2(a). In the eleventh year and in each year thereafter, it is dedicated to Watermaster to further offset desalter replenishment. However, to the extent there is no remaining desalter replenishment obligation in any year after applying the offsets set forth in 6.2(a), it will be distributed pro rata among the members of the Appropriative Pool based upon each Producer's combined total share of OSY and the previous year's actual production.

<sup>7</sup> Per the Peace II Agreement, Section 6.2(b)(iii) (as amended by the March 15, 2019 Court Order), the Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation is to be assessed against the Appropriative Pool, pro-rata based on each Producer's combined total share of OSY and their Adjusted Physical Production. <sup>8</sup> Due to the Re-Operation Schedule amendments in 2019, the Pre-Peace II Controlled Overdraft is left with a balance of 1,288.054 AF, which may be utilized at a later date to offset a future Desalter Replenishment Obligation.





#### **Desalter Replenishment Obligation Contribution**

	Percent of Operating Safe Yield	Land Use Conversions	Percent of Land Use Conversions	85% DROC Based on % OSY	15% DROC Based on % of LUC	Total DRO Contribution
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.000%	0.0	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.000%	0.0	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	3.851%	1,278.0	3.789%	327.3	56.8	384.2
Chino, City Of	7.357%	9,460.5	28.051%	625.3	420.8	1,046.1
Cucamonga Valley Water District	6.601%	598.4	1.774%	561.1	26.6	587.7
Fontana Union Water Company	11.657%	0.0	0.000%	990.8	0.0	990.8
Fontana Water Company	0.002%	834.0	2.473%	0.2	37.1	37.3
Fontana, City Of	0.000%	0.0	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0
Golden State Water Company	0.750%	0.0	0.000%	63.8	0.0	63.8
Jurupa Community Services District	3.759%	15,563.3	46.147%	319.5	692.2	1,011.7
Marygold Mutual Water Company	1.195%	0.0	0.000%	101.6	0.0	101.6
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	1.234%	0.0	0.000%	104.9	0.0	104.9
Monte Vista Water District	8.797%	105.6	0.313%	747.7	4.7	752.4
NCL Co, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.000%	0.0	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nicholson Family Trust	0.007%	0.0	0.000%	0.6	0.0	0.6
Norco, City Of	0.368%	0.0	0.000%	31.3	0.0	31.3
Ontario, City Of	20.742%	5,885.9	17.452%	1,763.1	261.8	2,024.9
Pomona, City Of	20.454%	0.0	0.000%	1,738.6	0.0	1,738.6
San Antonio Water Company	2.748%	0.0	0.000%	233.6	0.0	233.6
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.000%	0.0	0.000%	0.0	0.0	0.0
Santa Ana River Water Company	2.373%	0.0	0.000%	201.7	0.0	201.7
Upland, City Of	5.202%	0.0	0.000%	442.2	0.0	442.2
West End Consolidated Water Co	1.728%	0.0	0.000%	146.9	0.0	146.9
West Valley Water District	1.175%	0.0	0.000%	99.9	0.0	99.9
	100.000%	33,725.6	100.000%	8,500.0	1,500.0	10,000.0
	<b>19A</b>	<b>19B</b>	19C	19D	19E	19F

#### Notes:

Section 6.2(b)(ii) of the Peace II Agreement as the amendment is shown in the March 15, 2019 Court Order states: "The members of the Appropriative Pool will contribute a total of 10,000 afy toward Desalter replenishment, allocated among the Appropriative Pool members as follows: 1) 85% of the total (8,500 afy) will be allocated according to the Operating Safe Yield percentage of each Appropriative Pool members; and 2) 15% of the total (1,500 afy) will be allocated according to each land use conversion agency's percentage of the total land use conversion claims. The formula is to be adjusted annually based on the actual land use conversion allocations of the year."



# **Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation (RDRO)**

			CALC	ULATING THE ADJUSTE	D PHYSICAL PRODUCT	ION			ALLOCATING THE RDRO	
	Assigned Share of Operating Safe Yield	Physical Production	50% of Voluntary Agreements with Ag	Assignments with Non-Ag	Storage and Recovery Programs	Other Adjustments	Total Adjusted Physical Production	Total Production and OSY Basis (20A+20G)	Percentage (20H) / Sum(20H)	Total Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.0	276.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	276.6	276.6	0.250%	41.5
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.0
Chino Hills, City Of	1,572.5	2,218.1	(20.6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,197.5	3,770.1	3.412%	565.7
Chino, City Of	3,004.2	5,568.9	(1,190.4)	(75.5)	0.0	0.0	4,303.0	7,307.1	6.613%	1,096.5
Cucamonga Valley Water District	2,695.5	13,514.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13,514.7	16,210.2	14.671%	2,432.4
Fontana Union Water Company	4,760.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,760.0	4.308%	714.3
Fontana Water Company	0.8	8,721.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8,721.0	8,721.8	7.894%	1,308.8
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.0
Golden State Water Company	306.3	921.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	921.7	1,228.0	1.111%	184.3
Jurupa Community Services District	1,535.0	7,521.6	0.0	(392.9)	0.0	29.0	7,157.8	8,692.7	7.867%	1,304.4
Marygold Mutual Water Company	488.0	559.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	559.7	1,047.7	0.948%	157.2
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	503.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	503.9	0.456%	75.6
Monte Vista Water District	3,592.2	5,293.0	(50.8)	(15.9)	0.0	(9.9)	5,216.3	8,808.5	7.972%	1,321.8
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.0
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.0	1,401.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,401.4	1,401.4	1.268%	210.3
Nicholson Family Trust	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.003%	0.4
Norco, City Of	150.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	150.3	0.136%	22.5
Ontario, City Of	8,469.8	16,933.4	(1,608.0)	(1,151.3)	0.0	0.0	14,174.1	22,643.9	20.494%	3,397.9
Pomona, City Of	8,352.2	10,197.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10,197.4	18,549.6	16.788%	2,783.5
San Antonio Water Company	1,122.1	459.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	459.0	1,581.1	1.431%	237.2
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.0	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	17.6	0.016%	2.6
Santa Ana River Water Company	969.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	969.0	0.877%	145.4
Upland, City Of	2,124.2	807.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	(267.8)	540.0	2,664.2	2.411%	399.8
West End Consolidated Water Co	705.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	705.6	0.639%	105.9
West Valley Water District	479.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	479.8	0.434%	72.0
	40,834.0	74,412.1	(2,869.8)	(1,635.6)	0.0	(248.7)	69,657.9	110,491.9	100.000%	16,580.0
	20A	20B	20C	20D	20E	20F	20G	20H	201	20J

Notes:

Section 6.2(b)(iii) of the Peace II Agreement as the amendment is shown in the March 15, 2019 Court Order states: "A Replenishment Against the Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(i) and 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii), allocated pro-rata to each Appropriative Pool for any remaining Desalter replenishment obligation after applying both 6(b)(ii)



# **Desalter Replenishment Summary**

	Desalter Replenishment Obligation in AF			Total DRO Fulfillment Activity							Assessments	
	Desalter Replenishment Obligation Contribution	Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation	Total Desalter Replenishment Obligation	Transfer from Dedicated Replenishment Account	Transfer from Excess Carry Over Storage Account	Transfer from Recharged Recycled Storage Account	Transfer from Quantified Storage Account	Transfer from Post 7/1/2000 Storage Account	Replenishment Water Purchase	Total Transfers and Water Purchases	Residual DRO (AF)	Assessments Due On Residual DRO (\$)
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	0.0	(41.5)	(41.5)	0.0	41.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.5	0.0	0.00
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00
Chino Hills, City Of	(384.2)	(565.7)	(949.9)	0.0	0.0	0.0	949.9	0.0	0.0	949.9	0.0	0.00
Chino, City Of	(1,046.1)	(1,096.5)	(2,142.6)	0.0	2,142.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,142.6	0.0	0.00
Cucamonga Valley Water District	(587.7)	(2,432.4)	(3,020.1)	0.0	3,020.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,020.1	0.0	0.00
Fontana Union Water Company	(990.8)	(714.3)	(1,705.1)	1,705.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,705.1	0.0	0.00
Fontana Water Company	(37.3)	(1,308.8)	(1,346.0)	0.0	1,346.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,346.0	0.0	0.00
Fontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00
Golden State Water Company	(63.8)	(184.3)	(248.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	248.0	0.0	0.0	248.0	0.0	0.00
Jurupa Community Services District	(1,011.7)	(1,304.4)	(2,316.1)	0.0	2,316.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,316.1	0.0	0.00
Marygold Mutual Water Company	(101.6)	(157.2)	(258.8)	0.0	258.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	258.8	0.0	0.00
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	(104.9)	(75.6)	(180.5)	0.0	180.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	180.5	0.0	0.00
Monte Vista Water District	(752.4)	(1,321.8)	(2,074.2)	0.0	2,074.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,074.2	0.0	0.00
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00
Niagara Bottling, LLC	0.0	(210.3)	(210.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(210.3)	183,374.62
Nicholson Family Trust	(0.6)	(0.4)	(1.0)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.00
Norco, City Of	(31.3)	(22.5)	(53.8)	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.00
Ontario, City Of	(2,024.9)	(3,397.9)	(5,422.7)	4,773.7	649.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,422.7	0.0	0.00
Pomona, City Of	(1,738.6)	(2,783.5)	(4,522.1)	0.0	4,522.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,522.1	0.0	0.00
San Antonio Water Company	(233.6)	(237.2)	(470.8)	0.0	470.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	470.8	0.0	0.00
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	0.0	(2.6)	(2.6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(2.6)	2,308.18
Santa Ana River Water Company	(201.7)	(145.4)	(347.1)	0.0	347.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	347.1	0.0	0.00
Upland, City Of	(442.2)	(399.8)	(842.0)	0.0	842.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	842.0	0.0	0.00
West End Consolidated Water Co	(146.9)	(105.9)	(252.8)	0.0	252.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	252.8	0.0	0.00
West Valley Water District	(99.9)	(72.0)	(171.9)	0.0	171.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	171.9	0.0	0.00
	(10,000.0)	(16,580.0)	(26,580.0)	6,479.8	18,689.3	0.0	1,197.9	0.0	0.0	26,367.1	(212.9)	185,682.80
	21A	21B	21C	21D	21E	21F	21G	21H	211	21J	21K	21L

#### Notes:

1) City of Ontario (Non-Ag) dedicated 2,396.5 AF of Carryover water, and 2,377.2 AF of Annual Share of Operating Safe Yield, to satisfy City of Ontario's 2023/24 DRO pursuant to an Exhibit "G" Section 10 Form A.



# Assessment Calculation - Projected (Includes "10% Judgment Administration and 15% OBMP & Program Elements 1-9 Operating Reserves")

PRODUCTION BASIS	FY 2022/23 Budget ⁵	FY 2023/24 Budget	ASSESSMENT	APPROPRIATIVE POOL		AGRICULTURAL POOL		NON-AG POOL	
2021/2022 Production and Exchanges in Acre-Feet (Actuals)			99,715.646	75,398.179	75.613%	21,304.032	21.365%	3,013.435	3.022%
2022/2023 Production and Exchanges in Acre-Feet (Actuals) <sup>1</sup>			86,865.190	66,788.048	76.887%	17,082.226	19.665%	2,994.916	3.448%
BUDGET				Judgment Administration	OBMP & PE 1-9	Judgment Administration	OBMP & PE 1-9	Judgment Administration	OBMP & PE 1-9
Judgment Administration <sup>2,3</sup>	\$3,334,108	\$3,681,911	\$3,681,911	\$2,830,911		\$724,055		\$126,944	
OBMP & Program Elements 1-9 <sup>2</sup>	\$5,526,566	\$5,283,151	\$5,283,151		\$4,062,056		\$1,038,943		\$182,151
Judgment Administration, OBMP & PE 1-9 Assessments	\$8,860,674	\$8,965,062	\$8,965,062	\$2,830,911	\$4,062,056	\$724,055	\$1,038,943	\$126,944	\$182,151
TOTAL BUDGET			\$8,965,062	\$2,830,911	\$4,062,056	\$724,055	\$1,038,943	\$126,944	\$182,151
Less: Budgeted Interest Income	(\$35,550)	(\$312,500)	(\$312,500)		(\$240,272)		(\$61,454)		(\$10,774)
Less: Contributions from Outside Agencies	(\$181,866)	(\$186,412)	(\$186,412)		(\$143,327)		(\$36,658)		(\$6,427)
Subtotal: CASH DEMAND	\$8,643,258	\$8,466,150	\$8,466,150	\$2,830,911	\$3,678,457	\$724,055	\$940,831	\$126,944	\$164,950
Add: OPERATING RESERVE									
Judgment Administration (10%)	\$333.411	\$368.191	\$368,191	\$283.091		\$72.406		\$12.694	
OBMP & PE 1-9 (15%)	\$828,985	\$792,473	\$792,473		\$609,309		\$155,842		\$27,323
Subtotal: OPERATING RESERVE	\$1,162,396	\$1,160,664	\$1,160,664	\$283,091	\$609,309	\$72,406	\$155,842	\$12,694	\$27,323
Less: Cash Balance on Hand Available for Assessments ⁴	(\$1,162,396)	(\$1,160,664)	(\$1,160,664)	(\$283,091)	(\$609,309)	(\$72,406)	(\$155,842)	(\$12,694)	(\$27,323)
FUNDS REQUIRED TO BE ASSESSED	\$8,643,258	\$8,466,150	\$8,466,150	\$2,830,911	\$3,678,457	\$724,055	\$940,831	\$126,944	\$164,950
Proposed Assessments									
Judgment Administration, OBMP & PE 1-9 Assessments (Minimum \$5.00 Per Producer)		[A]	Per Acre-Foot	\$42.39	\$55.08	\$42.39	\$55.08	\$42.39	\$55.08
Grand Total					\$97.47		\$97.47	_	\$97.47
Prior Year Assessments, (Actuals) Information Only		[B]	Per Acre-Foot	\$33.44	\$53.24	\$33.44	\$53.24	- \$33.44	\$53.24
Grand Total					\$86.68		\$86.68		\$86.68
								=	
Variance Between Proposed Assessments and Prior Year Assessments		[A] - [B]		\$8.95	\$1.84	\$8.95	\$1.84	\$8.95	\$1.84
Grand Total					\$10.79		\$10.79		\$10.79
				:		:		=	
Estimated Assessment as of "Approved" Budget August 25, 2023, Information Only				\$40.77	\$52.97	\$40.77	\$52.97	\$40.77	\$52.97
Grand Total					\$93.74		\$93.74		\$93.74
								=	

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Due to the timing of when the Budget and the Assessment Package are prepared, actual production numbers on this page may differ from the Budget depending on any last minute corrections during the Assessment Package preparation process. <sup>2</sup> Total costs are allocated to Pools by actual production percentages. Does not include Recharge Debt Payment, Recharge Improvement Projects, Replenishment Water Purchases, or RTS charges.

<sup>3</sup> Judgment Administration excludes OAP, AP, and ONAP specific legal services, meeting compensation, or Special Funds. These items invoiced separately on the Assessment invoices.

<sup>4</sup> June 30th fund balance (estimated) less funds required for Operating Reserves, Agricultural Pool Reserves, and Carryover replenishment obligations.

<sup>5</sup> The previous fiscal year's budget numbers are from the previously approved Assessment Package and does not reflect numbers from any amended budget that may have followed.





### Water Transaction Detail

#### **Standard Transactions**

		Date of		\$ / Acre		If 85/15 Rule Applies:			
То:	From:	Submittal	Quantity	Feet	Total \$	85%	15%	WM Pays	
Cucamonga Valley Water District	Chino, City Of Storage Account	1/3/2023	1,324.2	639.20	846,437.59	719,471.95	126,965.64	Cucamonga Valley Water District	
	Chino, City Of Storage Account	1/3/2023	6,175.8	639.20	3,947,562.41				
	San Antonio Water Company Storage Account	5/22/2023	403.0	N/A	N/A				
	85/15 Rule does not apply. Utilizing								
Fontana Water Company	Upland, City Of Storage Account	11/8/2022	7,884.8	599.25	4,724,941.23	4,016,200.05	708,741.18	Fontana Water Company	
	Upland, City Of Storage Account	11/8/2022	2,115.2	599.25	1,267,558.77				
	Chino, City Of Storage Account	12/27/2022	10,000. 0	639.20	6,392,000.00				
	Nicholson Family Trust Annual Account	5/3/2023	3.5	619.23	2,167.31				
Golden State Water Company	Upland, City Of Annual Account	6/8/2023	208.0	641.25	133,402.44	113,392.08	20,010.37	Golden State Water Company	
	Upland, City Of Annual Account	6/8/2023	92.0	641.25	58,972.56				
	West End Consolidated Water Co Annual Account	6/8/2023	66.4	49.00	3,253.60				
85/15 Rule does not apply. Utilizing West End shares.									
Niagara Bottling, LLC	Chino, City Of Storage Account	12/21/2022	4,000.0	N/A	N/A				
	85/15 Rule does not apply. Sale price was not disclosed.								
Upland, City Of	West End Consolidated Water Co Storage Account	5/29/2023	708.3	49.00	34,706.70				
	85/15 Rule does not apply, Utilizing West End shares.								
			32,981.2	4,849,064.07	855,717.19				
		Т	otal 15% C	ons:	\$855,717.19				

**ALL POOLS**


### Water Transaction Detail

#### **Applied Recurring Transactions:**

From:	То:	Quantity \$/	Acre Feet	
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Assigned Share of Operating Safe Yield	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	All	0.00	Transfer FUWC Share of Safe Yield to CVWD.
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Stormwater New Yield	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	All	0.00	Transfer FUWC New Yield to CVWD.
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Diff - Potential vs. Net	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	All	0.00	Transfer FUWC Ag Pool Reallocation Difference (Potential vs. Net) to CVWD.
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	All	0.00	Transfer FUWC water transfer rights to CVWD.
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Assigned Rights	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Assigned Rights	All	0.00	Transfer FUWC water transfer rights to CVWD.
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Total AG SY Reallocation	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	All	0.00	Transfer FUWC Total Ag SY to CVWD.
Fontana Union Water Company Annual Account - Desalter Replenishment Obligation	Cucamonga Valley Water District Annual Account - Transfer (To) / From	All	0.00	Transfer of FUWC DRO

Notes:

1) The Water Transaction between City of Chino and Cucamonga Valley Water District submitted on 1/3/2023 for the amount of 7,500 AF had been split because the amount purchased exceeds what is required to satisfy overproduction; the 85/15 Rule only applies to the portion that satisfies overproduction per the direction of the Appropriative Pool on November 2, 2011.

2) The Water Transaction between City of Upland and Fontana Water Company submitted on 11/8/2022 for the amount of 10,000 AF had been split because the amount purchased exceeds what is required to satisfy overproduction; the 85/15 Rule only applies to the portion that satisfies overproduction per the direction of the Appropriative Pool on November 2, 2011.

3) The Water Transaction between City of Upland and Golden State Water Company submitted on 6/8/2023 for the amount of 300 AF had been split because the amount purchased exceeds what is required to satisfy overproduction; the 85/15 Rule only applies to the portion that satisfies overproduction per the direction of the Appropriative Pool on November 2, 2011.



### Analysis of the 85/15 Rule Application to Water Transfers

То	(Over)/Under Production Excluding Water Transfer(s)	From	Date of Submittal	Transfer Quantity	ls Buyer an 85/15 Party?	Is Transfer Being Placed into Annual Account?	Is Purpose of Transfer to Utilize SAWCO or West End Shares?	Amount of Transfer Eligible for 85/15 Rule
Cucamonga Valley Water District	(1,324.9)	Chino, City Of Storage Account	1/3/2023	1,324.2	Yes	Yes	No	1,324.2
		Chino, City Of Storage Account	1/3/2023	6,175.8	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
		San Antonio Water Company Storage Account	5/22/2023	403.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
		85/15 Rule does not a	pply. Utilizing	SAWC <mark>O sh</mark>	ares.			
Fontana Water Company	(7,884.8)	Upland, City Of Storage Account	11/8/2022	7,884.8	Yes	Yes	No	7,884.8
		Upland, City Of Storage Account	11/8/2022	2,115.2	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
		Chino, City Of Storage Account	12/27/2022	10,000. 0	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
		Nicholson Family Trust Annual Account	5/3/2023	3.5	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
Golden State Water Company	(208.1)	Upland, City Of Annual Account	6/8/2023	208.0	Yes	Yes	No	208.0
		Upland, City Of Annual Account	6/8/2023	92.0	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
		West End Consolidated Water Co Annual Account	6/8/2023	66.4	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
		85/15 Rule does not a	pp <mark>ly. U</mark> tilizing	West End s	hares.			
Niagara Bottling, LLC	(1,401.4)	Chino, City Of Storage Account	12/21/2022	4,000.0	No	Yes	No	0.0
		85/15 Rule does not a	pply. <mark>Sale</mark> pric	e was not c	lisclosed.			
Upland, City Of	5,372.6	West End Consolidated Water Co Storage Account	5/29/2023	708.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
		85/15 Rule does hot a	ppiy, Utilizing	vvest End s	nares.			

Notes:

1) The Water Transaction between City of Chino and Cucamonga Valley Water District submitted on 1/3/2023 for the amount of 7,500 AF had been split because the amount purchased exceeds what is required to satisfy overproduction; the 85/15 Rule only applies to the portion that satisfies overproduction per the direction of the Appropriative Pool on November 2, 2011.

2) The Water Transaction between City of Upland and Fontana Water Company submitted on 11/8/2022 for the amount of 10,000 AF had been split because the amount purchased exceeds what is required to satisfy overproduction; the 85/15 Rule only applies to the portion that satisfies overproduction per the direction of the Appropriative Pool on November 2, 2011.

3) The Water Transaction between City of Upland and Golden State Water Company submitted on 6/8/2023 for the amount of 300 AF had been split because the amount purchased exceeds what is required to satisfy overproduction; the 85/15 Rule only applies to the portion that satisfies overproduction per the direction of the Appropriative Pool on November 2, 2011.



### **Watermaster Replenishment Calculation**

#### Cost of Replenishment Water per acre foot:

		futer per abre to	01.		
Wat	termaster Replenishment Co	st		\$855.00	
Proj	jected Spreading - OCWD Co	onnection Fee		\$2.00	
Proj	jected Spreading - Delivery S	\$15.00			
Pre	-purchased Credit			\$0.00	
Tot	al Replenishment Cost per	\$872.00			
Replenishment Obligation:	AF @ \$872.00	15%	85%	Tota	I
Appropriative - 100	0.0			\$0.00	-
Appropriative - 15/85	17.6	\$2,306.92	\$13,072.54	\$15,379.46	
Non-Agricultural - 100	10.7			\$9,367.90	-
	28.4			\$24,747.36	
	AE Broduction	95/45	Percent of	8 Peplenishment	15% Water Transaction
Company	and Exchanges	Producers	Producers	Assessment	Debits
BlueTriton Brands, Inc.	276.6			-	-
CalMat Co. (Appropriative)	0.0			-	-
Chino Hills, City Of	2,176.9	2,176.9	4.005%	\$92.40	\$34,273.03
Chino, City Of	3,112.5	3,112.5	5.727%	\$132.11	\$49,002.76
Cucamonga Valley Water District	13,514.7	13,514.7	24.865%	\$573.61	\$212,772.01
Desalter Authority	39,815.0			-	-
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
Fontana Water Company	8,721.0	8,721.0	16.045%	\$370.15	\$137,301.51
Fontana, City Of	0.0			-	-
Golden State Water Company	921.7	921.7	1.696%	\$39.12	\$14,511.45
Jurupa Community Services District	7,157.8	7,157.8	13.169%	\$303.80	\$112,689.65
Marygold Mutual Water Company	559.7			-	-
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
Monte Vista Water District	5,165.5	5,165.5	9.504%	\$219.24	\$81,323.60
NCL Co, LLC	0.0			-	-
Niagara Bottling, LLC	1,401.4			-	-
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
Ontario, City Of	12,566.1	12,566.1	23.119%	\$533.35	\$197,837.50
Pomona, City Of	10,197.4			-	-
San Antonio Water Company	459.0	459.0	0.844%	\$19.48	\$7,225.61
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting P	ark) 17.6	17.6	0.032%	\$0.75	\$277.67
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
Upland, City Of	540.0	540.0	0.994%	\$22.92	\$8,502.38
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
West Valley Water District	0.0	0.0	0.000%	-	-
** Fee assessment total is 15% of	106,603.1	54,352.9	**	\$2,306.93	\$855,717.17
Appropriative 15/85 replenishment oblig	gation			Transfers to	Transfers to

Notes: The 2023 rate includes a \$15 delivery surcharge from Three Valleys Municipal Water District.



8K

8G



# Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023) **Readiness to Serve (RTS) Charges**

	Т	ot

RO = Replenishment Obligation					FY	2016/2017 Wa	ater Purchas	es							FY 2017/2	2018 Water P	urchase			
DRO = Desalter Replenishment Obligation yyyymmdd = Order #			Pu	rchased Wate	er in AF			2015/16 P	rod & Exch	Year	6 RTS Cha	arges	Purchased V	Nater in AF	2016/17 Pr	od & Exch	Year	5 RTS Cha	irges	TOTAL RTS
	20160	0623	20161216	20170418	8	/15 Breakdo	wn	From 85/15	Producers	15% \$1.00	85% \$5.66	100% \$6.66	2017	1211	From 85/15	Producers Democrat	15%	85%	100%	CHARGES
Appropriative or Non-Agricultural Pool Party	RO		DRO	RO	AF @ 100%	AF @ 85/15	AF Iotal	Acre-Feet	Percent	<b>41.00</b>	<b>40.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	RO		Acre-Feet	Percent	\$1.00	\$5.66	\$6.66	0.000.74
Blue I riton Brands, Inc.	1,135.3	8.9	4.0	335.7	1,483.8	0.0	1,483.8	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	9,886.14	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.60	9,886.74
China Lilla City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4 5 40 2	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chino Hills, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,548.3	2.009%	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	2,152.0	3.002%	0.40	0.00	0.00	1.37
Chino, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	388.9	0.543%	0.07	0.00	0.00	15.00
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20,534.7	20.048%	12.60	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	16,562.0	23.104%	3.00	0.00	0.00	15.90
Fontana Union Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fontana Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15,317.2	19.877%	9.58	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	13,250.5	18.484%	2.45	0.00	0.00	12.03
Pontana, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	807.4	1.048%	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	850.3	1.186%	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.66
Jurupa Community Services District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8,952.8	11.618%	5.60	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	11,023.2	15.377%	2.03	0.00	0.00	7.64
Marygold Mutual Water Company	/8./	51.9	20.3	0.0	150.9	0.0	150.9	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	1,005.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,005.25
Monte Vista Irrigation Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Monte Vista Water District	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8,203.7	10.646%	5.13	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	6,865.0	9.577%	1.27	0.00	0.00	6.40
NCL Co, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.00
Niagara Bottling, LLC	2,567.5	35.5	0.0	1,174.3	3,777.3	0.0	3,777.3	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	25,168.07	946.1	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	6,303.53	31,471.59
Nicholson Family Trust	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Norco, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ontario, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18,053.8	23.429%	11.29	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	18,970.2	26.463%	3.50	0.00	0.00	14.80
Pomona, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
San Antonio Water Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,030.8	1.338%	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	537.7	0.750%	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.74
San Bernardino, County of (Shooting Park)	38.8	0.3	0.1	9.4	0.4	48.2	48.6	9.4	0.012%	0.01	273.17	2.65	13.2	0.8	13.0	0.018%	0.00	74.97	5.28	356.08
Santa Ana River Water Company	0.0	48.0	23.7	0.0	71.7	0.0	71.7	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	477.62	0.0	118.7	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	790.66	1,268.28
Upland, City Of	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,600.7	3.375%	1.63	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	1,071.9	1.495%	0.20	0.00	0.00	1.82
West End Consolidated Water Co	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
West Valley Water District	0.0	23.5	11.8	0.0	35.3	0.0	35.3	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	234.88	0.0	58.8	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	391.46	626.34
9W Halo Western OpCo L.P.	62.2	0.0	0.0	10.6	72.9	0.0	72.9	0.0-	0.000%	0.00	0.00	485.55	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		20.18	505.72
ANG II (Multi) LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.00
Aqua Capital Management LP	57.5			0.0	57.5	0.0	57.5	0.0	0.000%			382.93	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	382.93
California Speedway Corporation	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.00
California Steel Industries, Inc.	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
CalMat Co.	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
CCG Ontario, LLC	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
City of Ontario (Non-Ag)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.00
County of San Bernardino (Non-Ag)	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
General Electric Company	0.0		0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.000%			0.41	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.41
Hamner Park Associates, a California Limited Partnershi	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
Linde Inc.	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
Monte Vista Water District (Non-Ag)	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.0		0.0	0.000%			0.00	0.00
Riboli Family and San Antonio Winery, Inc.	28.8		0.0	4.0	32.8	0.0	32.8	0.0	0.000%	0.00		218.30	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		35.07	253.36
Space Center Mira Loma, Inc.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.00	0.00
ТАМСО	19.8	0.0	0.0	16.5	36.4	0.0	36.4	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	242.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00		0.03	242.28
West Venture Development Company	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3,988.7	168.0	59.9	1,550.5	5,718.8	48.2	5,767.0	77,058.9	100.0%	48.21	273.17	38,104.03	967.7	178.2	71,684.9	100.0%	13.23	74.97	7,546.79	46,060.41
	26A	26B	26C	26D	26E	26F	26G	26H	261	26J	26K	26L	26M	26N	260	<b>26P</b>	26Q	26R	26S	<b>26T</b>

#### Notes:

1) This year's RTS includes the sixth of ten annual RTS charges for water purchased in FY 2016/17, and fifth of ten annual RTS charges for water purchased in FY 2017/18.

#### otal Water Purchased: 6,912.9 AF Total RTS Charge: \$46,060.40 (\$6.66/AF)



### **Assessment Package Notes**

Page	Note
All (a)	A change in a Party's name will be reflected in the Assessment Package for the production year in which the name change occurred. For example, if a Party changed its name on June 30, 2023, it will be reflected in the FY 2023/2024 Assessment Package (for Production Year 2022/2023). Additionally, if a Party changed its name on July 1, 2023, it will be reflected in the FY 2024/2025 Assessment Package (for Production Year 2023/2024).
All (b)	To avoid the possibility of being mistakenly identified as one of other similarly named organizations, the Chino Basin Desalter Authority is referred to as Desalter Authority.
pg01	"Agricultural Total Pool Production" includes Voluntary Agreements between Appropriators and Agricultural Pool Parties.
pg02-07	ANG II (Multi) LLC temporarily leased their rights to 9W Halo Western OpCo L.P. (as successor to Angelica) beginning on March 2010 through January 2030.
pg04 (a)	Transfers in Column [4E] include the annual transfer of 10% of the Non-Ag Safe Yield to be utilized to offset the overall Desalter Replenishment Obligation in accordance with the Peace II Agreement Section 6.2, and also the Exhibit "G" physical solution.
pg04 (b)	Column [4H], "Actual Fiscal Year Production," includes physical production and Assignments between Appropriators and Non-Ag Pool Parties.
pg04 (c)	"Net Over Production" does not include evaporative loss. Additional water will be purchased in order to adequately cover evaporative losses. The rates are 1.5% from November through March, 4.2% from April through October.
pg05 (a)	Hydraulic Control was achieved on February 1, 2016. Pursuant to Paragraph 7.4(b) of the Peace II Agreement, Storage Loss is now calculated at 0.07%.
pg05 (b)	When applicable, Column [5C] includes the Exhibit "G" physical solution transfers to the Appropriative Pool.
pg06	Transfers in Column [6C] is the annual transfer of 10 percent of the Non-Ag Safe Yield to be utilized to offset the overall Desalter Replenishment Obligation in accordance with the Peace II Agreement Section 6.2.
pg07 (a)	The financial Outstanding Obligations are reconciled on pages 7.1 and 17.1.
pg07 (b)	Fund Balance is maintained on a spreadsheet by Watermaster.
pg07 (c)	Outstanding Obligation (\$) is calculated by multiplying Outstanding Obligation (AF) by the current rate, reduced by the Fund Balance (\$).
pg07 (d)	Fund Balance is the money collected by Watermaster, Outstanding Obligation (\$) is the money owed by the Parties or credited to the Parties.
pg08 (a)	Recharge Debt Payment expenses [8O] and Recharge Improvement Project expenses [8P] are each allocated on % OSY, based on the approved budget.
pg08 (b)	Pursuant to Paragraph 5.4(b) of the Peace Agreement, the City of Pomona shall be allowed a credit of up to \$2 million against OBMP Assessments through 2030. This equates to \$66,667 per year. TVMWD elected to discontinue payment of the "Pomona Credit," effective FY 2012/2013. It is now paid by the Appropriative Pool Parties, allocated on % OSY (Column [8N]).
pg09 (a)	Other Adjustments [9D] include water provided to another Appropriator, pump-to-waste that has been captured in a recharge basin (as verified by IEUA), and other miscellaneous recharge / injection of native water.
pg09 (b)	Evaporative Losses will be applied to recharged water from Pump-to-Waste activities beginning in October 2017. (Evaporative Loss Rates: 1.5% Nov - Mar; 4.2% Apr - Oct)
pg10 (a)	The Restated Judgment allowed an accumulated overdraft of 200,000 AF over 40 years. The total Operating Safe Yield is now 40,834 AF, allocated by percentage of Operating Safe Yield.
pg10 (b)	Column [101], "Actual Fiscal Year Production," includes physical production, Voluntary Agreements, Assignments, and, if applicable, other adjustments. A detailed breakdown can be found on Page 9.1.



### **Assessment Package Notes**

Page	Note
pg10 (c)	"Net Over Production" does not include evaporative loss. Additional water will be purchased in order to adequately cover evaporative losses. The rates are 1.5% from November through March, 4.2% from April through October.
pg11 (a)	The Assessment Package database is set up so that all water must go through the Party Annual Accounts on the way to or from ECO Storage Accounts, and through the ECO Storage Accounts on the way to or from Supplemental Storage Accounts (does not apply to water dedicated to offset the Desalter Replenishment Obligation).
pg11 (b)	Column [11C] includes transfers to the Desalter Replenishment Obligation.
pg12 (a)	The Assessment Package database is set up so that all water must go through the Party Annual Accounts on the way to or from ECO Storage Accounts on the way to or from Supplemental Storage Accounts (does not apply to water dedicated to offset the Desalter Replenishment Obligation).
pg12 (b)	Columns [12C], [12H], and [12M] include transfers to the Desalter Replenishment Obligation.
pg12 (c)	The first 3,000 AF of City of Fontana's recharged recycled water transfers to the City of Ontario, and all of the City of Montclair's recharged recycled water transfers to MVWD.
pg13 (a)	"Re-Operation Offset: Pre-Peace II Desalters" had an original beginning balance of 225,000.000 AF. The 29,070 AF correction required by Condition Subsequent 7 is included. (See Page 18.1)
pg13 (b)	"Re-Operation Offset: Peace II Expansion" had an original beginning balance of 175,000.000 AF. It will now be allocated to Desalter replenishment over a 17-year period, beginning in 2013/14 and ending in 2029/30, according to a schedule. (See Page 18.1)
pg13 (c)	There is no loss assessed on the native Basin water allocated to offset Desalter production as a result of Basin Reoperation as approved in the Peace II Agreement.
pg13 (d)	"Non-Ag Dedication" was used in a prior Assessment Package to indicate the Paragraph 31 Settlement Agreements Dedication.
pg13 (e)	The "Non-Ag" OBMP Special Assessment", also referred to as the "10% Haircut", will indicate the movement of water when it is being utilized to further offset the Desalter Replenishment Obligation. See [18L] on Page 18.1.
pg13 (f)	Columns [13C] and [13D] under "Dedicated Replenishment" include transfers of water from an Annual Account to DRO, including Party to Party transfers such as those executed with the Exhibit "G" Form A.
pg14	Transfers in Column [14A] include annual water transfers/leases between Appropriators and/or from Appropriators to Watermaster for replenishment purposes, and also the Exhibit "G" physical solution transfers from the Non-Ag Pool.
pg15 (a)	Most of the remaining eligible parcels for Land Use Conversion are within the Conversion Area 1 boundary.
pg15 (b)	"Unlikely to Convert Parcels" regardless of eligibility are not likely to convert due to pre-existing land use. Eligibility will be determined on a case by case basis.
pg16	Beginning with the 2015/16 Assessment Package, the Agricultural Pool Safe Yield Reallocation is now being calculated with a new formula in accordance with the March 15, 2019 Court Order.
pg17 (a)	The financial Outstanding Obligations are reconciled on pages 7.1 and 17.1.
pg17 (b)	Fund Balance is maintained on a spreadsheet by Watermaster.
pg17 (c)	Outstanding Obligation is calculated by multiplying Outstanding Obligation (AF) by the current rate, reduced by the Fund Balance.
pg17 (d)	Fund Balance is the money collected by Watermaster, Outstanding Obligation (\$) is the money owed by the Parties or credited to the Parties.
pg21 (a)	Any balance in a Dedicated Replenishment Account is utilized first to satisfy new or carried over Desalter Replenishment Obligation beginning with the fiscal year such water was made available. The balance, if any, can be found on page 13.1.



### Assessment Package Notes

Page	Note
pg21 (b)	Due to an agreement between CVWD and FUWC, all of FUWC's rights are automatically tranferred to CVWD. A recurring transaction was created so that a portion of that water gets returned to FUWC to satisfy their share of DRO.
pg22	The table on this page is a replica of the table found in the Watermaster Budget.
pg24	The column titled "(Over)/Under Production Excluding Water Transfer(s)" excludes Exhibit "G" water sales and water transfers between Appropriators and to Watermaster (if any). ([10B] + [10C] + [10D] + [10E] + [14B] - [10K])
pg25 (a)	The "15% Water Transaction Debits" total is the "Total 15% Credits from all Transaction" from Page 23.1.
pg25 (b)	"Replenishment Obligation" does not include evaporative loss. Additional water will be purchased in order to adequately cover evaporative losses. The rates are 1.5% from November through March, 4.2% from April through October.
pg26 (a)	Beginning with fiscal year 2016/17, water purchased through the IEUA will be charged with an annual RTS fee over a ten year period commencing two years after the initial purchase. This fee will vary year to year based on a ten-year rolling average.
pg26 (b)	RTS will be allocated based on the total RTS charge for the year and not on the calculated cost per acre-foot.



### Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

Column	Title Description
2A	AF Production Actual fiscal year production by each Party. Copied from [4H].
2B	Non-Agricultural Pool - AF/Admin         Production [2A] <times> per acre-foot Admin fee.</times>
2C	Non-Agricultural Pool - AF/OBMP         Production [2A] <times> per acre-foot OBMP fee.</times>
2D	Replenishment Assessments - AF Exceeding Annual Right         Over-production for each Party beyond their annual production right. Copied from [41].
2E	Replenishment Assessments - \$872 Per AF         Amount overproduced [2D] <times> the current replenishment rate.</times>
2F	CURO Adjustment Monetary amount needed (or to be credited) for each Party's Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation (CURO). Calculated on Page 7.1.
2G	RTS Charges Annual Readiness to Serve charges for water purchased in prior years.
2H	Other Adjustments Used as necessary for any other monetary adjustments needed to the Assessment Package.
21	Total Assessments Due Total fees assessed based on Party production. [2B] + [2C] + [2E] + [2F] + [2G] + [2H].
3A	Physical Production Fiscal year physical production by each Party.
3B	Assignments Total of water received from an Appropriator by each Party.
3C	Other Adjustments Any other adjustments that result in off-set of the fiscal year's production.
3D	Actual FY Production (Assmnt Pkg Column 4H) Total adjusted production for the fiscal year. Also known as Assessable Production. [3A] + [3B] + [3C].
4A	Percent of Safe Yield The Party's yearly percentage of Safe Yield.
4B	Carryover Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each Annual Account. This number carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.
4C	Prior Year Adjustments This number reflects the adjusted production rights from a previous Assessment Package, in the event that corrections are needed.
4D	Assigned Share of Safe Yield (AF) The Party's yearly volume of Safe Yield.
4E	Water Transaction Activity Total of one-time water transfers between Parties for this period, including the annual transfer of 10 percent of the Non-Ag Safe Yield to be utilized to offset the overall Desalter Replenishment Obligation, as stated in the Peace II Agreement, and Exhibit "G" physical solution transfers to the Appropriative Pool.
4F	Other Adjustments This number reflects adjusted production rights, in the event that corrections are needed.
4G	Annual Production Right Current Year Production Right. [4B] + [4C] + [4D] + [4E] + [4F].



#### Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

Column	Title Description
4H	Actual Fiscal Year Production Fiscal year production, including Assignments, from CBWM's production system (as verified by each Party on their Water Activity Report). Also known as Assessable Production.
41	Net Over Production Over-production, if any, for each Party beyond their annual production right. [4H] <minus> [4G], equaling more than zero.</minus>
4J	Under Production Balances - Total Under-Produced         Production rights [4G] <minus> production [4H], equaling more than zero.</minus>
4K	Under Production Balances - Carryover: Next Year Begin Bal Either total under-produced [4J] or share of Safe Yield [4D], whichever is less.
4L	Under Production Balances - To Excess Carryover Account Total under-produced [4J] <minus> Carryover to next year [4K], equaling more than zero.</minus>
5A	Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account (ECO) - Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each ECO account. This number will carry forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.
5B	Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account (ECO) - 0.07% Storage Loss Beginning balance [5A] <times> -0.0007.</times>
5C	Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account (ECO) - Transfers To / (From) Total of water transferred to and from the ECO Account.
5D	Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account (ECO) - From Under-Production Total of water transferred from the Annual Account due to under production. Copied from [4L].
5E	Local Excess Carry Over Storage Account (ECO) - Ending Balance The current balance in each ECO account. [5A] + [5B] + [5C] + [5D].
5F	Local Supplemental Storage Account - Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each Supplemental Account. This number will carry forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.
5G	Local Supplemental Storage Account - 0.07% Storage Loss Beginning balance [5F] <times> -0.0007.</times>
5H	Local Supplemental Storage Account - Transfers To / (From) Total of water transferred to and from the Annual and/or ECO Account.
51	Local Supplemental Storage Account - Ending Balance The current balance in each Supplemental Account. [5F] + [5G] + [5H].
5J	Combined - Ending Balance The combined amount in all local storage accounts. [5E] + [5I].
6A	Percent of Safe Yield The Party's yearly percentage of Operating Safe Yield.
6B	Assigned Share of Safe Yield (AF) The Party's yearly volume of Operating Safe Yield.
6C	Water Transactions - 10% of Operating Safe Yield ("Haircut") Operating Safe Yield [6B] <times> -0.1</times>
6D	Water Transactions - Transfers (To) / From ECO Account Total of water transferred between the Annual Account and ECO Account.
6E	Water Transactions - General Transfers / Exhibit G Water Sales Total of water transfers between Parties for this period including Exhibit G Water Sales.
6F	Water Transactions - Total Water Transactions Total water transactions. [6C] + [6D] + [6E]. This column is used to populate [4E].





Column	Title Description
7A	Outstanding Obligation (AF) The amount of obligation carried over from prior Assessment Package(s) that were not met due to various reason, including but not limited to MWD not having replenishment water available to purchase.
7B	Fund Balance (\$) The amount of money collected or owed for replenishment assessments from prior Assessment Package(s).
7C	Outstanding Obligation (\$) The amount of money that each Party owes or is credited based on current replenishment rate. [7A] <times> [CURRENT RATE] <minus> [7B].</minus></times>
8A	AF Production and Exchanges Total production and exchanges. Copied from [10K].
8B	Appropriative Pool - AF/Admin           Production and Exchanges [8A] <times> per acre-foot Admin fee.</times>
8C	Appropriative Pool - AF/OBMP Production and Exchanges [8A] <times> per acre-foot OBMP fee.</times>
8D	Ag Pool SY Reallocation - AF Total Reallocation Reallocation of Ag Pool Safe Yield. Copied from [10E] and [16E].
8E	Ag Pool SY Reallocation - AF/Admin Party Ag Pool reallocation [8D] <divided by=""> Total Ag Pool Reallocation [8D Total] <times> total dollar amount needed for Ag Pool Administration.</times></divided>
8F	Ag Pool SY Reallocation - AF/OBMP Party Ag Pool reallocation [8D] <divided by=""> Total Ag Pool Reallocation [8D Total] <times> total dollar amount needed for Ag Pool OBMP.</times></divided>
8G	Replenishment Assessments - AF/15% For Parties participating in the 85/15 Rule: Percentage of total 85/15 participant production <times> required credit amount. Copied from Page 25.1.</times>
8H	Replenishment Assessments - AF/85% For parties participating in the 85/15 Rule: Total volume overproduced [10L] <times> 85% of the replenishment rate.</times>
81	Replenishment Assessments - AF/100% For parties not participating in the 85/15 Rule: Total volume overproduced [10M] <times> 100% of the replenishment rate.</times>
8J	85/15 Water Transaction Activity - 15% Producer Credits For parties participating in the 85/15 Rule: Credit amount equals 15% of the cost of the water purchased. Total to be credited copied from Page 23.1.
8K	85/15 Water Transaction Activity - 15% Pro-rated Debits For parties participating in the 85/15 Rule: Percentage of total 85/15 participant production <times> required credit amount. Copied from Page 25.1.</times>
8L	CURO Adjustment Monetary amount needed (or to be credited) for each Party's Cumulative Unmet Replenishment Obligation (CURO). Calculated on Page 17.1.
8M	ASSESSMENTS DUE - Total Production Based Total fees assessed based on Party production. [8B] + [8C] + [8E] + [8F] + [8G] + [8H] + [8I] + [8J] + [8K] + [8L].
8N	ASSESSMENTS DUE - Pomona Credit Debit amount to Pomona <times> -1 <times> percent share of Operating Safe Yield [10A].</times></times>
80	ASSESSMENTS DUE - Recharge Debt Payment Total recharge debt payment <times> percent share of Operating Safe Yield [10A].</times>
8P	ASSESSMENTS DUE - Recharge Improvement Project Total Recharge Improvement Project <times> Percent Share of Operating Safe Yield [10A].</times>



Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

Column	Title Description
8Q	ASSESSMENTS DUE - RTS Charges
	Annual Readiness to Serve charges for water purchased in prior years.
8R	ASSESSMENTS DUE - Other Adjustments Used as necessary for any other monetary adjustments needed to the Assessment Package.
	ASSESSMENTS DUE - DRO
85	Total assessments due for Desalter Replenishment. Copied from [21L].
8T	ASSESSMENTS DUE - Total Due Total assessments. [8M] + [8N] + [8O] + [8P] + [8Q] + [8R] + [8S].
9A	Physical Production         Fiscal year physical production by each Party.
9B	Voluntary Agreements (w/ Ag) Total of water provided to Agricultural Pool Parties.
9C	Assignments (w / Non-Ag) Total of water provided to Non-Agricultural Pool Parties.
9D	Other Adjustments Total of water received from, or provided to, another Appropriator. Also includes production off-sets.
9E	Actual FY Production (Assmnt Pkg Column 10I) Total adjusted production for the fiscal year. [9A] + [9B] + [9C] + [9D].
10A	Percent of Operating Safe Yield
	The Party's yearly percentage of Operating Safe Yield.
10B	Carryover Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each Annual Account. This number carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.
10C	Prior Year Adjustments This number reflects the adjusted production rights from a previous Assessment Package, in the event that corrections are needed.
10D	Assigned Share of Operating Safe Yield The Party's yearly volume of Operating Safe Yield.
10E	Net Ag Pool Reallocation Reallocation of Ag Pool Safe Yield. Copied from [16E]. The calculations that lead to this are made on Page 16.1.
10F	Water Transaction Activity Water transactions. Copied from [14E]. The calculations that lead to this are made on Page 14.1.
10G	Other Adjustments This number reflects adjusted production rights, in the event that corrections are needed.
10H	Annual Production Right Current Year Production Right. [10B] + [10C] + [10D] + [10E] + [10F] + [10G].
101	Actual Fiscal Year Production Fiscal year production, including Assignments and Voluntary Agreements, from CBWM's production system (as verified by each Party on their Water Activity Report). Includes a sub note subtracting Desalter production.
10J	Storage and Recover Program(s) Total exchanges for the period (July 1 - June 30) including MZ1 forbearance and DYY deliveries (as reported to CBWM by IEUA and TVMWD and as verified by each Party on their Water Activity Report). A DYY in-lieu "put" is shown as a positive number and a DYY "take" is shown as a negative number.
10K	Total Production and Exchanges Actual production [101] <plus> Storage and Recovery exchanges [10J]. Includes a sub note subtracting Desalter production. Also known as Assessable Production.</plus>



Column	Title Description	
10L	Net Over-Production - 85/15% For 85/15 Rule participants: Production rights [10H] <minus> total production and exchanges [10K], equaling less than zero.</minus>	
10M	Net Over-Production - 100% For non-85/15 Rule participants: Production rights [10H] <minus> total production and exchanges [10K], equaling less than zero. Includes sub note subtracting Desalter production.</minus>	
10N	Under Production Balances - Total Under-Produced Production rights [10H] <minus> total production and exchanges [10K], equaling more than zero.</minus>	
100	Under Production Balances - Carryover: Next Year Begin Bal Either total under-produced [10N] or share of Operating Safe Yield [10D], whichever is less.	
10P	Under Production Balances - To Excess Carryover Account Total under produced [10N] <minus> Carryover to next year [10O], equaling more than zero.</minus>	
11A	Excess Carry Over Account (ECO) - Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each ECO account. This carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.	
11B	Excess Carry Over Account (ECO) - 0.07% Storage Loss Beginning balance [11A] <times> -0.0007.</times>	
11C	Excess Carry Over Account (ECO) - Transfers To / (From) Total of water transferred to and from ECO and the Annual Account. Also includes Desalter Replenishment Obligation transfers.	
11D	Excess Carry Over Account (ECO) - From Supplemental Storage Total of water transferred to and from Local Supplemental Storage accounts, as shown on Page 12.1.	
11E	Excess Carry Over Account (ECO) - From Under-Production Total of water transferred from the Annual Account due to under production. Copied from [10P].	
11F	Excess Carry Over Account (ECO) - Ending Balance The current balance in each ECO account. [11A] + [11B] + [11C] + [11D] + [11E].	
12A	Recharged Recycled Account - Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each Recharged Recycled Account. This number carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.	
12B	Recharged Recycled Account - 0.07% Storage Loss Beginning balance [12A] <times> -0.0007.</times>	
12C	Recharged Recycled Account - Transfers To / (From) Total recharged recycled water credited to each Party for the year, as provided by IEUA. Also includes Desalter Replenishment Obligation transfers.	
12D	Recharged Recycled Account - Transfer to ECO Account Total of water transferred to the ECO Account, as shown on Page 11.1.	
12E	Recharged Recycled Account - Ending Balance The current balance in each Recharged Recycled account. [12A] + [12B] + [12C] + [12D].	
12F	Quantified (Pre 7/1/2000) Account - Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each Quantified Supplemental Account. This number carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.	
12G	Quantified (Pre 7/1/2000) Account - 0.07% Storage Loss Beginning balance [12F] <times> -0.0007.</times>	
12H	Quantified (Pre 7/1/2000) Account - Transfers To / (From) Total of water transferred to and from the Annual Account. Also includes Desalter Replenishment Obligation transfers.	
121	Quantified (Pre 7/1/2000) Account - Transfer to ECO Account Total of water transferred to the ECO Account, as shown on Page 11.1.	



Column	Title Description	
12J	Quantified (Pre 7/1/2000) Account - Ending Balance	
	The current balance in each Quantified Supplemental account. [12F] + [12G] + [12H] + [12I].	
12K	New (Post 7/1/2000) Account - Beginning Balance The beginning balance in each New Supplemental Account. This number carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.	
12L	New (Post 7/1/2000) Account - 0.07% Storage Loss	
	Beginning balance [12K] <times> -0.0007.</times>	
12M	New (Post 7/1/2000) Account - Transfers To / (From) Total of water transferred to and from the Annual Account. Also includes Desalter Replenishment Obligation transfers.	
12N	New (Post 7/1/2000) Account - Transfer to ECO Account           Total of water transferred to the ECO Account, as shown on Page 11.1.	
120	New (Post 7/1/2000) Account - Ending Balance	
120	The current balance in each New Supplemental Account. [12K] + [12L] + [12M] + [12N].	
12P	Combined - Ending Balance	
	The combined amount in all supplemental storage accounts [12E] + [12J] + [12O].	
13Δ	Dedicated Replenishment - Beginning Balance	
IJA	The beginning balances in each Dedicated Replenishment account. These numbers carry forward from the ending balances in the previous period Assessment Package.	
13B	Dedicated Replenishment - Water Purchases	
	Where applicable, the total of water purchased by each Dedicated Replenishment account.	
13C	Dedicated Replenishment - Transfers To	
	Where applicable, the total of water transferred to each Dedicated Replenishment account. Includes transfers from Exhibit "G" Section 10 Form A. and transfers from the Applied Account	
	Dedicated Replenishment - Transfers From	
13D	Total of water transferred from each Dedicated Replenishment account. The inverse amounts in this column goes to column [21D] on page 21.1.	
	Dedicated Replenishment - Ending Balance	
13E	The current balances in each Dedicated Replenishment account. [13A] + [13B] + [13C] + [13D].	
	Storage and Recovery - Beginning Balance	
13F	The beginning balance in the Storage and Recovery (DYY) Account. This number carries forward from the ending balance in the previous period Assessment Package.	
136	Storage and Recovery - Storage Loss	
130	Beginning balance [13F] <times> -0.0007.</times>	
13H	Storage and Recovery - Transfers To Total of water transferred to the Storage and Recovery Account ("puts").	
	Storage and Recovery - Transfers From	
131	Total of water transferred from the Storage and Recovery Account ("takes").	
421	Storage and Recovery - Ending Balance	
13J	The current balance in the Storage and Recovery Account. [13F] + [13G] + [13H] + [13I].	
444	Water Transactions - Assigned Rights	
14A	Total of assigned transactions for this period, including annual water transfers/leases between Appropriators and/or from Appropriators to Watermaster for replenishment purposes, and also the Exhibit "G" physical solution transfers from the Non-Ag Pool.	
440	Water Transactions - General Transfer	
I4D	Total of water transfers between Parties for this period.	
	Water Transactions - Transfers (To) / From ECO Account	
140	Total of water transferred between the Annual Account and ECO Account	





Assessment Year 2023-2024 (Production Year 2022-2023)

Column	Title Description	
14D	Water Transactions - Transfers (To) Desalter Replenishment	
14E	Water Transactions - Total Water Transactions	
	Total water transactions. [14A]+ [14B] + [14C] + [14D]. This column is used to populate [10F].	
15A	Prior Conversion Prior Land Use Conversion in acre-feet.	
15B	Conversion @ 1.3 af/ac - Acres	
	Conversion @ 1.3 af/ac - Acre-Feet	
15C	Converted parcels in acre-feet at 1.3 acre-feet per acre. [15B] <times> 1.3.</times>	
15D	Total Prior to Peace Agrmt Converted AF         Total Land Use Conversion in acre-feet prior to the Peace Agreement. [15A] + [15C].	
15E	Conversion @ 2.0 af/ac - Acres Converted parcels in acres at 2.0 acre-feet per acre.	
15F	Conversion @ 2.0 af/ac - Acre-Feet Converted parcels in acre-feet at 2.0 acre-feet per acre. [15E] <times> 2.0.</times>	
15G	Total Land Use Conversion Acre-Feet         Total Land Use Conversion in acre-feet for each Party. [15D] + [15F].	
16A	% Share of Operating Safe Yield The Party's yearly percentage of Operating Safe Yield. Copied from [10A].	
16B	Reallocation of Agricultural Pool Safe Yield - Safe Yield Reduction The Party's percent share of Operating Safe Yield [16A] multiplied by 9,000.	
16C	Reallocation of Agricultural Pool Safe Yield - Land Use Conversions Total land use conversions claimed on Page 15.1 (as verified by each Party on their Water Activity Report). Copied from [15G].	
16D	Reallocation of Agricultural Pool Safe Yield - Early Transfer	
	The remaining Agricultural Pool Safe Yield (82,800 <minus> Agricultural Pool Production <minus> Safe Yield Reduction <minus> Land Use Conversion) multiplied by percent share of Operating Safe Yield [16A].</minus></minus></minus>	
16E	Reallocation of Agricultural Pool Safe Yield - Total Ag Pool Reallocation	
	Each Party's Agricultural Pool Reallocation. [16B] + [16C] + [16D]. This column is used to populate [10E].	
17A	The amount of obligation carried over from prior Assessment Package(s) that were not met due to various reasons, including but not limited to MWD not having replenishment water available to purchase.	
17B	Fund Balance (\$) The amount of money collected or owed for replenishment assessments from prior Assessment Packages(s).	
170	Outstanding Obligation (\$)	
170	The amount of money that each Party owes or is credited based on current replenishment rate. [17A] <times> [CURRENT RATE] <minus> [17B].</minus></times>	
17D	AF Production and Exchanges Each Party's total production and exchanges. Copied from [10K].	
	85/15 Producers	
17E	The total production and exchanges of 85/15 Producers only.	
17F	Percent The percentage of each 85/15 Producer's total production and exchanges [17E] divided by the sum of [17E].	



# **Assessment Package References and Definitions**

Title Column Description

Column	Description	
17G	15% If an 85/15 Producer, then the 85/15 Producers' total Outstanding Obligation (\$) at 15%, multiplied by their production and exchanges percentage. [17C] total of 85/15 Producers <times> 15% <times> [17F].</times></times>	
17H	85% If an 85/15 Producer, then the Outstanding Obligation (\$) at 85%.	
171	100%         If not an 85/15 Producer, then the Outstanding Obligation (\$) at 100%.	
17J	Total           The total CURO for the year. [17G] + [17H] + [17I].	
18A	Desalter Production - Pre-Peace II Desalter Production         Production from the Pre-Peace II Desalter Wells.	
18B	Desalter Production - Peace II Desalter Expansion Production         Production from the Peace II Desalter Expansion Wells.	
18C	Desalter Production - Total The combined production from all Desalter Wells. [18A] + [18B].	
18D	Desalter Replenishment - Desalter (aka Kaiser) Account PIIA, 6.2 (a)(i) Credit applied to the total Desalter Production from the Kaiser account.	
18E	Desalter Replenishment - Paragraph 31 Settlement Agreements Dedication PIIA, 6.2(a)(ii) Credit applied to the total Desalter Production from "dedication of water from the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Storage Account or from any contribution arising from an annual authorized Physical Solution Transfer in accordance with amended Exhibit G	
18F	Desalter Replenishment - "Leave Behind" Losses PIIA, 6.2(a)(iv) Credit applied to the total Desalter Production from "any declared losses from storage in excess of actual losses enforced as a "Leave Behind"".	
18G	Desalter Replenishment - Safe Yield Contributed by Parties PIIA, 6.2(a)(v) Credit applied to the total Desalter Production from "Safe Yield that may be contributed by the parties."	
18H	Desalter Replenishment - Controlled Overdraft / Re-Op, PIIA, 6.2(a)(vi) - Allocation to Pre-Peace II Desalters The 225,000 AF portion of the 400,000 AF Controlled Overdraft that was originally allocated to the Pre-Peace II Desalter production.	
181	Desalter Replenishment - Controlled Overdraft / Re-Op, PIIA, 6.2(a)(vi) - Allocation to All Desalters The 175,000 AF portion of the 400,000 AF Controlled Overdraft that was originally allocated to the Peace II Desalter Expansion production but is now allocated to all Desalter production per set schedule.	
18J	Desalter Replenishment - Controlled Overdraft / Re-Op, PIIA, 6.2(a)(vi) - Balance The remaining balance of the 400,000 AF Controlled Overdraft.	
18K	Desalter Replenishment - Appropriative Pool DRO Contribution PIIA, 6.2(b)(ii) The 10,000 AF contribution to the Desalter Replenishment Obligation by the Appropriative Pool.	
18L	Desalter Replenishment - Non-Ag OBMP Assessment (10% Haircut) PIIA, 6.2(b)(i) The 10% of the Non-Agricultural Pool Safe Yield used to offset the total Desalter Replenishment Obligation beginning with production year 2016/2017.	
18M	Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation PIIA, 6.2(b)(iii) Total Desalter Production minus Desalter Replenishment. [18C] - [18D] - [18E] - [18F] - [18G] - [18H] - [18I] - [18K] - [18L].	
19A	Percent of Operating Safe Yield The Party's yearly percentage of Operating Safe Yield. Copied from [10A].	
19B	Land Use Conversions Total Land Use Conversion in acre-feet for each Party. Copied from [15G].	
19C	Percent of Land Use Conversions Each Party's pro rata share of Land Use Conversions [19B] from the total of [19B].	



### 24 (Production Year 2022-2023)

**ALL POOLS** 

Column	Title Description	
19D	85% DROC Based on Percent OSY Each Party's share of the 10 000 AF Desalter Replenishment Obligation based on OSY, 10 000 <times> 0.85 <times> [19A]</times></times>	
19E	15% DROC Based on Percent of LUC         Each Party's share of the 10,000 AF Desalter Replenishment Obligation based on Percent of Land Use Conversions. 10,000 <times> 0.15 <times> [19C].</times></times>	
19F	Total Desalter Replenishment Each Party's share of the 10,000 AF Desalter Replenishment Obligation. [19D] + [19E].	
20A	Assigned Share of Operating Safe Yield The Party's yearly volume of Operating Safe Yield. Copied from [10D].	
20B	Physical Production Adjustment Calculation - Physical Production Eiscal year physical production by each Party, Copied from [9A].	
20C	Physical Production Adjustment Calculation - 50% of Voluntary Agreements with Ag Total of water provided to Agricultural Pool Parties multiplied by 50%. [9B] <times> 0.50.</times>	
20D	Physical Production Adjustment Calculation - Assignments with Non-Ag Total of water provided to Non-Agricultural Pool Parties. Copied from [9C].	
20E	Physical Production Adjustment Calculation - Storage and Recovery Programs Total exchanges for the period (July 1 - June 30) including MZ1 forbearance and DYY deliveries (as reported to CBWM by IEUA and TVMWD and as verified by each Party on their Water Activity Report). Copied from [10J].	
20F	Physical Production Adjustment Calculation - Other Adjustments Total of water received from, or provided to, another Appropriator. Also includes production off-sets. Copied from [9D] but does not include production adjustments to prevent a negative annual production to a Party.	
20G	Physical Production Adjustment Calculation - Total Adjusted Production Each Party's Adjusted Physical Production. [20B] + [20C] + [20D] + [20E] + [20F].	
20H	RDRO Calculation - Total Production and OSY Basis The sum of each Party's Adjusted Physical Production and Assigned Share of Operating Safe Yield. [20A] + [20G].	
201	RDRO Calculation - Percentage The percentage of each Party's Adjusted Physical Production and Assigned Share of Operating Safe Yield basis. [20H] divided by the sum of [20H].	
20J	RDRO Calculation - Individual Party RDRO Each Party's pro rata share of the Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation. [201] <times> Total RDRO.</times>	
21A	Desalter Replenishment Obligation in AF - Desalter Replenishment Obligation Contribution (DROC) Each Party's share of the 10,000 AF Desalter Replenishment Obligation Contribution. Copied from [19F].	
21B	Desalter Replenishment Obligation in AF - Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation (RDRO) Each Party's pro rata share of the Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation. Copied from [20J].	
21C	Desalter Replenishment Obligation in AF - Total Desalter Replenishment Obligation The sum of Desalter Replenishment Obligation Contribution, and Remaining Desalter Replenishment Obligation. [21A] + [21B].	
21D	Total DRO Fulfillment Activity - Transfer from Dedicated Replenishment Account Total of water transferred from Desalter Dedicated Replenishment Account to satisfy the desalter replenishment obligation.	
21E	Total DRO Fulfillment Activity - Transfer from Excess Carry Over Storage Account           Total of water transferred from Excess Carry Over Storage Account to satisfy the desalter replenishment obligation.	
21F	Total DRO Fulfillment Activity - Transfer from Recharged Recycled Storage Account Total of water transferred from Recharged Recycle Storage Account to satisfy the desalter replenishment obligation.	
21G	Total DRO Fulfillment Activity - Transfer from Quantified Storage Account Total of water transferred from Quantified Storage Account to satisfy the desalter replenishment obligation.	



**ALL POOLS** 

Column	Description			
21H 21I	Total DRO Fulfillment Activity - Transfer from Post 7/1/2000 Storage Account			
	Total DBO Fulfillment Activity. Benlenickment Water Burchase			
	Total of water purchased to satisfy the desalter replenishment obligation.			
21J	Total DRO Fulfillment Activity - Total Transfers and Water Purchases The sum of all transfers and purchases to satisfy the desalter replenishment obligation. [21D] + [21E] + [21F] + [21G] + [21H] + [21I].			
21K	Assessments - Residual DRO (AF) Total residual Desalter Replenishment Obligation after transfers and purchases. [21C] + [21J].			
21L	Assessments - Assessments Due On Residual DRO (\$) Total assessments due for Desalter Replenishment. [21K] < times> [Current Replenishment Rate]. This column is used to populate [8S].			
26A	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 20160623 - RO The amount of water purchased to satisfy the accumulated replenishment obligation through the end of production year 2014/15. Water was delivered in October 2016.			
26B	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 20160623 - DRO The amount of water purchased to be used towards the Desalter Replenishment Obligation. Water was delivered in October 2016.			
26C	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 20161216 - DRO The amount of water purchased to be used towards the Desalter Replenishment Obligation. Water was delivered in December 2016.			
26D	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 20170418 - RO The amount of water purchased to satisfy production year 2015/16 replenishment obligation. Water was delivered in April 2018.			
26E	<ul> <li>FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 85/15 Breakdown - AF @ 100%</li> <li>The amount of water purchased subject to 100% RTS rate. This applies to: DRO water; RO water of non-85/15 Pool 3 producers; and RO water of Pool 2 producers.</li> <li>1) Pool 3, 85/15 Ineligible: [26A] + [26B] + [26C] + [26D].</li> <li>2) Pool 3, 85/15 Eligible: [26B] + [26C].</li> <li>3) Pool 2: [26A] + [26D].</li> </ul>			
26F	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 85/15 Breakdown - AF @ 85/15 The amount of water purchased subject to the 85/15 Rule. This applies to RO water of 85/15 Pool 3 producers. 1) Pool 3, 85/15 Eligible: [26A] + [26D].			
26G	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Purchased Water in AF - 85/15 Breakdown - AF Total Total water purchased by each Appropriative Pool or Non-Agricultural Pool Party. [26E] + [26F].			
26H	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - 2015/16 Prod & Exch From 85/15 Producers - Acre-Feet Total production and exchanges of 85/15 Producers from fiscal year 2015/16. This is the basis of the 85/15 Rule for water purchased in fiscal year 2016/17.			
261	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - 2015/16 Prod & Exch From 85/15 Producers - Percent The percentage of each 85/15 Producer's total production and exchanges. [26H] divided by the sum of [26H].			
26J	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Year 6 RTS Charges - 15% If an 85/15 Producer, then each 85/15 Producer's share of the total RTS charge of 85/15 eligible water. "Total RTS Charge" <divided by=""> "Total Water Purchased" <times> 0.15 <times> [26F] Total <times> [26I].</times></times></times></divided>			
26K	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Year 6 RTS Charges - 85% If an 85/15 Producer, then their RTS charge of 85/15 eligible water at 85%. "Total RTS Charge" <divided by=""> "Total Water Purchased" <times> [26F] <times> 0.85.</times></times></divided>			
26L	FY 2016/2017 Water Purchases - Year 6 RTS Charges - 100% RTS charge on all water not subject to the 85/15 Rule. "Total RTS Charge" <divided by=""> "Total Water Purchased" <times> [26E].</times></divided>			
26M	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - Purchased Water in AF - 20171211 - RO The amount of water purchased to satisfy replenishment obligations through the end of production year 2014/15. Water was delivered in December 2017.			



# **Assessment Package References and Definitions**

Column	Title Description
26N	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - Purchased Water in AF - 20171211 - DRO
	The amount of water purchased to be used towards the Desalter Replenishment Obligation. Water was delivered in December 2017.
260	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - 2016/17 Prod & Exch From 85/15 Producers - Acre-Feet
	Total production and exchanges of 85/15 Producers from fiscal year 2016/17. This is the basis of the 85/15 Rule for water purchased in fiscal year 2017/18.
26P	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - 2016/17 Prod & Exch From 85/15 Producers - Percent
	The percentage of each 85/15 Producer's total production and exchanges. [260] divided by the sum of [260].
26Q	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - Year 5 RTS Charges - 15%
	If an 85/15 Producer, then each 85/15 Producer's share of the total RTS charge of 85/15 eligible water in [26M].
26D	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - Year 5 RTS Charges - 85%
20K	If an 85/15 Producer, then their RTS charge of 85/15 eligible water in [26M] at 85%.
26S	FY 2017/2018 Water Purchase - Year 5 RTS Charges - 100%
	RTS charge on all water in {26N] and water not subject to the 85/15 Rule in [26M].
26T	TOTAL RTS CHARGES
	Total RTS Charge. [26J] + [26K] + [26L] + [26Q] + [26R] + [26S].



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

#### STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 9, 2023

TO: AP/ONAP/OAP Committee Members

SUBJECT: Resolution to Levy Replenishment and Administrative Assessments for Fiscal Year 2023/24, Based on Production Year 2022/23. (Business Item II.C.)

SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: A resolution is required for the Chino Basin Watermaster to levy administrative, special project, and replenishment assessments for Fiscal Year 2023/24. [Within WM Duties and Powers]

Recommendation: Review Resolution 2023-07 as presented and offer advice to Watermaster.

<u>Financial Impact</u>: Collection of the assessments according to the Assessment Package creates the funds that are used during the current fiscal year for budgeted expenses.

Future Consideration

Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Advice and assistance Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advice and assistance Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advice and assistance Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Advice and assistance Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023: Approval

ACTIONS:

Appropriative Pool – November 9, 2023: Non-Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Agricultural Pool – November 9, 2023: Advisory Committee – November 16, 2023: Watermaster Board – November 16, 2023:

> Watermaster's function is to administer and enforce provisions of the Judgment and subsequent orders of the Court, and to develop and implement an Optimum Basin Management Program

#### BACKGROUND

Watermaster issues an Assessment Package annually based on the previous production year (July 1 through June 30). Production information is generally collected quarterly, and other necessary information is collected annually. The Assessment Package creates funds that are used during the current fiscal year for budgeted expenses. Assessments are based on the approved budget divided by the total assessable production in the Basin.

Watermaster is endowed with powers to levy and collect administrative, special project, and replenishment assessments necessary to maintain water levels and to cover the cost of administering the Chino Basin Restated Judgment. A resolution of the Watermaster Board is needed to levy the assessments and issue invoices to parties. Pursuant to the Restated Judgment, each party has thirty (30) days from the date of invoice to remit the payment for assessments due. After that date, interest will accrue on any portion which was due as provided for in Section 55(c) of the Restated Judgment.

#### DISCUSSION

The draft Fiscal Year 2023/24 Assessment Package is being considered for approval this month under Business Item II.B. and Resolution 2023-07 has been drafted for the Watermaster Board's consideration.

If Resolution 2023-07 is approved through the Watermaster process in November 2023, the invoices will be emailed in late November and assessments will be due 30 days later.

#### ATTACHMENTS

1. Resolution 2023-07: A Resolution of the Chino Basin Watermaster Levying Administrative, Replenishment, and Special Project Assessments for Fiscal Year 2023/24

#### **RESOLUTION 2023-07**

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE, REPLENISHMENT, AND SPECIAL PROJECT ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023-2024

WHEREAS, the Chino Basin Watermaster was appointed on January 27, 1978, under Case No. RCVRS 51010 (formerly case No. SCV 164327) entitled Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al., with powers to levy and collect administrative and replenishment assessments necessary to maintain water levels and to cover the cost of administering the Chino Basin Judgment; and

WHEREAS, the Watermaster Advisory Committee approved and the Watermaster Board adopted the Fiscal Year 2023-2024 Budget on May 25, 2023, to carry out the necessary Watermaster functions under the Judgment; and

WHEREAS, the parties named in this Judgment have pumped 28.4 acre-feet of water in excess of the operating safe yield, which is required to be replaced at the expense of the parties in accordance with the assessment formulas for the respective pools.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chino Basin Watermaster levies the respective assessments for each pool effective November 16, 2023 as shown on Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to the Judgment, each party has thirty (30) days from the date of invoice to remit the amount of payment for assessments due. After that date, interest will accrue on that portion which was due as provided for in Section 55 (c) of the Restated Judgment.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was **ADOPTED** by the Watermaster Board on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of November 2023.

y:\_\_\_\_\_ Chair – Watermaster Board

ATTEST:

Secretary/Treasurer – Watermaster Board

#### Exhibit "A" Resolution 2023-07

Summary of Assessments Fiscal Year 2023-2024 Production Year 2022-2023



I, <u>Bob Kuhn</u>, Secretary/Treasurer of the Chino Basin Watermaster, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution being No. 2023-07, was adopted at a regular meeting of the Chino Basin Watermaster Board on November 16, 2023 by the following vote:

AYES:	0
NOES:	0
ABSENT:	0
ABSTAIN:	0 CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER
Date:	Secretary November 16, 2023